



DoD INSTRUCTION 5505.02

CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS OF FRAUD OFFENSES

Originating Component: Office of Inspector General of the Department of Defense

Effective: November 14, 2024

Releasability: Cleared for public release. Available on the Directives Division Website at <https://www.esd.whs.mil/DD/>.

Reissues and Cancels: DoD Instruction 5505.02, "Criminal Investigations of Fraud Offenses," August 29, 2013, as amended

Approved by: Robert P. Storch, Inspector General of the Department of Defense

Purpose: In accordance with the authority in DoD Directive (DoDD) 5106.01 and Chapter 4 of Title 5, United States Code (U.S.C.), also known and referred to in this issuance as the "Inspector General Act of 1978," as amended, this issuance establishes policy, assigns responsibilities, and prescribes procedures for criminal investigations of fraud and fraud-related offenses within the DoD.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION 1: GENERAL ISSUANCE INFORMATION	3
1.1. Applicability.	3
1.2. Policy.	3
1.3. Records Management.....	3
SECTION 2: RESPONSIBILITIES.....	4
2.1. IG DoD.....	4
2.2. Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence and Security.	4
2.3. Director, Defense Contract Audit Agency (DCAA).....	4
2.4. Secretaries of the Military Departments.	5
2.5. DoD Component Heads and Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence and Security.	5
SECTION 3: INVESTIGATIVE RESPONSIBILITY AND PROCEDURES.....	6
3.1. DCIS Primary Jurisdictional Responsibility.....	6
3.2. MCIO Primary Jurisdictional Responsibility.....	7
3.3. Other Jurisdictional Responsibilities.	8
3.4. Special Reporting Responsibilities Regarding Senior Officials.	9
3.5. Agency Working Group (AWG).	9
3.6. Jurisdictional Responsibility RWGs.	9
3.7. Sensitive Compartmented Information (SCI) Fraud Investigations.	10
3.8. Special Access Program Fraud Investigations.....	10
3.9. Joint Investigations Factors.....	10
3.10. Joint Investigation Operational Guidelines.....	11
a. Lead Investigative Agency.....	11
b. Substantive Activities Required.....	11
c. Management of Joint Investigations.	11
3.11. Resolving Disputes.	12
3.12. Notice for Referrals Outside the DoD.	12
3.13. Notification of Information about Ethics Violations.	12
3.14. Fraud Risk Management Data Analytics.	12
GLOSSARY	14
G.1. Acronyms.....	14
G.2. Definitions.....	14
REFERENCES	17

SECTION 1: GENERAL ISSUANCE INFORMATION

1.1. APPLICABILITY.

This issuance applies to OSD, the Military Departments, the Office of the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff and the Joint Staff, the Combatant Commands, the Office of Inspector General of the Department of Defense (IG DoD), the Defense Agencies, the DoD Field Activities, and all other organizational entities within the DoD (referred to collectively in this issuance as the “DoD Components”).

1.2. POLICY.

a. Criminal investigations initiated and conducted by the Defense Criminal Investigative Organizations (DCIOs) have primacy over collateral investigations conducted by commanders, safety investigators, and other DoD organizational entities. Persons not assigned to the DCIOs must not interfere with investigations or investigative techniques the DCIOs deem appropriate.

b. DoD Components must promptly report all fraud allegations to the appropriate DCIO or other investigative organization that has law enforcement (LE) authority or criminal investigative responsibility.

c. DCIOs may refer fraud allegations to other investigative organizations when the reported matter is not within the DCIO’s investigative responsibility.

d. DCIOs will promptly evaluate all fraud allegations involving programs, persons, and organizations affiliated with the DoD and, when appropriate, investigate the offense(s) in accordance with law, criminal investigative jurisdiction, and governing regulations.

e. A referral for investigation by the IG DoD or a designated representative to a Military Department will be considered a formal request, in accordance with the Inspector General Act of 1978, DoDD 5106.01, DoD Instruction (DoDI) 5505.15, DoDI 5505.16 and the procedures in Section 3.

1.3. RECORDS MANAGEMENT.

a. All DoD LE activities must maintain an automated records management and information system that is compliant and compatible with the Federal Bureau of Investigation’s National Incident-Based Reporting System, National Data Exchange System, and the LE Defense Data Exchange.

b. The DoD will develop automated records management systems in accordance with DoD Manual 8180.01 and compliant with records management requirements specified in DoDIs 5015.02 and 5525.16.

SECTION 2: RESPONSIBILITIES

2.1. IG DOD.

In addition to the responsibilities in Paragraph 2.5., the IG DoD:

a. Oversees and evaluates, as the IG DoD considers appropriate, DoD Component compliance with this issuance and specifically monitors Defense Criminal Investigative Service (DCIS) compliance.

b. Monitors DCIO investigative activities to avoid duplication while providing effective and timely investigative support to the DoD Components, in accordance with this issuance and other IG DoD policies.

c. Resolves disagreements concerning DCIO jurisdictional responsibilities.

d. Requires DCIOs to provide timely investigative support to the DoD Components.

e. Requires DCIS to:

(1) Follow the guidance and procedures outlined in Section 3.

(2) Provide DoD Components copies of completed reports of investigation and other interim reports or documents necessary to support appropriate action in accordance with DoDI 7050.05.

2.2. UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR INTELLIGENCE AND SECURITY.

In addition to the responsibilities in Paragraph 2.5., and other than for DCIS which operates under the independent direction and control of the IG DoD, the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence and Security, as the designated Principal Staff Assistant for LE:

a. May monitor DoD Component execution of this issuance.

b. Reviews and coordinates on training and training requirements related to this issuance.

c. Monitors DoD Component resourcing to implement this issuance, and advocates for any changes to that resourcing.

2.3. DIRECTOR, DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY (DCAA).

Under the authority, direction, and control of the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller)/ Chief Financial Officer, Department of Defense and in addition to the responsibilities in Paragraph 2.5., the Director, DCAA, establishes procedures to ensure referrals of suspected fraud arising from DCAA audit activities affecting the DoD are referred to the inspector general of the agency most at risk in accordance with Paragraph 4-702.4 of DCAA Manual 7640.1.

2.4. SECRETARIES OF THE MILITARY DEPARTMENTS.

In addition to the responsibilities in Paragraph 2.5., the Secretaries of the Military Departments require their respective Military Criminal Investigative Organizations (MCIOs) to:

- a. Follow the guidance and procedures outlined in Section 3.
- b. Provide copies of completed reports of investigation and other interim reports or documents necessary to support appropriate action by the responsible DoD Components in accordance with DoDI 7050.05.
- c. Provide timely notification in writing or through e-mail or other notification process to the IG DoD that explains why the MCIO did not initiate an investigation from an IG DoD referral for investigation.
- d. Document in an investigation file or case management system the reason why an IG DoD referral was not investigated.

2.5. DOD COMPONENT HEADS AND UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR INTELLIGENCE AND SECURITY.

The DoD Component heads and the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence and Security:

- a. Require that all fraud allegations involving persons affiliated with the DoD and any property or programs under their control or authority are promptly referred to the DCIO or other investigative organization that has criminal investigative jurisdiction and responsibility for the matter. Additionally, the DoD Component heads refer matters relating to the Defense Intelligence Components to the respective Defense Intelligence Component Inspector General.
- b. Establish Component procedures for the investigation of fraud allegations by alternative investigative resources when DCIOs decline to investigate the matter or the allegations were not referred elsewhere for investigation. Such resources include, but are not limited to, DoD Component inspectors general, military or security police elements, other DoD investigators, or command authorities. When results of the investigation warrant referral to the Department of Justice for civil or criminal enforcement action, the DoD Component head will promptly notify the appropriate DCIO.

SECTION 3: INVESTIGATIVE RESPONSIBILITY AND PROCEDURES

3.1. DCIS PRIMARY JURISDICTIONAL RESPONSIBILITY.

DCIS has primary responsibility for investigating fraud allegations made against the DoD that are within DCIS investigative responsibility and involve:

- a. OSD and the Joint Staff.
- b. All DoD Components except for the Military Departments or activities supported by the MCIOs in Paragraph 3.2.
- c. Contract and procurement actions awarded by DoD Components not otherwise supported by an MCIO, except those specified in Paragraphs 3.2. and 3.3.
- d. Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) disposition services and DLA distribution activities, except those specified in Paragraph 3.2.

(1) DCIS will notify the MCIO that is responsible for providing criminal investigative support to an installation where the DLA service or activity is located about the initiation of a DLA-related fraud investigation within 72 hours, except when the notification could compromise the investigation.

(2) DCIS will notify or brief the installation commander as soon as the notification or brief will not compromise the investigation. DCIS notification or brief to the installation commander should be jointly conducted with the MCIO responsible for providing criminal investigative support to the respective installation.

e. Healthcare providers, services, or supporters under the TRICARE health program. DCIS will notify the appropriate MCIO when an allegation concerns a suspected healthcare fraud provider on a specific military installation or activity.

f. Violation of Sections 8701 through 8707 of Title 41, U.S.C. (i.e., kickbacks). If an allegation concerns a specific Military Department, DCIS will notify the appropriate MCIO within 72 hours when it initiates an investigation affecting that Military Department's personnel, activities, or contracts, or when it discovers any suspected violation of Chapter 47 of Title 10, U.S.C. (also known as the "Uniform Code of Military Justice").

(1) The exception to this notification requirement is when the IG DoD, or their designee, determines such notification could compromise the investigation. In such instances, the notification will be completed when DCIS determines it is appropriate.

(2) An MCIO will promptly notify DCIS when it initiates an investigation affecting the personnel, activities, or contracts of the OSD, the Office of the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, or other matters under DCIS's primary jurisdiction as outlined in this issuance.

(3) This notification requirement will not limit the IG DoD's statutory authority to conduct investigations in a manner the IG DoD deems appropriate.

g. Violations of Section 201 of Title 18, U.S.C. (i.e., bribery) by civilian employees of OSD, the Joint Staff, Defense Agencies, or DoD Field Activities.

h. Any allegations the IG DoD considers appropriate for investigation by DCIS.

3.2. MCIO PRIMARY JURISDICTIONAL RESPONSIBILITY.

The respective MCIOs have primary responsibility for investigating all fraud allegations within their specific Military Department and investigative responsibility, not otherwise specified in Paragraphs 3.1. and 3.3., involving:

a. Contract and procurement actions that a Military Department awards, regardless of what organization administers the contract.

b. Fraud allegations involving the Defense Finance and Accounting Service where they pertain to pay and allowance or travel fraud by a civilian employee or Service member, or the Defense Finance and Accounting Service providing services for a single:

(1) Post;

(2) Camp;

(3) Base or joint base;

(4) Facility; or

(5) Installation-level activity of a Military Department.

c. DLA disposition services and DLA distribution activities outside the United States where an MCIO provides support to a host country installation and any allegations resulting from a compromise of physical security of the DLA disposition service, DLA distribution activity, or the host installation (e.g., break-ins, theft of property). MCIOs must notify DCIS of any theft, illegal export, or diversion of de-militarized sensitive defense technologies from DLA disposition services outside the United States. DCIS has primary jurisdiction over any allegation the IG DoD considers appropriate for investigation by DCIS.

d. The activities at an individual installation or facility, especially those under an installation commander's contracting authority. These also involve activities that affect the health, welfare, and morale of Service members (or their dependents) assigned to that specific installation or facility. These include circumstances where the allegations pertain to the activities at a military installation or facility supported by another installation or facility.

e. All matters regarding the Army and Air Force Exchange Service, the Navy Exchange Services Command, the Marine Corps Exchange, and all non-appropriated fund activities within the Military Departments.

f. Fraud allegations perpetrated against TRICARE, a fiscal intermediary, or other health care providers or insurers by Service members, military retirees, and dependents who have received, made claims for, or requested benefits or services under such programs or operations.

g. Construction contracts that a single Military Department funds.

h. All DoD education activity schools outside the United States.

i. The Combatant Commands.

j. All Defense Energy Support Center activities outside of the United States and its territories.

k. All North Atlantic Treaty Organization projects and activities with expenditures by the DoD.

l. Allegations of kickbacks and bribery involving a Service member or civilian employee of a Military Department, except those covered in Paragraphs 3.1., 3.3., and 3.4.

3.3. OTHER JURISDICTIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES.

a. DCIOs are responsible for investigating fraud allegations within their investigative responsibility that are not otherwise specified in Paragraphs 3.1. and 3.2., involving:

(1) Contracts that a single Military Department awards and where a contractor or subcontractor that has contracts with multiple DoD Components is alleged to have committed fraudulent activity. The determination of whether the contractor has contracts with multiple DoD Components relates to the contractor's overall status rather than to the specific allegation(s) or contract(s) to which the allegations pertain.

(2) Top 100 Defense contractors (including their subsidiaries), as identified annually by the General Services Administration. Because the IG DoD has a continuing and substantial interest in investigations that involve a Top 100 Defense contractor, DCIS will determine whether to participate in any investigation of a Top 100 Defense contractor.

(3) Violations of antitrust laws.

(4) All fraud allegations with respect to contracts funded by other than military construction appropriations and awarded or administered to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

b. DCIOs will collaborate and share responsibility to investigate fraud offenses that affect the DoD.

(1) Although one DCIO may assume primary jurisdiction over an investigation, another DCIO may join the investigation when the joining DCIO:

- (a) Has DoD Component-connected interest in the case; or
- (b) Can contribute the necessary resources to participate in the investigation actively and constructively.

(2) If an investigative responsibility dispute between DCIOs cannot be mutually resolved, the IG DoD will determine which agency will investigate a specific fraud matter.

3.4. SPECIAL REPORTING RESPONSIBILITIES REGARDING SENIOR OFFICIALS.

DCIOs are responsible for reporting all allegations of senior official misconduct to the IG DoD in accordance with DoDD 5505.06.

3.5. AGENCY WORKING GROUP (AWG).

DCIOs will establish, at the headquarters level, an AWG to resolve jurisdictional issues that a regional working group (RWG) does not resolve. AWG representatives will be managers with co-equal authority to make binding decisions. The AWG representatives will resolve disputes in accordance with Paragraphs 3.7. and 3.10.

3.6. JURISDICTIONAL RESPONSIBILITY RWGS.

The procedures for carrying out the responsibilities in Paragraph 3.3. are:

- a. Except as noted in Paragraph 3.7., DCIOs will establish RWGs at no higher than geographical regional levels (below headquarters level) to address and resolve jurisdictional responsibility issues.
- b. The members of each RWG must be managers or supervisors with co-equal authority to make decisions regarding which organization(s) will conduct each investigation covered by this section.
- c. DCIOs must coordinate with the appropriate RWG all fraud allegations that involve equities of DoD Components other than those that fall within their jurisdiction before opening any inquiries, investigations, or criminal intelligence files or making presentations to any prosecutors regarding fraud allegations. Under urgent circumstances, a prosecutor may be consulted before coordination with the RWG; however, the circumstances must be explained in detail and documented for the RWG when such action is taken.
- d. The RWG will consider the provisions of Paragraphs 3.9. and 3.10. to determine which DCIO(s) will conduct the investigation or part(s) of the investigation.

- e. The RWG will reach decisions by consensus in applying the criteria provided in Paragraphs 3.6.a. through 3.6.d. and not by a majority rule vote.
- f. The RWG must try in good faith to resolve disputes at the local level. Disputes that cannot be resolved at the RWG level will be resolved in accordance with Paragraph 3.11.
- g. The RWGs will conduct meetings as necessary to discuss issues of mutual interest.

3.7. SENSITIVE COMPARTMENTED INFORMATION (SCI) FRAUD INVESTIGATIONS.

Investigations of fraud allegations involving SCI will be coordinated so that the AWG will resolve jurisdictional issues for any investigation involving SCI. Each DCIO AWG representative must have the necessary clearance level to participate in SCI matters in accordance with DoDI 5200.01.

3.8. SPECIAL ACCESS PROGRAM FRAUD INVESTIGATIONS.

The DCIO responsible for providing investigative support to any special access program will conduct such fraud investigation without referral to or coordination with any RWG or AWG.

3.9. JOINT INVESTIGATIONS FACTORS.

Joint investigations may be considered when:

- a. The alleged fraud substantially involves and impacts the funding, programs, property, or personnel (as subjects) of multiple DoD Components.
- b. The nature of the investigation requires the commitment of more resources than what a single DCIO can reasonably provide to the investigation.
- c. The DCIO that wants to join the investigation has or will provide sufficient resources to actively contribute to the investigative team.
- d. DoD-level policy or a memorandum of understanding applicable to the case requires multiple DCIOs to participate in the investigation.
- e. The investigation involves a TRICARE provider on a military installation.
- f. The matter being investigated is of such importance to a Military Department that participation by multiple DCIOs may avoid any appearance of conflict of interest, lack of independence, or command influence.
- g. The IG DoD determines that an investigation will be conducted jointly or that the DCIS must be a joint participant in an investigation with another DCIO.

3.10. JOINT INVESTIGATION OPERATIONAL GUIDELINES.

When a joint investigation is appropriate, these guidelines apply:

a. Lead Investigative Agency.

The lead DCIO for joint investigations will be determined based on the primary jurisdictional responsibilities listed in Paragraphs 3.1. and 3.2. Disputes pertaining to the designation of a lead DCIO will be resolved in accordance with procedures in Paragraphs 3.5., 3.6., and 3.11.

b. Substantive Activities Required.

All DCIOs participating in the joint investigation must supply personnel to perform substantive and regular investigative activities to advance the investigation. Otherwise, their further joint participation will be terminated. Every effort will be made at the local RWG level to resolve the resource issue. If the resource issue cannot be resolved at the local level, the DCIOs will follow the procedures specified in Paragraph 3.11.

c. Management of Joint Investigations.

Agents participating in a joint investigation must form an investigative working group (IWG) and designate the lead DCIO in accordance with Paragraph 3.9. The IWG and respective field managers will mutually agree on and be responsible for managing and directing investigations, including case administration, reporting, and liaison with prospective prosecutors. The IWG is responsible for identifying investigative requirements and coordinating completion of investigative tasks, which may include:

- (1) Notifying the centralized organization for the affected DoD Components and ensuring the centralized organization is provided timely information needed to implement, update, or revise a remedies plan in accordance with DoDI 7050.05.
- (2) Originating and processing requests for electronic surveillance, search warrants, IG DoD subpoenas, and similar investigative techniques that require approval outside the DCIO.
- (3) Establishing the format for preparing reports of investigation, memorandums for the record, memorandums of interview, witness statements, and other related documentation.
- (4) Efficiently and accurately reporting investigative outcomes for the IG DoD Semiannual Report to Congress, as well as any other required reports.
- (5) Presenting the case for civil action and criminal prosecution determinations.
- (6) Preparing and submitting any referrals to the IG DoD for application of Sections 3801 through 3812 of Title 31, U.S.C., also known as the “Program Fraud Civil Remedies Act of 1986,” as implemented in DoDD 5505.05.

3.11. RESOLVING DISPUTES.

a. The appropriate RWG, established in accordance with Paragraph 3.6., should address any questions about or conflicts arising from the interpretation of this issuance. The RWGs and senior field managers will resolve issues at the lowest level possible. The AWG will handle disputes only after exhaustive efforts to resolve the issues at the local and RWG levels are completed.

b. The headquarters-level AWG will be responsible for resolving jurisdictional issues that the senior field managers cannot resolve.

c. The AWG will notify the appropriate DCIO commander or director or the IG DoD Deputy Inspector General for Investigations when it cannot resolve a dispute. The DCIO senior leaders will notify the IG DoD when they cannot resolve a dispute. The IG DoD will subsequently resolve the dispute as deemed appropriate.

3.12. NOTICE FOR REFERRALS OUTSIDE THE DOD.

Fraud allegations with a DoD nexus and within a DCIO's criminal investigative jurisdiction that involve any DoD programs, operations, or personnel will not be referred to an investigative organization outside the DoD without advance notice to the IG DoD, unless the referral is required by DoDI 5525.07 or is otherwise specifically required by statute or regulation.

3.13. NOTIFICATION OF INFORMATION ABOUT ETHICS VIOLATIONS.

a. Unless prohibited by law, DCIOs will notify the Director of the Office of Government Ethics (OGE) of any referrals made to the Department of Justice that involve current or former Service members or civilian employees pursuant to Section 535 of Title 28, U.S.C., relating to possible violations of Sections 203, 205, and 207 through 209 of Title 18, U.S.C. The notification may be delayed to prevent compromise of ongoing investigations. The DCIO will document the notification to the Director of the OGE on OGE Form 202, "Notice of Conflict of Interest Referral," which is available at <https://www.oge.gov/Web/OGE.nsf/OGE%20Forms>. The notification and referral may be e-mailed to referrals@oge.gov.

b. The lead DCIOs conducting joint investigations submit the OGE Form 202 to the Director of the OGE.

3.14. FRAUD RISK MANAGEMENT DATA ANALYTICS.

The DCIOs will periodically:

a. Review their case management system procurement fraud investigative data and identify and implement changes to ensure that, when possible, data in crucial structured data fields are complete and able to be accessed, analyzed, and aggregated.

b. Collaborate, as appropriate, with Military Departments, other DoD Components, and relevant stakeholders to improve the usability of procurement fraud investigative data to mitigate fraud risk.

GLOSSARY

G.1. ACRONYMS.

ACRONYM	MEANING
AWG	agency working group
DCAA	Defense Contract Audit Agency
DCIO	Defense Criminal Investigative Organization
DCIS	Defense Criminal Investigative Service
DLA	Defense Logistics Agency
DoDD	DoD directive
DoDI	DoD instruction
IG DoD	Inspector General of the Department of Defense
IWG	investigative working group
LE	law enforcement
MCIO	Military Criminal Investigative Organization
OGE	Office of Government Ethics
RWG	regional working group
SCI	sensitive compartmented information
U.S.C.	United States Code

G.2. DEFINITIONS.

Unless otherwise noted, these terms and their definitions are for the purpose of this issuance.

Term	DEFINITION
centralized organization	The organization within a DoD Component responsible for monitoring and ensuring the coordination of criminal, civil, administrative, and contractual remedies for each significant investigation of fraud or corruption related to procurement activities affecting the DoD Component.
criminal investigations	Defined in DoDI 5505.16.

Term	DEFINITION
DCIOs	The Department of the Army Criminal Investigation Division, Naval Criminal Investigative Service, Air Force Office of Special Investigations, and DCIS.
dependents	Defined in Section 1072 of Title 10, U.S.C.
DoD nexus	Defined in DoDI 5505.03.
fraud	<p>Any intentional deception designed to deprive the United States unlawfully of something of value or to secure from the United States a benefit, privilege, allowance, or consideration to which a person or entity is not entitled. Such practices include, but are not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Offering payment or accepting bribes or gratuities.Making false statements.Submitting false claims.Using false weights or measures.Evading or corrupting inspectors or other officials.Deceiving either by suppressing the truth or misrepresenting material fact.Adulterating or substituting materials.Falsifying records and books of accounts.Arranging for secret profits, kickbacks, or commissions.Conspiring to do any of the acts described in this definition. <p>Conflict of interest cases, criminal irregularities, and the unauthorized disclosure of official information relating to procurement and disposal matters.</p>
jurisdiction	Defined in DoDI 5505.03.
MCIOs	The Department of the Army Criminal Investigation Division, Naval Criminal Investigative Service, and Air Force Office of Special Investigations.

Term	DEFINITION
senior official	Defined in DoDD 5505.06.
Top 100 Defense contractors	The first 100 contractors (including their subsidiaries) receiving the largest dollar volume of prime contract awards from the DoD during the preceding fiscal year.
TRICARE	A regionally managed health care program for active duty, activated National Guard and Reserves, retired members of the uniformed services, National Guard and Reserves, and their families and survivors.

REFERENCES

- Defense Contract Audit Agency Manual 7640.1, “DCAA Contract Audit Manual,” dates vary by chapter¹
- DoD Directive 5106.01, “Inspector General of the Department of Defense (IG DoD),” April 20, 2012, as amended
- DoD Directive 5505.05, “Implementation of the Program Fraud Civil Remedies Act,” August 30, 1988
- DoD Directive 5505.06, “Investigations of Allegations Against Senior DoD Officials,” June 6, 2013, as amended
- DoD Instruction 5015.02, “DoD Records Management Program,” February 24, 2015, as amended
- DoD Instruction 5200.01, “DoD Information Security Program and Protection of Sensitive Compartmented Information (SCI),” April 21, 2016, as amended
- DoD Instruction 5505.03, “Initiation of Investigations by Defense Criminal Investigative Organizations,” August 2, 2023
- DoD Instruction 5505.15, “DoD Contractor Disclosure Program,” June 3, 2021, as amended
- DoD Instruction 5505.16, “Investigations by DoD Components,” June 23, 2017
- DoD Instruction 5525.07, “Implementation of the Memorandum of Understanding Between the Departments of Justice and Defense Relating to the Investigation and Prosecution of Certain Crimes,” March 5, 2020
- DoD Instruction 5525.16, “Law Enforcement Defense Data Exchange (LE D-DEX),” August 29, 2013, as amended
- DoD Instruction 7050.05, “Coordination of Remedies for Fraud and Corruption Related to Procurement Activities,” May 12, 2014, as amended
- DoD Manual 8180.01, “Information Technology Planning for Electronic Records Management,” August 4, 2023
- United States Code, Title 5, Chapter 4 (also known as the “Inspector General Act of 1978,” as amended)
- United States Code, Title 10
- United States Code, Title 18
- United States Code, Title 28, Section 535
- United States Code, Title 31
- United States Code, Title 41

¹ Available at <https://www.dcaa.mil/Guidance/CAM-Contract-Audit-Manual/>