

04-F-0625

1983 SEP 14 PM 5:33

OFFICE OF
THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE



THE CITY OF NEW YORK
OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
NEW YORK, N.Y. 10007

Page determined to be Unclassified
Reviewed Chief, RDD, WHS
IAW EO 13526, Section 3.5
Date: AUG 21 2013

September 14, 1983

MS VINCENTA
212 566 1300
MAYOR KOCH OFFICE
3 PAGES PRL
389 DEAL #4252

43

The Honorable Caspar W. Weinberger
Secretary of Defense
The Pentagon
Washington, D.C. 20301

Dear Mr. Secretary:

Attached is a copy of the letter I sent to you on September 1
regarding a matter that I believe to be very important. To date,
I have not received your response.

You were very distressed when I released my letter to you of
August 17 after having provided you with the original. This time,
to oblige you, I decided not to release my September 1st letter
until I had received your response. I would ask that you give this
your earliest consideration.

All the best.

Office of the Secretary of Defense 545C 572
Chief, RDD, ESD, WHS and
Date: 27 AUG 2013 Authority: EO 13526
Declassify: X Deny in Full: _____
Declassify in Part: _____
Reason: _____
MDR: 13-M-3151

Sincerely,

Edward I. Koch
Mayor

enclosure

13-M-3151

45336

1



THE CITY OF NEW YORK
OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
NEW YORK, N.Y. 10007

September 1, 1983

The Honorable Caspar W. Weinberger
Secretary of Defense
The Pentagon
Washington, D.C. 20301

Page determined to be Unclassified
Reviewed Chief, RDD, WHS
IAW EO 13526, Section 3.5

Date: AUG 21 2013

Dear Mr. Secretary:

Thank you for your letter of August 25. I can certainly appreciate your concern over this matter as I hope you can appreciate mine. I share your expressed view that "the American public is ill-served by the confusion created by this obvious forgery" but I am "surprised and disappointed" (to use your words) that you would imply that I am in part responsible for that confusion.

As you know, the transcript at issue was published in the Lebanese news magazine, Al Sapir, on July 17, 1983. For more than a month, there was no denial, demand for retraction or any public explanation on your part or on the part of our government whether in the Lebanese press or here at home. Certainly these allegations were serious enough to the United States as well as our allies, to warrant reply of some sort. It was their initial publication and the failure of response which is responsible for any possible "confusion". As a public official and in my capacity as a private citizen when I became aware of these charges I felt a duty to see to it that the record was set straight. To my knowledge you have not yet responded to Al Sapir, if you have I would appreciate receiving your reply. While I would never take the position that your own comments on this matter are anything but the truth, I sincerely believe that in order to maintain America's credibility with our allies, you must dispel even the perception that such a recitation of the May 12 meeting could conceivably be true. I believe this issue must be addressed more carefully and more specifically because although personally I would accept at face value your assertion that the transcript is an "obvious forgery" others who are not so trusting do not. In order to put this matter to rest completely, I suggest that you might address the allegation that your meeting with the Saudi Defense Minister on May 12, in Paris, included discussion of the following issues:

the Israeli-Japanese withdrawal agreement; the Saudi request for a squadron of F-15 jets (and President Reagan's ignorance of this request because of the fear of his leaking information to Congress); the Regional Integrated Air Defense system; the sale to Israel of the "Laviv" jet; and the Saudi request of M-1 tanks, which even the American forces do not have.

Your letter and public denial related to what appeared in the transcript. You and I know that there can be nuances which would make the transcript "a complete fabrication" but nevertheless allow for the delivery to Saudi Arabia of the advanced equipment but in different quantities and at different times and under different circumstances, and covered at the meeting of May 12.


If you can unequivocally deny having discussed these items at the May 12 meeting it would be imperative to do so. Certainly, if you have made the alleged commitments on arm sales or deliveries, which after all are subject to Congressional approval, and have to date withheld such information from the public, you now have an obligation to disclose it whatever the political strategy might have been to keep it secret, if that be the case.

America has a right to know for what, if anything, it is committed to the Saudis in the way of arm sales and deserves to know now rather than after the 1984 presidential elections. Therefore, I again urge that you fully comment on the allegations above especially those relating to the delivery of arms.

All the best.

Page determined to be Unclassified
Reviewed Chief, RDD, WNS
IAW EO 13526, Section 3.5
Date: AUG 21 2013

Sincerely,



Edward I. Koch
MAYOR

P.S. I would appreciate your
earliest response. This
matter should not linger

Copy by telex
Original sent by mail

(3)