

All redactions in this document utilize  
exemptions (b)(6) and (b)(7)(C)

## Exhibit 18

**SWORN STATEMENT**

For use of this form, see AR 190-45; the proponent agency is PMG.

**PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT**

**AUTHORITY:** Title 10, USC Section 301; Title 5, USC Section 2951; E.O. 9397 Social Security Number (SSN).

**PRINCIPAL PURPOSE:** To document potential criminal activity involving the U.S. Army, and to allow Army officials to maintain discipline, law and order through investigation of complaints and incidents.

**ROUTINE USES:** Information provided may be further disclosed to federal, state, local, and foreign government law enforcement agencies, prosecutors, courts, child protective services, victims, witnesses, the Department of Veterans Affairs, and the Office of Personnel Management. Information provided may be used for determinations regarding judicial or non-judicial punishment, other administrative disciplinary actions, security clearances, recruitment, retention, placement, and other personnel actions.

**DISCLOSURE:** Disclosure of your SSN and other information is voluntary.

1. LOCATION AFRRJ	2. DATE (YYYYMMDD) 2013/10/04	3. TIME 0830	4. FILE NUMBER
5. LAST NAME, FIRST NAME, MIDDLE NAME (b)(6)	6. SSN (b)(6)	7. GRADE/STATUS (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)	
8. ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS Uniformed Services university			

9. I, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), WANT TO MAKE THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT UNDER OATH:

On or about 0830 EST on the 4th of October I had an appointment with (b)(6) Page for the purposes of responding to his request for a statement regarding the Investigation into matters concerning the administration and operation of the Operational Emergency Medicine course conducted by DMI and its principal Dr John Hagmann. COL (b)(6) identified himself as the duly appointed investigating officer and provided the letter of appointment. He informed me that he was guided by AR 15-6, the Navy JAGMAN and the AF CDI regulations. The interview would be question and answer format and that he would later type this report form my review however I received the typed questions and responded to them in a word document and he pasted them into this form.. Questions (Q) were asked by COL (b)(6) and my responses were answered immediately follow.

Q What is your title and principal duties and responsibilities?

Spring 2011 – arrival at USUHS as Academic and Education Support Director, MEM

Winter 2012 – title change to Department Vice-Chair, Administration and Finance, duties expanded including NCOs supervision providing course support for programs

June 2013 – New Department Chair: title change to Associate Chair, Personnel and Administration (on MEM org chart), duty limited to finance and admin oversight only, NCOs moved back to faculty (that decision recently reversed)

Q How are requests for outside rotations in the department of MEM processed both summer experiences and non- summer experiences?

First, I will assume the rotation in question is summer clerkship travel (also known as military medicine field study travel, or summer operational experience travel).

In documentation prior to curricular reform in 2012, this summer period was called summer clerkship; part of military medicine field studies (MMFS). When the department's request in 2012 to discontinue this clerkship experience was denied by the curricular reform committee, Col (b)(6) and other faculty in charge of reform labeled this clerkship a summer operational experience (SOE). In my mind (indicated on/in SOE paperwork for 2012) it remained a clerkship, treated as all other clerkships at the University. This is an important point I make later, student travel was treated differently at the University level.

Our faculty continued to adapt the name to an experience. In 2013 documents in the department changed to reflect this new title, hence my guess the experience in question is actually the clerkship being done in our department for several years prior to my arrival. The reason I bring this up is administratively, we followed the Office of Student Affairs (OSA) DTS & GTC training guidance. MEM also used the Brigades briefings when creating training material for DTS completion for summer experiences and on a few occasions, all students were briefed initially by the Brigade on these topics. This covered the student travel expectations, what to include, and what is required for any students travel.

Second, I am not aware of any department sanctioned (and I mean curriculum-based following our MEM threads of study) non -summer experience for students to include non-USU based clerkships, except for emergency medicine rotations in 2013 and travel for bushmaster and Antietam (done with accountability roster since Fort Indian Town Gap is 128 miles away).

10. EXHIBIT	11. INITIALS OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT	PAGE 1 OF 6 PAGES
-------------	---	-------------------

ADDITIONAL PAGES MUST CONTAIN THE HEADING "STATEMENT OF \_\_\_\_\_ TAKEN AT \_\_\_\_\_ DATED \_\_\_\_\_"

THE BOTTOM OF EACH ADDITIONAL PAGE MUST BEAR THE INITIALS OF THE PERSON MAKING THE STATEMENT, AND PAGE NUMBER MUST BE INDICATED.



STATEMENT OF

(b)(6)

TAKEN AT

AFRRJ

DATED 2013/10/04

## 9. STATEMENT (Continued)

Here is how the summer experience works.

Travel at the University requires finance approval, contracting travel office reviews, security and international foreign travel review and specific trainings, forms, and or documents. Included in this are initial travel request forms routed to supervisors for faculty and staff supporting student travel, any foreign clearance paperwork required, government passport applications, and/or a don't forget notice to DoD if applicable for conference travel.

After all the money is moved, and approvals from various sources at the University or Schools and enrollment or conference fee paperwork are done, the traveler starts a DTS travel obligation. DTS isn't ready for approval until the student or staff builds the order, it is reviewed by an immediate supervisor, the order goes to the commercial travel office for booking, is certified by (b)(6) in finance for funding, then returns to my office for approval and obligation.

My task administratively originates with planning in spring, travel occurs mid-summer, after action reports creating accountability in late august. We used after action reports compared to class rosters to evaluate course completion statistics. Financially the summer travel budget isn't loaded until 3rd quarter as well, making any official clerkship travel before summer that is authorized or approved impossible. The summer experience is limited to 4-6 weeks long depending on service specific programs (USAF Aerospace Medicine Program) and local options (research, ultrasound, CDHAM).

As a student matches to a location, we gather all the student data from a class roster modified into an initial DTS tracking sheet in excel. Then, as in this year, we assign students in groups based on where they would travel even if staying local (local option example is research in the NCR or ultrasound course). This way the service lead knows which one of my support staff is acting as the liaison to help the process for the student. Students are asked to create orders; we even offer training (April of this year) as a refresher. So as we amend the list several times, first from the entire class to then only those actions needed in DTS to build travel orders, we start to enter the data and start the USU travel review process. As we draw near the end of travel period (summer), we pull open obligation reports in DTS to see who needs to file a voucher. Finance also sends up helpful reminders on students with open travel card bills.

To my knowledge, there is no mechanism by which MEM can manage non-summer clerkship experience. To support such a requirement, I would need involvement from the University level to fund travel accounts early (Dean, to Vice Prez, to Finance, to DTS line of accounting) and then follow the process outlined above to include utilizing a service lead for oversight. When sending anyone on a TDY, staff, faculty, or students, I look for material that is justification. This task is delegated to my staff on occasion. We must have a professional need to obligate travel and certify funding in the DTS system. As a medical service corps officer, my medical expertise and knowledge of what training an MSI needs is extremely limited. I therefore defer as the approver of travel to those service leads for proper training platforms, purposely created for accountability. To my knowledge and understanding, they have approved zero non-summer clerkship travel outside the summer period.

How the Summer 2013 OEMS-TA was handled.

To address "how are requests for ... rotations handled" ...and specifically this Summer 2013 OEMS-TA experience I will start at the 30,000 foot view and drill down to the "outside [USU] rotations." First, for the last two years in the early winter Col (b)(6) coordinated with OSA/or Brigade for a time to speak about the summer travel. Typically this is after generic orientation presentations by the Brigade on DTS, GTC, travel etc. In 2013, Col (b)(6) spoke on 24 January and it came as a surprise since as the administrative manager for the travel process, I was unaware of the briefing until the day before. Col (b)(6) built the brief and I tried in one day to circulate for concurrence/agreement from the service leads. My goal was buying input such as adding service lead names to the document from other staff to avoid any one person being overworked with details, process, and accountability. After receiving a copy of his brief, I made edits to include adding my name as the contact for anything OEMS, this was done so I could continue my commitment in 2013 of travel accountability to guide any questions on this sensitive topic. I also attended the brief and described the limitations on funding to include per diem restrictions. Col (b)(6) provided the brief, he cited the service leads to the students and the process was started. We discussed that if you want to travel, meal money is limited or non-existent due to University level funding and/or service provided school policy.

There were problems this year. After briefing this in January & working from April through June to match students to a limited budget, on June 4th I lost one administrative staff that commonly was the point for summer travel questions to the new department reorganization (b)(6)(b)(7). On June 4th I met with my staff (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) and assigned each one of them a set of names, based on location of travel and copied the service leads. It was June and well within the 30 day window mandated for any foreign clearance by USU. My specific guidance in the meeting was to contact students and based on closeness of the departure window, do everything to assist booking travel. I also highlighted the need to follow every rule including ethics forms; conference or training forms, etc. then build orders if necessary for the students working with the Service leads.

INITIALS OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT

(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

PAGE 2 OF 6 PAGES



STATEMENT OF (b)(6) TAKEN AT AFRRJ DATED 2013/10/04

## 9. STATEMENT (Continued)

I then copied the service leads on the 4 June message, identifying who was traveling at that point in time as a form of acknowledgment that we were working the service leads assignments. Then on June 18th I sent a reminder message, same message as before and included new text: "Mr. (b)(6) -- For the OEMS ones we need to do a payment in kind letter as well. Now they need orders. Based on feedback that Col (b)(6) may be allowed to send students to OEMS-TA by legal." Legal was involved this year, based on a review of OEMS/Hagman activity by OGC and the department. My understanding is based on emails from Col (b)(6). All of this was sent to me on 28 June 2013.

Then on June 19th after I audited the DTS status of students based on the 4 June roster. I made notes who had orders, who started orders, and who didn't have orders. Then I emailed the staff and service leads, with a strongly worded message to get this done. Also on the same day I emailed every traveling group of students to include OEMS-TA contacting Mr. (b)(6) to start building orders. Since I didn't know exactly where or when students would travel, in the event of travel MEM needed the paperwork done according to USU policy timely to avoid any last min mistakes preventing student travel. Mr. (b)(6) (b)(6) students were OEMS-TA & Fort Bragg and all had a zero DTS order status. This was understandable for OEMS-TA, based on an unknown travel status due to Col (b)(6) on going attorney discussion. I continued to track and request they follow policy when approving travel in other emails.

On 26 June I departed USUHS for 2 weeks leave and 5 weeks TDY. On June 28, Mr. (b)(6) updates me that there is "still no word on OEMS yet, (b)(6) is still in a meeting", same day I am emailed by Col (b)(6) "still fighting with the lawyers about OEMS". In my opinion this was justification for no one having orders for OEMS-TA. On the holiday weekend, 3 July, Mr. (b)(6) emails me to say OEMS-TA folks are completed. I took this at face value.

When I returned from my summer course, the new Chair explained an issue occurred with OEMS and travel was a concern. Since I wasn't provided any details prior to coming back and even upon returning to work on 24 August, I started asking my 2 remaining staff what happened, Mr. (b)(6) (b)(6) I had previously outlined what to do, follow all guidelines from USU required letters or forms verbally and at times in written emails. When I point blank asked Mr. (b)(6) what happened with OEMS-TA travel and if (b)(6) finished travel for OEMS-TA (b)(6) told me Col (b)(6) said forget about the OEMS-TA travel, only worry about Colorado. Mr. (b)(6) said (b)(6) took Col (b)(6) guidance, didn't email me for clarification, and didn't process and OEMS-TA travel. Mr. (b)(6) couldn't tell me why Col (b)(6) made these statements. At that point, I was working on a disciplinary action for Mr. (b)(6) a caution letter on performance. After providing him this letter, I counseled (b)(6) that as our (b)(6) for implementation and curriculum (b)(6) needs more ownership in every process and to make decisions or ask questions when told to take action that may violate any policy. Mr. (b)(6) had to tell me what Mr. (b)(6) couldn't, that the students were traveling locally to (b)(6) knowledge, not North Carolina. It is the Fredericksburg trip Col (b)(6) mentioned in my interview that I suspect became the North Carolina trip for OEMS-TA.

To the best of my knowledge, I instructed my staff to do everything according to policy; to me this was all very frustrating to now hear issues with OEMS-TA travel. For all intents and purposes email communication towards the end of June and into July from my staff indicated travel orders were being done, perhaps to their knowledge it was done correctly. I outlined and in some cases even specifically suggested what forms were needed to Mr. (b)(6) for travel. I first learned OEMS-TA was a go on the 4th of July weekend, Mr. (b)(6) stating "OEMS orders completed" in an email on 3 July 2013.

There is no way for one person in MEM to create an order sending students, without any other layer of accountability due to the systems design and my tiers of reviewer authorities. During my interview with Col (b)(6) did confirm a recent rumor that some MS1 students traveled in April of this year. This was a surprise to me and as best I recall I never knew anything about off-cycle travel for OEMS-TA. To my knowledge this was not any travel coordinated by my staff since my support tasking for travel occurs in mid-summer and administratively the system wasn't in place to even create orders. Col (b)(6) also mentioned frequent flyer miles being used by a student as given to him from a faculty member and to the best of my knowledge and recall at this time; I know nothing about that as well.

Q One testimony alleged that "if you think travel irregularities are bad this summer the summer of 2012 was much worse" can you explain that to me?

To the best of my knowledge, 2012 had very few travel issues in the end. The beginning of the process was rocky from an administration viewpoint. Past MEM statement of assurance documents for 10/11/12/13 address funding and manpower as a department weakness in reference to summer travel and 2012 was a perfect example. Limitations on funding are one reason the department wanted to drop the clerkships. USU funds \$700 a student on average making it difficult to administer any travel for the entire class. This historically drove decisions such as shared rooms, limited per-diem, and no-cost options. In the past if you were prior service, the policy was you didn't travel. This was an issue we corrected because say a prior enlisted cook or intelligence officer knows nothing about the line or medical side and needs exposure.

INITIALS OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT

(b)(6),(b)(7)  
(C)

PAGE 3 OF 6 PAGES



STATEMENT OF

(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

TAKEN AT AFRR

DATED 2013/10/04

## 9. STATEMENT (Continued)

So in early FY12 the budget was not fully loaded or even on target when the process started. It took several discussions at the USU level - Initial budget was \$161k, loaded was \$75K. This did impact the processing time line; we did have one NCO processing forms this year with limited support from Mr. (b)(6). We also were able to pay per diem for almost all travelers. So 2012 was financially better in the end, but the process started slowly due to administrative hiccups. Less people traveled, and oversight involved OSA/ Brigade. Starting in 2012 we did our best to rescue this established program by doubling the funding that the University gives us by accessing Service schools and having the Service pay for travel. Compared to previous years, 2012 had a better rounded program offering specific curriculum based opportunities.

Note: In 2012 - I emailed the GSA per diem manager and have copies of the proper way to reduce per diem and room sharing when trying to improve our program based on GSA rules, not USA or other service policy.

Q Do you have copies of Orders for students who traveled to Ft Bragg (non OEMS) and to NC, VA, Colorado (OEMS) as well as students who traveled to Gig harbor in the spring of this year?

Ft Bragg - Group assigned to (b)(6) see below

NC - is this OEMS TA? Assigned to (b)(6) see below

VA - Not sure who this is, the Frederick trip I heard about from COL (b)(6)

CO OEMS - Not sure who this is, is this Denver? See below

Gig Harbor - unsure who/ what/where this is. I didn't manage any travel in the spring. If this is what COL (b)(6) and I discussed as the April trip, I was not involved and do not have any details to share.

My 4 June 2013 tracking list is below, created from the class roster. We use this for a few months to plan/budget creating a list for costs initially for all travels and then enter data into DTS. This example tracks service lead assigned programs, by student name. By no means a final, it's the starting point of our planning but a good example:

Q I've been told that there is a "form" that needs to be signed in order to have made the trips to Dr. Hagmann's course "legal" can you show me this form?

No. I am not sure what the form is exactly.

Q Can you explain what "gifting" was as it pertains to attendance at Dr. Hagman's course?

No, I do not recall any discussion where I was involved in "gifting" or even the topic.

Q Can you explain how DMI came to provide a course of instruction on USU campus in the summer of 2012 to our students as well as outside agency?

No. In my meeting with COL (b)(6) I was surprised to learn two perceptions in this investigation: first DMI provided a course in 2012 that MEM or USU had nothing to do with and second, outside agencies attended. As mentioned in this statement, my staff planned FTX 201 (or OEMS) by creating supply budgets, space requests and team assignments. There are email trails from Maj (b)(6) (MEM OEMS 201 officer) talking about shift work for the NCOs and faculty. I also have a copy of course objectives only mentioning DMI on page 3 for a special weekend option. Additionally a recent award signed by President Rice for the 2012 OEMS program saying it was a USU/MEM activity is in my possession. Based on what I can recall today, material exists saying MEM is teaching & evaluating the course along with guest faculty, such as Dr. Hagman as guest faculty and other contributors providing instruction as well. I also have a FTX 201 (OEMS) introduction document created by my staff saying the course is provided by USUHS as well as guest staff. To the best of my knowledge, the University provided the course, as stated by the President's signature on the award. I want to stress, I was not involved in any curriculum design or implementation and was not at the course or working with the MEM faculty during the course.

The department did attempt to send 6 faculty to a course at another DoD location taught by Dr Hagman in April 2012 with the full intent of understanding all material in order to support Aug OEMS. Dr Hagman canceled this a week out, not even formally. He then went silent, adding to my growing list of frustrations at the time of working with him. So as best as I can recall, we did everything needed to plan and prepare, up to the point Dr Hagman vanished and it seems he only appeared shortly before OEMS in August 2012.

As for the second question: to the best of my knowledge at this time the only other participants I am aware of are international students. I believe these are the same students who attended the military and contingency medicine course and summer exercise. We did have some Canadians who stayed for a while at the University. Every year the University advertises international participation for various courses. In a message from my staff assisting international visits for the summer of 2012, (b)(6),(b)(7)(C) (terminated April 13) provided a list to Col (b)(6) of the students from various countries. This email was sent June 12 2012 to (b)(6),(b)(7)(C) at the University level. The email indicates they are students and or instructors and asked/offers the University assistance to facilitate the student participation.

INITIALS OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT

(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

PAGE 4 OF 6 PAGES

STATEMENT OF (b)(6)TAKEN AT AFRRIDATED 2013/10/04

## 9. STATEMENT (Continued)

Q When did DMI become a formalized component of instruction at USU? What mechanism formalized this relationship?  
Unknown.

Q. Are you aware of the Letter sent by OGC (OGC (b)(6)) outlining "acceptance of travel related expenses from sources other than the federal government" and how those instructions were adhered to by the department of MEM?

Yes. However, this travel process at USU is quite confusing and difficult to administer among the various parties at USU involved in programs and travel section prior to even building travel orders. Some emails referencing travel or attendance of conference or even going PDY say for faculty and staff only, meaning the policy exclude students ... unknown based on email content. Normally the various layers at USU catch any missing documentation.

To address OEMS-TA travel and its relationship to this question:

What I recently verified with Col (b)(6) is MEM gathered the proper paperwork for 2013, based on the older MOU to build the newest set of official documents under the guidance of OGC. I did not read every form presented to me for review around 4 October 2013. As stated before in this statement, I cover travel; the service lead negotiates any required program paperwork and approvals. Ethics forms were not part of my DTS process; instead, it was part of the negotiation by Col (b)(6) with OGC prior to approving any OEMS assignment leading to student travel, subsequently supported by my staff and I. Col (b)(6) stated he used the old MOU with DMI located in OGC to craft the new documents. At times even citing references to (b)(6) helping him build all necessary documents for anything OEMS (discussion held 4 October 2013).

To address adherence of the department in general referencing student travel (excluding OEMS):

As best I can recall and research, MEM offered zero summer experiences taught by a source other than the federal government where travel related expense were covered.

To address adherence of the department (staff/faculty only):

Based on all the approval layers required by USU for any staff/faculty travel, as best I can recall when following appropriate channels, MEM followed all guidance for attendance and travel. My comments are based on a recent review of my faculty paperwork on this topic.

Q What is your relationship with Dr. John Hagman?

Non-existent. I met him once in 2012 and last email was in 2012. My frustration grew with the inconsistency and reliability of Dr. John Dr. Hagman's communications while planning OEMS. Col (b)(6) wanted me to work with Dr. Hagman to learn how he logistically supported the OEMS-DMI course so MEM could offer the course summer of 2012 at USUHS. We even planned attendance to a course for several reasons until it was suddenly canceled. I pressed ahead doing my normal administrative support we provide for all courses: our NCOs were tasked, rooms booked, faculty assigned from MEM to support and items budgeted at the department level. A lot of planning and work for USU (MEM) to put on this course so I needed Hagman's input for any detail such as specific IV supplies used in procedures. Dr. Hagman was unreliable providing information.

I even went so far as to suggest we forget about him and move on, press ahead on our USU OEMS course without his input. Col (b)(6) stated he would communicate with John Hagman directly to maintain the relationship base due to the value of OEMS to real-world medics and we would pull away from Dr. Hagman late 2012/2013.

Q What is your relationship with COL (b)(6)?

Friendly, respectful, peers in the core AF mission - We have taken a motorcycle trip with other faculty, often chatted about improving USAF education at USU, even accomplished great improvements for AF students in summer experiences opportunity. Commonly we share stories about the weekend; our communication is free and open among other staff, our interaction normally without confrontation and strife. I personally like Col (b)(6)'s gregariousness, humor, and positive view of most things. Over all, I enjoy Col (b)(6) however, at this point of the investigation after reading several old messages, I am reminded sometimes he chose to avoid my advice.

Q. Does COL (b)(6) and other staff take your advice when it comes to administration of the department?

I believe Col (b)(6) follows his gut and takes my advice at times, he seems to have the ask forgiveness later attitude. After being asked several questions in this investigation, I believe he may hold information close, not necessarily disregarding my advice more than I previously thought. Col (b)(6) is a special ops physician, I am an administrator. When we both run a program, it will be done differently. The rest of the department is extremely operationally centric, the reason the University exists is because of MEM's mission. MEM recruits operational medics, with relevant military experience in emergency or special operations. Those physicians have a unique personality type. Our staff also includes non-physicians.

I have felt the administrative side suffers at the expense of operational focus.

For 24 months I commonly discussed with the Ops manager my needs for admin support. I tried to fix this with CAPT (b)(6) approval but fell victim to operational decisions such as hiring a civilian deputy instead of a

INITIALS OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

PAGE 5 OF 6 PAGES



## 9. STATEMENT (Continued)

curriculum manager. This also includes the reorganization of all Admin NCOs outside my span into faculty support roles that I believe decimated my ability to provide oversight.

Q Were you intentionally left out of discussion with university officials regarding Dr. Hagman and OEMS?

In the last few weeks, as I discovered the extent of these discussions during this investigation, it does appear decisions were made to leave me out of OEMS discussions. In the middle 2012, I advocated we provide OEMS (FTX2012) without Dr. Hagman and DMI (after Dr Hagman canceled our faculty training). In early 2013 I instructed my staff not to communicate with Dr. Hagman or DMI after learning Dr. Hagman contracted (b)(6),(b)(7) to schedule rooms for something (non-MEM related). To the best of my knowledge, Dr Hagman would show up at the University for something, not coordinated by MEM, and "stop by". He was here often not at our invitation and would meet with students discussing various things. I wanted to stop any accidental involvement of my staff with Dr Hagman that would lead to a violation of USU policy in any way. I believe my actions sent a clear signal to Col (b)(6),(b)(7) I wanted nothing to do with Dr. Hagman's activity and if involved, my position would be advocating proper procedures or suggesting termination of the relationship. I went so far as to tell my staff in person not to speak with Dr. Hagman and referred them to Col (b)(6),(b)(7) or myself if contacted by Dr. Hagman. I never heard from Dr. Hagman in 2013 for any activity. My hope was OGC would stop any activity. As an administrator, I took Col (b)(6),(b)(7) and CAPT (b)(6),(b)(7) opinion as medical experts validating reasons to offer courses, like OEMS. Col (b)(6),(b)(7) offered to be the go between Dr. Hagman and myself in 2012. I wasn't aware of the meetings until several weeks into the research/discussions. My staff told me they were asked about old documentation, I went to Col (b)(6),(b)(7) that is how it started.

Q were travel regulations violated during the summer 2013 rotations?

Unknown, in retrospect I can see missing orders on some OEMS-TA folks but according to my staff they were told orders were unnecessary. I am unclear how students went to NC when our documentation says it was a local course and involved zero travel.

NOTHING FOLLOWS

## AFFIDAVIT

I, (b)(6),(b)(7), HAVE READ OR HAVE HAD READ TO ME THIS STATEMENT WHICH BEGINS ON PAGE 1, AND ENDS ON PAGE 6. I FULLY UNDERSTAND THE CONTENTS OF THE ENTIRE STATEMENT MADE BY ME. THE STATEMENT IS TRUE. I HAVE INITIALED ALL CORRECTIONS AND HAVE INITIALED THE BOTTOM OF EACH PAGE CONTAINING THE STATEMENT. I HAVE MADE THIS STATEMENT FREELY WITHOUT HOPE OF BENEFIT OR REWARD, WITHOUT THREAT OF PUNISHMENT, AND WITHOUT COERCION, UNLAWFUL INFLUENCE, OR UNLAWFUL (b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

(Signature)

WITNESSES:

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a person authorized by law to administer oaths this 23rd day of October 2013.

(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS

Uniformed Services University

Bethesda MD

(Typed Name of Person Administering Oath)

Investigator

(Authority To Administer Oaths)

INITIALS OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT

PAGE 6 OF 6 PAGES

## Exhibit 19



(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

NORFOLK, VA		\$227.00		
OEMS-TA				
OEMS-TA				
OEMS-TA				
OEMS-TA				
OEMS-TA				
OEMS-TA				
OEMS-TA				
OEMS-TA				
OEMS-TA				
OEMS-TA				
OEMS-TA	JSOMTC	\$381.00		
OEMS-TA				
OEMS-TA				
OEMS-TA				
OEMS-TA				
OEMS-TA				
OEMS-TA				
OEMS-TA				
OEMS-TA	SHADOW			
OEMS-TA				
OEMS-TA				
OEMS-TA				
OEMS-TA	AMP(?)			
OEMS-TA				

(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

OEMS-TA				
OEMS-TA				
OEMS-TA				
OEMS-TA				

OEMS-TA
RESEARCH
RESEARCH
RESEARCH
RESEARCH
RESEARCH
RESEARCH
RESEARCH
SHADOW
SHADOW
SHADOW

VANDERBILT				
CDHAM/US	SHADOW			
CDHAM/US	SHADOW			
CDHAM/US	SHADOW			
CDHAM/US	SHADOW			
CDHAM/US				
CDHAM/US	DOM REP			
CDHAM/US	FT MEADE			
CDHAM/US	SOTO CANO			



(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

CDHAM/US				
CDHAM/US				
CDHAM/US				
CDHAM/US				
CDHAM/US				
CDHAM/US				
CDHAM/US				
CDHAM/US				
DOM. REP.				
DOM. REP.				
JSOMTC			\$381.00	
JSOMTC			\$381.00	
JSOMTC			\$381.00	
JSOMTC			\$381.00	
JSOMTC			\$381.00	
JSOMTC			\$381.00	
JSOMTC			\$381.00	
JSOMTC			\$381.00	

DTS review on 10-7-2013

(b)(6),( no order, voucher, local voucher for summer

(b)(6) no order, voucher, local voucher for summer

(b)(6), Denver order/voucher 7-9-13

(b)(7)  
(C) Denver order/voucher 7-9-13

Denver order/voucher 7-9-13

(b) no order, voucher, local voucher for summer

(b)(6) Denver order/voucher 7-9-13, Fredericksburg VA 7-5-13 order

(b)(6),(C) Denver order/voucher 7-9-13, Fredericksburg VA 7-5-13 order

(b)(6) Denver order/voucher 7-9-13

(b)(6), no order, voucher, local voucher for summer

(b)(6), not in DTS

(b)(6), Denver order/voucher 7-9-13

(b)(6),(b) Denver order 7-9-13

(b)(6), no order, voucher, local voucher for summer

(b)(6), Denver order/voucher 7-9-13

(b)(6),(C) Fort Sam Houston order/voucher 7-1-13 (Basic officer course)

(b)(6),(b) Fort Benning order/voucher 8-1-13 (airborne school)

(b)(6) Fayetteville NC, Fort Bragg order/voucher 7-17-13

(b)(6), Denver order/voucher 7-9-13

(b)(6), no order, voucher, local voucher for summer

(b)(6), no order, voucher, local voucher for summer

(b)(6), AMP order/voucher 7-19-13

(b)(7)  
(C) no order, voucher, local voucher for summer

(b)(6) Denver order, no auth 7-19-13

(b)(6), Denver order/voucher 7-9-13

(b) Fort Benning order/voucher 8-1-13 (airborne school)

(b)(6), Denver order/voucher 7-9-13

(b)(6), no order, voucher, local voucher for summer

(b)(7)  
(b)(6) Denver order/voucher 7-9-13

(b)(6), Fort Bragg order/voucher 7-17-13

(b)(7)(C)



(b)(6), Fort Bragg order/voucher 7-17-13

(b)(6),(b) Fort Bragg order/voucher 7-17-13

(b)(6), Fayetteville NC, Fort Brag order/voucher 7-17-13

(b)(7)  
(C) Fayetteville NC, Fort Brag order/voucher 7-17-13

Fort Brag order/voucher 7-17-13

Fort Brag order/voucher 7-17-13

(b)(6),(b) Fort Brag order/voucher 7-17-13

NOTHING FOLLOWS

## Exhibit 20



**SWORN STATEMENT**

For use of this form, see AR 190-45; the proponent agency is PMG.

**PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT**

**AUTHORITY:** Title 10, USC Section 301; Title 5, USC Section 2951, E.O. 9397 Social Security Number (SSN).

**PRINCIPAL PURPOSE:** To document potential criminal activity involving the U.S. Army, and to allow Army officials to maintain discipline, law and order through investigation of complaints and incidents.

**ROUTINE USES:** Information provided may be further disclosed to federal, state, local, and foreign government law enforcement agencies, prosecutors, courts, child protective services, victims, witnesses, the Department of Veterans Affairs, and the Office of Personnel Management. Information provided may be used for determinations regarding judicial or non-judicial punishment, other administrative disciplinary actions, security clearances, recruitment, retention, placement, and other personnel actions.

**DISCLOSURE:** Disclosure of your SSN and other information is voluntary.

1. LOCATION AFRRI	2. DATE (YYYYMMDD) 2013/10/07	3. TIME 1400	4. FILE NUMBER
5. LAST NAME, FIRST NAME, MIDDLE NAME (b)(6) (b)(7)(C)	6. SSN	7. GRADE/STATUS (b)(6), (b)(7)	
8. ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS USU Bethesda MD			

9. I, (b)(6) (b)(7)(C), WANT TO MAKE THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT UNDER OATH:

On or about 1400 hrs on October 7th 2013 I had an appointment with COL (b)(6) at AFRRI. He introduced himself as the Investigation officer duly appointed by the Dr. Rice to investigate the administration and operation of the Operational Emergency Medicine course. COL (b)(6) stated that he would take notes in a question and answer format and then transcribe these notes into this form for my review and at a later time he would come back to sign with me as my sworn statement. He stated this investigation was to meet, in general, the requirements of AR15-6, the Navy JAGMAN, and the AF Command Directed Inquiries. COL (b)(6) stated that his intent in interviewing me was to attempt to answer questions regarding the travel process for students leaving Military Emergency Medicine through me and on to the next appropriate administrator.

Q. Sir, what is your title and principal duties and responsibilities?

A. I am (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) in support of MEM education, implementation of education support and curriculum. I arrange for the rooms for courses, the supplies, and things necessary for the course to run effectively.

Q. Do your duties require you to put students on Orders?

A. Not typically, just this year for the summer experience.

Q. Can you explain how a student would leave USU on TDY for instance to Gig Harbor WA or VA, CO?

A. It started with all students being trained on how to build their own DTS accounts, then how to build a DTS request for whatever their Summer Experience would be. The onus was always on the student. Our jobs were to support them; I was randomly picked to help with OEMS.

I sent emails to the student groups to which I was assigned. If I heard back from students who needed help building their orders, then I referred them for additional help, mostly to the DTS help desk. As the deadline approached for student travel dates for the Summer Operational Experience, I went to COL (b)(6) and asked who needed DTS support—specifically, to build their travel requests because it was close to travel time. I was asked to build travel plans for the Colorado group assigned for the OEMS course there—perhaps 9 people. I later received an email print out of those slated to attend OEMS/Colorado and built DTS orders for flights and partial per diem. All those other examples you mentioned. I don't know about, but I was told Colorado only and the others were funded by another pot of money. COL (b)(6) told me I only needed to build DTS orders for those traveling to Colorado. I don't know a lot about DTS but I think because we weren't paying we didn't need to input into DTS. I think decisions were made to assign people (support staff like me) to specific courses within the summer experience because the workload for one person (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was too much. I was assigned to help him and it was OEMS that I worked on and a few others—a special ops group traveling to Fort Bragg local area experiences.

Q. Can you tell me if LTC (b)(6) told you and other staff not to talk to Dr Hagmann?

A. I don't recall that at all. I never have spoken to Dr Hagmann aside from offering my support when he was here on campus running an OEMS course for our students.

Q. Do you have anything else you would like to add?

10. EXHIBIT	(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)	MAKING STATEMENT	PAGE 1 OF 2 PAGES
-------------	-------------------	------------------	-------------------

ADDITIONAL PAGES MUST CONTAIN THE HEADING "STATEMENT OF (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) AT \_\_\_\_\_ DATED \_\_\_\_\_"

THE BOTTOM OF EACH ADDITIONAL PAGE MUST BEAR THE INITIALS OF THE PERSON MAKING THE STATEMENT, AND PAGE NUMBER MUST BE INDICATED.

9. STATEMENT (Continued)

A I don't really, my role is to support education in every way that I can, and given what I knew about the process I did as much as I could.

NOTHING FOLLOWS

AFFIDAVIT

I, DAV DAV, HAVE READ OR HAVE HAD READ TO ME THIS STATEMENT WHICH BEGINS ON PAGE 1, AND ENDS ON PAGE 2. I FULLY UNDERSTAND THE CONTENTS OF THE ENTIRE STATEMENT MADE BY ME. THE STATEMENT IS TRUE. I HAVE INITIALED ALL CORRECTIONS AND HAVE INITIALED THE BOTTOM OF EACH PAGE CONTAINING THE STATEMENT. I HAVE MADE THIS STATEMENT FREELY WITHOUT HOPE OF BENEFIT OR REWARD, WITHOUT THREAT OF PUNISHMENT, AND WITHOUT COERCION, UNLAWFUL INFLUENCE

(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

WITNESSES:

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a person authorized by law to

(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

act/b

(Administering Oath)

ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS

Uniformed Services University

Bethesda MD

(Typed Name of Person Administering Oath)

Investigator

(Authority To Administer Oaths)

ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS

(b)(6),(b)(7)

INITIALS OF PERSON MADE

(C)

PAGE 2 OF 2 PAGES



## Exhibit 21

**SWORN STATEMENT**

For use of this form, see AR 190-45; the proponent agency is PMO.

**PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT**

**AUTHORITY:** Title 10, USC Section 301; Title 5, USC Section 2951; E.O. 9397 Social Security Number (SSN)

**PRINCIPAL PURPOSE:** To document potential criminal activity involving the U.S. Army, and to allow Army officials to maintain discipline, law and order through investigation of complaints and incidents.

**ROUTINE USES:** Information provided may be further disclosed to federal, state, local, and foreign government law enforcement agencies, prosecutors, courts, child protective services, victims, witnesses, the Department of Veterans Affairs, and the Office of Personnel Management. Information provided may be used for determinations regarding judicial or non-judicial punishment, other administrative disciplinary actions, security clearances, recruitment, retention, placement, and other personnel actions.

**DISCLOSURE:** Disclosure of your SSN and other information is voluntary.

1. LOCATION Uniformed Services University	2. DATE (YYYYMMDD) 2012/10/21	3. TIME 1100	4. FILE NUMBER
5. LAST NAME, FIRST NAME, MIDDLE NAME (b)(6) (b)(7)(C)	6. SSN (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)	7. GRADE/STATUS (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)	
8. ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS USU Bethesda MD			

9. I, (b)(6) (b)(7)(C), WANT TO MAKE THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT UNDER OATH:

On or about 1100 hrs., On October 21st 2013 I met with COL (b)(6) in my office. He introduced himself as the Investigation officer duly appointed by Dr. Rice to investigate the administration and operation of the Operational Emergency Medicine course. COL (b)(6) stated that he would take notes in a question and answer format and then transcribe these notes into this form for my review and at a later time he would arrange to sign with me as my sworn statement. He stated this investigation was to meet, in general, the requirements of AR15-6, the Navy JAGMAN, and the AF Command Directed Inquiries. I was permitted to review the letter of appointment.

Q. Sir, what do you know of the OEMS course?

A. It has been, for many years, an elective opportunity in Military Medicine. It began as an appendage to the Military Medical Field Studies (MMFS) and was viewed by the students as an enhancement to MMFS. It added to their identity as military physicians. It started when Hagmann was faculty in Military Medicine and was an academic exercise in that department. It stayed as an elective for many years until curriculum reform began. The Department of Military and Emergency Medicine (MEM) dialogued with the curriculum reform committee to gain their approval to have OEMS part of the permanent curriculum of the department. Capt. (b)(6) was excited about this potential and told me this personally.

Q. What is the role of the Office of Student Affairs when it comes to approval of attendance at the OEMS course?

A. OSA supervises the cross boundary academic schedule of the students. We are the academic supervisors who know the entirety of their performance and requirements. We audit their requirements and progress constantly. The Department of Military and Emergency Medicine events would take place in the 6-8 week period when no other curriculum Modules would occur. OSA created an understanding with the curriculum reform committee and the department of MEM to view the summer period as primarily academic time for the department and some leave time for students. It was also understood that students who were academically challenged by any of the Modules that preceded the summer 6-8 week experience, would be required to remediate deficiencies during this time, and make up any military department requirements later in the curriculum. Therefore, if a student asked the department to leave campus to participate in anything-including the Hagmann OEMS activities, the department would check with Student Affairs to make certain the student was academically proficient.

Q. What is your relationship or the universities relationship with John Hagmann?

A. I knew John Hagmann as an early faculty member in the Department of Military and Emergency Medicine, and I recall his development of an OEMS Course in those early days that took place on campus. In regard to your question about him being considered 'special', I would say this came largely from his popularity with the students, who felt he was providing them with training that contributed to their identity as military physicians. I only knew him as one of many course directors at USUHS and did not know him outside of school or socially.

Q. Were you aware of a previous investigation of Dr Hagmann initiated by then Dean (b)(6) in 1993?

A. I was not and (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was a friend of mine and I cannot recall (b)(6) ever mentioning anything about this.

10. EXHIBIT	11. INITIALS (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)	KING STATEMENT	PAGE 1 OF 2 PAGES
-------------	-----------------------------------	----------------	-------------------

ADDITIONAL PAGES MUST CONTAIN THE HEADING "STATEMENT OF \_\_\_\_\_ TAKEN AT \_\_\_\_\_ DATED \_\_\_\_\_"

THE BOTTOM OF EACH ADDITIONAL PAGE MUST BEAR THE INITIALS OF THE PERSON MAKING THE STATEMENT, AND PAGE NUMBER MUST BE INDICATED.

STATEMENT OF Dr ASD ASD TAKEN AT USU DATED 2013/10/21

## 3. STATEMENT (Continued)

Q. Were you aware of funding issues or gifting for the attendance at OEMS?

A. No, I was not even aware that Hagmann was the CEO of OEMS as a private company.

Q. Would your office be aware of students traveling to Gig Harbor WA during their spring break to assist Dr Hagmann in a DMI Sponsored course?

A. I never heard of Gig Harbor, Washington or any relationship it might have had with the Department of Military and Emergency Medicine. Things like that are the individual curricular ingredients of a department and its curriculum; therefore, not an OSA matter. As far as 'spring break' is concerned, it is like vacation time in a regular school, and the majority of our students simply take time off. Spring break is leave time, under the control of the military chain of command which has rules regarding accountability for such periods.

Q. I've shown you an email that indicates a student (a fourth year) would have a rotation extended in order to teach a foreign national during one of Hagmann's DMI sponsored courses. What is your opinion regarding this?

A. I have never seen that document, but it would not be unusual for any department or student to ask if they can extend someplace for a short time. This would usually mean missing some days of an academic responsibility in another department—so students would request permission through the sponsoring department and student affairs. Once again, student affairs would be checking on the academic proficiency of the student to make certain missing a few days from their next academic responsibility would not be harmful to their progress in the overall curriculum.

Q. Do you feel the risk of loss of accountability could be mitigated with additional resources?

A. During curriculum reform a Program Objective Memorandum for 44 professionals was drafted and submitted to support educational programs at a significant number of treatment facilities. So, yes we do need additional resources as a contributing factor to the success of curriculum reform. We need to hire USUHS employed medical professionals at our teaching facilities. As far as accountability goes, this is a responsibility of the military chain of command, but it is something all student management personnel monitor in our system of clinical education that I often call 'deployed education', as our students are spread out a many out of town facilities.

Q. Do you have anything else you would like to add?

A. I left the Office of Student Affairs in May 2013 after 30 years of service there. During this time the Department of Military and Emergency Medicine had a variable reputation with our students. Their most popular academic activities by far have been Bushmaster, OEMS and the Emergency Medicine rotation. I believe the Department wanted to make OEMS a permanent part of the curriculum because it

was so highly favored by students as an event that added to their identity as military physicians. So—for most of my time, OEMS was an elective for several members of a class—but this recently changed, and it now occurs for all members of a class except those who are academically challenged and require the summer period for remedial activity. So, perhaps in trying to understand what may have contributed to the recent unfavorable activity, it should not be lost on reviewers that OEMS became a requirement for all—so the population of students participating, greatly increased.

As far as leave, accountability, orders and travel for students goes—and in response to your questions about this—I believe this is a supervisory responsibility of the individual officer and his/her military chain of command. The Commandant of Students has a system in place for accountability for all students, even those on rotations. The employees who manage student travel work for The Commandant.

NOTHING FOLLOWS

INITIALS OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT

(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

PAGE 2 OF 3 PAGES



STATEMENT OF Dr [REDACTED] [REDACTED] TAKEN AT USU DATED 2013/10/21

9. STATEMENT (Continued)

NOTHING FOLLOWS

AFFIDAVIT

I, [REDACTED], HAVE READ OR HAVE HAD READ TO ME THIS STATEMENT WHICH BEGINS ON PAGE 1, AND ENDS ON PAGE 3. I FULLY UNDERSTAND THE CONTENTS OF THE ENTIRE STATEMENT MADE BY ME. THE STATEMENT IS TRUE. I HAVE INITIALED ALL CORRECTIONS AND HAVE INITIALED THE BOTTOM OF EACH PAGE CONTAINING THE STATEMENT. I HAVE MADE THIS STATEMENT FREELY WITHOUT HOPE OF BENEFIT OR REWARD, WITHOUT THREAT OF PUNISHMENT, AND WITHOUT COERCION, UNLAWFUL INFLUENCE (b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

WITNESSES:

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a person authorized by law to administer oaths, this 22 day of OCT.

(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS

Uniformed Services University

Bethesda MD

ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS

(Typed Name of Person Administering Oath)

(Typed Name of Person Administering Oath)

Investigator

(Authority To Administer Oaths)

INITIALS OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT

PAGE 3 OF 3 PAGES

## Exhibit 22

**SWORN STATEMENT**

For use of this form, see AR 150-45; the proponent agency is PMG.

**PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT**

**AUTHORITY:** Title 10, USC Section 301, Title 5, USC Section 2951; E.O. 9397 Social Security Number (SSN)

**PRINCIPAL PURPOSE:** To document potential criminal activity involving the U.S. Army, and to allow Army officials to maintain discipline, law and order through investigation of complaints and incidents.

**ROUTINE USES:** Information provided may be further disclosed to federal, state, local, and foreign government law enforcement agencies, prosecutors, courts, child protective services, victims, witnesses, the Department of Veterans Affairs, and the Office of Personnel Management. Information provided may be used for determinations regarding judicial or non-judicial punishment, other administrative disciplinary actions, security clearances, recruitment, retention, placement, and other personnel actions.

**DISCLOSURE:** Disclosure of your SSN and other information is voluntary.

1. LOCATION USU	2. DATE (YYYYMMDD) 2013/10/21	3. TIME 1300	4. FILE NUMBER
5. LAST NAME, FIRST NAME, MIDDLE NAME (b)(6),(b)(7)	6. SSN (b)(6),(b)(7)	7. GRADE/STATUS (b)(6),(b)(7)	
8. ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS USU (b)(6),(b)(7)(C)			

9. \_\_\_\_\_, WANT TO MAKE THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT UNDER OATH:

On or about 1300 hrs. On October 21st 2013 I met with COL (b)(6) in my office. He introduced himself as the Investigation officer duly appointed by Dr. Rice to investigate the administration and operation of the Operational Emergency Medicine course and Dr John Hagmann. COL (b)(6) stated that he would take notes in a question and answer format and then transcribe these notes into this form for my review and at a later time he would arrange to sign with me as my sworn statement. He stated this investigation was to meet, in general, the requirements of AR15-6, the Navy JAGMAN, and the AF Command Directed Inquiries. I was permitted to review the letter of appointment.

Q How long have you been with the University and what titles have you held?

A. I've been with the University since 1984 and I've held faculty appointments from Assistant to my current full professorship. I was the Director of research in MEM, and currently am the Director of CHAMP.

Q. What do you know of John Hagmann?

A. He was very innovative, out of the box kind of thinker. He got himself in trouble with the OEMS course. He was doing a lot of things with students and he did not get consent to do so. At that time you really needed IRB and consent for those procedures and he didn't do that. I was Director of research at that time and he would disregard what was good and standard processes for doing that kind of interactions. (b)(6) was the Director of the Casualty Care Research Center and was Hagmann's boss and he and Hagmann would get into it they had a pretty big falling out.

Q. When did he leave the University?

A. He left not in good standing maybe late 99 or so, he never came back to my knowledge until 2 years ago.

Q. Were you aware of an investigation in 93 of Hagmann and the OEMS COURSE?

A. Yes that's what I was referring to all the procedures and no IRB or consents.

Q. Do you think the University has a special relationship with Hagmann?

A. No, I don't think so at all. I think it's a poor relationship. He was too far out on the edge.

Q. Did you tell anyone in the department what you knew of Hagmann?

A. Yes, I told COL (b)(6). I was told at some point the OEMS course was coming back but I thought this was without Hagmann then I saw him on campus and went to COL (b)(6) and said "do you know what the circumstances were when he left the University? COL (b)(6) said "yeah, but that's all straightened out." I told (b)(6) that Hagmann was "run out of here because of how he conducted OEMS". (b)(6) didn't seem to care at all.

Q. Did Capt. (b)(6) know this, or did you tell him

A. I didn't tell (b)(6) and I don't think (b)(6) knew.

Q why do you think COL (b)(6) didn't listen to you?

A. He had taken the OEMS course with Hagmann previously and thought it was great.

Q. Who is Maj (b)(6)?

10. EXHIBIT	11. INITIALS OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT (b)(6), 10/25/13	PAGE 1 OF 3 PAGES
-------------	---	-------------------

ADDITIONAL PAGES MUST CONTAIN THE HEADING "STATEMENT OF \_\_\_\_\_ TAKEN AT \_\_\_\_\_ DATED \_\_\_\_\_"

THE BOTTOM OF EACH ADDITIONAL PAGE MUST BEAR THE INITIALS OF THE PERSON MAKING THE STATEMENT, AND PAGE NUMBER MUST BE INDICATED.



STATEMENT OF (b)(6),(b)(7)(C) TAKEN AT USU DATED 2013/10/21

9. STATEMENT (Continued)

A. [ ] was an EM doc here for a short time. [b] was Spec Ops too. Hagmann had been doing his course all over the world and got good reviews from the spec ops community. That's fine for them but not for students they are vulnerable.

Q. Are you aware of the AMA's policy on practicing procedures by and on medical students?

A. I am not aware of it.

Q. Did you know Hagmann did not have a faculty appointment?

A. I assumed he didn't because we crossed him off the list when he left.

Q. What would the mechanism be to find out who has an appointment?

A. We have a committee the Faculty promotion and appointment committee. All they (Capt. [b]) and COL [b] had to do was check with our secretary she has a list of all current appointments. Hagmann's appointment was terminated and if his file ever came across my desk I would have disapproved it.

Q. Do you have anything else to add?

A. COL [b] knew I was shocked and dumbfounded about allowing Hagmann and OEMS back on campus. I was not privy to knowing that students had been going to that course off campus.

Q. You found that out when?

A. Recently, I was shocked.

Q. Do you think COL [b] had a duty to know what was going on in that course?

A. Absolutely, but he doesn't get input from the faculty when making decisions.

Q. Are you aware of travel irregularities?

A. I've been told there have been some.

Q. Do you think COL [b] was aware of them?

A. Yes, he works closely with LTC [b](6).

Q. Why do you think COL [b] wanted OEMS here?

A. He knew of it, he was a member of the "club". He's a little out of the box too.

Q. What did Capt. [b] know about the course?

A. I don't think he knew anything.

Q. Why?

A. He was the ultimate non "micro manager". He let people do their own thing.

Q. Did he have a duty to know what was going on?

A. I think so, and [b] had a duty to let him know.

Q. Were you aware of the summer 2012 course here?

A. Yes, that's when I saw Hagmann.

Q. Were you aware of the procedures?

A. Only the previous ones and perhaps the alcohol.

Q. Were you aware of a shock lab?

A. No.

Q. How many times did you talk to COL [b] about your concerns?

A. Twice. At first I didn't think Hagmann was involved but he was and I went back to [b] and it really didn't bother him or faze him at all, it was nothing. Hagmann was very worrisome because he didn't think the IRB approval was needed.

Q. Why do you think, if current USU faculty watched the OEMS Shock Lab, would no-one stop it?

A. Hagmann is a risk taker, others won't question him because of the chain of command. If I didn't know that this procedure was IRB approved I wouldn't have watched it at all.

Q. Anything else you would like to add?

A. Capt. [b] is a wonderful person but in terms of leading the department was dysfunctional and this is an example of it.

Q. Do you have any opinion about LTC [b](6)?

A. [b] manipulative and should not even be an officer. I wouldn't trust [b] for anything.

Q. Any specifics?

A. [b] would go out of his way to get rid of people. [b] tried to get rid of COL [b](6),(b)(7)(C). [b] he's been awful to my NCOIC [b](6),(b)(7)(C). a lot of people can tell you [b] not a nice person.

NOTHING FOLLOWS

(b)(6),  
(b)(7)(C) 10/25/13  
INITIALS OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT

PAGE 2 OF 3 PAGES

(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

STATEMENT OF

TAKEN AT USU

DATED 2013/10/21

9. STATEMENT (Continued)

NOTHING FOLLOWS

(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

AFFIDAVIT

I, (b)(6),(b)(7)(C), HAVE READ OR HAVE HAD READ TO ME THIS STATEMENT WHICH BEGINS ON PAGE 1, AND ENDS ON PAGE 3. I FULLY UNDERSTAND THE CONTENTS OF THE ENTIRE STATEMENT MADE BY ME. THE STATEMENT IS TRUE. I HAVE INITIALED ALL CORRECTIONS AND HAVE INITIALED THE BOTTOM OF EACH PAGE CONTAINING THE STATEMENT. I HAVE MADE THIS STATEMENT FREELY WITHOUT HOPE OF BENEFIT OR REWARD, WITHOUT THREAT OF PUNISHMENT, AND WITHOUT COERCION, UNLAWFUL INFLUENCE, OR UNLAWFUL INDUCEMENTS.

(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

(Signature of Person Making Statement)

WITNESSES:

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a person authorized by law to administer oaths, this 25 day of October, 2013 at (b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS

Uniformed Services University

Bethesda MD.

ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS

(b)(6),(b)(7)

(Typed Name of Person Administering Oath)

Investigator

(Authority To Administer Oaths)

INITIALS OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT

PAGE 3 OF 3 PAGES