

[View Observation Online](#)

ID:	156502	User Group:	
Legacy ID:	N/A	Event Date:	25 Jul 2016
Status:	Active	Creation Date:	25 Jul 2016
Visibility:	Published	Update Date:	02 Aug 2016
Organization:	DLA	Event Name:	MMCT TRAINING DLSC90000075
OPR:	DLA	Event Type:	TRAINING EXERCISE
Unit:	DLA Central		
Country:	United States		
Lat/Lon:	(U) 38, -97 (Approximate)		

TOPIC: (U) (b)(5)

OBSERVATIONS: (U)

1. Q: Considering the organization of the course materials in relation to the objectives for each unit, were the training aids, equipment, and activities appropriate for understanding the subject matter?

Day 1: Hands on answer sheets were great training aids

Day 2: Scenario training aids were realistic

Day 3: All scenarios further put a lot into perspective

Day 4: Screenshots for the TEST were an asset for those who didn't have valid accounts

Day 5:

2. Q: What part of the day's activities did you find the most valuable?

Day 1: Hands on with the various AIS tools was a valuable tool

Day 2: Most valuable aspect for today was the hands on with the scenarios

Day 3: More scenarios was an added bonus of getting more practice with the systems

Day 4: PASSING the TEST confirmed that Learning was evident

Day 5:

3. Q: What part of the day's activities did you find the least valuable?

Day 1: Not having access to WEBVLIPS. I originally had access but somehow I lost it

Day 2: N/A

Day 3: How the strong link of the team got be-headed and was told to sit out of a scenario

Day 4: More time is needed for the HA overview

Day 5:

DISCUSSION: (U)

#

RECOMMENDATION: (U)

IMPLICATIONS: (U)

COMMENTS: (U)

EVENT DESCRIPTION: (U)

SYSTEM LEVEL METADATA

- DOTMLPF & Policy
- Training

METADATA

TASK LIST

ANALYSIS CODES

PERSPECTIVES

LINKED ISSUES

ID/TITLE

SOURCE DOCUMENTS

Class File Name	Description	File Size	Posted By	Posted On
------------------------	--------------------	------------------	------------------	------------------

SUBMITTER/ORIGINATOR INFORMATION

FIRST NAME:

RANK:

LAST NAME:

UNIT:

PHONE:

DSN:

EMAIL:

POSTING INFORMATION

FIRST

(b)(6)

RANK: E-7

NAME:

LAST

(b)(6)

UNIT:

NAME:

PHONE:

(b)(6)

DSN:

(b)(6)

EMAIL:

[View Observation Online](#)

ID:	156505	User Group:	
Legacy ID:	N/A	Event Date:	25 Jul 2016
Status:	Active	Creation Date:	25 Jul 2016
Visibility:	Published	Update Date:	02 Aug 2016
Organization:	DLA	Event Name:	MMCT TRAINING DLSC90000075
OPR:	DLA	Event Type:	TRAINING EXERCISE
Unit:	DLA		
Country:	United States		
Lat/Lon:	(U) 38, -97 (Approximate)		

TOPIC: (U) MMCT – Week two Course Evaluation- (b)(6) – July 2016

OBSERVATIONS: (U)

1. 1. Q: Considering the organization of the course materials in relation to the objectives for each unit, were the training aids, equipment, and activities appropriate for understanding the subject matter?

Day 1: Went through examples and scenarios using EMALL, WEBVLIPS, WEBFLIS and DASSINQ is useful information. If systems are down then find other ways to get the answer. The printouts and binder are useful for us to keep tally of notes as well.

Day 2: Went through various “real world” scenarios that could happen downrange. Today showed me that there are other tools to use to get information other than SAP.

Day 3: Intense day with more briefings.

Day 4: Discussed scenarios with Humanitarian Assistance/Disaster Relief Support.

Day 5:

2. Q: What part of the day’s activities did you find the most valuable?

Day 1: Really enjoyed the team work and class participation

Day 2: The teamwork and being able to brief our slides to (b)(6) is very valuable. We had some situations that we may face downrange. I liked it because it gives us chance to see what we should be expecting.

Day 3: Today I briefed a scenario. I enjoyed conducting the brief. The teamwork that was involved shows that you get more when people work together. After I briefed I was given positive feedback as well as some constructive criticism that will help me in

theater

Day 4: We took our final exam today. The exam was straight forward, no surprises in the exam.

Day 5:

3. Q: What part of the day's activities did you find the least valuable?

Day 1: None

Day 2: None

Day 3: None

Day 4: None

Day 5:

DISCUSSION: (U)

n/a

RECOMMENDATION: (U)

The binders that are given out to us is also a useful resource to have. We're able to take notes as teaches and have them in place so we can always go back and reference them.



IMPLICATIONS: (U)

COMMENTS: (U)

EVENT DESCRIPTION: (U)

SYSTEM LEVEL METADATA

- DOTMLPF & Policy
- Training

METADATA

TASK LIST

ANALYSIS CODES

PERSPECTIVES

**LINKED ISSUES
ID/TITLE**

SOURCE DOCUMENTS

Class File Name	Description	File Size	Posted By	Posted On
------------------------	--------------------	------------------	------------------	------------------

SUBMITTER/ORIGINATOR INFORMATION

FIRST NAME:

RANK:

LAST NAME:

UNIT:

PHONE:

DSN:

EMAIL:

POSTING INFORMATION

FIRST

(b)(6)

RANK: CIV

NAME:

LAST

(b)(6)

UNIT: DLA - Philadelphia

NAME:

PHONE:

DSN:


EMAIL:

(b)(6)

[View Observation Online](#)

ID:	156508	User Group:	
Legacy ID:	N/A	Event Date:	25 Jul 2016
Status:	Active	Creation Date:	25 Jul 2016
Visibility:	Published	Update Date:	02 Aug 2016
Organization:	DLA	Event Name:	MMCT TRAINING DLSC90000075
OPR:	DLA	Event Type:	TRAINING EXERCISE
Unit:			
Country:			
Lat/Lon:			

TOPIC: (U) MMCT Week 2



OBSERVATIONS: (U)

MMCT - Week 2 Course Evaluation

-
1. Q: Considering the organization of the course materials in relation to the objectives for each unit, were the training aids, equipment, and activities appropriate for understanding the subject matter?

Day 1: I found the materials used were an excellent source of information that focused on our learning objectives.

Day 2: I found that the Real Life Scenarios were a big help for me identifying the systems and researching pertinent information. Also the use of the Camouflage Binder is very helpful.

Day 3: All course material was pertinent to the subject matter.

Day 4: Overall, the course was beneficial to my understanding of the various PLFA websites and how to utilize them. Again, very appreciative of the Camouflage Binder, it helped tremendously.

Day 5:

2. Q: What part of the day's activities did you find the most valuable?

Day 1: The most valuable part of today's activities was engaging in the Group activity.

Day 2: Preparing and Presenting a Brief was very important to me being that I need formal

UNCLASSIFIED

critiques to help me when I Deploy.

Day 3: I found the activities very tough. Briefing is not as easy as it may seem. Knowing your subject helps tremendously.

Day 4: I found the HADR information very important and what systems to utilize in those events.

Day 5:

3. Q: What part of the day's activities did you find the least valuable?

Day 1: I didn't find any subject matter to be least valuable, because everything discussed will be needed for future use.

Day 2: I will again state that the subject matter had what I needed to prepare me for an actual Brief, so it's very much valuable.

Day 3: All information was valuable and very important to the subject matter.

Day 4: All information was valuable and very important to the subject matter.

Day 5:

DISCUSSION: (U)

(U) The Material Management Contingency Training Course (MMCT) is intended for those personnel designated to serve as members of a DLA Support Team or who serve in Emergency Essential (EE) designated positions. It is also relevant for other members of DLA who support deployed troops from other than forward locations.

The objective of the course is to provide the students with a comprehensive overview of specific topics pertaining directly to DLA logistical support of deployed forces.

Students who successfully complete this course will be able to accomplish the following:

- Represent DLA as part of a DST in an active theater of operations.
- Analyze logistical problems that may inhibit tactical operation and recommend solutions.
- Interrogate automated information systems and other sources to extract data relevant to customer logistical problems.
- Identify DLA organizations and find appropriate points of contact in each

RECOMMENDATION: (U)

UNCLASSIFIED

IMPLICATIONS: (U)

COMMENTS: (U)

EVENT DESCRIPTION: (U)

SYSTEM LEVEL METADATA

- DOTMLPF & Policy
- Training

METADATA

TASK LIST

ANALYSIS CODES

PERSPECTIVES

LINKED ISSUES

ID/TITLE

SOURCE DOCUMENTS

Class File Name	Description	File Size	Posted By	Posted On
------------------------	--------------------	------------------	------------------	------------------

SUBMITTER/ORIGINATOR INFORMATION

FIRST NAME:

RANK:

LAST NAME:

UNIT:

PHONE:

DSN:

EMAIL:

POSTING INFORMATION

FIRST

(b)(6)

RANK: CIV

NAME:

LAST

(b)(6)

UNIT:

NAME:

PHONE:

(b)(6)

DSN:

(b)(6)

EMAIL:

[View Observation Online](#)

ID:	156510	User Group:	
Legacy ID:	N/A	Event Date:	18 Jul 2016
Status:	Active	Creation Date:	25 Jul 2016
Visibility:	Published	Update Date:	27 Jul 2016
Organization:	DLA	Event Name:	MMCT TRAINING DLSC90000075
OPR:	DLA	Event Type:	TRAINING EXERCISE
Unit:	DLA Central		
Country:	United States		
Lat/Lon:	(U) 38, -97 (Approximate)		

TOPIC: (U) MMCT Week 1 Evaluation

(b)(6)

OBSERVATIONS: (U)

1. Q: Considering the organization of the course materials in relation to the objectives for each unit, were the training aids, equipment, and activities appropriate for understanding the subject matter?

Day 1: Computer equipment was functional and sufficient.

Day 2: Slide 64 Section 6 still has Kuwait as a Distribution Center. New active one is in Bahrain.

Day 3: Issue with permissions that required support from Help Desk

Day 4: Slow internet connectivity with IGC

Day 5: Test was a good indicator of potential data sources.

1. Q: What part of the day's activities did you find the most valuable?

Day 1: Syllabus walkthrough and Appendix Highlight

Day 2: JLLIS Training

Day 3: EMail very helpful

Day 4: IGC training further expanded my knowledge of system capabilities

Day 5: Additional system information on Army web systems.

1. Q: What part of the day's activities did you find the least valuable?

Day 1: Requisition walkthrough (RON/DON). Already knew the information as a former Army Logistician.

UNCLASSIFIED

Day 2: Service Information. Already knew due to previous Joint Assignments

Day 3: Lunch

Day 4: Donuts....can't resist them.

Day 5: JLLIS continues to have issues with my Observation inputs.

DISCUSSION: (U)

Overall first week was very helpful to understanding the systems

RECOMMENDATION: (U)

IMPLICATIONS: (U)

COMMENTS: (U)

EVENT DESCRIPTION: (U)

SYSTEM LEVEL METADATA

- DOTMILPF & Policy
- Training

METADATA

TASK LIST

ANALYSIS CODES

PERSPECTIVES

LINKED ISSUES

ID/TITLE

SOURCE DOCUMENTS

Class	File Name	Description	File Size	Posted By	Posted On
--------------	------------------	--------------------	------------------	------------------	------------------

SUBMITTER/ORIGINATOR INFORMATION

FIRST NAME:

RANK:

LAST NAME:

UNIT:

PHONE:

DSN:

EMAIL:

UNCLASSIFIED

POSTING INFORMATION

FIRST NAME: (b)(6)

RANK: CIV

LAST NAME: (b)(6)

UNIT: DLA

PHONE: (b)(6)

DSN: (b)(6)

EMAIL:

[View Observation Online](#)

ID:	156616	User	
Legacy ID:	N/A	Group:	
Status:	Closed	Event Date:	31 Mar 2016
Visibility:	Published	Creation Date:	28 Jul 2016
		Update Date:	28 Jul 2016
Organization:	Office of National Capital Region Coordination	Event Name:	FEMA-NSSE-2016-Nuclear Security Summit
OPR:	Office of National Capital Region Coordination	Event Type:	National Special Security Event (NSSE)
Unit:			
Country:			
Lat/Lon:			

TOPIC: (U) (AFI) Liaison Reporting Procedure [ONCRC, R3]

OBSERVATIONS: (U)

We do a good job of depicting the critical information requirements and have a link in the LNO Guide for automated sending of SPOT reports for them. What is not so clear is the expectation for LNO reporting of lower level incidents that could develop into a significant / CIR related situation.

DISCUSSION: (U)

The LNOs at the Multiagency Coordination Center (MACC) have visibility to multiple law enforcement and public safety organizations. MACC LNOs monitor events in the Homeland Security Information Network (HSIN) event tracker and, in many cases, have video feeds for incidents in the tracker. The US Secret Service (USSS) leads often provide verbal updates for some of these incidents. During the Nuclear Security Summit (NSS) MACC LNOs reviewed the HSIN events and made judgment calls on which might be of interest or could rise to a CIR level activity. MACC LNOs generally captured each of the HSIN events in their LNO Activity Log (ICS 215). They selectively sent SPOT reports to the NCR Watch and ERT-A Operations Chief. One example of an incident like this, with an associated update, is shown below.

01APR/0738: As of 0620 The DC National Guard reports - Protest gathering in the vicinity of 11th and L Street. Approximately 500 people. DC Guard personnel have eyes on and are monitoring. No issues to report at this time. Sent SPOT Report to NCR Watch - Posted to Web EOC.

The LNO's judgment was that the size and location of this event...though not at CIR...was cause to at least report it as "early warning." As it happens, this event later escalated and the SPOT report below was sent forward.

01APR/1053: Initial Report from 0738 by the DC National Guard - Protest gathering in the vicinity of 11th and L Street. Approximately 500 people. DC Guard personnel have eyes on and are

UNCLASSIFIED

monitoring. No issues to report at this time.

As of 1010 - Protest is becoming unruly. MPD is responding. Sent SPOT report to NCR Watch at 1053

RECOMMENDATION: (U)

MACC LNOs do not know whether the ERT-A found these reports useful or if the ERT-A was already monitoring them through HSIN or other means.

If NSSE LNOs are supposed to use WebEOC for monitoring (activity reporting, etc.). It makes sense to have the LNO boards include all critical links and information needed. It would also be a good idea to inform the LNOs of the need to obtain the LNO view in WebEOC in advance of the event and to provide time, perhaps during a pre-event exercise, for them to open this view and learn what boards are available and where to find them. Since LNOs were not sure where their activity reports were being posted (Region III, ERT-A, NRCC, etc.) we tended to limit items posted to the activity log in WebEOC while using a more detailed log (ICS 215) of nearly all actions taken during the shift. This created duplication of effort for significant actions that were recorded in both places.

IMPLICATIONS: (U)

COMMENTS: (U)

Corrective Action: (1) A call was held with Liaisons to clarify reporting procedures and expectations. (2) The Liaison Officer Reference Guide is being revised to ensure that clear guidance on reporting procedures is codified. ONCRC LNOs and Region III Operations are providing comments to ensure a comprehensive and compatible updated LNO guide.

EVENT DESCRIPTION: (U)

SYSTEM LEVEL METADATA

- DOTMLPF & Policy
 - Organization
 - Personnel

METADATA

- POETE
 - Organization

TASK LIST

ANALYSIS CODES

UNCLASSIFIED

PERSPECTIVES

**LINKED ISSUES
ID/TITLE**

SOURCE DOCUMENTS

Class File Name	Description	File Size	Posted By	Posted On
------------------------	--------------------	------------------	------------------	------------------

SUBMITTER/ORIGINATOR INFORMATION

FIRST NAME:

RANK:

LAST NAME:

UNIT:

PHONE:

DSN:

EMAIL:

POSTING INFORMATION

**FIRST
NAME:**

(b)(6)

RANK: CIV

**LAST
NAME:**

(b)(6)

UNIT:

PHONE:

DSN:

EMAIL:

(b)(6)

[View Observation Online](#)

ID:	156617	User	
Legacy ID:	N/A	Group:	
Status:	Closed	Event Date:	31 Mar 2016
Visibility:	Published	Creation Date:	28 Jul 2016
		Update Date:	28 Jul 2016
Organization:	Office of National Capital Region Coordination	Event Name:	FEMA-NSSE-2016-Nuclear Security Summit
OPR:	Office of National Capital Region Coordination	Event Type:	National Special Security Event (NSSE)
Unit:			
Country:			
Lat/Lon:			

TOPIC: (U) (AFI) WebEOC Operational Tempo for Meetings [ONCRC, R3]

OBSERVATIONS: (U)

Up & sustain - synchronization & communications between ONCRC & DCE continues to improve. HSIN has aided the Defense Coordinating Element (DCE) in gaining situational awareness on OFA's & LFAs actions.

DISCUSSION: (U)

Up & sustain Communications support from MERS to the DCE is excellent as usual. MERS team always assists when we have coms issues.

RECOMMENDATION: (U)

Down & improve - WEBEOC we should be utilizing the "Field Ops Tempo" for our meetings. Although for this event there were only a couple of meetings it would be beneficial to have a one stop shop for the Operational Tempo or "Battle Rhythm". If an event occurs then we would all have SA on the meeting schedule because it will increase tenfold...

IMPLICATIONS: (U)**COMMENTS:** (U)

Corrective Action: (1) Will ensure all ERT-A partners deployed during activations are informed of

operational tempo by generating and maintaining a contact list during activations.

EVENT DESCRIPTION: (U)

SYSTEM LEVEL METADATA

- DOTMLPF & Policy
 - Organization
 - Personnel

METADATA

TASK LIST

ANALYSIS CODES

PERSPECTIVES

LINKED ISSUES

ID/TITLE

SOURCE DOCUMENTS

Class File Name	Description	File Size	Posted By	Posted On
-----------------	-------------	-----------	-----------	-----------

SUBMITTER/ORIGINATOR INFORMATION

FIRST NAME:

RANK:

LAST NAME:

UNIT:

PHONE:

DSN:

EMAIL:

POSTING INFORMATION

FIRST NAME:

(b)(6)

RANK: CIV

LAST NAME:

(b)(6)

UNIT:

PHONE:

DSN:

EMAIL:

(b)(6)

[View Observation Online](#)

ID:	156618	User	
Legacy ID:	N/A	Group:	
Status:	Closed	Event Date:	31 Mar 2016
Visibility:	Published	Creation Date:	28 Jul 2016
		Update Date:	03 Aug 2016
Organization:	Office of National Capital Region Coordination	Event Name:	FEMA-NSSE-2016-Nuclear Security Summit
OPR:	Office of National Capital Region Coordination	Event Type:	National Special Security Event (NSSE)
Unit:			
Country:			
Lat/Lon:			

TOPIC: (U) (STR) What if Discussion Held as Result of NSSE Information [ONCRC, R3]

OBSERVATIONS: (U)

The Pentagon Force Protection Agency (PFPA) held a "What if..." discussion following the NSSE Nuclear Security Summit that proved useful for them

DISCUSSION: (U)

The PFPA appreciated all the information and help that they received during the event. They planned to look at how to incorporate this into their own work.

RECOMMENDATION: (U)**IMPLICATIONS:** (U)**COMMENTS:** (U)

No action required.

EVENT DESCRIPTION: (U)**SYSTEM LEVEL METADATA**

- DOTMLPF & Policy
 - Organization
 - Leadership & Education

METADATA

- POETE
 - Organization
 - Planning

TASK LIST

ANALYSIS CODES

PERSPECTIVES

**LINKED ISSUES
ID/TITLE**

SOURCE DOCUMENTS

Class File Name	Description	File Size	Posted By	Posted On
------------------------	--------------------	------------------	------------------	------------------

SUBMITTER/ORIGINATOR INFORMATION

FIRST NAME:

RANK:

LAST NAME:

UNIT:

PHONE:

DSN:

EMAIL:

POSTING INFORMATION

**FIRST
NAME:**

(b)(6)

RANK: CIV

**LAST
NAME:**

(b)(6)

UNIT:

PHONE:

DSN:

EMAIL:

(b)(6)