KING AND	SECRETATION OF DEFI ASSISTANT TO THE SECRETARY OF DEFI 7200 DEFENSE PENTAGON WASHINGTON, DC 20301-7200	ENS	SE 55 8 202	O C
Chairman, Ir Old Executiv Washington,	ntelligence Oversight Board ve Office Building, Room 494 DC 20500			
Dear Mr. Ch				
through Mar Daniel J. Do	aent of Defense Intelligence Oversight Report for the ch 2001 is attached. All'Attached ill'Orto eputy General Counsel ATSD(IO)	he p	eriod January	
Attachment: As stated		•		
UNCLASSIFI CODEWORD	ed upon removal of attachments and physica s and caveats	ut. RI	BMOVAL OF	
	SECRECTORN/X1		EFF	

•

•

SECREDINGERMAN

Department of Defense Intelligence Oversight Report January – March 2001

- (6000) Significant Intelligence Oversight issues warranting SECDEF/IOB attention at this time: None.
- (FOCO) No significant Intelligence Oversight violations during this period. ATSD(10) monitored 27 cases; none require SECDEF/IDB attention at this time.
- (U) Intelligence Oversight Inspections:

(b)(1) Met with Ambassador and staff. (b)(1) did not have an Intelligence Oversight program until notified of our inspection; had developed a satisfactory program by the time inspection team arrived. (b)(1) remains a "hard target" for intelligence collection.

(FOCO) Air Force Intelligence Training School, Goodfellow AFB. TX. and Army Intelligence Training School, Fort Huachuca, AZ: A both schools, Intelligence Oversight training is incorporated into each course module and reinforced throughout the instruction programs. Result is effective initial training in Intelligence Oversight for our young Air Force and Army intelligence professionals.

(FOLGE) Air Force Inspection Agency (AFIA), Kirtland AFB, NM: ATSD(10) met with Commander and discussed AFIA Intelligence Oversight training responsibilities. AFIA will resume their compliance inspections, including Intelligence Oversight, of AF field agencies and direct reporting units after a year suspension while performing other directed missions.

(Investigations (AFOSI) Detachment and Naval Criminal Investigative Service (NCIS) Office, all located on Homestead ARB. OSI and NCIS units worked well together; both had satisfactory Intelligence Oversight programs.

(ECHO) Naval Air Station Key West, FL: Joint Southern Surveillance Reconnaissance Operations Center (JSSROC) had excellent Intelligence Oversight training program, complete with computer based training and on-line testing. Joint Interagency Task Force East (JIATF-E) had internal website with 21 Intelligence Oversight scenarios; excellent training vehicle.

SECTION AUTORNIX1

	S		FURN/X1			
(b)(1),(b)(3):10 U	SC §424					

n manage and name						
an and						
(b)(1)						
Garmisch, G	ermany: Th	shall Europe e purpose of i	an Center fo	o <u>r Security</u> Program is	Studies, to ensure	
Society at G Garmisch, G future leaders and applicabi proactive edu School, and th (follow-on to and staff men	ermany: The of emerging lity of Intellig cation progra- he new Wester the School of abers taught the enberg taught the rheijan, Bulg	shall Europe c purpose of t democracies gence Oversig m in concert m Hemispher f the America his elective at	this Outreach understand the ht. This is as with the Mars re Institute fo s). During the the Marshall	or Security Program is e concepts, complished hall Center r Security C is quarter, t Center to a	Studies, to ensure importance, through a the NATO boperation te ATSD(IO) tudents from	
Society at G Garmisch, G future leaders and applicabi proactive edu School, and t (foilow-on to and staff men Armenia, Aze	ermany: The of emerging lity of Intellig cation progra- he new Wester the School of abers taught the enberg taught the rheijan, Bulg	shall Europe c purpose of t democracies gence Oversig m in concert m Hemispher f the America his elective at	this Outreach understand the ht. This is as with the Mars re Institute fo s). During the the Marshall	or Security Program is e concepts, complished hall Center r Security C is quarter, t Center to a	Studies, to ensure importance, through a the NATO boperation te ATSD(IO) tudents from	
Society at G Garmisch, G future leaders and applicabi proactive edu School, and th (foilow-on to and staff men Armenia, Aze Ukraine, and	ermany: The of emerging lity of Intellig cation progra- he new Wester the School of abers taught the enberg taught the rheijan, Bulg	shall Europe c purpose of t democracies gence Oversig m in concert m Hemispher f the America his elective at	this Outreach understand the ht. This is as with the Mars re Institute fo s). During the the Marshall	or Security Program is e concepts, complished hall Center r Security C is quarter, t Center to a	Studies, to ensure importance, through a the NATO boperation te ATSD(IO) tudents from	
Society at G Garmisch, G future leaders and applicabi proactive edu School, and th (foilow-on to and staff men Anmenia, Aze Ukraine, and	ermany: The of emerging lity of Intellig cation progra- he new Wester the School of abers taught the enberg taught the rheijan, Bulg	shall Europe c purpose of t democracies gence Oversig m in concert m Hemispher f the America his elective at	this Outreach understand the ht. This is as with the Mars re Institute fo s). During the the Marshall	or Security Program is e concepts, complished hall Center r Security C is quarter, t Center to a	Studies, to ensure importance, through a the NATO boperation te ATSD(IO) tudents from	
Society at G Garmisch, G future leaders and applicabi proactive edu School, and th (foilow-on to and staff men Anmenia, Aze Ukraine, and	ermany: The of emerging lity of Intellig cation progra- he new Wester the School of abers taught the enberg taught the rheijan, Bulg	shall Europe c purpose of t democracies gence Oversig m in concert m Hemispher f the America his elective at	this Outreach understand the ht. This is as with the Mars re Institute fo s). During the the Marshall	or Security Program is e concepts, complished hall Center r Security C is quarter, t Center to a	Studies, to ensure importance, through a the NATO boperation te ATSD(IO) tudents from	
Society at G Garmisch, G future leaders and applicabi proactive edu School, and th (foilow-on to and staff men Armenia, Aze Ukraine, and	ermany: The of emerging lity of Intellig cation progra- he new Wester the School of abers taught the enberg taught the rheijan, Bulg	shall Europe c purpose of t democracies gence Oversig m in concert m Hemispher f the America his elective at	this Outreach understand the ht. This is as with the Mars re Institute fo s). During the the Marshall	or Security Program is e concepts, complished hall Center r Security C is quarter, t Center to a	Studies, to ensure importance, through a the NATO boperation te ATSD(IO) tudents from	
Society at G Garmisch, G future leaders and applicabi proactive edu School, and th (foilow-on to and staff men Anmenia, Aze Ukraine, and	ermany: The of emerging lity of Intellig cation progra- he new Wester the School of abers taught the enberg taught the rheijan, Bulg	shall Europe c purpose of t democracies gence Oversig m in concert m Hemispher f the America his elective at	this Outreach understand the ht. This is as with the Mars re Institute fo s). During the the Marshall	or Security Program is e concepts, complished hall Center r Security C is quarter, t Center to a	Studies, to ensure importance, through a the NATO boperation te ATSD(IO) tudents from	

-

1

- - -----

ļ

••••••

:

•





ASSISTANT TO THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

7200 DEFENSE PENTAGON WASHINGTON, DC 20301-7200



2 I NOV 2002

EFF

Chairman, Intelligence Oversight Board Old Executive Office Building, Room 494 Washington, DC 20500

Dear Mr. Chairman:

The Department of Defense Intelligence Oversight Report for the period January – March 2002 is attached. There were no significant Intelligence Oversight issues warranting IOB attention for this period and no significant Intelligence Oversight violations.

Daniel J. Bell'Orto Principal Deputy General Counsel

George ATSD(IO)

Aitachment: As stated

> THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED UPON REMOVAL OF ATTACHMENTIS AND PHYSICAL REMOVAL OF CODEWORDS AND CAVEATS

1.1

Department of Defense Intelligence Oversight Report January - March 2002

- (FOWO) Significant Intelligence Oversight issues warranting SECDEF/IOB attention for this period: None.
- (FOCO) No significant Intelligence Oversight violations during this period. ATSD(IO) monitored 25 cases; none require SECDEF/IOB attention at this time.
- (b)(1)
- DOBOD Intelligence Oversight Inspections and Staff Visits: The Office of the ATSD(IO) conducts Intelligence Oversight inspections of and staff assistance visits to DoD units worldwide. The inspections complement and are in addition to those performed by the intelligence agencies of DoD, Combatant Commands, and the Services. In addition, they provide insight into the effectiveness of Intelligence Oversight training programs throughout the DoD. The ATSD(IO) notes units with benchmark and/or innovative programs and shares this information during subsequent inspections and staff assistance visits. An example is contained in comments on the National Reconnaissance Office on page four.
- Pennsylvania, January 8, 2002: The ATSD(IO) and one staff member visited the Army War College to explore how Intelligence Oversight could be addressed within the professional military curriculum at the college. The Commandant, Dean, and faculty members agreed on the need to increase the intelligence focus and educate future senior DoD leaders in Intelligence Oversight principles and practices. The ATSD(IO) is exploring options to add Intelligence Oversight to the War College curriculum, including incorporating examples in AWC's global war gaming.
- (E) Staff Assistance Visit to Joint Task Force (JTF) Olympics, Salt Lake City, Utah, January 15-18, 2002: The Deputy ATSD(IO) and one staff member made staff assistance visits to DoD and National Guard activities that were supporting the Olympics in Salt Lake City, Utah. The team met with the Utah Assistant to The Adjutant General and the Special Agent in Charge of the Olympic Intelligence Center and discussed with them the DoD Intelligence Oversight program, A DoD National

Derived Franc NSA/CSSM Dated: 24 FEB 98 Declassify On: X1

EFF





SPC LONDAL

- Intelligence Oversight Staff Visit, Headquarters, National Reconnaissance Office, Virginia, February 2002: The ATSD(10) and two staff members conducted a staff visit to Headquarters, National Reconnaissance Office, Westfields, Virginia. The purpose of the visit was to meet with the new NRO leadership, receive an update on NRO current operations and future plans, and discuss Intelligence Oversight training initiatives with the NRO Intelligence Oversight Program Manager. NRO has developed several innovative Intelligence Oversight training programs including a computer-assisted program on CD and a lively training video that combines film clips and news broadcasts with practical examples of Intelligence Oversight issues. NRO is currently updating their E.O. 12333 training program.
- (FOLCO) Staff Assistance Visits, Shaw Air Force Base, Somter, South Carolina, March 2002: The Deputy ATSD(IO) and one staff member conducted staff assistance visits at Shaw AFB, Sumter, South Carolina. All USAF intelligence elements visited demonstrated compliance with DoD Regulation 5240.1-R and AFI 14-104, as well as an acceptable level of Intelligence Oversight awareness.
- (ECHO) Intelligence Oversight Inspection, Missile Defense Agency, Arlington, Virginia, March, 2002: Two OATSD(IO) staff members conducted an Intelligence Oversight inspection of intelligence elements of the Missile Defense Agency (MDA), Arlington, Virginia. MDA organizationally separates its intelligence and CI functions. Although neither the Intelligence Directorate nor the CI element had Intelligence Oversight programs before our inspection was announced, personnel demonstrated an adequate knowledge of Intelligence Oversight principles and no Intelligence Oversight violations were uncovered. The ATSD(IO) has sent a letter to the Director, MDA, informing him of the requirement for Intelligence Oversight programs for MDA intelligence and counterintelligence and that MDA would be reinspected in the near future.
- (ECO) Outreach Program in Intelligence Oversight in the Defense Department, George C. Marshall European Center for Security Studies, Garmisch, Germany, February 2002: At the invitation of the Director, George C. Marshall European Center for Security Studies, on February 1, 2002, the ATSD(10) gave a presentation on Intelligence Oversight in the Defense Department to the 85 students from Central and Eastern Europe attending the Executive Program in International and Security Affairs and engaged in a follow-on question and answer session. This was followed by a detailed training program to students from Bulgaria, Estonia, Georgia, and Lithuania. The success of this on-going program has led to requests from countries such as Romania and Croatia to DoD for assistance in establishing Intelligence Oversight safeguards in their own countries.

4

NOTE TOP 1.01 ASSISTANT TO THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE 7200 DEFENSE PENTAGON WASHINGTON, DC 20301-7200 OVER LIGHT MAR 28 2007 Chairman, Intelligence Oversight Board New Executive Office Building, Room 5020 Washington, DC 20500 Dear Mr. Chairman: The Department of Defense Intelligence Oversight Report for the period October-December 2005 is attached. Included in the Report are the results of the ATSD(IO) review of the National Security Agency process for releasing the identities of US Person. Also included are summaries of a number of Intelligence Oversight investigations and incidents that have been reported to us. lo 1 art Daniel J. Dell'Orto Dugati, iam R. Acting ATSD(IO) Principal Deputy General Counsel Attachment a/s THIS PAGE IS SPECIAL UPON REMOVAL OF ATTACHMENTS AND PHYSICAL REMOVAL OF CODEWORDS AND CAVEATS NOT TOL A RDI EFF

TODALERE NAR

Department of Defense Intelligence (Versight Report October-December 2005

ATSD(IO) Review of the National Sccurity Agency Process for Releasing the Identities of US Persons: In October 2005, the Acting Assistant to the Secretary of Defense(Intelligence Oversight) (ATSD(IO)) and a member of his staff completed an Intelligence Oversight Review of the process by which National Security Agency (NSA) releases the identities of United States Persons, minimized in NSA products, to intelligence and law enforcement consumers at their request. We concluded the process complies with Intelligence Oversight requirements. The United States Person identity release process starts with the USSID 18 policy that all United States Person identities in the first instance be "masked." "Masking" means NSA reports containing United States Person identities refer to them as "United States Person One" and "United States Person Two," etc. If the customer needs the identity of the United States Person to do his job, he must fill out a questionnaire, providing the rationale that would qualify as an exception to the masking process. To gain approval, the customer must have a need for-7(d)(3}-Р**)** 66 39 the identity for the performance of his official duties and must meet one of three approved criteria in USSID 18. The most frequently used criteria is Each request is examined individually and a decision made on a case-bycase basis. Approvals are made by the head of the Division responsible for examining the requests. The Director, NSA must approve releases for law enforcement purposes. The Director of National Intelligence must approve requests for release of names of (b)(1) **D**UCSTS Members of Congress. The responsible NSA office receives (b)(3)-P . 88-36 Requests are prioritized We made a recommendation to the responsible NSA office to revise the NSA Identity Release Request form found on JWICS to make the request process more intuitive and it was accepted. (6)(3)-P.L. 86-35 • (U) Improper Collection and Retention of US Person Information at the United States Alaskan Command, Anchorage Alaska: During an Intelligence Dyersight inspection of intelligence units assigned to the US Alaskan Command (All, OM), inspectors from the OATSD(IO), discovered material which specifically identified a US Person organization that the Command believed was planning to take partial demonstrations at Elmendorf AFB and I't Greely, Alaska, in an archive file of the Joint Task Force-Alaska J2 (JTF-AK J2). The information in question had been downloaded from the Internet (NIPRNET), by the ALCOM Anti-Terrorism/Force Protection Officer (AT/FP), and disseminated by email throughout the Command. The JTF-AK J2 included the information in a briefing to the JZ and subsequently retained the information in their files. The ATSD(10) inspectors determined that collection and retention of this information constituted a possible Procedure 15 violation. Consequently, the ATSD(IO) Derived Fram: Multiple Sources Declassify On: 23 Mar 2031 EFF 10



inspectors formally notified the Joint Staff, Office of the Inspector General and requested a formal investigation. An investigation was conducted by the U.S. Northern Command IG (N-NC IG). Based upon the results of the investigation, JIF-AK, was directed to conduct refresher Intelligence Oversight training for all assigned personnel. The training will have emphasis placed on the proper use and understanding of constitutional constraints, and the laws and directives that govern the collection, dissemination and storing of sensitive information. Additionally, all JTF subordinate offices have been briefed about the related risk, sensitive handling and appropriate references associated with the use of Force Protection information in intelligence channels.

(U) DoD General Counsel Activity

(b)(1)

(U) On-Going Investigations by DoD Intelligence Components

• (FOUO) The Office of the ATSD(IO) continues to monitor a number of ob-going Intelligence Oversight (IO) investigations, incidents and activities that have been reported to this office for this reporting period. Notable among these reports are the following:





	TOP CANED	NORONA		
(b)(1)				
-				
	riousiy Reported Incidents			-
Army Criminal 1	Detaince, Shkin, Afghanistan (D nvestigation Command (CIDC) suntain Division, and an individ) investigation into a	llegations that a)	41
beat a prisoner a	t Shkin, Afghanistan. The final determined the allegations of a	CIDC investigation	report, dated 16	~,,
person in US cus	ady, and assault as unfounded	ia		
-(U) <u>Assault and</u> reported on a CII	<u>1 Mistreatment of Detainces, As</u> DC investigation into allegation	fghanistan (DAIG 04 18 of assault and mist	1-006: SAIG-10 reatricut against	
		·,		
	TOP STORET	NOFTOY	EFF	



interrogators, from A Company, 519th MI Battalion, Bagram Detention Facility (BDF), Afghanistan. In October 2005, CIDC notified SAIO-10 of its investigation results. CIDC determined that a detaince died, from blunt force trauma, while in BDF custody. The medical examiner classified the death as a homicide. CIDC substantiated the allegations that the subjects, at various times, assaulted and mistreated the detaince. However, the Staff Judge Advocate determined that there was insufficient probable cause to hold anyone criminally responsible. The investigation results have been referred to the Special Courts Martial Convening Authority, who has accepted jurisdiction.

TOPS

EFF

NO SPP SPEAR

Department of Defense Intelligence Oversight Report April-June 2005

• (BOCO) We continue to monitor a number of on-going intelligence oversight investigations and incidents that have been reported to this office for this reporting period. Notable among these investigations are the following:

-(EOF) Alleged Misconduct of a Military Intelligence Officer in Iraq (DAIG (5-025): The DoD Inspector General advised the Department of the Army Inspector General - Assistance Division (SAIG-AD) of allegations of misconduct against a Military Intelligence (MI) officer who was assigned to Iraq as the G2, 1st Armored Division (1AD). The officer was alleged to have committed adultery, struck subordinates, and engaged in improper intelligence collection operations. Simultaneously, US Army Intelligence and Security Command (USINSCOM) and the SAIG referred, for investigation to this office, allegations that 1AD personnel had been in contact with a possible terrorist group in Iraq, and may have created a Special Access Program (SAP) without proper authorization. Our investigation, conducted jointly with the Defense Criminal Investigative Service (DCIS), indicated that the 1AD G2 was also involved in these allegations. At our request, SAIG agreed to suspend inquiry into the original allegations pending completion of the joint ATSD(IO)/DCIS investigation.

(FOO) The joint investigation determined that IAD personnel were not involved in the creation of an unauthorized SAP, but confirmed that the IAD G2 had conducted unauthorized intelligence collection operations, had failed to register human intelligence sources, had maintained contact with a terrorist organization without authority, had knowingly disobeyed direct orders of two General Officers, and had knowingly lied to at least one General Officer regarding his activities. Upon completion of the joint investigation, the findings were provided to the SAIG for appropriate action.

NOF

EFF

19

Derived From: Multiple Sources Declassify On: 3 April 2030

TOP SHERE





-



VOP



INTELL OF MC

ASSISTANT TO THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE 7200 DEFENSE PENTAGON WASHINGTON, DC 20301-7200

Chairman, Intelligence Oversight Board New Executive Office Building, Room 5020 Washington, DC 20500

Dear Mr. Chairman:

The Department of Defense Intelligence Oversight Report for the period July-September 2005 is attached. We continue to monitor a number of ongoing Intelligence Oversight investigations and incidents that have been reported to us.

Daniel J. Dell'Orto Principal Deputy General Counsel William R. Dugan, Jr. Acting ATSD(IO)

EFF

19

Attachment a/s

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED UPON REMOVAL OF ATTACHMENTS AND PHYSICAL REMOVAL OF CODEWORDS AND CAVBATS

NO



Department of Defense Intelligence Oversight Report July-September 2005

• (U) <u>Acting Assistant to the Secretary of Defense (Intelligence Oversight) Testifies</u> <u>before Senate Judiciary Committee</u>: On September 21, 2005, the Acting Assistant to the Secretary of Defense (Intelligence Oversight) (ATSD(IO)) appeared, as a witness, at a Hearing of the Senate Judiciary Committee on Able Danger and Intelligence Sharing (Statement is attached). He appeared at the request of the OSD Office of Legislative Affairs and the DoD Office of the General Counsel and testified regarding the Department of Defense Intelligence Oversight Program.

• (DOO) Alleged Secret Establishment of a Special Military Intelligence Unit: On June 26, 2005 an article in the San Jose Mercury newspaper alleged that the California National Guard (CANG) had secretly established a special military intelligence unit that conducted surveillance on a May 2005 Mother's Day anti-war rally. By memorandum dated June 29, 2005, the ATSD(IO) requested the Army Inspector General (SAIG) to inquire into the allegations and provide findings and recommendations. The SAIG reported that the allegations were unfounded. The SAIG report found that the CANG Civil Support Division Domestic Watch Center, Joint Operations Center soil the CANG leadership maintained "situational awareness" through media reporting. The CANG indicated to the SAIG team that awareness of possible civil disturbance events was consistent with their state mission for response to quell civil disturbance and security. The SAIG's inquiry did not reveal indications of an intelligence program properties collection activity targeting the individuals or groups participating in the Mother's Day rally.

(I) The SAIG inquiry did, however, find infractions of Intelligence Oversight (IO) regulations that require separate National Guard Bureau action; e.g., the lack of an IO training program at the State Headquarters and the inadvertent inclusion of United States person information in several documents. The SAIG inquiry recommended that all CANG Headquarters officers receive initial IO training as part of their officer professional development to ensure leaders understand the legal limitations and processes associated with the collection, reteation and dissemination of US person information. The SAIG inquiry also recommended that the anti-terrorism/force protection (AT/FP) information fusion function be transferred from the J2 (Intelligence) to the AT/FP officer in the J3 (Operations). The J2 would continue to provide applicable AT/FP intelligence information that had a foreign nexus.

Derived From: Multiple Sources Declassify On: 23 Nov 2030

8FF



.	TOP SECRET	NOPORIN	(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36		
				(b)(3)-P.L	86-3 6 ·
(U) <u>Army Activities</u>	8	• Wayan a sa an			-
(b)(1)		an			
				•	*
	•				
				-	
	THE SIRE WIL	NONORN	3 EFF	22	

common a la Marannada la common a common a la com a common

OP SECRET NOPURN (FOUD) Navy Activity D. A Naval Criminal Investigations Service (NCIS) Headquarters review of a (b)(2) NCIS joint counterespionage operation revealed that an NCIS asset had conducted undisclosed participation in a US organization in violation of Brocedure 10 of DoD Regulation 5240.1-R and SECNAVINST 3820.3F. (b)(2) maintained that under its manuals, no prior authorization was required. This incident reveals differences that may exist among the operating guidelines of different Federal agencies regarding IO procedures and should be considered as part of any Federal inter-agency review of 10 issues. (U) Updates of Previously Reported Incidents and other Significant Procedure 15 Actions 計)(3) P.L 66 36 (b)(3)-50 USC 403-(b)(3)-18 USC 798-(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 after having determined that Intelligence Oversight findings, identified in the August inspection report, had been rectified. The NSA/10 determined that they had received adequate reporting feedback and that Intelligence Oversight processes were (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 documented to ensure program sustainability. T) Incident of Prisoner Abuse by DIA Employee in Afghanistan (Project 05-2566-MA-056): In April 2005, the DIA Office of Inspector General, reported on allegations of two incidents of prisoper abuse by a civilian (b)(1).(b) assigned to the (b)(1),(b)(3):10 USC §424 with duty in support of (b)(1).(b)(3):10 U\$C (b)(1),(b) Based upon the DIA investigation into the matter, the allegations were substantiated. The (b)(1).(b) resigned from his job rather than receive a letter of termination. PSEC NOPORN EFF 23



United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary

Page 2 of 2

1

PANEL III

Gary Bald Executive Assistant Director National Security Branch Federal Bureau of Investigation United States Department of Justice Washington, DC

William Dugan Acting Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Intelligence Oversight United States Department of Defense Washington, DC

L

. ...

http://judiciary.senate.gov/hearing.cfm?id=1606

EFF_{11/17/2005} 25

Testimony United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary Able Danger and Intelligence Information Stating September 21, 2006

William Dugan Acting Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Intelligence Oversight, United States Department of Defense

Statement of William Dugan Acting Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Intelligence Oversight Department of Defense

Before the United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary

September 21, 2005

Good morning Mr. Chainman, Senator Leahy, and Members of the Committee. It is my privilege to appear before you today. I am Bill Dugan. I am the Acting Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Intelligence Oversight (ATSD(IO)). I am here to discuss the Intelligence Oversight program of the Department of Defense.

I am responsible to the Secretary and Deputy Secretary of Defense for the Department of Defense Intelligence Oversight program. The purpose of the Intelligence Oversight program is to enable DoD intelligence components to effectively carry out their authorized functions, while at the same time ensuring their activities that affect United States persons are carried out in a manner that protects their Constitutional rights and privacy.

I've used the term "United States persons." It is an important one because it refers to more than just United States citizens. The term also includes lawful permanent residents, corporations incorporated in the United States (unless directed or controlled by a foreign government), and princorporated associations substantially composed of lawful permanent residents and/or U.S. citizens.

We operate under Executive Order 12333, "United States Intelligence Activities," which was issued by President Reagan in December 1981. The DoD implementing Regulation is DoD 5240.1-R, entitled "Procedures Governing the Activities of DoD Intelligence Components That Affect United States Persons." This DoD regulation was approved by the Attorney General and was issued in December 1982; these are the Attorney General approved guidelines for the DoD intelligence community regarding activities that affect United States Persons.

The Secretary of Defense established the prodecessor office to the Office of the Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Intelligence Oversight (ATSD(IO)) in 1976, to implement the original Executive Order on U.S. Intelligence Activities issued by President Ford. President Ford's executive order was issued in response to the investigations that revealed the misuse of intelligence assets, both DoD and nun-DoD, to collect information on civil rights protestors, anti-Vietnam war demonstrators, as well as community and religious leaders and labor leaders during the 1960's and early 1970's. What began as a force protection mission for DoD organizations, evolved, through dussion creep, lack of clear rules, and the lack of meaningful oversight, into an abuse of the Constitutional rights of United States persons by Defense intelligence and counterintelligence personnel. These matters were thoroughly investigated by the Congress, including this committee, in the 1970s - I am referring to the

http://judiciary.senate.gov/print_testimony.cfm?id=1606&wit_id=4671

investigations conducted by Senator Brvin, as well as Senator Church - the Church Committee - and Representative Pike - the Pike Committee. Since 1976, the ATSD(IO) has been charged with preventing a recurrence of these types of transgressions and we do this through dur Intelligence Oversight program which I will describe.

We are a very small office, by design; I have 10 personnel slots. We sit at the head of a proactive partnership with the intelligence staffs, Inspectors General, and General Counsel and legal advisors of the Joint Staff, Combatant Commands, Military Services, and the Defense intelligence agencies to include the National Security Agency, Defense Intelligence Agency, National Reconnaissance Office, and the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency in the management and direction of the DoD Intelligence Oversight program.

We seek to ensure DoD intelligence, counterintelligence, and intelligence-related organizations, as well as all intelligence activities performed by non-intelligence units, conduct their activities in accordance with federal law, Executive Order 12333, Presidential directives, and DoD directives, regulations, and policies. We place special emphasis on the protection of information on United States persons. Our second area of emphasis is on ensuring improper activity by intelligence personnel is identified, reported, investigated, and then action taken to keep it from happening again.

Each quarter we prepare the Defense Department's Intelligence Oversight Report. This report describes any significant Defense Intelligence Oversight issues that warrant the attention of the Secretary and Deputy Secretary of Defense and the President's Foreign Intelligence Advisory Board. To prepare it, we receive each quarter the reports of the Joint Staff, the Combatant Commands, the Military Services, and the Defense intelligence agencies. It is reviewed and signed joindy by the DoD General Counsel and the ATSD(HO) and then approved by the Deputy Secretary of Defense. The Quarterly Intelligence Oversight Report is then provided to the Intelligence Oversight Board of the President's Poreign Intelligence Advisory Board.

Personnel in my office also conduct intelligence overright inspections of DoD intelligence activities worldwide to ensure that DoD intelligence activities are conducted in accordance with law, executive order, DoD regulation and policy. We are assisted in this inspection process by the inspectors General of the combatant commands, the military services, and the Defense intelligence agencies.

I would like to describe how the process works regarding the collection of United States person information by DoD intelligence components.

First, no one in DoD intelligence has a mission to collect information on United States persons. What we have are missions such as foreign intelligence, counterintelligence, counterterrorism, Signals intelligence, and the like.

In the course of performing our mission, we run across or find information that identifies United States persons. That is when the rules in the DoD Regulation, DoD 5240.1-R, kick in. If the information is necessary to the conduct of the mission such as I just described, for example, counterterrorism, and if it falls within one of the 13 categories prescribed by the Executive Order 12333 and DoD regulation, then the intelligence component can collect the information. The 13 categories are:

L. Information obtained with consent.

2. Publicly available information.

3. Poreign intelligence,

http://judiciary.scnate.gov/print_testimony.cfm?id=1606&cwit_id=4671

·:.

EFF 11/17/2005 27

Page 3 of 3

4. Counterintelligence.

5. Potential sources of assistance to intelligence activities.

6. Protection of intelligence sources and methods.

7. Physical security. [with a foreign nexus/connection]

8. Personnel security.

9. Communications security.

10. Narcotics. [international narcotics activity]

11. Threats to safety, [with a foreign nexus/connection - such as international temptist organizations]

12. Ovorhead reconnaissance,

13. Administrative purposes. [training records - a narrowly drawa category]

If the intelligence component is unsure if the information they have obtained is proper for them to keep, the Intelligence Oversight rules allow them to temporarily retain the information for 90 days solely to determine whether it may be permanently retained.

Thus it is possible for DoD intelligence components to have information on United States persons in their holdings.

Finally, if an intelligence component is in receipt of information that pertains to the function of other DoD components or agencies outside DoD, such as the FBI, the intelligence component can transmit or deliver the information to them for their independent determination whether it can be collected, retained, or disseminated in accordance with their governing policy.

Thank you.

http://judiclary.senate.gov/print_testimony.cfm?id=1606&wit_id=4671

EFF 11/17/2005 28





ASSISTANT TO THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE 7200 DEFENSE PENTAGON WASHINGTON, DC 20301-7200

OVERSIGN

JUN 30 2008

Chairman, Intelligence Oversight Board New Executive Office Building, Room 5020 Washington, DC 20500

Dear Mr. Chairman:

The Department of Defense Intelligence Oversight Report for the period January-March 2006 is attached. There were no significant Intelligence Oversight issues warranting IOB attention for this period and no significant Intelligence Oversight violations.

Daniel / Dell'Orio

Principal Deputy General Counsel

liam R. Dugan Ir.

Acting ATSD(IO)

Attachment a/s

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED UPON REMOVAL OF ATTACHMENTS AND PHYSICAL REMOVAL OF CODEWORDS AND CAVEATS



P SEC NOPN Department of Defense Intelligence Oversight Report January-March 2006 (U) DoD General Counsel Activity (b)(1)(U) On-Going Investigations by DoD Intelligence Components • (ECOO) The Office of the ATSD(1O) continues to monitor a number of pr-going Intelligence Oversight (IO) investigations, incidents and activities that have been reported to this office for this reporting period. Notable among these reports are the following: (b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L.\\$6-36 (U) <u>NSA Activities</u> (0)(1) (0)(3)-13 USC 798-(0)(3)-50 USC 403 (0)(3)-50 USC 403 (0)(3)-P.L 86-36 (b)(1) (b)(1) (b)(b)(P.L. 86-38 (m 1 PL 86-38 X3+R:1. 86-36 2 Derived From: Multiple Sources Declassify On: 23 March 2031 NOP EFF 37





(U) <u>Improper Collection and Dissemination of U.S. Person Information by MP Personnel</u> (DAIG 06-019) US Army Intelligence and Security Command reported a questionable intelligence activity involving intelligence analysis assigned to the 902nd MI Group (MIG). The questionable intelligence activities concerned an article in the Wall Street Journal (WSJ) newspaper on the collection and dissemination of information concerning participants in a 19 March 2005 anti-war protest in Akron, Ohio. The WSI article affeged that analysts in the 902nd had, for weeks prior to the demonstration, downloaded information from the activitie Web site, intercepting emails and cross-referencing this information in police databases. The article also alleged that the 902nd MIC provided a two page alert to the Akron Police Department and that the Akron protest was one of seven others monitored by the Army that month that turned out to be nonviolant. Finally, the WSJ report stated that the 902nd MIG produced reports on seven other potests and used "data-analysis techniques to look for signs of hidden coordination between the. protests." INSCOM is conducting an investigation into the allegations.

-(U) Improper Collection and Dissemination of US Person Information by MI Personnel (DAIG 06-012) On 2 March 2006, during a SAIG-IO inspection of the Headquarters, US Army Porces Northern Command (ARNORTH) (5th US Army), Fort Sam Houston, Texes, inspectors discovered US person force protection information in a G2 ARNORTH intelligence briefing. The briefing, which was presented by the G2 to the CG ARNORTH on 21 February 2006, contained identities of US persons, including a white supremacist group, and their planned domestic activities. An intelligence summary from the PBI was cited as the source. The group and their planned activities did not involve a foreign nexus. G2 attributed the violation to ARNORTH's immisture structure and the staff's vague missions and functions. SAIO-IO is coordinating with the Inspector General and the G2 to determine what corrective actions were taken.

Updates of Previously Reported Incidents

(b)(1)

••(U) <u>Allogation of Detainee Abase by MI Personnel in Shkin, Afghanistan (DAIG 05-013)</u>: In January 2004, the SAIG-IO reported on allegations that a MI Captala, 10th Mountain Division, and an individual assumed to be a US Army Contractor, abused a prisoner at a detention facility in Shkin, Afghanistan. CID investigated the case and determined the allegations of assault and maltreatment of the prisoner were unfounded.

- 39



--(U) <u>Database Checks on the Foreign National Relatives of an Army G2 Employee</u> (<u>DAIG 06-001</u>): On 17 October 2005, INSCOM reported the Questionable Intelligence Activity of multiple MI officers. Allegedly, on 11 October 2005, officials in Army G2 requested INSCOM G3 conduct "database checks" on an Army G2 US person employee's foreign national relatives living in Bgypt. Because G2 officers indicated that the database checks were conducted at the direction of senior officials, the matter was referred to SAIG-Investigations (SAIG-IN). SAIG-IN concluded that the Army G2 has legitimate access to personnel security records and has the anthority to grant, deny or revoke security clearances. Additionally, INSCOM has a lawful mission to collect information and maintain databases on foreign threats. Therefore, the information concerning the employee and her foreign relatives were appropriately collected (ensure the employee had no ties to terrorist). The case was closed without further action.

EFF

.40





INTELLIGENCA OVEREIGNT ASSISTANT TO THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE 7200 DEFENSE PENTAGON WASHINGTON, DC 20301-7200

Chairman, Intelligence Oversight Board New Executive Office Building, Room 5020 Washington, DC 20500

Dear Mr. Chairman:

The Department of Defense Intelligence Oversight Report for the period April-June 2006 is attached. The report contains summaries of questionable intelligence activities and Intelligence Oversight issues that were reported to the Office of the Assistant to the Secretary of Defense (Intelligence Oversight) this quarter.

Daniel J. Dell'Orto Principal Deputy General Counsel

1978 Acting ATSD(IO)

EFF

41

Attachment a/s

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED UPON REMOVAL OF ATTACHMENTS AND PHYSICAL REMOVAL OF CODRWORDS AND CAVEATS

(J) Derig the quarter, the office of the ATSD(10) conducted Intelligence Oversight (J) During the quarter, the office of the ATSD(10) conducted Intelligence Oversight (O) Interpetitions and staff assistance visits at DoD intelligence units and organizations at Guaranamo Cube, Soto Cano and Tegucigalea, Honduras, Sarajevo, Bosaie-Herzegovina, Pristina, Kosovo, Camo Bonduratel, Sarajevo, Bosaie-Herzegovina, Pristina, Kosovo, Camo Bonduratel, Kosovo, Anaza, Turkey, Interitik, AB, Turkey and the (100:10:00 USC 6423 (D) Just of the quarter, the office of the ATSD(10) uses for the staff activity programs and their personnel demonstrated a knowledge and awareness of 10 policy and procedures. (U) DoD General Counsel Activity (D) DoD Intelligence Components have reported to the ATSD(10) pm a number of Intelligence Oversight investigationa, incidents and activities for this reporting period. (N) Nstable Resorting From DoD Intelligence Components (D) DoD Intelligence Components have reported to the ATSD(10) pm a number of Intelligence Corresight investigations, incidents and activities for this reporting period. (N) Nst Activities (D) Nst Activities (D) Staffed By: Multiple Sources Declassify On: 20291123 (D) Staffed By: Multiple Sources (D) Staffer By: Multiple Sources			
Department of Defease Intelligence Oversight Report April - June 2006 (U) ATSDIG) Activities -(U) During the quarter, the office of the ATSD(IO) conducted Intelligence Oversight (O) inspections and staff assistance visits at DoD intelligence units and organizations at Guartanamo Cuba, Soto Cano and Teguoigalpa, Honduras, Sarajevo, Basais- Herragovina, Pristina, Kosovo, Camp Bondinete, Kosovo, Aakaza, Turkov, Zmit AB, Turkey, Incirit, AB, Turkey and their personnel demonstrated a knowledge and awareness of IO policy and procedures. (U) DoD Genetal Counsel Activity (D) 100 Distelligence Components -(D) DD Intelligence Components have reported to the ATSD(IO) on a number of Intelligence Oversight in revisitation, and activities for this reporting period. (U) Nable Reporting From DoD Intelligence Components -(D) DoD Intelligence Components have reported to the ATSD(IO) on a number of Intelligence Oversight in revisitations, invitients and activities for this reporting period. (U) NSA Activities (D) NSA Activities (D) NSA Activities (D) Assified By: Multiple Sources Declassify On: 20291123	DEP SECRET		
(U) During the quarter, the office of the ATSD(10) conducted Intelligence Oversight (IO) inspections and staff assistance visits at DoD intelligence units and organizations at Guantanamo Cuba, Soto Cano and Tegucigalpa, Honduras, Sarajevo, Boshis- Herzegovina, Pristina, Kosovo, Camp Bendsteel, Kosovo, Ankara, Turkov, Izmir AB, Turkey, Incirit, AB, Turkey and the (b)(3):10 USC \$424 (D) USC \$424 (D) Diversight in programs and their personnel demonstrated a knowledge and awareness of (D) DoD General Counsel Activity (D) Notable Reporting From DoD Intelligence Components (D) DoD Intelligence Components have reported to the ATSD(10) en a number of Intelligence Oversight investigations, incidents and activities for this reporting period. Notable among these reports are the following: (D) NSA Activities Classified By: Multiple Sources Declassify On: 20291123 (D) DAP Intelligence Sources Declassify On: 20291123	Department of Defense Intelligence Oversight Report		
(10) inspections and staff assistance visits at DoD intelligence units and organizations at Guantanamo Cuba, Soto Cano and Tegueigalas, Honduras, Sarajevo, Bosnie-Herregovina, Pristina, Kosovo, Camp Brodsteel, Kosovo, Ankara, Tutkov, zmit AB, Turkey, Incitik, AB, Turkey and the (0)(3):10 USC \$424 (11) USC \$424 All inspected units and organizations had assistance visits at Dopogenetic at how ledge and avareness of 10 policy and procedures. (12) DoD General Counsel Assivity Dol Intelligence Components have reported to the ATSD(IO) on a number of Intelligence Oversight investigations, incidents and activities for this reporting period. (10) NSA Activities (0) NSA Activities (10) NSA Activities (0) Notable Sources (10) NSA Activities (0) NSA Activities (11) NSA Activities (0) Notable Sources (12) NSA Activities (0) Notable Sources (13) NSA Activities (0) Notable Sources (14) NSA Activities (0) Notable Sources (15) NSA Activities (0) NSA Activities (16) NSA Activities (0) Notable Sources (17) NSA Activities (0) Notable Sources (18) Number N (0) Notable Sources (19) NSA Activities (0) Notable Sources (19) NSA Activities (0) Notable Sources (19) NSA Activities (0) Notable Sources (19)	(U) ATSDIIO) Activities		
Guantanamo Cuba, Soto Cano and Tegucigalpa, Honduras, Sarajevo, Bosais- Herzegovina, Priatina, Kosovo, Camp Bondreel, Kosovo, Ankara, Turkoz, Zmit AB. Turkey, Incitik AB, Turkey and the [0](3):10 USC \$424 [All inspected units and organizations had autisfactory IO programs and their personnel demonstrated a knowledge and awareness of IO policy and procedures. (U) <u>DoD General Counsel Activity</u> b)(1) (U) <u>Notable Reporting From DoD Intelligence Components</u> -(E000) DoD Intelligence Components have reported to the ATSD(IO) on a number of Intelligence Oversight investigations, incidents and activities for this reporting period. Notable among these reports are the following: (U) NSA Activities 			
>(3):10 USC \$424 All inspected units and organizations had satisfactory IO programs and their personnel demonstrated a knowledge and awareness of IO policy and procedures. (U) DoD General Counsel Activity (D)(1) (U) Notable Reporting From DoD Intellicence Components (D)(1) (U) Notable Reporting From DoD Intellicence Components (U) Notable among these reports are the following: (U) NSA Activities (U) NSA Activities (U) NSA Activities (D)(3)+1.883	Guantanamo Cuba, Soto Cano and Tegucigalpa, Honduras, Sarajevo, Bosni	ie-	
10 policy and procedures. (U) DoD General Counsel Activity (D) (1) (U) Notable Reporting From DoD Intelligence Components (U) Notable among these reports are the following: (U) NSA Activities (D) (3) = 0.123	b)(3):10 USC §424 All inspected units and organization	ions had	
(U) <u>DoD General Counsel Activity</u> b)(1) (U) <u>Notable Reporting From DoD Intelligence Components</u> -(E000) DoD Intelligence Components have reported to the ATSD(IO) on a number of intelligence Oversight investigations, incidents and activities for this reporting period. Notable among these reports are the following: (U) NSA Activities -(b)(3)+L a -(b)(3)+L as 35 Classified By: Multiple Sources Declassify On: 20291123 	satisfactory IO programs and their personnel demonstrated a knowledge an	d awareness of	
D)(1) (U) Notable Reporting From DoD Intelligence Components (E000) DoD Intelligence Components have reported to the ATSD(10) on a number of Intelligence Oversight investigations, incidents and activities for this reporting period. Notable among these reports are the following: (U) NSA Activities (U) NSA Activities (U	IU poitcy and procedures.		
(U) Notable Reporting From DoD Intelligence Components (D) DoD Intelligence Components have reported to the ATSD(IO) on a number of intelligence Oversight investigations, incidents and activities for this reporting period. Notable among these reports are the following: (U) NSA Activities (U) NSA Acti	(U) DoD General Counsel Activity		
Classified By: Multiple Sources Declassify On: 20291123	b)(1)	<u>† </u>	
Classified By: Multiple Sources Declassify On: 20291123			
Classified By: Multiple Sources Declassify On: 20291123			
Classified By: Multiple Sources Declassify On: 20291123	(U) Notable Reporting From Dail Intelligence Commonents		
Intelligence Oversight Investigations, incidents and activities for this reporting period. Notable among these reports are the following: (U) NSA Activities (U) NS			
Notable among these reports are the following: (U) NSA Activities (U) NSA Activities (U) NSA Activities (U) NSA Activities (U) (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1.8 (0)(3)-0.1	(FOO) DaD Intelligence Components have reported to the ATSD(IO) e	n a number of	
(U) NSA Activities		ing period.	
Classified By: Multiple Sources Declassify On: 20291123			
Classified By: Multiple Sources Declassify On: 20291123	(U) NSA Activities		
Classified By: Multiple Sources Declassify On: 20291123		the second secon)(3)-P.L.8
Classified By: Multiple Sources Declassify On: 20291123			
Classified By: Multiple Sources Declassify On: 2029J123		(6)(1)	
Classified By: Multiple Sources Declassify On: 20291123		/(0)(3)	
Declassify On: 20291123 (b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-38		II d at i dent	-50 USC - -18 USC 1
Declassify On: 20291123 (b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-38 THE SECRET NOR AN		(0)(3)	-50 USC - -18 USC 1
Declassify On: 20291123 (b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-38 THE SECRET NOR AN		/// (b)(3)	-50 USC - -18 USC 1
Declassify On: 20291123 (b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-38 THE SECRET NOR AN		(6)(3)	-50 USC - -18 USC 1
Declassify On: 20291123		/// (b)(3)	-50 USC - -18 USC 1
Declassify On: 20291123 (b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-38 THE SECTRET NOR RIV			-50 USC - -18 USC 1
(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-38			-50 USC - -18 USC 1
T SECRET NOP 209			-50 USC - -18 USC 1
			-50 USC - -18 USC 1
			-50 USC - -18 USC 1
			-50 USC - -18 USC 1
EFF 42	Declassify On: 20291123		-50 USC - -18 USC 1
	Declassify On: 20291123	(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-38	-50 USC -418 USC -9. L. 86-3
	Declassify On: 20291123	(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-38	-50 USC -418 USC -9. L. 86-3
	Declassify On: 20291123	(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-38	-50 USC -418 USC -9. L. 86-3
	Declassify On: 20291123	(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-38	-50 USC -418 USC -9. L. 86-3

	THE SECRETA	NOPARN		
				(D)(3)-PL 88
				(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 66=
	and all an and a second s			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		(b)(3)-P.L
Other Activities				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			- (0)(1)
				(b)(3)-P.L. (
			11	
(U) DIA Activities		ntinues to provide IO t (2).(b) received IO to	raining to (b)(2), raining prior to t	b) heir vided
-(U) The DIA Insp (b)(2),(b)(3):10 USC § deployments. In sc instruction on ((b)(2)		ntinues to provide IO t (2),(b) received IO to the DIA Office of Gene	raining to (b)(2), raining prior to t rai Coursel, pro	b) beir vided
(U) The DIA Insp (b)(2),(b)(3):10 USC § deployments. In sec instruction on (b)(2) (b)(2)		ntinues to provide IO t (2).(b) received IO to the DIA Office of Gene	raining to (b)(2), raining prior to t rai Counsel, pro	b) heir vided
(U) The DIA Insp (b)(2),(b)(3):10 USC § deployments, In se instruction on (b)(2) (b)(2) (b)(2)	ector General's Office on 424 This quarter (b) distont an attorney from 1	he DIA Office of Gene	ral Counsel, pro	b) heir vided
(b)(2),(b)(3):10 USC § deployments. In ac instruction on (b)(2) (b)(2) (b)(2) (U) Update of Prev	viously Report Question	the DIA Office of Gene able Intelligence Acti	ral Counsel, pro	b) heir vided
(b)(2),(b)(3):10 USC § deployments. In sec instruction on (b)(2) (b)(2) (b)(2) (U) Update of Pre- -(SANT) Unauthor (DIA/OIG Memo S	viously Report Question ized Participation in a (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c	the DIA Office of Gene able Intelligence Activ (1)	vities	vided to an
(U) The DIA Insu (b)(2),(b)(3):10 USC § deployments. In sec instruction on (b)(2) (b)(2) (b)(2) (U) Update of Prev (SIAT) Unauthor (DIA/OIO Memo S allegation of a ques and US Army perso	viously Report Question <u>ized Participation in a</u> (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c	the DIA Office of Gene able Intelligence Activ (1) oducted an IO investiga vity (OIA) involving pa	vities ation in response articipation of D	to an A
(U) The DIA Insp (b)(2),(b)(3):10 USC § deployments. In ac instruction on (b)(2) (b)(2) (b)(2) (U) Update of Prev (SIAT) Unauthor (DIA/OIO Memo S allegation of a quest and US Army perso	viously Report Question ized Participation in a (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c	the DIA Office of Gene able Intelligence Acti- (1) oducted an IO investiga vity (OIA) involving pa ed that DIA personnel,	vities ation in response aticipation of D Unc during the perio	to an A
(U) The DIA Insp (b)(2),(b)(3):10 USC § deployments. In additional instruction on (b)(2) (b)(2) (b)(2) (U) Update of Pre- (SATF) Unauthor (DIA/OIO Memo S allegation of a quest and US Army perso investigation report October 2004 throw (b)(1)	viously Report Question <u>ized Participation in a</u> (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c	the DIA Office of Gene able Intelligence Activ (1) nducted an IO investiga vity (OIA) involving pa- ed that DIA personnel, sipated in an unauthoriz	vities ation in response articipation of D The during the perio red (b)(1	to an A
(I) The DIA Insp (b)(2),(b)(3):10 USC § deployments. In sc instruction on (b)(2) (b)(2) (b)(2) (U) Update of Prev (SIAT) Unauthor (DIA/OIO Memo S allegation of a quess and US Army perso investigation report October 2004 throw (b)(1) (b)(1) regulations. The re	viously Report Question ized Participation in a (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c	the DIA Office of Gene able Intelligence Activ (1) oducted an IO investiga vity (OIA) involving pa- ed that DIA personnel, ipated in an unauthoriz [in violation of U ctivity, (b)(1)	vities vities ation in response ation in response ation of D I The during the perio ad (b)(1 S integligence	to an A d
(1) The DIA Insp (b)(2),(b)(3):10 USC § deployments. In action instruction on (b)(2) (b)(2) (b)(2) (U) Update of Pre- (SIAT) Unauthor (DIA/OIO Memo S allegation of a quest and US Army perso investigation report October 2004 throw (b)(1) regulations. The re- was not documents	viously Report Question ized Participation in a (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c	the DIA Office of Gene able Intelligence Activ (1) oducted an IO investiga vity (OIA) involving pa- ed that DIA personnel, ipated in an unauthoriz (in violation of U ctivity, (b)(1) (nor w	vities vities ation in response articipation of D The during the perio red (b)(1 S intelligence as it subject to a	to an A d
(U) The DIA Insp (b)(2),(b)(3):10 USC § deployments. In actinistruction on (b)(2) (b)(2) (b)(2) (U) Update of Prev (SIAT) Unauthor (DIA/OIO Memo S allegation of a quess and US Army perso investigation report October 2004 throw (b)(1) regulations. The re- was not documents counterintelligence Army Intelligence	viously Report Question ized Participation in a (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c	the DIA Office of Gene whe DIA Office of Gene whe Intelligence Acti- (1) aducted an IO investiga wity (OIA) involving pa- ed that DIA personnel, ipated in an unauthoriz [in violation of U ctivity, (b)(1) [nor w report concluded that p NSCOM) had particip	vities ation in response aticipation of D The during the perio and (b)(1 S intelligence ray it subject to a personnel from the ated in the activity	vided to an A d ue US ty
(U) The DIA Insp (b)(2),(b)(3):10 USC § deployments. In actinistruction on (b)(2) (b)(2) (b)(2) (U) Update of Prev (SIAT) Unauthor (DIA/OIO Memo S allegation of a quess and US Army perso investigation report October 2004 throw (b)(1) regulations. The re- was not documents counterintelligence Army Intelligence	viously Report Question ized Participation in a (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c	the DIA Office of Gene whe DIA Office of Gene whe Intelligence Acti- (1) aducted an IO investiga wity (OIA) involving pa- ed that DIA personnel, ipated in an unauthoriz in violation of U ctivity, (b)(1) nor w report concluded that p NSCOM) had particip- related that revelation of	vities ation in response aticipation of D The during the perio and (b)(1 S intelligence ray it subject to a personnel from the ated in the activity	vided to an A d ue US ty
(U) The DIA Insp (b)(2),(b)(3):10 USC § deployments. In actinistruction on (b)(2) (b)(2) (b)(2) (U) Update of Prev (SIAT) Unauthor (DIA/OIO Memo S allegation of a quess and US Army perso investigation report October 2004 throw (b)(1) regulations. The re- was not documents counterintelligence Army Intelligence	viously Report Question ized Participation in a (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c	the DIA Office of Gene whe DIA Office of Gene whe Intelligence Activity (1) intelligence Activity (1) intell	vities ation in response aticipation of D The during the perio and (b)(1 S intelligence ray it subject to a personnel from the ated in the activity	vided to an A d ue US ty
(U) The DIA Insp (b)(2),(b)(3):10 USC § deployments. In actinistruction on (b)(2) (b)(2) (b)(2) (U) Update of Prev (SIAT) Unauthor (DIA/OIO Memo S allegation of a quess and US Army perso investigation report October 2004 throw (b)(1) regulations. The re- was not documents counterintelligence Army Intelligence	viously Report Question ized Participation in a (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c	the DIA Office of Gene whe DIA Office of Gene whe Intelligence Acti- (1) aducted an IO investiga wity (OIA) involving pa- ed that DIA personnel, ipated in an unauthoriz in violation of U ctivity, (b)(1) nor w report concluded that p NSCOM) had particip- related that revelation of	vities ation in response aticipation of D The during the perio and (b)(1 S intelligence ray it subject to a personnel from the ated in the activity	vided to an A d ue US ty


have "severely exacerbated international tensions with (b)(1) and created a political embarrassment with our (b)(1) allies." The report made several recommendations to include a review of training and a clarification of authorities and requirements. The Army Inspector General (SAIG-IO) conducted its own idvestigation into the participation of INSCOM personnel.

(U) Army Activities

(U) The Army reported 15 new questionable intelligence activities for this quarter. Notable among them were:

--(U) Improper Collection of US Person Information (DAIG 06-017): On 1 April 2006, the G2, US Army Europe (USAREUR) reported the QIA of the Countering Terrorism Branch (CTB), G2-USAREUR, Heidelberg, GM. On 7 April 2006, the CTB produced a Current Threat Report (CTR) containing the identities of numerous US Persons with possible ties to terrorist groups and/or state sponsors of terrorism. The CTR was disseminated to multiple USAREUR consumers, including the USAREUR. When the QIA was discovered, the Intelligence Oversight Officer (IOO) trained the Chief of the CTB on Procedures 1-4, AR 381-10, and the authorized mission scope of the CTR. In addition, all CTB personnel received refresher IO training, and the 7 April 2006 CTR was rescinded. All USAREUR consumers were directed to delete their copies of the rescinded CTR

--(U) Alleged Improper Collection of US Person Information (DAIG-06-019): According to a 27 April 2006 Wall Street Journal (WSJ) article, "Pentagon Steps Up Intelligence Efforts Inside U.S. Borders," intelligence analysts assigned to the 902d MI Oroup (MKI), Fort Meade, MD, allegedly collected and disseminated information concerning participants in a 19 March 2005 anti-war protest in Akron, OH. The article specifically alleged that the MIG's analysts downloaded information from activits web sites, intercepted emails and cross-referenced the information with police databases. The MIG allegedly reported the planned protest to the Akron police who, in turn, "followed" the rally. The Akron rally was said to be one of eleven protests "monitored by the Army" in March 2005. On 28 April 2006, after conferring with SAIG-IO and the 902d MIG, INSCOM decided to include these allegations as part of an ongoing "special inspection" of the MIG's implementation and execution of the Threat and Local Observance Notice (TALON) program, which was initially reported as DAIG-06-009. An update to DAIG-06-009 is provided below.

--(U) Questionable Intelligence Activities of Individuals assigned to the 101st Airborne <u>Division in Iraq (DAIG 06-022)</u>: On 5 and 20 June 2006, SAIG-10 received numerous allegations concerning members of the 101st Airborne Division (101st Abh), 4th Infantry Division (4th ID), and Operational Detachment-Alpha 386 (ODA-386). Some of the allegations were non-IO related and they were referred to Assistance Division, US Army Inspector General Agency (SAIG-AC), for action/resolution as appropriate. However, several other allegations (outlined below) were considered to be QLAs requiring resolution in accordance with (IAW) AR 381-10. The QLAs were referred to the



EFF

appropriate commands and SAIG-IO continues to oversee the progress of the following investigations, being conducted under the provisions of AR 15-6:

(1) (U) Six of the allegations received on 5 June 2006, indicate that an infantry officer, serving as a Company Commander in the 101st Abn, and his linguist, conducted unauthorized source operations, coerced local nationals to serve as sources, forced sources to falsify sworn statements to easure convictions of alleged insurgents, conducted unauthorized and undocumented detention operations, and assaulted sources and detainees under their control. The allegations were previously reported to the officer's Battalion Executive Officer, but he failed to take appropriate action to resolve them 1A W AR 381-10. On 9 June 2006, SAIG-IO referred these allegations to the Inspector General (IG), Multi-National Corps-Iraq (MNC-I), for resolution.

(2) (U) On 5 June 2006, it was alleged that a Category-Hinterpreter, 4th ID, conducted interrogations without the presence or participation of a Military Intelligence (MI) officer. The interpreter and an Iraqi Army Officer may have also conducted interrogations without the presence of an MI officer. The unauthorized and improper interrogations may have been done at the direction of the S2, 2/9 Calvary Squadron and/or the Squadron Commander. The allegations were reported to the Squadron S2, but he failed to take appropriate action to resolve them IAW AR 381-10. On 9 June 2006, SA1G-IO referred these allegations to the IG, MNC-I, for resolution.

(3) (U) Also on 5 June 2006, it was alleged that the Team Leader and members of Tactical Human Intelligence Team 676 (THT), 101st Abn, might have falsified interrogation reports concerning the specific interrogation techniques employed during interrogation sessions. Specifically, it was alleged that they would use fear-up techniques (wearing red contact lenses and claiming to be possessed by Satan), yet they reported using different techniques. The allegations were reported to the Squadron S2, but he failed to take appropriate steps to resolve them IAW AR 381-10. On θ June 2006, SAIG-IO referred these allegations to the IG, MNC-1 for resolution.

(b)(1) Updates of Previously Reported Questionable Intelligence Activities (b)(1) EFF

NOPORN TOP SECRET/ (b)(1) ĺ 3 3 • : 5 TOP SPARET NOPORN ËFF 46 ;

NOF

(b)(1)

-- (U) Improper Collection of US Person Information, Miami, Fla (DAIG-06-009): On 5 January 2006, INSCOM notified SAIG-IO that on 9 November 2004 a Cl Special Agent (S/A) assigned to the 902d MIG, with duty at the Joint Terrorism Task Force, Miami, FL (JTTF-Miami) may have inappropriately collected and reported information about a group's (US-person) plan to exercise its constitutional freedoms of assembly and speech. The information was reported as a Threat and Local Observance Notice (TALON) and submitted through the 902d MIG to the Counter Intelligence Field Activity (CIFA) for analysis and entry in the Cornerstone database. The report described the gloup's plan to convene a meeting titled "Countering Military Recruitment, the Draft and Military Law." The report also described the group's plan to "hold a workshop and planning meeting to discuss countering US Military recruiting in High Schools, as well as ciforts to assist recruits in getting out of military contracts." On 15 November 2004, the S/A provided an updated TALON report describing the group's plans to set up "tables at the schools in order to perform 'surveillance' on Military recruiters while on campus." The TALON report did not indicate that either the group or its planned activities had a fpielign nexus. Throughout the report, the S/A generically referred to the subject entity as a "group" and a "US Domestic Protest Group." However, when reporting the address of the group's meeting venue, the S/A included the name of the meeting facility, which children the name of the group.

(1) (U) Until recently, the 902d MIG maintained a database of all or some of the TALON reports submitted by their S/As. The 902d MIG contends that the S/A's reporting was not a violation of AR 381-10, and his collection activities were consistent with the 902d MIG's expanded force protection collection mission, which they base on a 2 May 2003, Deputy Secretary of Defense memorandum, Subject: Collection, Reporting, and Analysis of Terrorist Threats to DoD Within the United States; and a 10 December 2004 Office of the Vice Chief Of Staff memorandum, Subject: Anny Implementation Onidance for TALON Suspicious Incident Reporting.

(2) (U) On 5 January 2006, the INSCOM Commanding General directed the INSCOM Inspector General to conduct a special inspection of the TALON reporting system in INSCOM, with the following focus: (a) evaluate the strengths and weakness of the existing system; (b) provide a detailed assessment of 902d MIG's compliance with TALON regulations, policies and procedures; (c) determine conflicts or voids in guidance



EFF



concerning the reporting, analysis, relention, and dissemination of TALON information; and (d) assess the policies and procedures for submitting TALON reports lirectly to CIFA without an intermediate review process by the chain of command.

(3) (U) On 30 March 2006, the Deputy Secretary of Defense (DEPSECDEP) established an unclassified interim policy memorandum concerning the TALON Reporting System, Subject: Threats to the Department of Defense (DoD). In the memorandum, the DEPSECDEF confirmed the reporting system should only be used to report information regarding possible international terrorism activities and the information should be retained in accordance with DoD 5240.1-R, Activities of DoD Intelligence Components that Affect US Persons, December 1982. SAIG-IO will maintain this case as open until the Deputy Chief of Staff-G2 publishes their implementing guidance and INSCOM publishes its special inspection results and corrective actions (as appropriate). The QIA described in DAIG 06-019 is also being reviewed as part of the INSCOM special inspection.

-(U) <u>Alleged Detainee Abuse, Bagram Detention Facility (DAIG-04-006</u>: In December 2003, Criminal Investigation Command Division (CID) reported a QIA involving military intelligence (MI) interrogators assigned to A Company, 519th MI Battalion, Bagram Detention Facility (BDF), Afghanistan; and supporting Military Police (MP) assigned to 377th Military Police Company, US Army Reserve, BDF. Allegedly, in December 2002, a number of MI and MP soldiers assaulted and mistreated detainees, resulting in the death of one detainee. CID conducted a criminal investigation into the matter and concluded that the subjects assaulted and mistreated the detainee, and that the detainee died while in BDF custody. The medical examiner classified the detain as a homicide and the cause of death as blunt force trauma. However, the supporting Staff Judge Advocate (SJAG) opiced that there was insufficient probable cause to bold anyone criminally responsible for the death of the detainee. As a result of the SJAG opinion, numerous soldiers were titled with various offenses, including making false official statements, dereliction of duty, and assault and battery. The resulting penalties are as follows:

(1) (U) There was insufficient cause to punish the Officer in-Charge of the BDF interrogetors.

(2) (U) The NCOIC of the BDF interrogators has separated from service. As a result, the case was referred to the Department of Justice for their action.

(3) (U) One MI Soldier is currently pending Court-Martial proceedings for dereliction of duty and assault consummated by battery.

(4) (U) One MP was convicted at a General Court-Martial for aggravated assault, maltreatment, maiming, and false statement. He was acquitted of three assault charges and was reduced to EI.

(5) (U) Three MPs were acquitted.



EFF



(6) (U) Four MPs received letters of reprimand.

(7) (U) One MP pled guilty at a Special Court-Martial to assault and false official statement. The Soldier was reduced to El, forfeited of 2/3 pay for four months, and was confined for four months.

(8) (11) One MP pled guilty at a Special Court-Martial to assault consummated by battery and dereliction of duty. The Soldier was reduced to El, confined for 75 days, and sentenced to be discharged from service with a Bad Conduct Discharge.

-(U) <u>Alleged Detrines Abuse</u>, <u>Qaim Iraq (DAIG-04-007)</u>: In December 2003, CID reported the QIA of soldiers deployed in Qaim, Iraq. On 23 November 2003, a detainer in US custody, died while being interrogated by MI personnel. The CID investigation identified three MI and five non-MI personnel as subjects. In the investigation; all of the alleged abusive acts were directly related to an intelligence function (intelligence interrogation), and therefore reportable under the provisions of Procedure 15, AR 381-10. Punishments against the charged MI and MP personnel are as follows:

(1) (U) A MI warrant officer was convicted at a General Court-Martial of negligent homicide and negligent dereliction of duty. He was sentenced to four months conlinement, restriction for 60 days, and forfeiture of \$1500 for four months.

(2) (U) A MI warrant officer received an Article 15, UCNEL

(3) (U) One MI Senior NCO received a letter of reprimand

(4) (U) Punishment under Article 15, UCMJ, is pending against one non-MI Soldier.

(5) (U) No action was taken against one non-MI warrant officer, two non-MI NCOs, and one non-MI civilian

--(U) Alleged Detainee Abuse, Camp Sykes, Iraq (DAIG-05-034): On 28 July 2005, INSCOM reported that a Warrant Officer assigned to the 287th MI Battahon allegedly kicked a detaince during pre-screening activities at Camp Sykes (Tall Afar), Iraq. Concerns of combat related stress were expressed by the Warrant Officer's command. Reportedly, the Warrant Officer was placed on administrative duties and would not likely return to interrogation' duties with his team. The Warrant Officer and his team received combat stress counseling and the command provided refresher training on interrogation rules. After conducting an investigation into the incident, in October 2005, CID notified the SAIG-IO that the detainee abuse allegation was substantiated and their case was closed. CID's investigative results were forwarded to the command for action. The command issued the Warrant Officer a letter of reprint of his abusive actions.



EFF

1724 IRN



DALE NO.

ASSISTANT TO THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE 7200 DEFENSE PENTAGON WASHINGTON, DC 20301-7200

Chairman, Intelligence Oversight Board New Executive Office Building, Room 5020 Washington, DC 20500

Dear Mr. Chairman:

The Department of Defense Intelligence Oversight Report for the period January-March 2006 is attached. There were no significant Intelligence Oversight issues warranting IOB attention for this period and no significant Intelligence Oversight violations.

Daniel J. Dell'Orto Principal Deputy General Counsel William R. Dugan, Jr. Acting ATSD(IO)

Attachment a/s

IVIIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED UPON REMOVAL OF ATTACHMENTS AND PHYSICAL REMOVAL OF CODEWORDS AND CAVEATS

EFF

58

1

ł



Other Activities 0/1) 0/3)-50.0 0/3)-50.0 0/3)-51.8 0/3)-71.8 (U) DIA (S)(F) ^(b) (1) (b)(1) (S)(F) ^(b) (1)	(U) DIA -(Stee (C)) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) (D)(1) ((U) DIA (U) DIA (U) DIA (U) DIA (U) DIA (b)(1) (b)(1) (b)(1) (b)(1) (b)(1) (b)(1) (b)(1) (b)(1) (b)(1) (b)(1) (b)(1) (b)(1) (b)(1) (b)(1) (b)(1) (b)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (JUP SECRET. NOTORN	(b)(1) (b)(3)-50 USC (b)(3)-18 USC (b)(3)-P.L. 86-3
(\$**1:)(b)(1)	(51.51) (b)(1) (b)(1) (b)(1) (b)(1) (b)(1) (b)(1) (b)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1) ((b)(1) (c)(1) (c)(1	Other Activities		(3)-50 U (5)(3)-16 U
	The version of the serving in his capacity as (b)(1).(b)(3):10 USC §424 The Department of State and the Army Criminal Investigation Command (CID) are investigating this matter and have coordinated with the U.S. Attorney's Office, which has accepted prosecutorial responsibility.	 There engaged in questionable intelligence activities consisting of visa fraid and bribery, while serving in his capacity as (b)(1).(b)(3):10 USC §424 The Department of State and the Army Criminal Investigation Command (CID) are investigating this matter and have coordinated with the U.S. Attorney's Office, which has accepted prosecutorial responsibility. (U) <u>Army Activities</u> -(U) <u>Maltreatment of a Foreign Detaince by Military Intelligence Personnec. Baghram.</u> <u>Afghanistan (DAIG 06-013)</u> The Office of the Army General Counsel provided Army IG (SAIG-IO) with a report on an on-going CID investigations into allegations that four Military Intelligence (MI) persuanel, assigned to Alpha Company, 519th Mil Battalion, Baghram Collection Point, Afghanistan, committed acts of "assault" and 'maltreatment of a person in US custody." In addition, the Office-in-Charge for the Collection Point is being investigated for "maltreatment of a person in US custody." The Noncommissioned Officer-in-Charge for the Collection Point, is being investigated for "deteliction of duty and conspiracy." The victim was an Afghan 	(S/(1))(b)(1)		



(U) Improper Collection and Dissemination of U.S. Person Information by MI Personnel (DAIG 06-019) US Army Intelligence and Security Command reported a questionable intelligence activity involving intelligence analysts assigned to the 902nd MI Group (MIG). The questionable intelligence activities concerned an article in the Wall Street Journal (WSJ)newspaper on the collection and dissemination of information concerning participants in a 19 March 2005 anti-war protest in Akron, Ohio. The WSJ article alleged that analysts in the 902nd had, for weeks prior to the demonstration, downloaded information from the activist Web site, intercepting emails and cross-referencing this information in police databases. The article also alleged that the 902nd MIG provided a two page alert to the Akron Police Department and that the Akron protest was one of seven others monitored by the Army that month that turned out to be nonviolent. Finally, the WSJ report stated that the 902nd MIG produced reports on seven other protests and used "data-analysis techniques to look for signs of hidden coordination between the. protests." INSCOM is conducting an investigation into the allegations.

--(U) Improper Collection and Dissemination of US Person Information by MI Personnel (DAIG 06-012) On 2 March 2006, during a SAIG-IO inspection of the Headquarters, US Army Forces Northern Command (ARNORTH) (5th US Army), Fort Sam Houston, Texas, inspectors discovered US person force protection information in a G2 ARNORTH intelligence briefing. The briefing, which was presented by the G2 to the CG-ARNORTH on 21 February 2006, contained identities of US persons, including a white supremacist group, and their planned domestic activities. An intelligence summary from the FBI was cited as the source. The group and their planned activities dd not involve a foreign nexus. G2 attributed the violation to ARNORTH's immature structure and the staff's vague missions and functions. SAIG-IO is coordinating with the Inspector General and the G2 to determine what corrective actions were taken.

Updates of Previously Reported Incidents

(b)(1)

--(U) Allegation of Detainee Abuse by MI Personnel in Shkin, Afghanistar (DAIG 05-013): In January 2004, the SAIG-IO reported on allegations that a MI Captain, 10th Mountain Division, and an individual assumed to be a US Army Contractor, abused a prisoner at a detention facility in Shkin, Afghanistan. CID investigated the case and determined the allegations of assault and maltreatment of the prisoner were unfounded.

EFF



--(U) <u>Database Checks on the Foreign National Relatives of an Army G2 Employee</u> (<u>DAIG 06-001</u>): On 17 October 2005, INSCOM reported the Questionable Intelligence Activity of multiple MI officers: Allegedly, on 11 October 2005, officials in Army G2 requested INSCOM G3 conduct "database checks" on an Army G2 US person employee's foreign national relatives living in Egypt. Because G2 officers indicated that the database checks were conducted at the direction of senior officials, the matter was referred to SAIG-Investigations (SAIG-IN). SAIG-IN concluded that the Army G2 has legitimate access to personnel security records and has the authority to grant, deny or revoke security clearances. Additionally, INSCOM has a lawful mission to collect information and maintain databases on foreign threats. Therefore, the information concerning the employee and har foreign relatives were appropriately collected (ensure the employee had no ties to terrorist). The case was closed without further action.



EFF

NDEPN TOP ASSISTANT TO THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE 7200 DEFENSE PENTAGON WASHINGTON, aC 20301-7200 OVERAIGHT MAR 30 209 Chairman, Intelligence Oversight Board New Executive Office Building, Room 5020 Washington, DC 20500 Dear Mr. Chairman: The Department of Defense Intelligence Oversight Report for the period July-September 2006 is attached. The report contains summaries of questionable intelligence activities and Intelligence Oversight issues that were reported to the Office of the Assistant to the Secretary of Defense (Intelligence Oversight) this quarter. ÷ 1) all the Daniel J. Dell'Orto 'illiam R Principal Deputy General Counsel Acting ATSD(IO) Attachment a/s THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFTED UPON REMOVAL OF ATTACHMENTS AND PHYSICAL REMOVAL OF CODEWORDS AND CAVEATS • . TOP NOF EFF 63



Department of Defense Intelligence Oversight Report July-September 2006

(U) ATSD(IO) Activities

-(U) During the quarter, the Office of the ATSD(IO) conducted intelligence Oversight (IO) inspections and staff assistance visits at DoD intelligence units and organizations in Afghanistan, and the Horn of Africa and (b)(3):10 USC 424

(b)(3):10 All inspected units and organizations had satisfactory IO programs and their personnel demonstrated a knowledge and awareness of IO policy and procedures.

(U) DoD General Counsel Activity



-(FOUO) DoD Intelligence Components have reported to the ATSD(IO) on a number of Intelligence Oversight activities, investigations, and incidents for this reporting period. Notable among these reports are the following:



ì TOP STORET NOTES (b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 85-36 b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 NSA/IG Inspection (b)(1) (b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 66-36 (U) DIA Activities (b)(2),(b)(3):10 USC §424 (This quarter (b)(2),(b) (b)(2),(b)(3):10 USC §424 This quarter, (b)(2),(b) received IO training prior to their deployments. In addition, an attorney from the DIA Office of General Counsil provided instruction on (b)(2) All - JAN. MAR 2007 All - JAN. MAR 2007 Perfortfor 40000, ot 1/1000 (b)(2) (b)(2) (U) Army Activities Questionable Intelligence Activities of Individuals Assigned to the 10. Jivision in Iraq (DAIG 06-022) UPDATE: As reported in the June -Septen Quarterly Report, the Army is currently investigating numerous allegations c. questionable intelligence activity (QIA) concerning members of the 101st Airborne Division. The on-going investigations have yielded evidence to support the following allegations: unauthorized source operations by non MI soldiers and interpreters (b)(1) (b)(1),(b)(2) unauthorized sources may have used threats of torture to extract confessions; unauthorized use of captured equipment to support unauthorized source operations; unauthorized detention, interrogation and prisoner transfer operations; and unauthorized fear-up approached during interrogations. The investigations are on-going. -(U) Alleged Misuse of Intelligence Contingency Funds (DAIG-06-029) On 23 March 2006, the DoD Inspector General (DoD/IG) received an anonymous complaint concerning a Military Intelligence (MI) officer's alleged misconduct in the performance of his intelligence duties while he was assigned to the US Army Task Force (TF) in 2 TOPSTORET NOP EFF 65



Kosovo. On 15 June 2006, the DoD/IG referred the case to the Assistance Division, US Army Inspector General Agency (SAIG-AC). On or about 17 July 2006, after notifying the Intelligence Oversight Division (SAIG-IO), SAIG-AC further referred the case to the TF Inspector General for resolution. The solient points of the Questionable Intelligence Activity (QIA) allegations and status of the investigation are provided below:

(1)(U) An MI officer assigned as the TF Counterintelligence Coordinating Authority (TFCICA), Kosovo, was responsible for accountability of TF intelligence contingency funds (ICF). The officer allegedly misused his position as a TP MI officer and ICF custodian/agent to travel monthly to Headquarters, US Army Europe (USAREUR), Heidelberg, Germany, under the possible guise of reconciling the ICF account with USAREUR. According to the anonymous allegation, the officer's supervisor "linessed things" to make sure the officer was able to spend time in Germany with the officer's wife. The supervisor would "cover" for the officer and say the trips were needed for "intelligence purposes."

(2)(U) The complainant alleged that on Tuesday, 7 February 2006, the officer flew to Germany to conduct approximately four boars of business with me USAREUR G2 staff. During his visit in Germany, the officer telephoned 1 Kosovo and stated that his scheduled 9 February 2006 return flight was can would not be able to return until Tuesday, 14 February 2006. The complain stated that according to USAREUR G3 Aviation Operations, the 9 Februar was not cancelled and that there were numerous other flights available prio February 2006. During the officer's eight-day visit in Germany, the wife v him, he remained on Temporary Duty (TDY) status and he subsequently classical reimbursements for travel expenses and per diam. The complainant stated hat a similar

(3)(U) The TF command investigation continues and once complete, the TF will report their results to SAIG-AC and SAIG-IO. SAIG-AC will also provide a copy of the investigative results to DOD/IG, who received the original allegation anonymously.

--(U) Alleged Misuse of Intelligence Contingency Funds, Kabul, Afghanistan (DAIG-06-

032): On 17 August 2006, the Intelligence Oversight Officer (IOO), t (MIO), Belgium, reported the following QIAs concerning the activiti, First Class (SFC) and a Master Sergeant (MSG), Counterintelligence (S/As), assigned to the Afghanistan Detachment (ADET), 650th MIG Afghanistan.

pet-Dec 2000 Report

(1)(U) On 12 July 2005, the SFC allegedly used ICF (\$300-\$; AK-47 assault rifle from an intelligence source. The SFC allegedly faistness operational reports to conceal the AK-47 purchase. The SFC then terminated contact with the source. He then recommended no further attempts he made by ADET personnel to contact the source, presumably to conceal the illicit transaction.



EFF

TODALSCRIET

(2)(U) On 2 August 2005, the MSG allegedly used ICF (\$600) to purchase an AK-47 assault rifle from an intelligence source. The MSG allegedly falsified operational reports to disguise the AK-47 acquisition as a "giff" from the source. The MSG may have concealed the unauthorized ICF expenditure by reporting (artificially) inflated source payments over the course of several racetings with the source.

(3)(U) Both the SFC and MSG also allegedly conspired with a third person to ship the AK-47 rifles back to their home station (Belgium) via US military aircraft. The locations of the AK-47 rifles are unknown.

(4)(D) The Commander, 650th MIG, reported the matter to the local office of the US Army Criminal Investigations Division (CID) and a criminal investigation ensued. The IOO reported that the criminal investigation has been completed and the 650^{th} MIG will incorporate the CID findings in the command's final report.

(U) Update of Previously Reported Questionable Intelligence Activities:

--(U) <u>Investigation of Allegations of Intelligence Misconduct in Iraq</u> (DAI 3-05-025): In May 2005, SAIG-IO learned that SAIG-AC received information from the Defense Criminal Investigative Service (DCIS) alleging misconduct of an MI officer performing unauthorized intelligence operations while assigned as the G2, ist Armored Division in Iraq. The officer was alleged to have conducted improper intelligence collection operations. He is further alleged to have disobeyed direct orders of general officers by failing to terminate contact with informants and failing to register informants. Lastly, the officer allegedly made a failse official statement when he told a general officer that he had registered all of his Human Intelligence (HUMINT) sources when he know that his statement was faise.

(U) The officer is currently assigned to US Army Intelligence Center and School (USAICS), Fort Huachuca. As such, the US Army Training and Doctrine Command (TRADOC) conducted a command investigation, which did not incorporate the results of the DCIS investigation. TRADOC concluded that the officer failed to comply with the directives from his superiors, but the investigating officer mitigated the issue by suggesting the officer believed he had tacit approval by officials positioned above his superiors. Regarding the unauthorized conduct of source operations, TRADOC mitigated the issue by suggesting he did not have sufficient guidance from higher headquarters to approprintely conduct intelligence activities.

(U) Subsequently, SAIG-AC completed its investigation, which considered the results of the DCIS and TRADOC investigations. The Inspector General sent a letter to the TRADOC Commanding General outlining the results of SAIG-AC's investigative findings. SAIG-AC substantiated four allegations of disobeying direct orders, one allegation of improperly conducting intelligence operations, and one allegation of making false official statements to a General Officer. The officer received a letter of courseling from the Commanding General, Combined Arms Center, and a verbal reprint and from the Commanding General, USAICS.



EFF



TOP SCRET NOFEAN ASSISTANT TO THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE 7200 DEFENSE PENTAGON WASHINGTON, DC 20301-7200

DEC 7 206

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Quarterly Intelligence Oversight Reports Submitted by [DoD Intelligence Components and Summary of ATSD(IO) Inspections for the Quarter July - September 2006 (ECCO)

(FOFO) This memorandum contains, as attachments, the Intelligence Oversight reports of the Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA), National Security Agency (NSA), Joint Staff (includes Combatant Commands), military services, National Reconnaissance Office (NRO), National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency (NGA), the DoD Inspector General (IG), and the Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA). Included under Tab A, is a list of DoD Intelligence Components visited by the Office of the Assistant to the Secretary of Defense (Intelligence Oversight), during compliance inspections or staff assistance visits, and a summary of those visits.

(FOTO) The reports of the DIA (TAB B), NSA (TAB C), DOD IG (TAB D), NRO (TAB E), DTRA (TAB F), NGA (TAB G), Joint Staff (TAB H), U.S. Army (TAB I), 1J.S. Air Force (TAB J), and the Navy (TAB K) are enclosed.

R. Dugan Jr. Acting ATSDAO

Unclassified Upon Removal of Attachments and Physical Removal of Codewords and Caveaus



68

EFF



TOPLICRET NOTION ASSISTANT TO THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

7200 DEFENSE PENTAGON WASHINGTON, DC 20301-7200

NTELLIGENCE OVERSIGHT

APR 2 3 200

Chairman, Intelligence Oversight Board New Executive Office Building, Room 5020 Washington, DC 20500

Dear Mr. Chairman;

The Department of Defense Intelligence Oversight Report for the period October-December 2007 is attached (TAB 1). The report consists of the Intelligence Oversight reports of the Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA), National Security Agency (NSA), National Reconnaissance Office (NRO), National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency (NGA), DoD Inspector General (IG), Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA), Joint Staff (includes Combatant Commands), military services, and the Counterintelligence Field Activity (CIFA).

Also included with the Report for this quarter is a copy of the Congressional Notification memorandum of the facts surrounding the handling of the DIA interrogation recordings of Ali Salch Kahlah Al-Marri (TAB 2). The ATSD(IO) provided a copy of this notification to the General Counsel, President's Foreign Intelligence Advisory Board on February 1, 2008. The ATSD(IO) will continue to monitor the outcome of the on-going investigation into this matter.

Daniel J. Dell'Orto Acting General Counsel

Acting ATSD(10



EFF

,				
	TOP	ET (b)(1)		
	7200	THE SECRETARY OF DI DEFENSE PENTAGON IGTON, DC 20301-7200	EFENSE	
INTELLIGENCE				•
	lligence Oversight Board e Office Building, Room DC 20500		DEC 1 3 2007	, , ,
Dear Mr. Chai	man:			
2007 is attached Intelligence Age (NRO), Nation Threat Reducti services, and the update to DAIG subsequently u ref(b)(1) Intelligence O information co Person that occ	d. The report consists of gency (DIA), National Se al Geospatial-Intelligence fon Agency (DTRA), Join the Counterintelligence Fi- G investigation 07-018 w updated on September 28, <u>Indate on Questionable</u> <u>versight Board UOB</u> . (neerning an unauthorized curred in Mosul, Iraq, on FBI). In addition to FBI	Intelligence Activity Previo On April 23, 2007, we first re	eports of the Defe nal Recommission ector General (IG Commands), mili- tion, we have atta- on April 23, 2007 ously Reported to ported to the IOB again of the Federal Bur	nse cc Office), Defense itary iched an 7 and 9 the 1 nst a U.S. rau of
(U) The matter We have been Iraq, is nearing forwarded thro dated Novembe Daniel J. Dell'	of the Army's involvem advised that the investiga completion. After the ir ugh Army channels to the er 9, 2007, provides an up	ent has been referred to the A ation, which is being conduct investigative report is prepared is office. The attached memo- pdate on the status of the inve William Dugan Acting ATSD(IC	ed by Arrhy perso d and approved it orandum from the estigation	will be
Attachment a/s	i			
(b)(1)	-			

IJ

. . .

UNCLASSIFIED # FOR OFFICIAL OSE ONLY

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY OFFICE OF THE MEMOSTOR GENERAL 1700 ARMY PENTAGON WARHINGTON DC 20010-1700

SAIG-IO (20-16)

9 November 2007

MEMORANDUM FOR ASSISTANT TO THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE (INTELLIGENCE OVERSIGHT)

SUBJECT: Update to Referral of Intelligence Oversight Incident for Investigation (DAIG-07-018) (U)

1. (U) References: (D)(1) Memorandum, ATSD (IO), Subject: Referral of Intelligence Oversight Incident for Investigation (U), 16 April 2007.

2. (U//Earto) This responds to the ATSO-IO's referenced request to Investigate a reported Intelligence Oversight incident involving elements of Muki-National Division North (25th ID) while supporting the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) in and around Mosul, Iraq (n February 2007.

3. (U/FC) 447-C2, Multi-National Corps Iraq, edvised Intelligence Oversight Division (8AIGHO), Army Inspector General Agency, that MND-N completed a commander's investigation and confirmed that questionable intelligence activities did cocur – i.e. the collection of information on US persons (USP) by integgence assets supporting MND-N.

a. (U//Equility) According to MND-N's investigation, an American citizen (DETAINEE), allegedly tighting with Anti-Iraqi Forces (AIF) was detained by Coelifion Forces (CF) in February 2007.

b. $(U/Ferrer^{(D)(2)})$ seeking evidence for possible triminal prosecution, reportedly contacted elements of MND-N(b)(2) (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)(e) (b)(2) (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)(e)

c. (U//EGEC) MND-N elements ettempted to determine the citizenship of the DETAINEE's father prior to employing CF collection capabilities, according to MND-N's investigating officer.

d. (W/FOLD) NND-N's investigation included one interview with an officer who indicated (b)(2) (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)(e) (b)(2) (b)(6) (b)(7)(c)(e)

DESCENERATEON IS PROHIBITED EXCEPT AS AUTHORIZED BY AX 20-1.

··· :

1.2.3.4

. ..

THE DOCUMENT CONTAINS INFORMATION EXCHIPT FLOM MANUATORY DISCLOSURE UTDER FOLA. EXEMPTIONS 5 & 4 APPLY.

EFF

UNCLASSIFIED # FOR DEFINIT, OSE ONLY

UNCLASSIFIED # FOR DESIGNAL USE ONLY

SAIG-Ю

1.1.1

SUBJECT: Update to Referral of Intelligence Oversight Incident for Investigation (DAIG-07-018) (U)

a. (LU) MND-N was reportedly unable to obtain any clarifying information from (b)(2) in the trad Theater of Operation that would determine what was known by whom, and when, relative to (b)(7)(e)

4. (UI/FireC) in addition to the findings above, MND-N's investigation report included procedural recommendations to positively document the citizenship identity of individuals targeted for collection.

5. (U)ESTECTMND-N's cooperation with the FBI relates to the broader, systemic issue of Military Intelligence cooperation with Civilian Law Enforcement (CLEA), Procedure 12, AR 381-10, i.e. - Military Intelligence assistance to US civilian law enforcement authorities ~ requires Secretary of Defense approval through the DCS G2 for MI assistance to CLEA. The operational tempo in frag and Afghanistan, coupled with the now routine engagement of the FBI with MI in those theaters of operation, has created an environment that argues for the delegation of approval for MI assistance to CLEA to the operational commander and a re-examination of Intelligence sharing regulations, policies and agreements between MI and the FBI (CLEA).

2 UNCLASSIFIED // FOR OFELOMETOSE ONLY

8. (U) POC is Mr. Peter Fisher (703) 692-9716.

۶,

W. BEYNON TERRY COL, Inspector General Chief, Intelligence Oversight Division

EFF

72

CF: DCS G2 OGC



		· ··			
	DEBLERET (b)(1)		-		
	7200	THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE PENTAGON IGTON, DC 20301-720	· ·		
TELLO ENCE DVERGIONT					-
	lligence Oversight Bo Office Building, Ro C 20500		SEP 28 2	007 .	
Dear Mr. Cha	man:				
2007 is attach Intelligence A Office (NRO) (IG), Defense Commands), p	d. The report consist gency (DIA), National National Geospatial- Threat Reduction Age additory services, and t	elligence Oversight Repo s of the Intelligence Over Security Agency (NSA Intelligence Agency (NC ncy (DTRA), Joint Staff he Counterintelligence F	ersight reports of the), National Reconn GA), DoD Inspector f (includes Comban Field Activity (CIFA	e Defense aissance r General ant A).	
information c a U.S. Person Bureau of Inv from the FBI In addition to incident.	versight Board (IOE accorning an unauthor hat occurred in Mosu stigation (FBI). Acco tat the target was an I BI personnel, U.S. A The matter of the Arm We have been advised	I, Iraq, on <u>March 23, 200</u> rding to (b)(1) In ragi national, produced a rmy personnel may have y's involvement has been I that the investigation, we completion. After the inv	ve renorted to the If 07, in support of the e target, despite ass a U.S. passport upo e been involved in t n referred to the Ar which is being cond	DB against Federal surances n capture. the my IG for lucted by	•
information c a U.S. Person Bureau of Inv from the FBI In addition to incident.	versight Board (IOE accorning an unauthor hat occurred in Mosu stigation (FBI). Acco tat the target was an I BI personnel, U.S. A The matter of the Arm We have been advised of in Iraq, is nearing o	 2) On Anril 23 2007 ward (b)(1) 4) Iraq, on March 23, 2007 ward (b)(1) 4) Iraqi nationar, produced a rmy personnel may have y's involvement has been investigation, sompletion. After the investigation, sompletion. 	ve renorted to the If 07, in support of the e target, despite ass a U.S. passport upo e been involved in t n referred to the Ar which is being cond	DB against Federal surances n capture. the my IG for lucted by	
information c a U.S. Person Bureau of Inv from the FBI In addition to incident. (1) investigation, Army persom it will be forw	versight Board (IOE accorning an unauthor hat occurred in Mosu stigation (FBI). Acco tat the target was an I BI personnel, U.S. A The matter of the Arm We have been advised of in Iraq, is nearing o	 2) On Anril 23 2007 ward (b)(1) 4) Iraq, on March 23, 2007 ward (b)(1) 4) Iraqi nationar, produced a rmy personnel may have y's involvement has been investigation, sompletion. After the investigation, sompletion. 	ve renorted to the If 07, in support of the e target, despite ass a U.S. passport upo e been involved in t n referred to the Ar which is being cond	DB against Federal surances n capture. the my IG for lucted by	
information c a U.S. Person Bureau of Inv from the FBI In addition to incident. (T)(1) investigation. Army person it will be forw	versight Board (IOE accorning an unauthor hat occurred in Mosu stigation (FBI). Acco tat the target was an I BI personnel, U.S. A The matter of the Arm We have been advised of in Iraq, is nearing o	 2) On Anril 23 2007 ward (b)(1) 4) Iraq, on March 23, 2007 ward (b)(1) 4) Iraqi nationar, produced a rmy personnel may have y's involvement has been investigation, sompletion. After the investigation, sompletion. 	ve renorted to the If 07, in support of the e target, despite ass a U.S. passport upo e been involved in t n referred to the Ar which is being cond	DB against Federal surances n capture. the my IG for lucted by	
information c a U.S. Person Bureau of Inv from the FBI In addition to incident. (b)(1) (b)(1) (b)(1)	versight Board (IOE accorning an unauthor hat occurred in Mosu stigation (FBI). Acco tat the target was an I BI personnel, U.S. A The matter of the Arm We have been advised of in Iraq, is nearing our orded through Army c	 2) On Anril 23 2007 ward (b)(1) 4) Iraq, on March 23, 2007 ward (b)(1) 4) Iraqi nationar, produced a rmy personnel may have y's involvement has been investigation, sompletion. After the investigation, sompletion. 	ve renorted to the If 07, in support of the e target, despite ass a U.S. passport upo e been involved in t n referred to the Ar which is being cond	DB against Prederal surances n capture. the my IG for lucted by prepared	
information c a U.S. Person Bureau of Inv from the FBI In addition to incident. (b)(1) (b)(1) (b)(1)	versight Board (IOE neerning an unauthor hat occurred in Mosu stigation (FBI). Acco tat the target was an I BI personnel, U.S. A The matter of the Arm We have been advised of in Iraq, is nearing our rded through Army c	 2) On Anril 23 2007 ward (b)(1) 4) Iraq, on March 23, 2007 ward (b)(1) 4) Iraqi nationar, produced a rmy personnel may have y's involvement has been investigation, sompletion. After the investigation, sompletion. 	ve renorted to the If 07, in support of the e target, despite ass a U.S. passport upo e been involved in t n referred to the Ar which is being cond restigative report is	DB against Federal surances n capture. the my IG for lucted by	74

ļ

-

.

•

•

TOP SLERIET (b)(1) (b)(1)

Finally, the ATSD(IO) has been in contact with investigators from the FBI who are reviewing FBI actions in this matter. We have offered to put them in contact with the Army investigators in Mosul, Iraq, but the FBI investigators have declined to do so at this time. We will update the IOB on this incident as further information becomes available.

Dullat

Daniel J. Dell'Orto Principal Deputy General Counsel

TOD OCCRET (b)(1)

William Dugan C

2

75

EFF

Acting ATSD(JO)

Attachments a/s

	TOP SPECIED (b)(1)	
	ASSISTANT TO THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE 7200 DEFENSE PENTAGON WASHINGTON; DC 20301-7200	
(NTELLIGENCE Oversight		, ;
New Execut	ntelligence Oversight Board ive Office Building, Room 5020 , DC 20500	
Dear Mr. Ch	pairman;	-
Intelligence Office (NRC (IG), Defens Commands), (Tel(b)(1) <u>Intelligence</u> information a U.S. Perso Bureau of In from the FBi In addition to incident.	thed. The report consists of the Intelligence Oversight reports of the Defense Agency (DIA), National Security Agency (NSA), National Reconnaissance)), National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency (NGA), DoD Inspector General æ Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA), Joint Staff (includes Combatant , military services, and the Counterintelligence Field Activity (CIFA). Update on questionable intelligence activity previously reported to the Oversight Board (IOB). On April 23, 2007, we reported to the IOB concerning an unauthorized $^{(b)(1)}$ in support of the Federal westigation (FBI). According to $^{(b)(1)}$ the target, despite assurances I that the target was an Iraqi national, produced a U.S. passport upon capture. to FBI personnel, U.S. Army personnel may have been involved in the The matter of the Army's involvement has been referred to the Army IG for t. We have been advised that the investigation, which is being conducted by and in Iraq, is nearing completion. After the investigative report is prepared warded through Army channels to this office.	
(77)(b)(1)		
(b)(1)		
(b)(1)		•
J	DE SECRET (b)(1)	76
	EFF EFF	76

ļ

.

.

.

.

i

| · .

	TOPSERET	(b)(1)]
			 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
(b)(1)				

(b)(1) Finally, the ATSD(IO) has been in contact with investigators from the FBI who are reviewing FBI actions in this matter. We have offered to put them in contact with the Army investigators in Mosul, Iraq, but the FBI investigators have declined to do so at this time. We will update the IOB on this incident as further information becomes available.

Dellat

Daniel J. Dell'Orto Principal Deputy General Counsel

TOP LOCKET (b)(1)

William Dugan

Acting ATSD(IO)

Attachments a/s

2

	•	-SECRET#NOFORN//%1-			
		DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY			
		WASHINGTON, D.C. 20340-	and a second		
	S-0056/IG	25 February 2002			
	То:	Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Intelligence Oversight 7200 Defense Pentagon Washington, DC 20301-7200		. ,	
	Subject:	(U) Quarterly Intelligence Oversight Report for the Period Ending	1 December 20	23	
	References:	a. (U) DoD Directive 5240.1, "DoD Intelligence Activities"			
		b. (U) DoD 5240.1-R, "Procedures Governing the Activities of Dol that Affect U.S. Persons"	D Components		
		c. (U) DIAR 60-4, "Procedures Governing DIA Intelligence Activity" U.S. Persons"	ties that Affect		
		d. (U) Executive Order 12333, "United States Intelligence Activitie	s"		
		e. (U) Executive Order 12863, "President's Foreign Intelligence Ad	visory Board"		•
(b)(2),(b)(3): 10 USC 424	Intelligence further infor	red by references above, the Quarterly Intelligence Oversight Report Agency for the period 1 September through 31 December 2001 is en mation is required, please contact the Office of the General Counsel Office of the Inspector General at CONCE 103 (b)(2), (b)(2), USC 4	closed. If at (b)(3):10	(b)(2),(b)(3):1 0 USC 424	
		telligence Oversight pp through 31 Dec 01 y			
		ED UNCLASSIFIED WHEN SEPARATED ASSIFIED ENCLOSURE 			
			EFF	78	

. '		
		•
	-SECRET//NOFORN//X1-	
	(U) QUARTERLY INTELLIGENCE OVERSIGHT REPORT	
	1 September through 31 December 2001	9
1.	(U) The Office of the Inspector General (IG) conducted the following intelligence oversigh (IO) activities:	L
	a. (U) Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA) message 031930Z DEC 01, subject: IG IO Bri 1-02, (enclosure 1) was dispatched to all DIA field elements.	ef
	b. - (8//17)	·(b)(1),1 4.(c
(b)(3):10 USC 424	c. (U//TOWO) The IG conducted an inspection of No questionable activities were found. Findings were made that both offices had not documented IO training. Recommendations were made for corrective action.	(b)(3):10 USC 424
(b)(1),1.4 (č)	 d(8/NF) IG memorandum S-0276/IG, 27 July 2001, subject: Possible Violation of E.O. 12333 and DoD 5240.1-R, reported that the may have violated An IO investigation was initiated and field work commenced in November 2001. The project is ongoing. (IG Project No. 01-1954-MA-056) 	(b)(1),(b)(3): 10 USC 424,1.4 (c)
	e(9/NF) IG memorandum S-0073/IG, 12 March 2001, subject: Possible Violation of E. 12333 and DoD 5240.1-R, reported that a civilian assigned to the Directorate for Intelligence Operations (DO) may have violated Procedure 15 through the unauthorized funding of a federal law enforcement project. An IO investigation has been initiated. I change from last report. (IG Project No. 01-1935-MA-056)	i -
9)(3):10 USC 424 9)(1),1.4 (c)	f. (3//NP) IG memorandum S-0045/IG, 8 February 2001, subject: Possible Violation of E.O. 12333 and DoD 5240.1-R, reported that an may have violated DIA procedures through the An IO investigation was conducted which found that taken corrective action. The DIA case is closed. (IG Project No. 01-1934-MA-056)	(b)(1),(b)(3):10 USC 424,1.4 (c) (b)(1),1.4 (c)
	Derived From: DHS SCG Declassify On: X1 Date of Source: October 1997	
	-SECRET//NOFORN//X1-	
	EFF	79
]	

,

•

:_

SECRET//NOFORN//X1



-SECRET//NOFORN//X1

:

ŧ

.

	, m	. (8/NT) IG m	emorandum U-00	022/IG, 26 Januar	v 1998, subject: Possibl	e Violation of	;
		E.O. 12333 a	nd DoD 5240.1-I			a de la construction de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la constru La construcción de la construcción d	(b)(1),1.4 (c)
(b)(1),1.4 (c)					esulted in questionable a		G
			investigation and (IG Project No. 9		of Justice (DoJ) has acc	epted the case f	or
	n .	personnel con subject: Refe investigation under the sup	ntinues as reporte erral of Allegation are the Defense (pervision of the B IG. DoJ has decl	d in IG memoran n Against Criminal Investig astern District of	y several current and for dum U-7641-95/IGI, 3 C Agencie ative Service; the U.S. C Virginia, DoJ; and the Pi . The DIA case is closed	etober 1995, is involved in t ustoms Service rogram Integrit	y (b)(2),(b)(6)
					attorneys, a secret		(b)(2),(b)(3): n 10 USC 424
	d	ay-to-day opera	tions. All files a	re reviewed for re	gulatory compliance who	en they are	10 000 424
		eriodically cons ere taken:	sidered for destru	ction, retention, o	r storage. The following	specific action	IS I
(b)(2),(b)(3):10 USC 424	8 .	assure compl	liance with oversi		es. One attorney assures ne attorney made presen		(b)(2)
					he National Security Age		
					rsight issues with		
			elements of the a				
	Ъ). (U //FOUO) (GC reported no in	ncidents of crimin	al activity to DoJ during	the quarter.	
	3, (U) The Director	rate for Administ	ration was involv	ed in the following overs	ight activitics:	
	3	material was		iles. During this (najor elements to ensure quarter the personnel rev IA and [non-DIA] rev	viewed IO	(b)(2),(b)(3):10 USC 424
			s part of the follo				
		NUMBER	COURSE	۰.	(b)(3):10 USC 424		
				C	000 424		
			National Intell	igence Course	lucto Course		
			Intelligence Co	ollection Manage	Course		
		and the second			mation Control Officer C	Course)
				tion Managers Co curity Officer's C			
		<u>2017) 21 - 1</u>		3			
			-SEC	RET//NOF	ORN//X1		
							04
						EFF	81
						1	
					,		

-SECRET//NOFORN//X1

.

	33 Defense Community Counterintelligence Course		
	b. (U) The Office for Procurement did not initiate any contract actions that Procedure 11, DoD 5240.1-R, during this reporting period. There were n required contractor performance under DIAR 60-4.		
	c. (U) The Office for Human Resources briefed new civilian and milita Each new employee was:	(b)(2),(b)	
	• Informed in writing of the existence and importance of DIAR 60-4	USC 424	
	• Provided copies of the documents cited in paragraph 7.c.(2), DIAR	50-4	
	 Advised to review DIAR 60-4 for information which may pertain to duty assignments 	their specific	
	• Informed of their responsibilities to report questionable activities to	GC or IG	an te
4. ·	(U//FOUO) The Directorate for Policy Support reviewed all files during the rand found no prohibited material. DP organizational files located in the Penwere secured immediately following the 11 September 2001 attack on the Peremain secured at a temporary location. Consequently, no files review was a during this reporting period. Specific actions follow:	agon office suit ntagon and	e
(b)(3):10 USC 424	a. (U//FOUC) The attended the working group meeting, submitted the draft annual rep to the Office of the Secretary of Defense, and conducted the DIA Oversight Committee for the annual revalidations for each prog no training bulletin for the quarter.		(b)(2)
(b)(2),(b)(3):1 0 USC 424	b. (U/AFOUG) A total of personnel assigned to were confirmed to be in receipt of IO regulations and guidance.	specific	(b)(3):10 USC 424
5.	(U) The Directorate for Analysis and Production (DI) did not report any IO t quarter for assigned personnel. DI tailored training was provided to this period.	actors during	(b)(2),(b)(3): 10 USC 424
б.	(U) The Directorate for Intelligence, Joint Staff, reported that personnel training during this quarter.	received IO	
7.	(U) The Joint Military Intelligence College provided IO instruction to person courses noted below. Additionally, faculty members reviewed IO directions of the second seco		
	4		
	SECRET//NOFORN//X1		
		EFF	82

-SECRET//NOFORN//X1-

<u>NUMBER</u>

COURSE

(b)(2),(b)(3): 10 USC 424

National Security Structure and Policy Information Technologies in the Cyber Era Intelligence Collection: Evidence for Analysis Imagery Intelligence (IMINT) U.S. Intelligence Organizations History of U.S. Intelligence Organizations Leadership, Management and Coordination in the Intelligence Community

- 8. (3/147) DO reported that personnel received IO training, including new personnel processed by the Additionally personnel performed files review during the quarter. (b)(1),(b)(3):10 USC 424,1.4 (c)
- 9. (U) The Central Measurement and Signature Intelligence Office did not report any IO related activities this quarter.
- 10. (U/FOUC) DS reported that personnel reviewed 10 procedures this quarter. The materials reviewed included E.O. 12333, DoD 5240.1-R, and DIAR 60-4.
- 11. (U) The Diversity Management and Equal Opportunity Office did not conduct IO related training this quarter.
- 12. (U) The Office of the Comptroller did not conduct IO training this quarter. (b)(3):10 USC 424
- 13. (U) The personnel received IO training this quarter and conducted a review of PO files. No prohibited material was found.
- 14. (U) The Directorate for Military Intelligence (DM) reported that personnel participated in IO files review during this reporting period. DM conducted a review of hardcopy and softcopy files to ensure compliance with IO regulations.
 - (b)(3):10 USC 424

EFF

83

- 15. (U) The eviewed holdings for compliance. No violations were noted. Copies of IO regulations were disseminated to all subordinate offices.
- 16. (C) DIA management continued to emphasize the importance of personnel being familiar with IO regulations. A total of personnel were reported to have received training in

SECRET//NOFORN//X1-

SECRET//NOFORN//X1

DIAR 60-4 and DoD 5240.1-R and/or reviewed files for IO content during the period 1 July through 30 September 2001.

1 Enclosure DIA msg 031930Z DEC 01, Subj: IG Intelligence Oversight Brief 1-02, (S//MF); 1 cy

(b)(3):10 USC 424

EFF

84

SECRET//NOFORN//AI



٠. 01 01 031930Z DEC 01 RR SSSS ZYUW DIA IG NO 1. (Criter) USE OF INTERNET FOR INTELLIGENCE RESEARCH. IG INTELLIGENCE OVERSIGHT BRIEF 2-01 PROVIDED DOD GENERAL COUNSEL (GC) GUIDANCE ON THE PRINCIPLES GOVERNING al staats IN THE WAKE (b)(1),1.4 (c) OF THE 11 SEPTEMBER TERRORIST ATTACKS THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY IS LOOKING TO INCREASED USE OF THE ORGANIZATIONS. THE PROCEDURES CONTAINED IN DOD 5240.1-R ARE NOT AN IMPEDIMENT TO SUCH INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES, BUT DIA EMPLOYEES SHOULD BE COGNIZANT OF THE RULES OF ENGAGEMENT. A. (U/FROUG) THE FIRST TEST IS ALWAYS MISSION AUTHORITY (PROCEDURE 1). DIA HAS THE CLEAR MISSION AUTHORITY TO (b)(2) IN SUPPORT OF NATIONAL AND DEFENSE REQUIREMENTS. THE PRINCIPAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR RESIDES WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE (DOJ), BUT THERE ARE PROVISIONS FOR DIA TO SUPPORT LAW ENFORCEMENT ORGANIZATIONS SUBJECT TO PROJECT SPECIFIC AUTHORIZATION BY THE DIA GC. (b)(2),(b)(3):10 USC 424 031930ZDEC01 EFF 86

, , ** ≥0-3-0-⊼-5 7 * **		
	•	
01 01 031930Z DEC 01 RR SSSS ZYUW DIA IG		
NO		
B. (U/7TODS) PROCEDURES 2, 3, AND 4 PROVIDE BROAD AUTHORITY FOR		
IF YOU ARE	(b)(2)	
UNCERTAIN ABOUT RETENTION OF SUCH INFORMATION PROCEDURE 3 ALLOWS	(0)(2)	
TEMPORARY RETENTION FOR A PERIOD NOT TO EXCEED 90 DAYS FOR THE SOLE		
PURPOSE OF DETERMINING IF THE INFORMATION IS ALLOWABLE FOR PERMANENT		
RETENTION.	•	
C	(b)(1),1.4 (c)	
SECURITY CONCERNS DICTATE THAT DIA EMPLOYEES BE PARTICULARLY CAREFUL		
ABOUT		•
THEY REQUIRE THE APPROVAL OF		
ENSURE THAT LEGAL AUTHORITY AND ADEQUATE PROTECTION IS ESTABLISHED TO		
CONDUCT AND SUSTAIN INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES WHERE THERE IS A NEED TO		
QUESTIONS SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO THE		
DIA GC.		
2. (U) PROPERTY ACCOUNTABILITY. THE IG HAS NOTED SIGNIFICANT LAPSES		
IN THE INTEGRITY OF PROPERTY ACCOUNTABILITY AT SEVERAL ORGANIZATIONAL		
LEVELS. THIS LACK OF ACCOUNTABILITY INCREASES THE PROBABILITY OF		
(b)(2),(b)(3):		
10 USC 424		
* → 3···································		
	EFF	87

÷

: : ,

.
01 01 031930Z DEC 01 RR SSSS ZYUW DIA IG

EQUIPMENT LOSS WITH A RESULTING IMPACT ON MISSION EFFECTIVENESS AND WASTE OF FINANCIAL RESOURCES. LISTED BELOW ARE POSITIVE STEPS WHICH WE HAVE FOUND CONTRIBUTE TO A SOUND AND RESPONSIBLE PROPERTY ACCOUNTABILITY SYSTEM. WE STRONGLY URGE ALL SUPERVISORS TO REVIEW THEIR PROPERTY ACCOUNTABILITY PROCEDURES AND MAKE CHANGES WHERE NECESSARY. THE FOLLOWING ITEMS ARE CONSIDERED THE MINIMUN STANDARD FOR EFFECTIVE PROPERTY MANAGEMENT:

A. (U) EACH ORGANIZATION MUST ANNUALLY CONDUCT A 100 PERCENT INVENTORY OF PROPERTY.

B. (U) DD FORM 1348-1 (HAND RECEIPT) MUST BE USED TO ISSUE PROPERTY TO EMPLOYEES.

C. (U) ALL PROPERTY TRANSFERRED OUTSIDE OF DIA MUST HAVE THE CONSENT AND AUTHORIZATION OF THE PROPERTY BOOK OFFICER.

D. (U) DD FORM 1149 (REQUISITION AND INVOICE/SHIPPING DOCUMENT) MUST BE USED TO SEND PROPERTY FROM ONE LOCATION TO ANOTHER.

B. (U) A REPORT OF SURVEY MUST BE PREPARED FOR ALL MISSING, STOLEN,
OR UNACCOUNTED FOR PROPERTY.



(b)(2),(b)(3):10 USC 424

0319302DEC01

EFF

AND DORDING THE

01 01 031930Z DEC 01 RR SSSS ZYUW DIA IG NO

F. (U) ALL PURCHASED PROPERTY MUST BE ADDED TO THE PROPERTY BOOK AND CONTROLLED BY HAND RECEIPT.

3. (U/THEODY IG ANNUAL PLAN, THE IG FISCAL YEAR 2002 ANNUAL PLAN WAS APPROVED ON 24 SEPTEMBER 2001. THE POLLOWING INSPECTION PROJECTS ARE NOTED BELOW ALONG WITH TENTATIVE TIME FRAMES. KEY COMPONENTS HAVING COGNIZANCE OVER INSPECTED ORGANIZATIONS AND/OR PROGRAMS WILL RECEIVE INSPECTION NOTIFICATIONS AT LEAST 30 DAYS PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF INSPECTION WORK.

A. (U/POWON MILITARY INTELLIGENCE DIGEST COORDINATION AND PRODUCTION PROCESS (DI). COMMENCED 4001.

В.	COMMENCED 4Q01, (b)(1),1.4 (c)	
C.	(U) (DI) 2002. (b)(3):10 USC	424
D.	(DO) - 2002: (b)(1),1.4 (c)	

E. (U) CIVILIAN STAFFING PROCESS (DA). 2002.

F. (U) MANAGEMENT DIVERSITY OFFICE FOLLOW UP INSPECTION (MD).

(b)(2),(b)(3):10 USC 424

- 0319302DEC01

EFF







SECRET//NOFORN//X1

DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20340-

20 August 2001

S-0277/IG

MEMORANDUM FOR ASSISTANT TO THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE (INTELLIGENCE OVERSIGHT)

Subject: (U) Quarterly Intelligence Oversight Report for the Period Ending 30 June 2001

References: a. (U) DoD Directive 5240.1, "DoD Intelligence Activities"

- b. (U) DoD 5240.1-R, "Procedures Governing the Activities of DoD Components that Affect U.S. Persons"
- c. (U) DIAR 60-4, "Procedures Governing DIA Intelligence Activities that Affect U.S. Persons"
- d. (U) Executive Order 12333, "United States Intelligence Activities"

e. (U) Executive Order 12863, "President's Foreign Intelligence Advisory Board"

(U) As required by references above, the Quarterly Intelligence Oversight Report for the Defense Intelligence Agency for the period 1 April through 30 June 2001 is enclosed. If further information is required, please contact the Office of the General Counsel at (b)(2),(b)(3):1 the Office of the Inspector General at (b)(2),(b)(3):1 0 USC 424

> (b)(2),(b)(3):1 0 USC 424

1 enclosure Quarterly Intelligence Oversight Report, 1 April – 30 June 2001 (3/NF), 1 cy Thomas R. Wilson Vice Admiral, U.S. Navy Director

REGRADE AS UNCLASSIFIED WHEN SEPARATED FROM ENCLOSURE

SECRET/MOFORN/X1

-SECRET//NOFORN//X1-

(U) QUARTERLY INTELLIGENCE OVERSIGHT REPORT

1 April through 30 June 2001

1. (U) The Office of the Inspector General (IG) conducted the following intelligence oversight (IO) activities:

a. (U) Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA) message 141312Z JUN 01, subject: IG IO Brief 3-01, (enclosure 1) was dispatched to all DIA field elements. This message was the field as 141312Z JUL 01.

b. (U) The IO Coordinating Committee held its quarterly meeting on 6 April 2001.

c. (5//).			
		(b)(1),1.4 (;)
d. (U/FOUC) IG conducted an IO inspection of	م <u>م</u> مع	(b)(2),(b)(3):1	
All three were performing their operational activitie	s in a	0 USC 424	
satisfactory manner and were in compliance with IO procedures. There were no			
e. (UHTOUO) IG conducted a general inspection of		(b)(3):10	
No questionable activities were found.		ÚSC 424	
f(E/PIF) IG memorandum S-0073/IG, 12 March 2001, subject: Possible V Executive Order (E.O.) 12333 and DoD 5240.1-R, reported that a civilian assign Directorate for Intelligence Operations (DO) may have violated Procedure 15 the unauthorized funding of a federal law enforcement project. An IO investigation initiated. No change from last report. (IG Project No. 01-1935-MA-056)	ed to the rough the		
g. (8/HF) IG memorandum S-0045/IG, 8 February 2001, subject: Possible E.O. 12333 and DoD 5240.1-R, reported that an have violated DIA procedures through the Chevron of Avapation for the subject of the	may	(b)(1),(b)] :10 USC 424,1.4 (
(IG Project No. 01-1934-MA-056) Derlved From: DF Declassify On: X1 Date of Source: O 			
	EFF	93	



-SECRET//NOFORN//X1-



WITH BEAK BALL BALL the Eastern District of Virginia, DoJ; and the Program Integrity Office, DoD IG. The investigation is still in progress. No change from last report. (IG Project No. 96-4289-OI-064) 2. (U) Within the Office of the General Counsel (GC) attorneys, a secretary, a staff (b)(2),(b)(assistant, and a paralegal specialist review GC files on a continuing basis as they are used in day-3):10 to-day operations. All files are reviewed for regulatory compliance when they are periodically USC 424 considered for destruction, retention, or storage. The following specific actions were taken: a. (U/FOUO) Three attorneys reviewed ongoing (b)(2) products to assure compliance with oversight responsibilities. One attorney assures compliance for other DIA elements. b. (S//NF) GC lectured at the Military Officer Familiarization Course and participated in a panel regarding legal aspects of intelligence. One attorney made a presentation at the (b)(1),(b)(3): One attorney addressed 10 USC oversight reviews with DIA staff elements and conducted training for security personnel. Two 424,1.4 attomeys coordinated several (c) 1.4 (d) IO reviews and consultations were conducted in support of DIA headquarters elements and SAP (b)(1),(b)(fernoziad 2), 1.4 (c) c. (U/TOUC) GC reported no incidents of criminal activity to DoJ during the quarter. 3. (U) The Directorate for Administration was involved in the following oversight activities: a. (U) IO reviews were conducted in each of the major elements to ensure that prohibited material was not retained in files. During this quarter personnel reviewed IO procedures. (b)(2)(b)(3)Additionally, students DIA and non-DIA) received IO instruction as part of the following Joint Military Intelligence Training Center courses: NUMBER COURSE National Intelligence Course Collection Management for Analysts Course Intelligence Collection Manager Course Sensitive Compartmented Information Control Officer Course Mobile Collection Managers Course Mobile SCI Security Officer's Course Counterintelligence Analytic Methods Course b. (U) The Office for Procurement did not initiate any contract actions that fell under Procedure 11, DoD 5240.1-R, during this reporting period. There were no contracts that required contractor performance under DIAR 60-4.

:10 USC

424

96

EFF

SECRET//NOFORN//X1

c. (U) The Office for Human Resources briefed new civilian and military employees. (b)(2),(b)(3 Each new employee was: 1) informed in writing of the existence and importance of DIAR 60-4;):10 USC 2) provided copies of the documents cited in paragraph 7.c (2), DIAR 60-4; 3) advised to review 424 DIAR 60-4 for information which may pertain to their specific duty assignments; and 4) informed of their responsibilities to report questionable activities to GC or IG. 4. (U//FOUO) The Directorate for Policy Support reviewed all files during the reporting period and found no prohibited material. Specific actions follow: (b)(3):10 USC 424 a. (U//FOUO) The oversight (b)(2) inspections; attended the National Industrial Security Program Manual working group meetings; coordinated two approval packages with the oversight committee for two new DIA programs; attended the annual operations security conference; and conducted a assistance visit. Additionally submitted four memoranda for agreements for DIA for the Director, DIA signature. There was no training bulletin for this quarter. (b)(3):10 b. (U//FOUO) A total of personnel assigned to USC 424 (b)(3):10 received IO refresher training, Additionally conducted an **USC 424** (b)(2)(b)(3): inventory of all hardcopy and softcopy documents held by the office; all were in compliance with 10 USC 424 DoD 5240.1-R. 5. (U) The Directorate for Analysis and Production (DI) reported that personnel assigned to (b)(3):10° (b)(2),(b)(3): received refresher USC 424 10 USC 424 newly assigned personnel and 10 training. Additionally, DI tailored training was provided to contractors during this period. (b)(2),(b)(3): 10 USC 424 6. (U) The Directorate for Intelligence, Joint Staff, reported that personnel received IO training during this quarter. 7. (U) The Joint Military Intelligence College provided IO instruction to personnel in its courses this quarter and ten faculty members reviewed IO directives. NUMBER COURSE Espionage, Intelligence and International Politics National Security Structure and Policy Information Technologies in the Cyber Era Ethics of Intelligence Leadership, Management, and Coordination in the Intelligence Community Congressional Oversight of Intelligence Future of US Foreign Intelligence Community The Law and Intelligence Congress and US Intelligence

SECRET//NOFORN//X1

EFF

97

-SECRET//NOFORN//X1-

i

(b)(2),(b)(3): 10 USC 424	8. (SmtP) DO reported that personnel received IO training, including ne processed by the personnel per		(b)(2),(b)(3):
	review during the quarter. (b)(1),(b)(3):10 (b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(1),(b)(SC 424,1.4 (c)	10 USC 424
(b)(3):10	9. (U) The	personnel	
USC 424	and contractors received IO training during the quarter and persons were inv		(b)(2),(b)(3
	reviewing files for IO compliance.):10 USC 424 ┃
	10. (U//FOUO) DS reported that personnel reviewed IO procedures this que materials reviewed included E.O. 12333, DoD 5240,1-R, and DIAR 60-4.	arter. The	424
	11. (U) The Diversity Management and Equal Opportunity Office did not cond training this quarter.	uct IO related	
	12. (U) The Office of the Comptroller did not conduct IO training this quarter.		•
(b)(3):10 USC 424	13. (U) The reported that personnel r training this quarter. Additionally, a file review was conducted, and no prohibit found.		(b)(2),(b)(3):10 U\$C 424
	14. (U) The Directorate for Military Intelligence (DM) reported that personal participated in IO files review during this reporting period. DM conducted a rev and softcopy files to ensure compliance with IO regulations. Additionally, a Diraining memo was provided to all assigned personnel.	ew of hardcopy	
(b)(3):10 USC 424	15. (U) The reviewed holdings for compliar were noted. Copies of IO regulations were disseminated to all subordinate office)S
(b)(2),(b)(3):10 USC 424	16. (C) DIA management continued to emphasize the importance of personnel with IO regulations. A total of personnel were reported to have received 60-4 and DoD 5240.1-R and/or reviewed files for IO content during the period 30 June 2001.	raining in DIAR	. .
	1 Enclosure DIA msg 141312Z JUL 01,		
	subject: IG Intelligence Oversight Brief 3-01, (S//NF), 1 cy	(b)(3):10 USC 424	- - -
	6		-
	-CRCRET/NOFORN/221-		
		EFF	98
			-
		1	



