



INTERNATIONAL
SECURITY AFFAIRS

OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

2400 DEFENSE PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301-2400



MEMORANDUM FOR UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR POLICY

THROUGH: ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR INTERNATIONAL SECURITY AFFAIRS *FC Smith* 11 JAN 1995

FROM: DIRECTOR BOSNIA TASK FORCE *DASD has seen J Shaffer 1/9*
Prepared by T. Walton, Bosnia Task Force, x56516

SUBJECT: Support for ICFY Mission in Serbia and Montenegro

I have received a copy of Under Secretary of State Tarnoff's December 30, 1994, letter to you and wanted to provide my assessment and recommendation.

As you will recall, the original decision, made last October, was that DoD would provide half of the U.S. commitment (20 individuals) to the International Conference on Former Yugoslavia (ICFY) monitoring mission, and State would provide the other half. We decided to contract out our share to MPRI, while State sent people from its own ranks. The cost of our contract for 20 individuals for one year will be \$4.1 million.

The original State TDYs will expire on or about January 21, and the Department does not have the people to replace them. Instead, State has offered to provide 5 TDY personnel and \$300,000 per 90-day period that the mission continues. To clarify some of the numbers in Mr. Tarnoff's letter: DoD, under this proposal, is to provide funding for 45 personnel (requiring an additional 25 people vice 20 as stated in Mr. Tarnoff's letter) and State will send 5, for a total U.S. contribution of 50 (an increase of 10 from the original commitment, as agreed by the Deputies Committee last month). The exact cost to DoD to expand the contract is subject to negotiation but will certainly mean an additional commitment of several million dollars.

Reviewed by DASD/EUR *has seen J Shaffer 1/9*



To further clarify the situation, the Interagency Sanctions Task Force did not agree to accept the State offer of \$300,00 per 90-day period. Working through the Task Force, DoD offered to allow State to participate in our contract, and State said they would get back to us with a report on how much money they could contribute. Mr. Tarnoff's letter is that offer. Our State counterparts claim their peacekeeping funds are all committed and the \$300,000 per 90-day period is the best they can do.

Under this proposal State is not assuming the "substantial share of DOD's incremental costs" that Mr. Tarnoff mentions. In interagency meetings our position has consistently been that State is welcome to participate in our contract but it should assume a fair share of the financial burden.

Recommendation: That you sign the memorandum at Tab A, which enables the Army, as the executive agent for this project, to begin the process of negotiating an expansion of the contract. This renegotiation will give us the facts we need on exactly how much additional costs there will be. We should not sign the expanded contract, however, until we can assess the new price and determine what State will contribute. DoD must not get stuck with a disproportionate share of the financial burden of this mission.

A copy of Mr. Tarnoff's letter is at Tab B.



THE UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20301-2000

POLICY

I-95/41838

MEMORANDUM FOR ARMY DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF FOR
OPERATIONS AND PLANS

FROM: UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR POLICY

SUBJECT: Support for ICFY Mission in Serbia and Montenegro

Request you begin making arrangements for further monitors for the International Conference on Former Yugoslavia (ICFY) mission in Serbia and Montenegro. As indicated by the attached letter, the Department of State is no longer able to provide as many personnel for this mission as they have in the past, and it is important that the United States continue to play a significant role in this project.

The key points should be:

- Provide an additional 25 personnel, for a total of 45.
- Deploy the additional personnel as soon as possible.
- The duration should remain open-ended, as we do not know when the mission will be completed.

This request is in order to determine what the additional costs will be for an expansion of the mission. You should not make any final arrangements until DoD has reviewed the additional costs and we determine how much the State Department can contribute.

cc: Army Chief of Staff
Secretary of the Army

Coordination:

Comptroller J. Dainler 1/13/95

GC Phone chop from Ryder/Drake 1/13/95

United States Department of State

*Under Secretary of State
for Political Affairs*

Washington, D.C. 20520

December 30, 1994

Dear Walt:

Through the auspices of the Interagency Sanctions Task Force, I understand we have reached a consensus on how to staff the U.S. complement for the International Conference on Former Yugoslavia's border mission in Serbia and Montenegro. The IATF reports that DOD would contract for 20 additional individuals, State would contribute 5 officers to provide diplomatic assistance and also make a contribution to offset a substantial part of DOD's incremental costs.

The Department appreciates DOD's willingness to contract individuals to fulfill the principals' decision to send 50 people to participate in the border monitor mission. By all reports, the contractors you have already sent to join the ICFY mission last November have done a superb job.

We understand that the contractor may be able to deploy these additional persons by January 21. The Department would contribute to the additional expense of the contract by transferring to DOD \$300,000 for each 90-day period the contract remains in force, through the end of FY 95.

This is a good resolution to an important issue.

Best regards,

Peter / by pg
Peter Ternoff

The Honorable
Walter B. Slocumbe
Under Secretary for Policy,
Department of Defense.