

2/3

3

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
THE MILITARY ASSISTANT

M. AMITAGE

Yours

U.S.

C. J.

Page determined to be Unclassified
Reviewed Chief, RDD, WHS
IAW EO 13526, Section 3.5
Date: AUG 26 2013

57024

Office of the Secretary of Defense 50, s. 5-522
Chief: RDD, ESD, WHS
Date: 29 AUG 2013 Authority: EO 13526
Declassify: 4 Deny in Full
Declassify in Part
Reason
MDR: 13-M-5/11

04-F-062
13M-311
R-2

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

Page determined to be Unclassified
Reviewed Chief, RDD, WHS
IAW EO 13526, Section 3.5.
Date: - AUG 26 2013

Colin,

Dante Fuscell wants to
release this ltr to Major Koch -
FBI wants to "think about it"
Their investigation is ongoing.

I told Fuscell: NO me
He just relearned. Don't
seem to have any problem w/
releasing this. Koch has
certainly seen this (else why
would he know about it?)
P

(37)



INTERNATIONAL
SECURITY AFFAIRS

DISTRIBUTION:

- OSD
- OSD/LA
- CONG. REL.
- ASD/ISA
- DASD/NESA
- NESA (2) *Hofmann*
- R&C (2)

Prepared by: COL Hofmann

ENSE

I-17192/83

13 DEC 1983

Honorable Dante B. Fascell
 Chairman, Subcommittee on
 International Operations
 U.S. House of Representatives
 Washington, D.C. 20515

Page determined to be Unclassified
 Reviewed Chief, RDD, WHS
 IAW EO 13526, Section 3.5
 Date:

AUG 26 2013

Dear Congressman:

Secretary Weinberger asked that I reply to your letter of December 1, 1983, in regard to the matter of the purported transcript of his meeting with Prince Sultan, which was published in the Lebanese newspaper Al Safir.

Having also attended that meeting, I can assure you that the purported transcript is a total falsehood and another example of crude disinformation. As you may be aware, hostile intelligence services often resort to published forgeries in an effort to influence U.S. public opinion. We have not been able to ascertain the origin of this forgery, as the author of the news story, Al Safir's Washington Correspondent Chouki Rafeh claims that he received the document in French, mailed in an envelope with a Paris postmark. It is clear, however, that it aims to discredit Secretary Weinberger through the imputation that he is concealing information from the President and is overly close to the Saudis, as well as publicizing the close U.S.-Saudi defense cooperation in a manner to arouse opposition both among supporters of Israel in the U.S. and perhaps among radical Arabs.


Please be advised that no transcript of the proceedings was kept. Naturally Secretary Weinberger sent a reporting cable to the President and the Secretary of State immediately following the talks. As you know, these cables are always private and are communicated only to those in the White House and the State Department who have a need to know.

In general terms, the principal focus of the meeting was on the Lebanon crisis, as the meeting took place at the time Secretary Shultz was conducting his shuttle diplomacy in the Middle East in his effort to break the deadlock. Secretary Weinberger was most interested in exploring approaches by Saudi Arabia that would persuade Syria to withdraw from Lebanon, as Israel had just agreed to withdraw its forces.

There were also discussions concerning recent GCC visitors to Washington and exchanges of views concerning the situation in the Gulf.

In addition to these topics, the whole range of bilateral security relations was also discussed, as is the case every time we hold our joint military meetings with the Saudis. I can assure you that, of course, no commitments were made on arms sales, as obviously none could be made without the support of both the Executive and the Legislative Branches. This is very well known to the Saudis as a result of the debates over the AWACS planes and other items. That is why the newspaper article is so absurd.

Sincerely,



Richard L. Armitage
Assistant Secretary of Defense
(International Security Affairs)

Page determined to be Unclassified
Reviewed Chief, RDD, WHS
IAW EO 13526, Section 3.5
Date: AUG 26 2013