



POLICY

~~SECRET/SENSITIVE~~
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
THE UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301

22 APR 1980 16 07

Pak

-PRC

mtg

I-21829/80 A

112

21 April 1980

22 APR 1980
SECDEF HAS SEEN

4/22
H73

MEMORANDUM FOR SECDEF -- INFO

SUBJECT: Pak PRC, 21 April 1980



Hummel -- More worried about what Paks will do when they find out no new US aid till '82 at earliest, plus risk if Saudis/UAE don't come through. Will Paks decide anti-Soviet stance too expensive, and cut back on aid to Afghans?

Chris -- Try and retain as much of an "in" as we can. It important to our purposes, and to perceptions of our PG/IO resolve. Debt rescheduling best device to this end.

Zbig -- Agrees with Chris. Let's not expose ourselves to further embarrassment, but do what we can. Debt re-scheduling best gambit.

Also provide equipment list, as evidence of our willingness respond. Include M-60s.

Also time to send letter to Zia. But draft too formal. Should say public polemics not helpful; they complicate relationship. Should also take credit for economic aid (including from FRG and Japan). Should say we attach importance to Afghan resistance as serving our joint purposes.

Hummel -- Wants more than equipment list. Wants propose planning advice on type of forces. Would make both offers. Since Paks turned us down once on joint planning, I said let's do no more than remind Paks that offer still on table.

Owen -- Debt rescheduling has two problems: (1) will Congress go along with waiving "imminent default?" It's precedent-setting; (2) where do we get the money? Congress will want to know where FY 81 money coming from. Real

Declassify 21 Apr 90
Reason: 2-301.c.7

~~SECRET/SENSITIVE~~

15-m-1685

ENTIRE TEXT

SEC DEF CONTR No. X-1981

07991

380-82-0217, box 14, Pakistan C17 Apr

DECLASSIFIED IN PART
Authority: EO 13526
Chief, Records & Declas Div, WHS
Date: SEP 12 2016

OSD 3.3(b)(1)
CIA 3.3(b)(1)

~~SECRET/SENSITIVE~~

2

figure more like \$60M if confined to capital replacement, 122M if interest included (but Allies won't go along). More sensible to focus on FY 82.

Bergsten -- Postponing to '82 would make it look like foreign aid, and other countries will queue up for same.

Consensus -- Postpone issue till after Budget Resolution passed.

Keeny -- Should Zia letter include nuclear reminder?

Hummel -- 500K in IMET won't buy us much. Paks already buy training for cash, and will buy more if they get OPEC money.

Komer -- Let's go ahead with M-60 tanks, since India already has T-70s. Indians could hardly object to 100-200 M-60s for Paks by about '82-83.

Chris -- Should we seek FY 82 funds (ESF)? Consensus was to start planning but tell no one.

RWK

R. W. Komer

cc: ASD/ISA

Office of the Secretary of Defense *Sub. 148352*
Chief, RDD, ESD, WHS
Date: *12 Sep 2016* Authority: EO 13526
Declassify: _____ Deny in Full: _____
Declassify in Part: *X*
Reason: *3.3 (b)(1)*
MDR: *15 -M- 1685*

DECLASSIFIED IN FULL
Authority: EO 13526
Chief, Records & Declass Div, WHS
Date: SEP 12 2016

~~SECRET/SENSITIVE~~