AUGUST 2004 STATUS OF FORCES SURVEY OF ACTIVE-DUTY MEMBERS: TABULATIONS OF RESPONSES

Introduction to the Survey

The Human Resources Strategic Assessment Program (HRSAP), located at Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC), consists of both Web-based and paper-and-pencil surveys to support the personnel information needs of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness [USD(P&R)]. These surveys assess the attitudes and opinions of the entire Department of Defense (DoD) community on a wide range of personnel issues. The Web-based survey program, known as the Status of Forces Surveys (SOFS), provides timely data on active-duty and Reserve component members, and DoD civilian employees. The paper-and-pencil surveys are used to obtain data on sensitive topics (e.g., sexual harassment) and from populations who have limited Internet access (e.g., spouses of active and Reserve members).

This report contains tabulations of responses from the active-duty SOFS Web survey conducted July 26 to September 2, 2004. This introduction (1) summarizes the survey content, (2) defines the total population surveyed and the subgroups used in tabulations of responses, (3) summarizes the survey methodology, and (4) provides details on how to use the tabulations. The tabulations and a copy of the survey items follow this introduction.

Survey Content

The topics covered in the *August 2004 Status of Forces Survey of Active-Duty Members* include those in the *Status of Forces Surveys of Active-Duty Members Long-Term Content Plan.* The long-term content plan is at page 351. As indicated in that plan, there is a series of items that is included on all active-duty SOFS. These items include overall satisfaction, retention intention, perceived readiness, stress, tempo, and permanent change of station (PCS) moves. In addition, items were included on the Global War on Terrorism (GWOT), details on retention, deployments, assignments, organizational commitment, satisfaction with aspects of military life, member's health, compensation, and tuition assistance programs. The survey was subdivided into the following 12 topic areas:

1. Background Information—Service, gender, paygrade, education, marital status, spouse employment status, race/ethnicity, dependents, and location (both geographic and on/off base).

¹ Details on survey methodology are reported by Riemer and Kroeger (2003) and DMDC (2004b).

² Refer to DMDC (2004a) to view a screen-shot version of the survey as it appeared on the Web.

- 2. Satisfaction and Retention Intention—Satisfaction with aspects of military life, overall satisfaction, likelihood to stay on active duty, and spouse/family support to stay on active duty.
- 3. *Tempo, Readiness, and Stress*—PCS moves, days worked overtime, time away from permanent duty station, the impact of time away on career intentions, individual and unit preparedness, and the level of stress in work and personal life.
- 4. Global War on Terrorism—Names of operations, time spent away, location of deployments, days spent in a combat zone, combat involvement, deployment expectations, and stop-loss.
- 5. Details on Retention—Terms of service, time remaining on current enlistment term, re-enlistment and monetary bonuses, likelihood to stay at least 20 years, total expected years of service, important factors in reasons to stay, steps to leaving the military, and primary activity after leaving the military.
- 6. Deployments—Member's original understanding of likelihood of deployments, number of times deployed in career, impact of hostile and non-hostile deployments on retention, and satisfaction of care of family while deployed.
- Assignments—Number of times stationed with and without their family overseas.
- 8. *Organizational Commitment*—Commitment to serve.
- 9. Satisfaction With Aspects of Military Life—Satisfaction with aspects of military life, current level of unit morale, and unit cohesion.
- 10. *Member's Health*—Level of alcohol and tobacco use, average days spent exercising, and self-reported weight (i.e., underweight or overweight).
- 11. Compensation—Present versus alternative retirement pay systems; present retirement package with health care versus alternative retirement pay; average additional monthly pay needed to go to a CONUS school without family, OCONUS dependent restricted tour, and operationally dangerous places like Iraq; level of special pay needed to take an assignment where deployments are likely and assignments with additional duties; average military earnings; usage of financial services; impact of eliminating "up-or-out" and implementing "up-or-stay."
- 12. *Transition Assistance Programs*—Availability of and likelihood of usage of transition assistance programs.

Population and Reporting Categories

The target population for all active-duty SOFS consists of active-duty members of the Army, Navy, Marine Corps, and Air Force, excluding National Guard and Reserve members, who (1) have at least 6 months of service at the time the questionnaire is first fielded and (2) are below flag rank. Results are presented for the total population, and also by a variety of reporting categories. To form the reporting categories for the tabulations, respondents are classified primarily by survey self-report. If the self-reported data are missing, then DMDC's Active Duty Master Edit File data, at the time of sampling, are used to impute the subgroup classification. Survey results are tabulated by: Service, paygrade, location, education level, race/ethnicity, family status, gender, officer/enlisted by gender, and Service by paygrade. Definitions for collapsed reporting categories follow:

- Service—The categories include Army, Navy, Marine Corps, and Air Force.
- Paygrade—The Enlisted subgroup includes all enlisted paygrades (E1 E9). The Enlisted subgroup is further broken out into the following groups: 3 to 5 years (i.e., first term), 6 to 9 years (i.e., second term), E1-E4s, and E5-E9s. The Officers subgroup includes warrant officers (W1–W5) and commissioned officers (O1–O6).
- Location—Geographic locations are collapsed into geographic regions as defined by the Department of Defense Worldwide Manpower Distribution by Geographic Area (DoD Washington Headquarters Services, 2001). The primary classification distinguishes Overseas from US (including territories). US (including territories) includes those respondents with permanent duty stations located in the 50 states, District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and United States territories or possessions. Within the Overseas classification, two regions can be reported separately: (1) Europe, which includes countries such as Bosnia-Herzegovina, Germany, Italy, Serbia, and the United Kingdom; and (2) Asia and Pacific, which includes countries such as Australia, Japan, and Korea. Housing locations are classified primarily for financial analyses based on whether respondents are provided housing directly or by allowance, rather than community analyses based on geographic location of the housing. From self-report data, On Base includes living in or aboard ship, in barracks/dorm/BEQ/UEPH/BOQ/ UOPH³ military facilities, or in on-base military family housing. Off Base includes living in military family housing off base, in privately owned or rented housing, or in privatized military housing. If the self-reported data are missing, then on base and off base are imputed from record data indicating whether the respondent does not or does qualify, respectively, to receive Basic Allowance for Housing (BAH) or Overseas Housing Allowance (OHA).

³ Bachelor/Base Enlisted Quarters, Unaccompanied Enlisted Personnel Housing, Bachelor Officers' Quarters, and Unaccompanied Officers Personnel Housing, respectively.



Figure 1.

Geographic Locations Used for Analyses

- Education—Respondents are classified based on self-reported educational attainment. No College includes anyone without some college credit. Some College includes those with some college credit, including a 2-year degree, but does not include those with a 4-year degree. Four-year Degree includes those with a 4-year degree and those with some graduate school, but no graduate or professional degree. Graduate/Professional Degree includes those with masters, doctorates, and first professional degrees (i.e., MA/MS/MEng/MBA/MSW/PhD/MD/JD/DVM).
- Race/Ethnicity—Respondents are classified based on self-reported categories that are consistent with requirements of the Standards for Maintaining, Collecting, and Presenting Federal Data on Race and Ethnicity (1997). Total Minority includes all persons marking one or more of the races other than White and/or marking that they are Spanish/Hispanic/Latino. Non-Hispanic Black includes persons marking only Black or African American and not reporting being Spanish/Hispanic/Latino. Hispanic includes anyone reporting being Spanish/Hispanic/Latino, regardless of how they answered the question on race.

- Family Status—Respondents are classified based on self-reported marital status, spouse employment, and legally dependent children (ages 22 and under). Except for Working Spouse, missing data can be imputed from record data if the respondents do not answer these questions. Single includes those who have never been married or are divorced/widowed and have not remarried. Married includes those who are married or legally separated. With Child(ren) includes those with dependent child(ren) aged 22 and under, regardless of where the child(ren) live(s). Working Spouse includes those members whose spouse is working as measured by the U.S. Census Bureau's Current Population Survey (CPS) questions, and those in military service. Dual Service Spouse includes military members married to (including separated from) another military member (active or Reserve components).
- Gender—This category is self-explanatory.
- Service by Paygrade—The categories include each of the Services by enlisted and officer paygrade groups.

Survey Methodology

The survey administration process began on July 12, 2004, with the mailout of notification letters to sample members. This notification letter explained why the survey was being conducted, how the survey information would be used, and why participation was important. Throughout the administration period, additional e-mail and postal reminders were sent to encourage survey participation. Data were collected on the Web between July 26 and September 2, 2004.

Single-stage, nonproportional stratified random sampling⁵ procedures were employed to ensure adequate sample sizes for the reporting categories. The sample consisted of 38,112 individuals drawn from the sample frame constructed from DMDC's Active-Duty Master Edit File. Members of the sample became ineligible if they indicated in the survey or by other contact (such as telephone calls or e-mails to the data collection contractor) that they were not on active-duty as of the first day of the Web survey, July 26, 2004 (.66% of sample).

Completed surveys (defined as 50% or more of the questions asked of all participants are answered) were received from 13,396 eligible respondents. The

⁴ Because there are no administrative record data for working spouses, this category cannot be imputed.

⁵ In stratified random sampling, all members of a population are categorized into homogeneous groups. For example, members might be grouped by gender and Service (all male Army personnel in one group, all female Navy personnel in another, etc.). Members are chosen at random within each group. Small groups are oversampled in comparison to their proportion of the population so there will be enough responses from small groups to analyze. Weights are used so that groups are correctly represented in the analyses.

overall weighted response rate for eligibles, corrected for nonproportional sampling, was 40%. Data were weighted to reflect the population of interest. These weights reflect (1) the probability of selection, (2) a nonresponse adjustment factor to minimize bias arising from differential response rates among demographic subgroups, and (3) a poststratification factor to force the response-adjusted weights to sum to the counts of the target population as of the month the sample was drawn and to provide additional nonresponse adjustments.

Table 1 shows the number of respondents and the portion of total respondents in each reporting group. Also shown are the estimated number of members and the portion of total members in each reporting group. Differences in the percentages of respondents and population for the reporting categories reflect differences in the number sampled, as well as differences in response rates.⁶

Tabulation Procedures

Tabulations for each presented question⁷ from the survey are shown on a set of facing pages. The text of the question and response options are shown at the top of the even-numbered page, with only the question repeated on the odd-numbered page. To compress the width of columns in the tables, the response options are shown with a number, letter, or with DNA (i.e., *Does not apply*) and then that number, letter, or DNA is used as the column heading for the responses. The central feature of the tabulations is the percentage of members choosing the response options indicated by the column heading. Within a set of response options, percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

Where an item lends itself to presentation as an average, that average is also shown as a number estimate and in a bar chart. The averages lend themselves to a quick scan for reporting groups that differ from other similarly defined groups. Where a true response continuum is available (e.g., number of children or nights away), the mean of that continuum is presented; in other cases, the responses are averages of the numeric scales presented with the response options. Where there is a simple dichotomous response like yes/no, only one percentage is presented. In this case, the bar chart represents that percentage.

On each page of tabulations, the first column lists the reporting group shown in that row. The second column, *Percent Responding*, lists the portion of the reporting group represented in the estimates in that row. In most cases, if this percentage is not 100, it is reflecting item nonresponse, and the table notes that "Percent responding are Service members who answered the question."

⁶ There is also a slight difference from treatment of missing data. Cases with missing data are included in counts of respondents but are excluded in population estimates.

⁷ Details of data editing and preparation are provided by DMDC (2004a).

Not all questions will apply to every respondent. Where possible, the Web survey is programmed to skip respondents over questions that do not apply to them. For example, Q31 (Where are you currently deployed?) does not apply to those who marked in Q30 that they were not currently deployed for 30 days or more. The notation to this question indicates the "Percent responding are Service members who answered the question and who were currently deployed for 30 days or more (Q30)."

The survey does not always skip questions that do not apply to the respondents. In the tabulations, *Does not apply* responses are typically included in the percentages in the *Percent Responding* column. For example, Q5 asked members to indicate how many years they had been in a relationship with their current significant other. Survey participants can indicate that the question does not apply. Q5 is also an example of where particular care has to be taken to interpret responses because Q5 was (1) within a skip pattern and (2) had an individual item response of *Does not apply*.

Combining Information From Multiple Items

Tabulations in this volume generally present data for individual survey questions. There are three types of exceptions. The first type of exception is where the results for multiple items are presented on a single set of pages, for example, number of dependents.

 In Q15, members are asked how many dependents they have in each of the three age ranges. For this question, the tabulations show the percentage of members with dependents in each age range. The averages shown on these pages are based only on those with at least one dependent and, therefore, show the average number of dependents for those who have dependents.

The second type of exception is where members can provide multiple answers to a single question (e.g., race).

• In Q17, members are asked to mark one or more races. The tabulations show the percentage who responded that they were White, Black, American Indian/Alaska Native, Asian, and/or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander. Respondents who indicated more than one race are also shown in the percentage of More Than One Race Marked. For example, if a respondent indicated they were Asian and White, they were counted in the percentage as Asian, White, and More Than One Race Marked.

Table 1.

Number of Respondents and Estimated Population by Reporting Categories

	Respondents			Estimated Population					
	Count		Percent	Totals		Percent			
OVERALL AND SERVICE							•	Max ME	
Total	13,396	100%		1,340,904	± 22,460	100%		IVIAX IVIL	
Army	4,051	30%		453,939	± 17,036	34%		± 1%	
Navy	3,558	27%		357,633	± 13,044	27%		± 1%	
Marine Corps	2,625	20%		166,044	± 4,502	12%		± 1%	
Air Force	3,162	24%		363,288	± 4,327	27%		± 1%	
PAYGRADE									
Enlisted	8,668	65%		1,123,966	± 22,327	84%		± 1%	
3 to 5 Years of Service	2,313	17%		304,370	± 13,808	23%		± 1%	
6 to 9 Years of Service	2,837	21%		184,474	± 6,066	14%		± 1%	
E1 – E4	2,697	20%		523,673	± 13,705	39%		± 1%	
E5 – E9	5,971	45%		600,292	± 19,332	45%		± 1%	
Officers	4,728	35%		216,939	± 2,126	16%		± 1%	
W1 – W5	779	6%		15,756	± 1,066	1%		± 1%	
01 – 03	1,896	14%		114,027	± 2,779	9%		± 1%	
04 – 06	2,053	15%		87,156	± 1,882	6%		± 1%	
LOCATION									
US (Incl. Territories)	10,983	82%		1,105,371	± 24,160	82%		± 1%	
Overseas	2,413	18%		235,533	± 10,667	18%		± 1%	
Europe	1,160	9%		117,770	± 8,038	9%		± 1%	
Asia and Pacific	1,141	9%		105,823	± 8,186	8%		± 1%	
On Base	4,122	31%		543,231	± 20,193	41%		± 2%	
Off Base	9,274	69%		797,673	± 21,539	59%		± 2%	
EDUCATION									
No College	2,171	16%		328,333	± 17,170	24%		± 2%	
Some College	6,417	48%		736,231	± 23,943	55%		± 2%	
4-year Degree	2,626	20%		166,503	± 8,690	12%		± 1%	
Grad/Prof Degree	2,182	16%		109,838	± 3,824	8%		± 1%	
RACE/ETHNICITY									
Non-Hispanic White	8,019	60%		831,915	± 21,922	62%		± 1%	
Total Minority	5,361	40%		507,442	± 12,768	38%		± 1%	
Non-Hispanic Black	2,467	18%		234,193	± 8,692	17%		± 1%	
Hispanic	1,742	13%		162,220	± 9,031	12%		± 1%	

Table 1 (continued)

		Respondents	Estimated Population					
	Count Percent		Tota	Percent		Max ME		
FAMILY STATUS								
Single w/ Child(ren)	951	7%	73,324	± 5,502	5%		± 1%	
Single w/o Child(ren)	3,238	24%	453,142	± 16,806	34%		± 2%	
Married w/ Child(ren)	6,845	51%	584,167	± 20,305	44%		± 2%	
Married w/o Child(ren)	2,362	18%	230,271	± 15,891	17%		± 2%	
Working Spouse	5,107	38%	462,089	± 18,402	35%		± 2%	
Dual Service Spouse	1,433	11%	113,719	± 8,046	9%		± 1%	
GENDER	_					_		
Male	10,778	80%	1,140,264	± 22,151	85%		± 1%	
Enlisted	6,832	51%	956,399	± 22,154	71%		± 1%	
Officers	3,946	29%	183,865	± 2,044	14%		± 1%	
Female	2,618	20%	200,641	± 3,334	15%		± 1%	
Enlisted	1,836	14%	167,567	± 3,299	12%		± 1%	
Officers	782	6%	33,074	± 675	2%		± 1%	
SERVICE BY PAYGRADE								
Army Enlisted	2,422	18%	378,750	± 17,047	28%		± 1%	
E1 – E4	773	6%	184,280	± 11,128	14%		± 1%	
E5 – E9	1,649	12%	194,470	± 13,879	15%		± 1%	
Army Officers	1,629	12%	75,189	± 1,291	6%		± 1%	
01 – 03	475	4%	35,045	± 1,309	3%		± 1%	
O4 – O6	513	4%	27,522	± 927	2%		± 1%	
Navy Enlisted	2,454	18%	306,421	± 13,050	23%		± 1%	
E1 – E4	669	5%	124,727	± 5,755	9%		± 1%	
E5 – E9	1,785	13%	181,695	± 12,672	14%		± 1%	
Navy Officers	1,104	8%	51,212	± 1,232	4%	i i	± 1%	
01 – 03	500	4%	28,033	± 1,519	2%	İ	± 1%	
04 – 06	562	4%	21,567	± 750	2%	İ	± 1%	
Marine Corps Enlisted	1,692	13%	148,133	± 4,484	11%		± 1%	
E1 – E4	613	5%	93,825	± 4,420	7%		± 1%	
E5 – E9	1,079	8%	54,308	± 2,019	4%	Ī	± 1%	
Marine Corps Officers	933	7%	17,911	± 557	1%	ii	± 1%	
01 – 03	413	3%	9,952	± 537	1%	i i	± 1%	
04 – 06	424	3%	6,436	± 453	0%	İ	± 1%	
Air Force Enlisted	2,100	16%	290,661	± 4,236	22%		± 1%	
E1 – E4	642	5%	120,841	± 3,370	9%	ī	± 1%	
E5 – E9	1,458	11%	169,820	± 4,071	13%		± 1%	
Air Force Officers	1,062	8%	72,627	± 1,035	5%	ī	± 1%	
01 – 03	508	4%	40,996	± 1,855	3%	li .	± 1%	
04 – 06	554	4%	31,631	± 1,388	2%	li li	± 1%	

The third type of exception pertains to the composite measures which on this survey include spouse employment, commitment, unit cohesion, and total military earnings.

- Spouse employment indicators are derived from Q6-Q12 and are modeled to be comparable to employment measures used in the U.S. Census Bureau's Decennial Census and Current Population Survey (CPS). Two sets of tabulations are presented. In the first set, labor force participation is shown for the spouses of those in the reporting category. The four categories contain percentages of (civilian) employed, unemployed, not in the labor market, and Armed Forces member. Those not in the labor market include those who are permanently or temporarily not working or not actively looking for work.8 The second set of data shows only those in the labor market. That is, the percent responding are married Service members who answered the items and whose spouses are in the labor market (civilian employed, unemployed but looking for work, and in the Armed Forces). The unemployment rates shown in these tables are the percent of those who need or want to work and are unemployed. These rates are comparable to those reported monthly by the Bureau of Labor Statistics based on the CPS data.
- In Q81, members are asked about their organizational commitment. Three measures of organizational commitment (Q81) are presented. Affective commitment is defined as an emotional attachment to, an identification with, and an involvement in, an organization (Q81a, b, e, h, j, and m). Continuance commitment is defined as an attachment based on the perceived costs associated with leaving an organization (Q81c, f, i, I, and n). Lastly, normative commitment is defined as a sense of obligation to remain in an organization (Q81d, g, and k). In the tabulations, individual items in this measure are presented first; following the items are three sets of tabulation pages showing the composite measures computed from the combined scale items. A lower score on these measures indicates less organizational commitment, while a higher score represents more organizational commitment.
 - In Q85, members are asked about their unit cohesion. For additional information on the unit cohesion measure, please see Siebold and Lindsay's (1999). In the tabulations, individual items for these scales are presented first; following the items is an additional set of tabulation pages showing the composite measures computed from the items.

⁸ Those who have not actively looked for work in the past 4 weeks are considered to be out of the labor market. This category includes some percentage of spouses who are in the midst of a PCS move and, therefore, only temporarily out of the labor market.

• In Q131, members are asked about their total military earnings. All parts of the question are combined and reported as an individual measure. This financial question allows the survey participant to answer by providing a total figure or a range. For instance, survey participants have the option of providing a specific dollar amount or entering a range of "at least \$____, but no more than \$____." In calculating the single measure, the total is used in place of the range if the total figure is greater than zero. When a respondent only provides the range, the average of the range is calculated and used as their response to the question.

Margins of Error

The complex sample design required weighting to produce population estimates, such as percentages. This also means that the typical rules of thumb for interpreting how good an estimate is, such as the number of respondents, will overstate the reliability of the estimate. For this report, variance estimates were calculated using SAS® PROC SURVEYMEANS.

By definition, sample surveys are subject to sampling error. Standard errors are estimates of the variance around population parameters, such as percentages or means, and are used to construct margins of error (i.e., confidence interval half-widths). Percentages and means in these tabulations are reported with margins of error based on 95% confidence intervals. In order to compress the data display, only the maximum margin of error (Max ME) for the percentages in the row is shown. That is, the margin of error is calculated for each response option percentage and the largest of these is printed. For each average shown in these tabulations, its margin of error is also printed.

Estimates may be unstable based on a small number of observations or relatively large variance in the data or weights. Particularly unstable estimates are suppressed or annotated in these tabulations. The following rules are used:

- "0" indicates that no one in any reporting group selected the response option,
- "NR" indicates the estimate is Not Reportable because it was based on fewer than 30 respondents or the relative standard error was high,
- "NA" indicates the response option was Not Applicable for the reporting category because it was not selected by any respondent in that category,
- no Max ME is printed when all percentages in the row are shown as "NR," and

⁹ This differential weighting means that only certain statistical software procedures, such as PROC SURVEYMEANS, correctly calculate standard errors, variances, or tests of statistical significance.

no margin of error is printed for an average when it is shown as "NR."

References

- Bureau of the Census. (2002, March). *Current Population Survey: Design and methodology* (Technical Paper 63RV). Retrieved May 31, 2002, from http://www.census.gov/prod/2002pubs/tp63rv.pdf
- DMDC. (2004a). August 2004 Status of Forces Survey of Active-Duty Members: Administration, datasets, and codebook (Report No. 2004-016). Arlington, VA: Author.
- DMDC. (2004b). August 2004 Status of Forces Survey of Active-Duty Members: Statistical design tables (Report No. 2004-017). Arlington, VA: DMDC.
- DoD Washington Headquarters Services. (2001, September). *Department of Defense worldwide manpower distribution by geographic area*. Retrieved October 20, 2001, from http://www.dior.whs.mil/mmid/ pubs.htm#M05
- Riemer, R. A., & Kroeger, K. R. (2003). *Statistical design of the Status of Forces Surveys of Active-Duty Members* (Report No. 2002-033). Arlington, VA: DMDC.
- SAS® Institute, Inc. (1999). SAS/STAT User's Guide, Version 8. Cary, NC: Author.
- Siebold, G. L., & Lindsay, T. J. (1999). The relationship between demographic descriptors and soldier-perceived cohesion and motivation. *Military Psychology, 11* (1), pages 109-128.
- Standards for Maintaining, Collecting, and Presenting Federal Data on Race and Ethnicity, 62 Fed. Reg. 58781 (1997).