



# REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT RECEIVED AT MILITARY INSTALLATIONS AND COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST

November 17, 2017

This document contains information about non-domestic abuse-related adult sexual assault reports made at military installations throughout the world. The tables that follow provide the number of sexual assault allegations received or managed by Sexual Assault Response Coordinators (SARCs) at the listed installation.

The tables are grouped by Service and show the installation where the alleged incident was reported or managed, the type and number of adult sexual assault report allegations (i.e., Unrestricted or Restricted), and the Fiscal Year (FY) in which the victim reported the incident. For reports made in Combat Areas of Interest, the tables show report totals by country and year where the victim made the report.<sup>1</sup> It is important to note that the location of where a report was made does not necessarily mean the incident occurred at that location. This is because victims of sexual assault in the Department of Defense (DoD) may report a sexual assault at a time and place of their choosing. Consequently, an alleged incident of sexual assault that is reported to a SARC at a particular a particular installation may have occurred elsewhere, including the civilian sector and/or prior to entering military service. Nevertheless, the SARC that receives a sexual report is responsible for managing support to the victim, until such time that the victim terminates the support or moves to another location. If the victim elects to continue support at the new installation, the losing SARC affects a person-to-person handoff to the gaining SARC. The change in support is also annotated in the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID), preventing double counting of the incident. In addition, some of the sexual assaults reported may have occurred during the year indicated or at any time in the past. Additionally, the number of sexual assaults reported to DoD in a given FY does not necessarily reflect the number of sexual assaults that occurred during that FY.

#### **Sexual Assault Reports**

DoD uses the term "sexual assault" to refer to a range of adult sex-related crimes punishable under the Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ). Sexual assault includes penetrating crimes (such as rape, sexual assault, and forcible sodomy), sexual contact crimes (aggravated and abusive sexual contact), and attempts to commit these offenses. The definitions of these crimes are listed in the UCMJ in Article 120 (Sexual Assault Crimes), 125 (Forcible Sodomy), and 80 (Attempts).

An Unrestricted Report of sexual assault consists of a sex-related allegation made by an individual against one or more individuals that is referred for investigation to the Military Criminal Investigative Organization (MCIO) or civilian law enforcement agency with primary jurisdiction

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This is also how the Department shows sexual assault reports for combat areas in its Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military.

over the alleged crime. The commander(s) of the Service member making the Unrestricted report and the alleged suspect(s) are notified of the allegations as well.

A Restricted Report is an alternative reporting option for Service members and other members of the DoD community (as specified in policy) who allege they were sexually assaulted. An individual may elect to make a Restricted Report to specified parties within DoD (e.g., SARCs, Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Victim Advocates, or healthcare providers) authorized to accept such reports. Choosing the "Restricted Report" option allows the individual making the report to confidentially seek advocacy, support services, medical care, and mental health counseling. Restricted Reports are not referred for criminal investigation or to commanders. Victims choosing this option are not required to provide many details about the sexual assault. Even when initially electing a Restricted Report, an individual may later convert to an Unrestricted Report. When that happens, the allegation is then referred for investigation and commander(s) of the reporting Service member and alleged perpetrator are notified.

#### Who Makes Sexual Assault Reports

The sexual assault allegations summarized in this document come from persons aged 16 or older who allege a sexual assault by someone unrelated to them (i.e., an incident that does not otherwise fall under the Department's Family Advocacy Program). This includes:

- 1. Service members on active duty (Title 10 status) from all four DoD Service branches and activated Reserve and National Guard
- 2. Civilian victims alleging a sexual assault by an active duty military member
- 3. Eligible adult (18 years of age and older) dependents of active duty members

Sexual assault and sexual abuse reports in which the alleged perpetrator and victim are spouses or intimate partners, or in which the victim is a military dependent child, are addressed by DoD's Family Advocacy Program.

#### When DoD Received the Sexual Assault Reports

The data described in this release are from FYs 2013 (October 1, 2012 to September 30, 2013), 2014 (October 1, 2013 to September 30, 2014), 2015 (October 1, 2014 to September 30, 2015) and 2016 (October 1, 2015 to September 30, 2016). The report numbers reflect a snapshot in time, meaning that they show the status of all reports at the time the data was retrieved from the DSAID in November 2016, with the exception of Army data from FY13. Army incident data for FY13 was provided by the Army Headquarters Sexual Harassment/Assault Response and Prevention office, using a different methodology because Army did not maintain its data in DSAID during the FY 2013 time period. Army started entering its reporting data into DSAID in FY14. The information in the tables that follow describes the number and type of sexual assault reports made and/or managed at the listed installations by SARCs.

#### Installation-based Reports of Sexual Assault

The reports in the tables that follow are grouped by the installation where the report was made OR where the report was managed by a SARC. As previously stated, the numbers do not necessarily reflect where or even when an alleged assault occurred. The installation data listed may include reports for sexual assaults that:

- Occurred at another location
- Occurred prior to the victim's military service
- Occurred while the victim was deployed, on leave, or in a temporary duty status

• Were transferred to the installation listed due to duty assignment change or an expedited transfer

The installations listed are those installations that were managing the sexual assault report at the end of the time period listed. As a result, these numbers may not reflect a record of incidents that actually occurred at or near the installation.

The number of reports at each installation is not the same as a sexual assault crime rate. A crime rate captures all the crimes that occur within a given area – not just the crimes that are reported (more about this follows in the next section). Installations with more reports tend to be the larger military installations. However, comparing the number of reports at one installation to another may not give an accurate picture of the differences between them.

There are a number of factors that can contribute to the differences in numbers among installations. For example, at installations that house recruit training, the number of cases reported may be larger due to new personnel reporting sexual assaults that occurred prior to entering military service. Most new members of the military receive their first introduction to the SAPR program at basic training. SARCs at the basic training facilities capture reports from new Service members who seek to address a prior history of sexual assault by making a Restricted or Unrestricted Report. Additionally, larger installations may also be managing larger numbers of expedited transfer cases due to their greater availability of open positions, medical care, and support facilities. When victimized Service members transfer, their cases also transfer to the new installation's SARC, if so elected by the service member. Finally, larger installations also have increased numbers of deployed personnel. If a service member is sexually assaulted on deployment and reports upon their return from deployment, the member's home installation will gain those cases upon his or her return to ensure continued support and care.

Since 2005, the DOD has put policy in place to encourage more Service members to report sexual assault, regardless of when the incidents occurred. As a result, the percentage of Service members who choose to report the crime has increased over time. Prior to FY14, 15% or fewer military victims reported a sexual assault to a military authority each year. For FY14 and FY15, DOD estimates that nearly 25% of the Service members who experienced a sexual assault in those years reported the incident to either SAPR program personnel or military law enforcement. In FY16, the DOD estimates that about 32% of Service members who experienced a sexual assault reported the incident to either SAPR program personnel or military law enforcement.

To understand the full magnitude of the problem of sexual assault in the military, DoD sponsors confidential surveys that scientifically sample the military population. These representative surveys give the Department very reliable estimates about how many Service members likely experienced a sexual assault in a given year. The percentage of a population that experiences a problem in the past year is also known as the "prevalence" or occurrence of the problem. The results of the most recent prevalence survey were published in DoD's FY16 Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military.<sup>2</sup>

Congress requires the DoD to report the number of sexual assault allegations it receives each year involving Service members. This "Annual Report" includes the number of Restricted and Unrestricted Reports made to DoD SAPR personnel and investigators at MCIOs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Members of the military that are on active duty and not part of the National Guard.

The Annual Report provides sexual assault allegation totals for the DoD as a whole, and also by each military Service (Army, Navy, Marine Corps, and Air Force). However, the Annual Report does not provide information about specific locations where victims are reporting the sexual assault allegations.

### **Army Installations**

	F	iscal Year 201	3	Fi	iscal Year 201	4	Fi	iscal Year 201	5	Fis	scal Year 2016	;
Army Installation <sup>3</sup>	Unrestricted Reports	Reports Remaining Restricted	Total Reports									
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD	5	0	5	8	0	8	4	1	5	5	0	5
Army Headquarters, D.C.	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
Bamberg AAF, Germany	20	0	20	1	1	2	8	0	8	18	0	18
Baumholder U.S. Army Garrison, Germany	10	0	10	3	1	4	11	4	15	11	0	11
Cairns AAF, AL	0	0	0	0	1	1	4	0	4	3	0	3
Camp Atterbury, IN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Camp Carroll KO, South Korea	12	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Camp Casey, South Korea	47	0	47	20	9	29	44	6	50	21	1	22
Camp Henry, South Korea	0	0	0	23	4	27	17	1	18	26	3	29
Camp Humphreys, South Korea	27	0	27	22	6	28	34	7	41	35	2	37
Camp Red Cloud, South Korea	18	0	18	24	5	29	12	5	17	34	3	37
Camp Zama, Japan	3	0	3	7	3	10	11	4	15	7	1	8
Carlisle Barracks, PA	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	3	3	0	3
Daegu, South Korea	11	3	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ft. Belvoir, VA	9	4	13	10	5	15	24	7	31	20	6	26
Army	9	4	13	10	5	15	24	7	31	19	6	25
Marine Corps	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Ft. Benning, GA	34	5	39	133	7	140	80	11	91	51	16	67
Army	34	5	39	133	7	140	80	10	90	51	16	67
Marine Corps	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Ft. Bliss, TX	49	21	70	102	15	117	90	18	108	79	20	99
Ft. Bragg, NC	75	14	89	90	10	100	99	15	114	132	14	146

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> It is important to note that the location of where a report was made does not necessarily mean the incident occurred at that location. This is because victims of sexual assault in DoD may report a sexual assault at a time and place of their choosing. Consequently, an alleged incident of sexual assault that is reported to a Sexual Assault Response Coordinator at a particular installation may have occurred elsewhere, including the civilian sector and/or prior to entering military service.

	F	iscal Year 201	3	Fi	iscal Year 201	4	Fi	iscal Year 201	5	Fi	scal Year 2016	;
Army Installation <sup>3</sup>	Unrestricted Reports	Reports Remaining Restricted	Total Reports									
Ft. Campbell, KY	55	9	64	75	19	94	84	23	107	108	18	126
Ft. Carson, CO	36	7	43	82	15	97	106	19	125	94	20	114
Ft. Detrick, MD	0	1	1	3	1	4	6	4	10	6	7	13
Ft. Drum, NY	45	4	49	79	0	79	48	1	49	65	11	76
Ft. George Meade, MD	18	6	24	30	14	44	39	15	54	28	19	47
Air Force	9	2	11	10	5	15	9	8	17	9	2	11
Army	6	3	9	17	5	22	26	7	33	12	13	25
Marine Corps	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Navy	3	0	3	3	4	7	4	0	4	7	4	11
Ft. Gordon, GA	26	2	28	42	4	46	41	8	49	51	20	71
Army	26	2	28	42	4	46	41	8	49	43	19	62
Marine Corps	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Navy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	1	8
Ft. Hamilton, NY	2	0	2	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ft. Hood, TX	165	42	207	212	50	262	164	31	195	155	44	199
Ft. Huachuca, AZ	19	2	21	21	3	24	23	7	30	13	4	17
Army	19	2	21	21	3	24	23	7	30	13	3	16
Marine Corps	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Ft. Irwin, CA	27	0	27	26	2	28	29	2	31	32	1	33
Ft. Jackson, SC	43	4	47	54	4	58	62	30	92	82	32	114
Ft. Knox, KY	36	1	37	30	4	34	23	6	29	28	4	32
Ft. Leavenworth, KS	8	2	10	20	4	24	12	6	18	19	7	26
Ft. Lee, VA	41	34	75	52	24	76	47	27	74	24	9	33
Army	40	32	72	51	24	75	46	25	71	21	8	29
Marine Corps	1	2	3	1	0	1	1	2	3	3	1	4
Ft. Leonard Wood, MO	73	3	76	63	13	76	78	26	104	96	34	130
Army	61	1	62	62	10	72	75	25	100	93	30	123
Marine Corps	12	2	14	1	3	4	3	1	4	3	4	7

	F	iscal Year 201	3	Fi	iscal Year 201	4	Fi	scal Year 201	5	Fi	scal Year 2016	6
Army Installation <sup>3</sup>	Unrestricted Reports	Reports Remaining Restricted	Total Reports									
Ft. McCoy, WI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Ft. Polk, LA	32	0	32	34	3	37	36	1	37	20	5	25
Ft. Riley, KS	35	7	42	56	10	66	66	8	74	56	14	70
Ft. Rucker, AL	10	2	12	11	1	12	6	3	9	4	2	6
Ft. Shafter, HI	0	0	0	6	0	6	25	0	25	14	1	15
Ft. Sill, OK	27	10	37	72	16	88	56	15	71	67	10	77
Army	27	10	37	72	16	88	56	15	71	62	10	72
Marine Corps	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	5
Ft. Stewart, GA	62	23	85	85	15	100	68	15	83	56	8	64
Ft. Wainwright, AK	11	2	13	19	4	23	18	2	20	23	5	28
Garmisch, Germany	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
Grafenwohr, Germany	32	4	36	20	3	23	11	0	11	0	0	0
Hohenfels, Germany	6	0	6	2	1	3	1	0	1	2	0	2
Kaiserslautern, Germany	16	0	16	39	3	42	45	11	56	36	18	54
Kosovo	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Mannheim, Germany-Decommisioned	6	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NGB Joint HQ, VA	0	12	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Okinawa, Japan	7	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Presidio of Monterey, CA	25	11	36	27	7	34	28	13	41	12	7	19
Air Force	9	6	15	12	2	14	4	6	10	1	6	7
Army	14	5	19	15	5	20	23	6	29	11	1	12
Marine Corps	2	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0
Redstone AAF, AL	1	0	1	3	1	4	3	0	3	2	0	2
Rock Island Arsenal, IL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
San Diego, CA	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schofield Barracks, HI	58	3	61	85	23	108	83	16	99	64	24	88
Schweinfurt, Germany-Decommissioned	16	1	17	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stuttgart, Germany	8	0	8	4	2	6	7	2	9	4	2	6

	F	iscal Year 201	3	Fi	scal Year 201	4	Fi	scal Year 201	5	Fis	scal Year 2016	5
Army Installation <sup>3</sup>	Unrestricted Reports	Reports Remaining Restricted	Total Reports									
Army	6	0	6	2	2	4	6	0	6	4	2	6
Marine Corps	2	0	2	2	0	2	1	2	3	0	0	0
Tobyhanna Army Depot	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
Torii Station, Japan	0	0	0	2	0	2	3	0	3	4	0	4
USAG Miami, FL	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
USAG Ansbach, Germany	27	0	27	14	2	16	8	2	10	1	0	1
U.S. Army Garrison, HI	0	12	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U.S. Army Garrison, Japan	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
USAG Vicenza, Italy	2	4	6	21	2	23	9	2	11	26	8	34
USAR	6	0	6	92	10	102	54	14	68	58	17	75
USMEPCOM	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0
Vilseck, Germany	0	0	0	23	0	23	10	5	15	7	2	9
West Point, NY	13	2	15	11	3	14	21	5	26	11	13	24
White Sands Missile Range, NM	0	0	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wiesbaden, Germany	5	0	5	16	10	26	10	2	12	8	2	10
Yong San, South Korea	29	3	32	26	9	35	33	8	41	38	8	46
Army	25	3	28	25	8	33	33	8	41	38	8	46
Marine Corps	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Navy	2	0	2	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	1,352	263	1,614	1,908	350	2,258	1,808	409	2,217	1,763	442	2,205

The method for collecting FY13 Army installation data is significantly different from method used for reporting FY14, FY15, and FY16 data, and reflects the installation data gathering methodology derived by the Army and the DoD prior to the implementation of DSAID. Installation data gathering methods in FY13 and prior do not allow for all Unrestricted Reports made in those FYs to be associated with a given installation. Whereas installation data for FY14 through FY16 denote a single report from a single victim received in the FY noted, the Army FY13 installation data come from three different sources: the substantiated, Unrestricted Report case synopses from Tab 7 of the data reporting matrices in Appendix D of the FY13 Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military; Restricted Reports received by Sexual Assault Response Coordinators at the installations noted in FY13; and unsubstantiated, Unrestricted Reports received in FY13 (per section 1602 of the FY11 National Defense Authorization Act, the Department does not provide case synopses for unsubstantiated cases to Congress; unsubstantiated cases are those cases where in evidence did not exist to take some kind of action against the alleged offender). The substantiated, Unrestricted Report case synopses also differs from FY13 through FY16 data, since the FY13 case synopses data do not capture all reports made to Army in FY13. Unsubstantiated, Unrestricted Report data came from MCIO investigations completed in FY13. The FY13 Army totals include reports from completed investigations and dispositions against subjects in FY13, regardless of the FY1 in which the report was first made (i.e., some of the outcomes reported in FY13 were for reports originally made in FY12 and earlier years).

#### **Navy Installations**

	Fisc	al Year 2013		Fis	cal Year 2014		Fis	cal Year 2015		Fis	cal Year 2016	
Navy Installation <sup>₄</sup>	Unrestricted Reports	Reports Remaining Restricted	Total Reports									
Naval Air Facility Atsugi, Japan	4	0	4	10	1	11	5	4	9	11	2	13
Naval Construction Battalion Center Gulfport, MS	15	5	20	7	1	8	7	6	13	8	2	10
Commander Navy Region, Korea	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1
Commander Fleet Activities Okinawa, Japan	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0
Commander Fleet Activities Sasebo, Japan	17	3	20	17	4	21	9	4	13	15	3	18
Commander Fleet Activities Yokosuka, Japan	50	5	55	29	9	38	15	15	30	45	8	53
Naval Support Facility Dahlgren, VA	1	0	1	2	1	3	1	0	1	3	1	4
Naval Support Activity Monterey, CA	1	2	3	3	1	4	4	4	8	5	2	7
Marine Corps	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
Navy	1	2	3	3	1	4	4	4	8	4	1	5
Naval Air Facility El Centro, CA	1	0	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Naval Air Facility Misawa, Japan	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Naval Air Station Corpus Christi, TX	2	0	2	1	0	1	3	0	3	3	0	3
Marine Corps	1	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	0
Navy	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	3
Naval Air Station Corry Station, FL	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
Marine Corps	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
Navy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Naval Air Station Fallon, NV	1	1	2	0	2	2	0	5	5	0	0	0
Naval Air Station - Joint Reserve Base Fort Worth, TX	5	1	6	5	4	9	9	1	10	9	4	13
Air Force	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	1	2
Marine Corps	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> It is important to note that the location of where a report was made does not necessarily mean the incident occurred at that location. This is because victims of sexual assault in DoD may report a sexual assault at a time and place of their choosing. Consequently, an alleged incident of sexual assault that is reported to a SARC at a particular installation may have occurred elsewhere, including the civilian sector and/or prior to entering military service. In addition, some Naval bases include reports from ships homeported at that location (e.g., Norfolk, San Diego, etc.)

	Fisc	al Year 2013	-	Fis	cal Year 2014		Fis	cal Year 2015		Fis	cal Year 2016	_
Navy Installation⁴	Unrestricted Reports	Reports Remaining Restricted	Total Reports									
Navy	4	1	5	5	4	9	8	0	8	8	3	11
Naval Air Station Kingsville, TX	1	0	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	1
Naval Air Station Meridian, MS	1	3	4	0	1	1	1	3	4	2	3	5
Marine Corps	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	2
Navy	1	3	4	0	0	0	0	2	2	1	2	3
Naval Air Station Sigonella, Italy	4	5	9	10	3	13	4	1	5	3	1	4
Naval Air Station Whiting Field, FL	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	2	1	4	5
Naval Air Station Jacksonville, FL	18	2	20	25	4	29	33	5	38	33	6	39
Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base New Orleans, LA	5	1	6	1	1	2	7	1	8	1	0	1
Naval Air Station Key West, FL	2	0	2	5	2	7	3	0	3	2	0	2
Naval Air Station Lemoore, CA	12	4	16	10	4	14	18	7	25	20	8	28
Marine Corps	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Navy	12	4	16	9	4	13	18	7	25	20	8	28
Naval Air Station Oceana, VA	21	10	31	23	12	35	32	10	42	37	5	42
Marine Corps	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	0
Navy	21	10	31	23	12	35	29	10	39	37	5	42
Naval Air Station Patuxent River, MD	1	1	2	3	1	4	4	2	6	2	1	3
Naval Air Station Pensacola, FL	30	27	57	20	21	41	29	16	45	24	13	37
Marine Corps	1	0	1	2	4	6	6	5	11	7	1	8
Navy	29	27	56	18	17	35	23	11	34	17	12	29
Naval Air Station Whidbey Island, WA	21	7	28	29	6	35	38	6	44	24	5	29
Naval Air Weapons Station China Lake, CA	4	0	4	0	0	0	3	0	3	2	1	3
Naval Hospital Beaufort, SC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	1	7
Naval Station Great Lakes, IL	59	15	74	59	19	78	55	32	87	75	47	122
Marine Corps	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
Navy	59	15	74	59	19	78	54	32	86	75	47	122
Naval Station Guantanamo Bay, Cuba	6	4	10	7	1	8	9	1	10	6	1	7
Naval Station Mayport, FL	16	6	22	18	7	25	33	7	40	25	5	30
Naval Station Newport, RI	10	5	15	5	3	8	7	3	10	5	2	7
Marine Corps	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
Navy	10	5	15	5	3	8	6	3	9	5	2	7

	Fisc	al Year 2013		Fis	cal Year 2014		Fis	cal Year 2015		Fis	cal Year 2016	
Navy Installation <sup>4</sup>	Unrestricted Reports	Reports Remaining Restricted	Total Reports									
Naval Station Norfolk, VA	192	39	231	212	51	263	220	71	291	220	50	270
Marine Corps	4	0	4	1	0	1	2	0	2	0	0	0
Navy	188	39	227	211	51	262	218	71	289	220	50	270
Naval Submarine Base Kings Bay, GA	17	4	21	12	1	13	10	2	12	6	6	12
Naval Support Activity Bethesda, MD	6	4	10	14	7	21	8	1	9	13	9	22
Naval Support Activity Naples, Italy	8	2	10	10	3	13	5	2	7	4	4	8
Naval Support Activity Panama City, FL	2	0	2	1	1	2	0	0	0	2	2	4
Naval Support Activity Souda Bay, Greece	1	0	1	2	1	3	1	1	2	1	0	1
Naval Support Facility Diego Garcia	5	0	5	0	0	0	2	2	4	1	0	1
Naval Support Facility Indian Head, MD	4	0	4	2	0	2	1	0	1	2	0	2
Marine Corps	4	0	4	2	0	2	1	0	1	2	0	2
Navy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Naval Base Coronado, CA	79	9	88	67	17	84	57	17	74	53	16	69
Naval Base Kitsap, WA	19	9	28	36	8	44	41	18	59	39	15	54
Naval Base Point Loma, CA	12	8	20	7	1	8	14	3	17	9	2	11
Naval Base San Diego, CA	113	27	140	126	24	150	127	38	165	148	39	187
Naval Base Ventura County, CA	14	7	21	16	7	23	19	4	23	13	3	16
Navy Recruiting District - New York - Garden City	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Navy Recruiting District Philadelphia, PA	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
NAVOPSPTCEN North Island, CA	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Portsmouth Naval Shipyard, ME	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	2	0	2
Naval Station Everett, WA	29	3	32	21	4	25	13	3	16	9	5	14
Naval Station Rota, Spain	2	1	3	6	3	9	8	3	11	12	5	17
Air Force	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Navy	2	1	3	5	3	8	8	3	11	12	5	17
Naval Support Activity Annapolis, MD	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Naval Support Activity Mid-South- Millington, TN	0	3	3	2	3	5	2	4	6	3	2	5
Naval Support Activity Saratoga Springs, NY	4	0	4	3	0	3	2	1	3	2	0	2
Singapore Area Coordinator	2	0	2	4	1	5	1	0	1	0	0	0
Naval Support Activity Washington - Navy Yard, D.C.	8	1	9	4	1	5	6	1	7	10	2	12
Naval Submarine Base New London, CT	17	0	17	12	3	15	11	1	12	12	2	14

	Fisc	al Year 2013		Fis	cal Year 2014		Fis	cal Year 2015		Fis	cal Year 2016	
Navy Installation <sup>4</sup>	Unrestricted Reports	Reports Remaining Restricted	Total Reports									
U.S. Naval Academy, MD	9	8	17	13	5	18	9	12	21	19	5	24
Naval Weapons Station Earle, NJ	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	4	2	6
Naval Weapons Station Yorktown, VA	17	4	21	19	7	26	16	8	24	21	9	30
Marine Corps	1	0	1	6	0	6	0	0	0	1	0	1
Navy	16	4	20	13	7	20	16	8	24	20	9	29
TOTAL	874	238	1,112	890	258	1,148	914	329	1,243	979	306	1,285

## **Marine Corps Installations**

	Fi	iscal Year 2013	i	Fi	iscal Year 2014		Fi	iscal Year 2015		Fi	scal Year 2016	
Marine Corps Installation⁵	Unrestricted Reports	Reports Remaining Restricted	Total Reports									
Camp Allen, VA	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	1
Camp Butler, Okinawa, Japan	11	2	13	10	0	10	19	3	22	10	5	15
Camp Courtney, Okinawa, Japan	19	3	22	14	5	19	14	4	18	13	4	17
Camp Foster, Okinawa, Japan	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4	1	0	1
Camp Fuji, Japan	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1
Camp Lejeune, NC	105	46	151	72	78	150	105	59	164	103	66	169
Camp Pendleton, CA	135	29	164	104	30	134	130	39	169	110	47	157
Camp Schwab, Okinawa	24	7	31	14	7	21	17	7	24	15	5	20
Marine Corps Support Facility New Orleans, LA	22	8	30	21	7	28	29	5	34	24	9	33
MCAGCC 29 Palms, CA	47	15	62	33	16	49	25	10	35	29	9	38
MCAS Beaufort, SC	20	5	25	17	2	19	15	5	20	19	1	20
Marine Corps	16	4	20	16	2	18	14	4	18	19	1	20
Navy	4	1	5	1	0	1	1	1	2	0	0	0
MCAS Cherry Point, NC	35	14	49	31	13	44	36	13	49	19	8	27
MCAS Futenma, Okinawa, Japan	15	8	23	14	1	15	10	1	11	5	0	5
MCAS Iwakuni, Japan	9	2	11	8	1	9	11	4	15	21	4	25
MCAS Kaneohe Bay, HI	1	4	5	1	1	2	4	3	7	7	6	13
MCAS Miramar, CA	39	17	56	49	18	67	39	18	57	34	21	55
MCAS New River, NC	10	6	16	16	16	32	14	13	27	22	10	32
MCAS Yuma, AZ	11	5	16	9	4	13	16	7	23	16	5	21
MCB, HI	15	7	22	18	3	21	17	5	22	16	9	25
MCB Quantico, VA	33	4	37	34	5	39	24	5	29	26	14	40
Marine Corps	33	4	37	33	5	38	24	5	29	26	14	40
Navy	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
MCLB Albany, GA	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	4	0	4
MCLB Barstow, CA	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> It is important to note that the location of where a report was made does not necessarily mean the incident occurred at that location. This is because victims of sexual assault in DoD may report a sexual assault at a time and place of their choosing. Consequently, an alleged incident of sexual assault that is reported to a SARC at a particular a particular installation may have occurred elsewhere, including the civilian sector and/or prior to entering military service.

Note: Army in FY13 Unrestricted Reports are organized by MCIO case files and may include more than one victim.

	Fi	scal Year 2013		Fi	scal Year 2014	1	Fi	scal Year 2015		Fi	iscal Year 2016	
Marine Corps Installation⁵	Unrestricted Reports	Reports Remaining Restricted	Total Reports									
HQ Eastern Recruiting Region/MCRD Parris Island, SC	31	98	129	19	140	159	28	54	82	14	51	65
HQ Western Recruiting Region/MCRD San Diego, CA	12	8	20	10	9	19	8	15	23	24	17	41
TOTAL	597	288	885	497	356	853	567	270	837	533	292	825

#### **Air Force Installations**

	Fi	iscal Year 2013	3	Fi	iscal Year 2014		F	iscal Year 2015	;	Fi	iscal Year 2016	i
Air Force Installation <sup>6</sup>	Unrestricted Reports	Reports Remaining Restricted	Total Reports									
RAF Alconbury Croughton, England	3	3	6	1	1	2	4	1	5	4	0	4
Altus AFB, OK	3	3	6	5	1	6	1	1	2	5	1	6
Aviano AB, Italy	12	6	18	11	2	13	11	4	15	16	3	19
Barksdale AFB, LA	5	5	10	12	3	15	16	4	20	14	9	23
Beale AFB, CA	5	1	6	10	5	15	10	4	14	12	4	16
Buckley AFB, CO	6	1	7	3	1	4	8	1	9	4	7	11
Cannon AFB, NM	8	8	16	12	5	17	10	1	11	11	4	15
Columbus AFB, MS	1	1	2	3	1	4	0	1	1	1	0	1
Davis-Monthan AFB, AZ	13	2	15	24	3	27	24	5	29	12	2	14
Dobbins ARB, GA	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	3	0	1	1
Dover AFB, DE	7	2	9	16	1	17	16	3	19	14	2	16
Dyess AFB, TX	3	2	5	13	6	19	16	0	16	14	5	19
Edwards AFB, CA	7	1	8	9	0	9	3	2	5	11	0	11
Eglin AFB, FL	23	9	32	26	5	31	29	7	36	24	9	33
Air Force	16	9	25	19	5	24	21	6	27	22	7	29
Army <sup>7</sup>	7	0	7	7	0	7	8	1	9	2	2	4
Eielson AFB, AK	4	0	4	2	1	3	2	2	4	6	2	8
Ellsworth AFB, SD	6	3	9	16	2	18	4	3	7	13	4	17
F E Warren AFB, WY	4	0	4	5	1	6	3	1	4	15	1	16
Fairchild AFB, WA	5	0	5	8	4	12	4	1	5	7	2	9
Goodfellow AFB, TX	19	4	23	17	10	27	12	6	18	19	8	27
Air Force	19	4	23	17	10	27	12	6	18	18	8	26
Marine Corps	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Grand Forks AFB, ND	3	1	4	5	1	6	11	0	11	8	1	9
Grissom ARB, IN	1	0	1	1	1	2	2	0	2	1	0	1
Hanscom AFB, MA	4	3	7	3	1	4	7	0	7	11	0	11

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> It is important to note that the location of where a report was made does not necessarily mean the incident occurred at that location. This is because victims of sexual assault in DoD may report a sexual assault at a time and place of their choosing. Consequently, an alleged incident of sexual assault that is reported to a SARC at a particular installation may have occurred elsewhere, including the civilian sector and/or prior to entering military service. <sup>7</sup> Army in FY13 Unrestricted Reports are organized by MCIO case files and may include more than one victim.

	Fi	iscal Year 2013	3	Fi	scal Year 2014		Fi	iscal Year 2015	i	Fi	iscal Year 2016	i
Air Force Installation <sup>6</sup>	Unrestricted Reports	Reports Remaining Restricted	Total Reports									
Hill AFB, UT	15	16	31	20	9	29	17	10	27	9	9	18
Holloman AFB, NM	9	5	14	13	2	15	16	8	24	9	3	12
Homestead ARB, FL	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	2	3	5
Hurlburt Field, FL	10	6	16	20	7	27	17	3	20	17	3	20
Incirlik AB, Turkey	4	1	5	4	4	8	8	1	9	4	1	5
Kadena AB, Japan	17	11	28	19	7	26	11	8	19	22	15	37
Keesler AFB, MS	16	25	41	16	17	33	11	11	22	11	11	22
Air Force	16	25	41	15	17	32	11	10	21	19	8	27
Marine Corps	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	3
Navy	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kirtland AFB, NM	6	6	12	6	8	14	9	3	12	7	5	12
Kunsan AB, South Korea	6	3	9	6	5	11	9	1	10	1	3	4
Lajes Field, Azores	0	0	0	1	2	3	1	0	1	0	0	0
RAF Lakenheath, England	8	3	11	11	5	16	17	7	24	13	5	18
Laughlin AFB, TX	1	0	1	1	1	2	2	0	2	5	3	8
Little Rock AFB, AR	10	2	12	21	8	29	9	5	14	7	6	13
Los Angeles AFB, CA	0	0	0	1	1	2	3	2	5	2	1	3
Luke AFB, AZ	1	0	1	8	3	11	10	3	13	5	2	7
MacDill AFB, FL	10	8	18	21	3	24	18	1	19	7	2	9
Air Force	8	8	16	21	3	24	18	1	19	7	1	8
Army	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Marine Corps	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Malmstrom AFB, MT	14	4	18	6	7	13	20	4	24	15	1	16
March ARB, CA	0	1	1	1	0	1	3	2	5	3	0	3
Maxwell AFB, AL	4	5	9	4	3	7	4	5	9	4	6	10
Mc Connell AFB, KS	4	1	5	2	1	3	5	2	7	10	0	10
RAF Menwith Hill, England	1	2	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
RAF Mildenhall, England	2	6	8	6	5	11	6	4	10	5	1	6
Minneapolis St. Paul ARS, MN	1	0	1	1	0	1	2	0	2	4	1	5
Minot AFB, ND	11	4	15	15	3	18	15	3	18	11	0	11
Misawa AB, Japan	12	3	15	8	4	12	8	3	11	7	2	9

Air Force Installation <sup>6</sup>	F	Fiscal Year 2013			Fiscal Year 2014			scal Year 2015	;	Fiscal Year 2016		
	Unrestricted Reports	Reports Remaining Restricted	Total Reports									
RAF Molesworth, England	1	1	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Moody AFB, GA	12	1	13	7	7	14	9	7	16	13	11	24
Mountain Home AFB, ID	12	4	16	22	8	30	18	7	25	11	8	19
Nellis AFB, NV	14	7	21	26	9	35	20	12	32	18	9	27
Air Force	14	7	21	26	9	35	19	12	31	18	9	27
Army	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
Niagara Falls ARS, NY	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	6	2	1	3
Offutt AFB, NE	6	8	14	16	6	22	19	5	24	9	10	19
Osan AB, South Korea	15	4	19	16	5	21	20	11	31	10	8	18
Patrick AFB, FL	1	1	2	2	2	4	7	2	9	3	1	4
Pittsburgh IAP ARS, PA	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
Air Force	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Navy	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pentagon, D.C.	1	0	1	3	1	4	0	1	1	0	0	0
Peterson AFB, CO	14	2	16	15	3	18	7	7	14	15	6	21
Air Force	14	2	16	15	3	18	6	6	12	15	6	21
Army	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0
Pope Field, NC	4	2	6	4	1	5	3	2	5	7	3	10
Ramstein AB, Germany	15	4	19	16	11	27	15	7	22	27	9	36
Robins AFB, GA	7	2	9	10	4	14	8	2	10	9	4	13
Schriever AFB, CO	12	2	14	3	0	3	6	2	8	10	5	15
Scott AFB, IL	14	4	18	10	1	11	10	3	13	7	8	15
Seymour Johnson AFB, NC	5	9	14	10	6	16	6	7	13	9	4	13
Shaw AFB, SC	13	17	30	14	7	21	10	5	15	14	2	16
Air Force	13	7	20	14	7	21	10	5	15	14	2	16
Army	0	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sheppard AFB, TX	5	3	8	15	4	19	5	5	10	15	6	21
Spangdahlem AB, Germany	6	0	6	10	6	16	14	5	19	15	2	17
Thule AB, Greenland	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tinker AFB, OK	9	3	12	15	6	21	7	6	13	17	10	27
Travis AFB, CA	15	3	18	22	2	24	23	4	27	25	9	34
Tunisa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1

	Fiscal Year 2013			Fiscal Year 2014			F	iscal Year 2015	;	Fiscal Year 2016		
Air Force Installation <sup>6</sup>	Unrestricted Reports	Reports Remaining Restricted	Total Reports									
Tyndall AFB, FL	6	2	8	13	5	18	13	6	19	11	2	13
USAF Academy, CO	20	17	37	14	14	28	29	30	59	17	27	44
Vance AFB, OK	0	1	1	2	0	2	3	0	3	4	2	6
Vandenberg AFB, CA	3	0	3	7	5	12	6	3	9	4	2	6
Westover ARB, MA	1	0	1	0	0	0	3	2	5	5	2	7
Whiteman AFB, MO	11	2	13	8	6	14	17	4	21	15	6	21
Wright Patterson AFB, OH	12	7	19	10	7	17	11	6	17	20	10	30
Yokota AB, Japan	4	2	6	9	0	9	6	4	10	10	2	12
Youngstown Warren ARS, OH	2	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0
TOTAL	546	275	821	716	287	1,003	719	290	1,009	725	318	1,043

## **Joint Base Installations**

	F	iscal Year 201	3	Fiscal Year 2014			F	iscal Year 201	5	Fiscal Year 2016		
Joint Base Installations <sup>8</sup>	Unrestricted Reports	Reports Remaining Restricted	Total Reports									
Joint Base Anacostia-Bolling, D.C.	5	1	6	6	7	13	13	8	21	20	2	22
Air Force	0	0	0	4	4	8	5	4	9	6	1	7
Navy	5	1	6	2	3	5	8	4	12	14	1	15
Joint Base Andrews, MD	10	4	14	21	3	24	9	4	13	17	3	20
Air Force	10	4	14	21	3	24	9	4	13	16	3	19
Navy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Joint Base Charleston, SC	25	16	41	19	6	25	15	14	29	22	17	39
Air Force	16	3	19	11	3	14	6	4	10	15	7	22
Navy	9	13	22	8	3	11	9	10	19	7	10	17
Joint Base Elmendorf-Richardson, AK	22	2	24	42	7	49	26	11	37	40	5	45
Air Force	7	2	9	14	4	18	13	6	19	28	3	31
Army <sup>9</sup>	15	0	15	28	3	31	13	5	18	12	2	14
Joint Base Langley-Eustis, VA	23	9	32	41	17	58	46	16	62	32	14	46
Air Force	17	4	21	16	15	31	29	12	41	22	9	31
Army	6	5	11	25	2	27	17	4	21	10	5	15
Joint Base Lewis-McChord, WA	71	22	93	113	41	154	118	41	159	126	27	153
Air Force	1	1	2	8	1	9	10	4	14	4	5	9
Army	70	21	91	105	40	145	108	37	145	122	22	144
Joint Base McGuire-Dix-Lakehurst, NJ	11	6	17	18	6	24	21	1	22	18	10	28
Air Force	5	5	10	12	4	16	14	1	15	12	9	21
Army	3	1	4	3	2	5	6	0	6	6	1	7
Navy	3	0	3	3	0	3	1	0	1	0	0	0
Joint Base Myer-Henderson Hall, VA	6	2	8	23	0	23	18	6	24	25	1	26
Army	5	1	6	21	0	21	16	4	20	20	1	21
Marine Corps	1	1	2	2	0	2	2	2	4	5	0	5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> It is important to note that the location of where a report was made does not necessarily mean the incident occurred at that location. This is because victims of sexual assault in DoD may report a sexual assault at a time and place of their choosing. Consequently, an alleged incident of sexual assault that is reported to a SARC at a particular installation may have occurred elsewhere, including the civilian sector and/or prior to entering military service.
<sup>9</sup> Army FY13 Unrestricted Reports are organized by MCIO case files and may include more than one victim.

	Fiscal Year 2013			F	iscal Year 201	4	F	iscal Year 201	5	Fiscal Year 2016		
Joint Base Installations <sup>8</sup>	Unrestricted Reports	Reports Remaining Restricted	Total Reports									
Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickman, HI	43	10	53	74	18	92	54	15	69	45	12	57
Air Force	11	3	14	12	8	20	12	4	16	14	3	17
Navy	32	7	39	62	10	72	42	11	53	31	9	40
Joint Base San Antonio, TX	135	118	253	136	83	219	144	54	198	123	88	211
Air Force	66	82	148	81	63	144	83	31	114	70	47	117
Army	52	23	75	43	16	59	51	20	71	46	28	74
Navy	17	13	30	12	4	16	10	3	13	7	13	20
Joint Expeditionary Base Little Creek-Fort Story, VA	21	4	25	16	7	23	22	3	25	22	9	<mark>31</mark>
Navy	21	4	25	16	6	22	21	3	24	22	8	30
Marine Corps	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1
Joint Region Marianas, Guam	10	10	20	15	5	20	15	10	25	10	4	14
Air Force	3	2	5	9	1	10	9	3	12	3	3	6
Navy	7	8	15	6	4	10	6	7	13	7	1	8
TOTAL	382	204	586	524	200	724	<mark>501</mark>	<mark>183</mark>	<mark>684</mark>	500	192	<mark>692</mark>

NOTE: THE YELLOW HIGHLIGHTED NUMBERS ON THIS PAGE WERE CORRECTED FROM THE ORIGINAL RELEASE ON NOVEMBER 17, 2017.

## **Combat Areas of Interest**

Combat Areas of Interest <sup>10</sup>		Fiscal Year 2013 Matrices Data <sup>1</sup>			iscal Year 2014 DSAID Data	Ļ	F	iscal Year 2015 DSAID Data		Fiscal Year 2016 DSAID Data			
	Unrestricted Reports	Initial Reports Remaining	Total Reports	Unrestricted Reports	Reports Remaining Restricted	Total Reports	Unrestricted Reports	Reports Remaining Restricted	Total Reports	Unrestricted Reports	Reports Remaining Restricted	Total Reports	
Afghanistan	142	26	168	62	15	77	5	20	25	10	15	25	
Bahrain	15	2	17	11	4	15	33	5	38	8	7	15	
Djibouti	4	0	4	3	4	7	2	4	6	2	1	3	
Egypt	3	1	4	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	
Iraq	23	11	34	7	9	16	3	11	14	5	15	20	
Jordan	5	0	5	1	1	2	1	0	1	3	1	4	
Kuwait	21	7	28	14	4	18	3	5	8	19	6	25	
Kyrgyzstan	3	2	5	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	
Lebanon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Oman	3	0	3	3	1	4	1	1	2	1	0	1	
Pakistan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Qatar	16	6	22	10	6	16	4	4	8	17	6	23	
Saudi Arabia	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	
Syria	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
United Arab Emirates	11	2	13	6	2	8	9	4	13	6	0	6	
Uganda	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Yemen	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL	247	58	305	117	46	163	62	56	118	73	52	125	

**Note:** In FY13, combat area of interest (CAI) data come from Service matrices. The FY13 document CAI Reports by Unrestricted Reports and Initially Restricted Reports. Thus, the CAI figures for FY13 may include a few Restricted Reports that may have been later converted to Unrestricted Reports during FY13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> It is important to note that the location of where a report was made does not necessarily mean the incident occurred at that location. This is because victims of sexual assault in the DoD may report a sexual assault at a time and place of their choosing. Consequently, an alleged incident of sexual assault that is reported to a SARC at a particular a particular installation may have occurred elsewhere, including the civilian sector and/or prior to entering military

Note: Army in FY13 Unrestricted Reports are organized by MCIO case files and may include more than one victim.