

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE WASHINGTON, D. C. 20301



2 APR 1979 1-21763/79

Mr. William E. Jackson, Jr. Executive Director General Advisory Committee On Arms Control and Disarmament Washington, D.C. 20451

Dear Bill:

This is to confirm the information which I phoned to the General Advisory Committee on March 8, 1979. Per your request, the OSD Staff has updated the strategic calculations which we supplied to you in a letter dated June 8, 1978. The results reflect the most recent estimates of Soviet forces which include increased numbers of more accurate ICBM RVs. These Soviet force changes, more than US developments, are responsible for the differences from the earlier results. The assumptions described below are the same as those of the earlier calculations.

We have used a moderate to severe damage criterion for Soviet silos and have further assumed that:

- US and Soviet forces constrained by the numerical limits of SALT TWO:
 - All US ICBMs are available for pre-emption against Soviet silos;

Minuteman III have improved	

The table below shows the results in 1985 for alternative US forces, and in 1978 and 1980 for currently planned forces. You should be aware that it is not feasible to have 300 MX deployed by FY 1985.

Classified by Dir, DoD SALT Task Force	☐ Retain Class'n ☐ Change to
Declassify on 31 DEC 1985 Review on 31 DEC 1985	☐ Declassify in part and excise as shown EO 12958, 25X()()() ☐ Declassify ☐ After
	With concurrence <u>C5D</u> (not)(obtained

(b

•	(b)(1)	
US Forces		
Expected Percent Sovies		
Percent Soviet	H .	
ICBMs Destroye	d	

It is important to note that the Soviets could see the situation in much worse light, if,

(b)(1)

We have also calculated, per your subsequent request, the percentage of US survivors if the Soviets pre-empt against Minuteman. In these calculations, we assume a severe damage criterion for US silos and:

- US and Soviet forces constrained by the numerical limits of SALT TWO ;
 - All Soviet ICBMs are available for pre-emption against US silos;
- The Soviet attack minimizes warheads expended and preferentially attacks Minuteman III to maximize warheads destroyed; and

(b)(1)

The results of the attacks are as follows:

Percentage of US Minuteman ICBMs Destroyed in Soviet Pre-Emptive Attack

1978 1980 1985 (b)(1)

The table below summarizes surviving ICBM, SLBM and bomber forces after a pre-emptive strike by either side assuming the currently programmed US forces, i.e., MX is not deployed until 1986. The numbers assume that systems not on alert are destroyed. You should also be aware that (b)(1) attributed to

Soviet systems.

^{*} As of September 1978; all data are given for fiscal years.

** All damage accomplished by (b)(1) available MX RVs.

SECRET

	Pre-Attack			Surviving ICBMs, Bombers and SLBMs After a Pre-Emptive First Strike					
	Inventory		Generated			Day-to-Day			
	1978	1980	1985	1978	1980	1985	1978	1980	1985
US ICBMs SLBMs* Bombers Fac1 FB-111									
Soviet ICBMs SLBMs Bombers incl LRA Backfire					(b)(1)				

^{*} Phase out Polaris beginning in 1980 and bring in Trident in 1981. ** Higher alert rates after 1982.

if limited in SALT.

You also asked how much we could reasonably increase our ICBM throw weight under SALT TWO.

(b)(1),(b)(3):42 USC §2168(a) (1)(C)-(FRD)

Soviet ICBM throw weight is 8100 K lbs today, and is projected to be 10900 K lbs in 1985,

WALTER SLOCOMBE

Director

DoD SALT Task Force