## QUESTIONS TO BE DISCUSSED WITH SECRETARY OF DEFENSE, MILITARY COMMANDERS, AND UNITED STATES AMBASSADOR TO IRAQ

#### Casualties

- Do we assess that the rise in casualties is due to increased exposure because of changes in our operational posture? Or is it the result of a concerted effort by the enemy -- (b)(1) or other players?
- What specific adjustments might we make to bring casualties down? What would be the implications of these changes for our strategy?

### Baghdad Security Plan

- · Are we succeeding? If not, why not?
- What adjustments are we making in light of the present levels of violence?
- How much improvement can be achieved through additional forces

(b)(1)	ano	nov	r much	redarres	more:	reconciai	actonr	170	
					E50				
	Ιs	the	return	to viol	ence in	certain	cleared	sectors	of

Baghdad occurring after security is being handed over to ISF or while MNF-I is still in charge?

 Given the changing nature of the conflict in Iraq generally, are our present force levels sufficient to complete the mission and respond to contingencies? What do we have in reserve, if necessary?

#### Benchmarks

- What are the benefits and drawbacks of laying out a timeline for Iraqi assumption of responsibility?
- Does this approach further focus us on transition rather than

# on security and stabilizing the country? (b)(1)

#### Adjustments

 What adjustments to our tactics in pursuing our strategy have been most significant?

#### SECRET

Reason: 1.4(d)

Declassify on: 10/18/16