



From: (b)(6)  
 To: [Mehlhorn, Thomas A SES USN NAVAL RESEARCH LAB \(US\)](#)  
 Cc: (b)(6); [Mehlhorn, Thomas A SES USN NAVAL RESEARCH LAB \(US\)](#); (b)(6); [Staffin, Robin SES OSD OUSD ATL \(US\)](#); (b)(6)  
 Subject: RE: Draft SOW for LENR report/briefing  
 Date: Monday, August 15, 2016 12:41:36 PM  
 Attachments: [NRL LENR.doc](#)

---

Hello there Dr. Mehlhorn,

I have a few questions in order to proceed with executing the funds from the Office of Basic Research. Could you please forward me your financial POC? The questions I have are noted below.

1. Who will be the main Technical Point of Contact at NRL
2. Who will be the Financial Point of Contact at NRL
3. Will funds be sent reimbursable or Direct Cite? (In other words, will the work be done in house at NRL or will you contract the work outside the government?)

(Please see highlighted in the SOW, attached).

Thank you for your time and have a great day.

Very Respectfully,

(b)(6) MBA  
 Financial Management Analyst, Office of Basic Research  
 Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering  
 4800 Mark Center Dr., Ste. 17C08  
 Alexandria, VA 22350-3600  
 TEL: (b)(6)  
 "Strive not to be a success, but rather to be of value." -Albert Einstein

-----Original Message-----

From: [Staffin, Robin SES OSD OUSD ATL \(US\)](#)  
 Sent: Monday, August 15, 2016 11:59 AM  
 To: (b)(6)  
 Cc: (b)(6); (b)(6)  
 (b)(6); [Mehlhorn, Thomas A SES USN NAVAL RESEARCH LAB \(US\)](#); (b)(6); [Mehlhorn, Thomas A SES USN NAVAL RESEARCH LAB \(US\)](#)  
 Subject: RE: Draft SOW for LENR report/briefing

(b)(6)

Please MIPR \$50K to NRL for the attached SOW.

Thank you,

Robin

-----Original Message-----

From: [Mehlhorn, Thomas A SES \(US\)](#) [[mailto:\(b\)\(6\)](#)]

Sent: Wednesday, August 03, 2016 5:02 PM  
To: Staffin, Robin SES OSD OUSD ATL (US)  
Cc: (b)(6)  
(b)(6) Mehlhorn, Thomas A SES USN NAVAL  
RESEARCH LAB (US): (b)(6)  
Subject: Draft SOW for LENR report/briefing

Robin,  
Attached is a draft SOW to get the ball rolling. The most important thing is for your Admin to talk with my AO (Ms. (b)(6)) to arrange to get the MIPR going so that we can legally start the project under Working Capital Fund rules.

Make any changes that you feel necessary, or just get the MIPR going and (b)(6) will dive in (they're already ramping up). We'll keep you in the loop and make sure that we jointly develop the briefing that you need.

R/ Tom

On 8/1/16, 9:59 PM, "Staffin, Robin SES OSD OUSD ATL (US)"  
(b)(6) wrote:

>  
>  
>-----Original Message-----  
>From: Mehlhorn, Thomas A SES (US) [mailto:(b)(6)]  
>Sent: Monday, August 01, 2016 9:45 PM  
>To: Staffin, Robin SES OSD OUSD ATL (US)  
>Cc: (b)(6) Mehlhorn, Thomas A SES USN NAVAL  
>RESEARCH LAB (US)  
>Subject: Re: LENR documents

>  
>Robin  
>Sorry for the trouble, but my Mac can't decode your encrypted attachment -  
>I get the dread winmail.dat that contains an smime.p7m file. Please resend  
>them with a cc: to (b)(6) who has a PC,  
>and he can probably decode the encryption and give me the files on a usb  
>drive.

>  
>No applause please!  
>Tom

>  
>On 8/1/16, 9:19 PM, "Staffin, Robin SES OSD OUSD ATL (US)"  
>(b)(6) wrote:

>>Tom.  
>>  
>>Attached are some documents that I promised. The MS Word file contains  
>>the House language for the briefing. The ERAB pdf refers to the DOE  
>>Energy Research Advisory Board study completed in 1989, the SC2004 is the  
>>Office of Science sponsored update to the ERAB report completed in 2004,  
>>and the CF physics extract is the physics analysis taken from a JASON  
>>study. I would probably like to talk with you and your folks, if nothing  
>>but for the pleasure of having an enjoyable physics discussion.  
>>  
>>Note the Popular Mechanics article ("The House is Suddenly..."). I think

ERAB and SC2004 pdf refer to a DOE documents

The JASON report owner is NASA

>>it is very likely that our report will be noticed. But, if we wanted  
>>applause, we would have joined the circus.  
>>  
>>Robin

Opinion