CLEARED For Open Publication

By kempr on Apr 27, 2022

Department of Defense
OFFICE OF PREPUBLICATION AND SECURITY REVIEW

PATRIOT ADVANCED CAPABILITY-3 MISSILE SEGMENT ENHANCEMENT (PAC-3 MSE)

December 2021 Selected Acquisition Report (SAR)



December 31, 2021

Department of The Army

Table of Contents

| Executive Summary | |
|---|----|
| Program Highlights Since Last Report (Congress) | 1 |
| History of Significant Developments Since Program Initiation: | |
| Schedule | 3 |
| Schedule Events | 3 |
| Significant Schedule Risks | 3 |
| Performance | 3 |
| Performance Notes | 7 |
| Performance Deviation Explanations | 7 |
| Acquisition Budget Estimate | 8 |
| Total Acquisition Cost | 8 |
| Total End Item Quantity | 8 |
| Budget Notes | 8 |
| Quantity Notes | 8 |
| Cost Deviation Explanations | 9 |
| Risk and Sensitivity Analysis | 9 |
| Unit Cost | |
| Current Baseline Compared with Current Estimate | 10 |
| Original Baseline Compared with Current Estimate | 10 |
| Unit Cost Notes | 10 |
| Contracts | 11 |
| Technologies and Systems Engineering | 17 |
| Significant Technical Risks | 17 |
| Deliveries and Expenditures | 18 |
| Delivery and Expenditure Notes | 18 |
| Low-Rate Initial Production | 18 |
| Operating and Support (O&S) Cost | 19 |
| Total Program O&S Costs Compared with Baseline | 19 |
| Deviation Explanation | 19 |
| Operating and Support Cost Breakdown | 19 |

Executive Summary

Program Highlights Since Last Report (Congress): The PAC-3 MSE requirements are stable and funding is adequate to meet cost, schedule, and performance objectives established in the current approved APB. There are no increased risks to the PAC-3 MSE program since the last SAR.

On April 30, 2020, the US Government awarded Lockheed Martin Missiles and Fire Control a Firm Fixed Price contract for the FY 2021 - FY 2023 production of U.S. and Foreign Military Sales (FMS) PAC-3 MSE missiles and ancillary hardware with a total potential contract value of \$9.5B. At time of award, 954 FMS PAC-3 MSE missiles were procured.

Effective June 30, 2020, PEO Missiles and Space transferred Operational Control of the PAC-3 MSE program from the Lower Tier Project Office to the Short and Intermediate Effectors for Layered Defense Project Office.

On December 23, 2020, the initial FY 2021 U.S. production contract option was exercised, procuring 134 U.S. PAC-3 MSE missiles and U.S./FMS ancillary hardware. Due to the limitations of the Continuing Resolution in place at the time of initial U.S. production award, an additional option to procure the remaining 12 FY 2021 U.S. PAC-3 MSE missiles was exercised on March 31, 2021.

On April 5, 2021, a Letter of Offer and Acceptance (LOA) was signed with Kuwait for the procurement of PAC-3 MSE missiles.

On July 12, 2021, a LOA was signed with the Netherlands for the procurement of PAC-3 MSE missiles. Contract funding and quantities for each case will be determined when funds are placed on contract.

On October 18, 2021, Lockheed Martin completed production of the 1000th PAC-3 MSE missile at their Camden, Arkansas facility.

The FY 2022 PAC-3 MSE production contract option was exercised in December 2021.

There are no significant software-related issues with this program at this time.

History of Significant Developments Since Program Initiation:

| Date | Description | | |
|----------|--|--|--|
| Mar-2014 | On March 27, 2014, the DAE signed the Milestone C ADM authorizing the PAC-3 MSE to enter Production and Deployment and proceed with LRIP. | | |
| Mar-2014 | The FY 2014 PAC-3 MSE Production Fixed Price Incentive Firm Target Undefinitized Contract Action was awarded on March 28, 2014, following approval of the PAC-3 MSE Milestone C. | | |
| Jan-2015 | On January 16, 2015, the DAE approved the PAC-3 MSE Production APB. | | |
| Oct-2015 | PAC-3 MSE First Unit Equipped was established with 3-2 Air Defense Artillery (ADA) on October 23, 2015. | | |

| May-2016 | On May 10, 2016, the DAE delegated milestone decision authority for the PAC-3 MSE program to the Secretary of the Army. The PAC-3 MSE program was designated ACAT IC with milestone decision authority assigned to the Army Acquisition Executive (AAE). | | |
|----------|---|--|--|
| Jul-2016 | PAC-3 MSE IOC was established with the 3-2 ADA on July 5, 2016. | | |
| Aug-2016 | On August 10, 2016, the AAE approved an increase to the PAC-3 MSE LRIP quantity. This request is a result of multiple annual Congressional increases to procure additional PAC-3 MSE missiles. | | |
| Dec-2017 | On December 21, 2017, the AAE as the MDA, concurred with a PDR that provided notification of a deviation from the approved APB Procurement Cost threshold. The I reported a deviation due to receipt of additional missile procurement funding in FY 2014 through FY 2018. The program increase supports procurement to the Army Acquisition Objective. | | |
| Jan-2018 | On January 24, 2018, the PM provided a PDR notifying the AAE of an O&S Cost breach. The cumulative program increases caused the O&S Cost current estimate to exceed the threshold. | | |
| Jan-2018 | On January 25, 2018, the AAE approved an increase to the PAC-3 MSE LRIP quantity. This request is a result of multiple annual Congressional increases and OSD reprogramming to procure additional PAC-3 MSE missiles. | | |
| Apr-2018 | | | |
| Jun-2018 | On June 13, 2018, the AAE signed an ADM authorizing PAC-3 MSE to proceed to FRP. | | |
| Jul-2018 | On July 17, 2018, the AAE approved the PAC-3 MSE APB Change 1. | | |
| Dec-2018 | On December 21, 2018, the FY 2019 PAC-3 MSE Production contract was awarded to Lockheed Martin Missiles and Fire Control, Dallas, Texas. The contract contains the first PAC-3 MSE FRP quantities. The FY 2019 - FY 2020 contract is a follow-on production contract to the program's previous LRIP contracts awarded FY 2014 through FY 2018. | | |
| Jul-2019 | On July 31, 2019, the Government of the Kingdom of Bahrain signed the Patriot Letter of Acceptance (LOA) to become the 16th Patriot International Partner. The LOA value is \$1.1B. | | |
| Dec-2019 | On December 5, 2019, a FY 2020 PAC-3 MSE Production contract modification was awarded to Lockheed Martin Missiles and Fire Control, Dallas, Texas, for U.S./FMS PAC-3 MSE missiles; U.S./FMS Launcher Modification Kits; and associated hardware. On December 30, 2019, an additional FY 2020 PAC-3 MSE Production contract modification was awarded to Lockheed Martin Missiles and Fire Control, Dallas, Texas, for the remaining U.S. PAC-3 MSE missiles and to incorporate the OUSD enablers. | | |
| Apr-2020 | On April 30, 2020, the U.S. Government awarded Lockheed Martin Missiles and Fire Control a Firm Fixed Price contract for the FY 2021 - FY 2023 production of U.S. and FMS PAC-3 MSE missiles and ancillary hardware with a total potential contract value of \$9.5B. | | |
| Oct-2021 | On October 18, 2021, Lockheed Martin completed production of the 1000th PAC-3 MSE missile at their Camden, Arkansas facility. | | |

Schedule

Schedule Events

| Event Title (or Header) | Current Objective | Current Threshold | Current Estimate/ Actual Date | Deviation? |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|------------|
| MSE First Intercept | Feb-2010 | Feb-2010 | Feb-2010 | |
| MSE First Unit Equipped (FUE) | Oct-2015 | Oct-2015 | Oct-2015 | |
| MSE Milestone C | Mar-2014 | Mar-2014 | Mar-2014 | |
| MSE IOC | Jul-2016 | Jul-2016 | Jul-2016 | |
| MSE FRP | Apr-2018 | Apr-2018 | Apr-2018 | |

| Schedule Notes: | Schedule Deviation Explanations: | |
|---|----------------------------------|--|
| MSE FUE is achieved when the first Patriot Fire Unit is equipped with 12 MSE missiles. | | |
| MSE IOC is considered achieved when a Patriot Battalion, consisting of four Fire Units, is equipped with 12 MSE missiles per Fire Unit. | | |

Significant Schedule Risks

| Event | Date | Description | |
|-------|------|-------------|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Performance

| _ | | Performa | nce Attributes | | |
|---|---|---|----------------|--|------|
| Current Objective | Current Threshold | Current Estimate | Deviation? | Demonstrated Performance | Date |
| Attribute Title: | Proficiency L | evel | | KPP | |
| Soldiers (Operators, Maintainers, and Leaders) are | (T=O) Soldiers (Operators, Maintainers, and Leaders) | Soldiers (Operators, Maintainers, and Leaders) | | Soldiers (Operators, Maintainers, and Leaders) were | |

| able to perform critical tasks to standard 95% of the time after training. | are able to perform critical tasks to standard 95% of the time after training. | are able to perform critical tasks to standard 95% of the time after training. | able to perform critical tasks to standard 95% of the time after training during logistics demonstration and test unit training. | |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| Attribute Title: | Time to Train | | KPP | |
| Duration of institutional training shall be no more than 20 weeks for AOC 14A and MOSs 14E, H, T, 140A, 35 weeks for MOS 140E to train to use the system capabilities properly. | (T=O) Duration of institutional training shall be no more than 20 weeks for AOC 14A and MOSs 14E, H, T, 140A, 35 weeks for MOS 140E to train to use the system capabilities properly. | Duration of institutional training shall be no more than 20 weeks for AOC 14A and MOSs 14E, H, T, 140A, 35 weeks for MOS 140E to train to use the system capabilities properly. | Fire Centers of Excellence currently conducts AOC 14A in 18 weeks 3 days, 14E in 19 weeks 4 days, 14H in 11 weeks 3 days, 14T in 10 weeks, 140A in 19 weeks 2 days and 140E in 35 weeks and 4 days. | |
| Attribute Title: | Training Rete | ention | KPP | |
| Soldier sustainment training to maintain proficiency shall be required quarterly, semi-annually, and annually. | (T=O) Soldier sustainment training to maintain proficiency shall be required quarterly, semi-annually, and annually. | Soldier sustainment training to maintain proficiency shall be required quarterly, semi- annually, and annually. | Soldier sustainment training to maintain proficiency shall be required quarterly, semi-annually, and annually in accordance with FM 3-01.86, Air Defense Artillery Patriot Brigade Gunnery Program. | |
| Attribute Title: | Training Sup | port | KPP | |
| Training resources shall be capable of providing 95% of training individual and collective critical tasks (march- | Training resources shall be capable of providing 90% of training individual and collective critical tasks | Training resources shall be capable of providing 95% of training individual and collective critical tasks | All training support materials to include preliminary technical manuals, New Equipment Training Plans, Task Analysis, | |

| order and emplacement, operations, maintenance, force operations, and engagement operations) related to tactically deployed systems while missiles are loaded. | (march-order and emplacement, operations, maintenance, force operations, and engagement operations) related to tactically deployed systems while missiles are loaded. | (march-order and emplacement, operations, maintenance, force operations, and engagement operations) related to tactically deployed systems while missiles are loaded. | and Doctrine Impact Reports were provided to Fires Center of Excellence Directorate of Training Development and Doctrine. | |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| Attribute Title: System specific training capabilities shall interoperate with and support | Training Inter (T=O) System specific training capabilities shall | System specific training capabilities shall interoperate | The Patriot weapons system supports live, virtual and constructive | |
| collective training with existing live, virtual, and constructive training environments throughout the system lifecycle. | interoperate with and support collective training with existing live, virtual, and constructive training environments throughout the system lifecycle. | with and support collective training with existing live, virtual, and constructive training environments throughout the system lifecycle. | training environments by using TADSS to conduct multi- level training for both operators and maintenance personnel. With the addition of DIS and TADIL-J demonstrated the ability to participate in a virtual environment in both AC-12 and JC-14. The constructive environment was demonstrated during PoP Test 1 (connected two PCOFT labs in different states) and PoP Test 2 (connected two PCOFT labs in different countries.) | |

| Attribute Title: | Net Ready | | KPP | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Attribute Title: The PAC-3 Increment 2 system must fully support execution of all operational activities and information exchanges identified in the DoD Enterprise Architecture and solution architectures based on integrated DoDAF content, and must satisfy the technical requirements for transition to Net- Centric military operations to include: (1) Solution architecture products; (2) Compliant with Net-Centric data strategy and Net-Centric Services strategy; | Net Ready The PAC-3 Increment 2 system must fully support execution of joint critical operational activities and information exchanges identified in the DoD Enterprise Architecture and solution architectures based on integrated DoDAF content, and must satisfy the technical requirements for transition to Net-Centric military operations to include: (1) Solution architecture products; (2) Compliant with Net-Centric data strategy | The PAC-3 Increment 2 system must fully support execution of all operational activities and information exchanges identified in the DoD Enterprise Architecture and solution architectures based on integrated DoDAF content, and must satisfy the technical requirements for transition to Net-Centric military operations to include: (1) Solution architecture products; (2) Compliant with Net-Centric data strategy and Net-Centric | TBD. The Net Ready KPP applies to the integration of the PATRIOT command and control system into IBCS and is not specific to the performance of the MSE missile. Demonstrated Performance will coincide with IBCS First Unit Equipped. | |
| Net-Centric data strategy and Net-Centric Services | architecture products; (2) Compliant with Net-Centric | products; (2) Compliant with Net-Centric data strategy | | |
| Attribute Title: | Sustainment | Reliability | KPP | |
| The material | The material | The material | Will be | |
| sustainment | sustainment | sustainment | demonstrated | |
| reliability will | reliability will | reliability will | during Post | |

| exceed 41 hours | exceed 20 | exceed 20 | Deployment | |
|-----------------|-----------|---------------|---------------|--|
| MTBCMF. | hours | hours | Build-8 and | |
| | MTBCMF. | MTBCMF. | Radar Digital | |
| | | Processor- | | |
| | | Configuration | | |
| | | | Operational | |
| | | | testing. | |

| Performance Notes: | Performance Deviation Explanations: |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| Patriot Advanced Capability-3 (PAC-3) Increment | |
| 2 CPD dated January 24, 2013 | |
| Acronyms: | |
| AC-12 - Austere Challenge 2012 | |
| AOC - Area of Concentration | |
| DIS - Distributive Interactive Simulation | 1.9 |
| DoDAF - Department of Defense Architecture | |
| Framework | |
| FM - Field Manual | |
| GIG - Global Information Grid | |
| IBCS - Integrated Air & Missile Defense Battle | |
| Command System | |
| JC-14 - Juniper Cobra 2014 | |
| MOS - Military Occupational Specialty | |
| MTBCMF - Mean Time Between Critical Mission | |
| Failure | H H |
| O - Objective | |
| PCOFT - Patriot Conduct of Fire Trainer | |
| PoP - Proof of Principle | |
| T - Threshold | |
| TADIL-J - Tactical Digital Information Link-Joint | |
| TADSS - Training Aids, Devices, Simulators and | |
| Simulations | |

Acquisition Budget Estimate

Total Acquisition Cost

Budget Year: 2023 Base Year: 2014

| Appropriation Category (\$Millions) | Objective Base Year (Current APB) | Threshold Base Year (Current APB) | Budget Estimate Base Year | Budget Estimate Then Year | Deviation? |
|---|---|---|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|
| RDT&E | \$ 927.8 | \$ 1,020.6 | \$ 933.2 | \$ 869.8 | |
| Procurement | \$ 12,134.50 | \$ 13,348.0 | \$ 11,484.2 | \$ 13,869.0 | |
| MILCON | \$ 25.3 | \$ 27.8 | \$ 24.4 | \$ 30.0 | |
| Acq O&M | \$ 36.1 | \$ 39.7 | \$ 35.2 | \$ 45.8 | |
| Total Acquisition | \$ 13,123.7 | | \$ 12,477.0 | \$ 14,814.6 | |
| PAUC | \$ 4.233 | \$ 4.656 | \$ 4.025 | \$ 4.779 | |
| APUC | \$ 3.914 | \$ 4.305 | \$ 3.705 | \$ 4.474 | |

Total End Item Quantity

| Quantity | Current APB | Current Estimate |
|-----------------|-------------|------------------|
| Development Qty | 0 | 0 |
| Procurement Qty | 3,100 | 3,100 |

Budget Notes:

Army Acquisition Executive approved Current APB, July 17, 2018.

CAPE Cost Risks: A Program Office Estimate was completed to reflect programmatic changes to the procurement buy profile. The program baseline estimate included the effect of notional FMS requirements in addition to U.S. requirements when determining total quantities for costing. If FMS quantities do not materialize, then the U.S.

procurement costs could increase, impacting quantities to be procured. Leveraging FMS investments enables cost sharing, contract pricing synergies, production efficiencies, and mitigates risks of future production gaps.

The changes in the Procurement and Acq O&M cost estimates since the December 2019 SAR are due to adjustments to the procurement buy profile and revised escalation indices.

The changes in the Base Year 2014 \$ cost estimates for RDT&E and MILCON since the December 2019 SAR are due to the change in methodology used to develop weighted indices.

Quantity Notes:

Cost Deviation Explanations:

Risk and Sensitivity Analysis

Current Procurement Risks:

DASA(CE) directed that the current baseline includes notional FMS quantities. If FMS quantities do not materialize due to low FMS participation, then the procurement costs will increase potentially impacting the quantity to be procured.

Unit Cost

Current Baseline Compared with Current Estimate

Current Baseline Base Year: 2014

| Category (\$ Millions) | Current Baseline | Current Estimate | % Change | Breach? Significant or Critical |
|------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|
| Program Acquisition U | nit Cost | | | |
| Acquisition Cost | \$ 13,123.7 | \$ 12,477.0 | | |
| Program Quantity | 3,100 | 3,100 | | |
| PAUC | \$ 4.233 | \$ 4.025 | -4.93% | None |
| Average Procurement | Unit Cost | | | |
| Procurement Cost | \$ 12,134.5 | \$ 11,484.2 | | |
| Procurement Quantity | 3,100 | 3,100 | | |
| APUC | \$ 3.914 | \$ 3.705 | -5.36% | None |

Original Baseline Compared with Current Estimate

Original Baseline Base Year: 2004

| Category (\$ Millions) Original Baseline Current Est Program Acquisition Unit Cost Acquisition Cost \$ 6,220.9 \$ 10,227 | imate Change | |
|--|--------------|------|
| Acquisition Cost \$ 6 220 9 \$ 10 227 | | - |
| 7 (cquisition 503) \$\psi 0,220.5 \$\psi 10,221 | 7.8 | |
| Program Quantity 1,528 3,100 | | |
| PAUC \$ 4.071 \$ 3.299 | -18.97% | None |
| Average Procurement Unit Cost | | |
| Procurement Cost \$ 5,760.0 \$ 9,414 | .0 | |
| Procurement Quantity 1,528 3,100 | | |
| APUC \$ 3.770 \$ 3.033 | 7 -19.44% | None |
| Impacts of Schedule Changes on Unit Cost: Unit Cost Notes: | | |

Contracts

| Contract Number: | W31P4Q-17-C-0006 | Order Nu | mber: | | Contract Ti | | FY 2018 PAC- MSE Production |
|--------------------------|---|---------------------|----------------|---|--|--|---|
| CAGE Code | 64059 | City | | Dallas | Contracting C | Office | |
| CAGE Legal Name | Lockheed Martin Missiles and Fire Control | State/Province | | TX | Contract Stra | ategy | |
| Effort Number | 2 | | | | | | |
| Supportive Phase | Production | Latest Modi Numb | 5.30.25.25.20. | P00087 | Definitization | Date | 12/3/2019 |
| Contract Type | Fixed-Price Incentive (Firm Target) | Latest Modification | | 12/19/2019 | Work Start [| Date | 12/21/2017 |
| Technical Data Rights | None | Notes | S | The FY 2017 PAC on December 21, 2 requirements and Production require include: U.S. and F Cost Reduction Ini Modification Kits (I Estimated Price at requirements. The second option Production Contrar incorporate a Congadditional missiles On March 30, 2016 Contract Option The requirements were FY 2018 PAC-3 M 2020 and conclude. | 2017, to definit to exercise the ments. The FYFMS PAC-3 Mitative missiles LMK), and assigned to the FY 201 ct was exercise gressional increased hardware and hardware added. | tize most of a first FY 20 from February 1 fro | f the FY 2017 018 Option uirements s, FMS PAC-3 FMS Launcher ding. The al contract C-3 MSE uary 6, 2018 to 47M for Production FY 2018 NTE |
| | e, Quantity and Perform | 1000 | | | Notice Control | | |
| Initial Target Price | Current T Price | | ,791.75 | Contractor's EAC | \$ 1,516.52 | | |
| Initial Ceiling Price | Current C Price | | ,843.72 | PM's EAC | \$ 1,516.52 | | 7.5 |
| Initial Quantity | BAC | \$ 1 | ,574.55 | BCWP | \$ 1,391.25 | Work Complete | 88.36% ed |
| Current Quantity | 268 ACWI | \$ 1 | ,329.72 | BCWS | \$ 1,500.63 | Cost Variance | \$ 61.53 |

| Delivered Quantity 268 | Schedule Variance -\$ 109.38 |
|---|---|
| Factors Contributing to Cost Variance and Projected Effects on Program Costs: | Factors Contributing to Schedule Variance and Projected Effects on Program Schedule: |
| The unfavorable net change in cost variance is due to overruns in the PAC-3 CRI Four-Pack Munitions Integration & Assembly and the Systems Engineering areas. | The unfavorable net change in schedule variance is due to delays in the Command and Launch Control and Boeing seeker subcontract areas. |

| Contract Number: | W31P4Q-19-C-0011 | Order Number: | | Contract Ti | | 2019 PAC- E Production |
|--------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|---|
| CAGE Code | 64059 | City | Dallas | Contracting C | Office | |
| CAGE Legal Name | Lockheed Martin Missiles and Fire Control | State/Province | TX | Contract Stra | itegy | |
| Effort Number | 1 | | | | | |
| Supportive Phase | Production | Latest Modification Number | P00029 | Definitization | Date | |
| Contract Type | Fixed-Price Incentive (Firm Target) | Latest Modification Date | 12/30/2019 | Work Start D | Date 1 | 2/21/2018 |
| Technical Data Rights | | | The FY 2019 PAC on December 21, contract modificati Production contract 0011, to exercise 1 The FY19 PAC-3 I PAC-3 MSE missiles, US and F and associated ground of the PAC-3 MSE missiles, US and F and associated ground of the PAC-3 MSE missiles, US and F and associated ground of the PAC-3 MSE missiles, US and F and associated ground of the PAC-3 MSE missiles, US and F an | 2018. The USC on (continuation of W31P4Q-17- the FY19 option Production option les, FMS PAC- FMS Launcher ound support et 21, FY19 funds for FY19 FPIF gned to the FY1 coessful bilater is achieved. The leased will be of | G issued a con on of FY17/18 -C-0006), W3 on for PAC-3 P ion includes: U 3 Cost Reduc Modification in quipment. that were hell contract ceiling 21 missile pro- ral agreement be correspond obligated in ea | atinuation of PAC-3 1P4Q-19-C- roduction. US and FMS tion Initiative (its (LMKs), d to cover ag were duction to reduce ing FY21 arly FY22. |
| Contract/Effort Price | e, Quantity and Perform | ance (\$M) | | | | |
| Initial Target Price | Current T Price | | Contractor's EAC | \$ 1,516.52 | | |
| Initial Ceiling Price | Current C | The state of the s | PM's EAC | \$ 1,516.52 | | |
| | | | | | Work | |

| Current Quantity | 288 | ACWP | \$ 1,329. | 72 | BCWS | \$ 1,500.63 | Cost Variance | \$ 61.53 | |
|--|---------------|-------------------|-----------|--|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------|--|
| Delivered Quantity | 142 | | | | | | Schedule Variance | -\$ 109.38 | |
| Factors Contributing on Program Costs: | to Cost Varia | nce and Projected | l Effects | | rs Contributing | | iance and Projec | ted Effects | |
| The favorable net change in cost variance is due to efficiencies realized in the Project Management and Operations Services areas. | | | | The unfavorable net change in schedule variance is due to delays in the Multiband RF and Aft Section Electrical areas. | | | | | |

| Contract Number: | W31P4Q-19-C-0011 | Order Number: | | Contract Title: | FY 2020 PAC- 3/MSE Production | | |
|------------------|---|-------------------------------|------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|
| CAGE Code | 64059 | City | Dallas | Contracting Office | | | |
| CAGE Legal Name | Lockheed Martin Missiles and Fire Control | State/Province | TX | Contract Strategy | | | |
| Effort Number | 2 | | | | | | |
| Supportive Phase | Production | Latest Modification Number | P00027 | Definitization Date | 12/30/2019 | | |
| Contract Type | Fixed-Price Incentive (Firm Target) | Latest Modification Date | 12/30/2019 | Work Start Date | 3/1/2019 | | |
| Rights | | | | | | | |

| | 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | Service Control | | | All Charles | | 1 |
|-------------|---|--|---|---|--|---|--|
| | Current Target Price | \$ 1,593.1 | | Contractor's EAC | \$ 1,541.35 | | |
| | Current Ceiling Price | \$ 1,826.9 | 94 | PM's EAC | \$ 1,541.35 | | |
| | BAC | \$ 1,574.5 | 55 | BCWP | \$ 394.81 | Work Completed | 25.07% |
| 312 | ACWP | \$ 377.0 | 2 | BCWS | \$ 304.71 | Cost Variance | \$ 17.79 |
| | | | | | | Schedule Variance | \$ 90.10 |
| ange in cos | t variance is due to | | on P | rogram Schedule: favorable net chan | ge in schedule | e variance is due | to work ir |
| | 312 to Cost Var | Current Target Price Current Ceiling Price BAC 312 ACWP | Current Target Price Current Ceiling Price BAC \$ 1,593. \$ 1,826.9 \$ 1,574.9 ACWP \$ 377.0 | Current Target Price \$ 1,593.12 Current Ceiling Price \$ 1,826.94 BAC \$ 1,574.55 312 ACWP \$ 377.02 To Cost Variance and Projected Effects Fact on Pange in cost variance is due to The | Current Target Price Current Ceiling Price \$ 1,826.94 PM's EAC BAC \$ 1,574.55 BCWP 312 ACWP \$ 377.02 BCWS To Cost Variance and Projected Effects ange in cost variance is due to The favorable net change. | Price Contractor's EAC Current Ceiling Price \$ 1,826.94 PM's EAC BAC \$ 1,574.55 BCWP \$ 394.81 312 ACWP \$ 377.02 BCWS \$ 304.71 to Cost Variance and Projected Effects Factors Contributing to Schedule Varon Program Schedule: ange in cost variance is due to The favorable net change in schedule | Current Target Price Current Ceiling Price \$ 1,593.12 Contractor's EAC \$ 1,541.35 PM's EAC BAC \$ 1,574.55 BCWP \$ 394.81 Completed Work Completed ACWP \$ 377.02 BCWS \$ 304.71 Cost Variance To Cost Variance and Projected Effects Factors Contributing to Schedule Variance and Project on Program Schedule: The favorable net change in schedule variance is due |

| Contract Number: | W31P4Q-20-C-0023 | Order Number: | | Contract Title: | FY 21/FY 22/FY 23 PAC-3/MSE Production |
|--------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|---|---|
| CAGE Code | 64059 | City | Dallas | Contracting Office | |
| CAGE Legal Name | Lockheed Martin Missiles and Fire Control | State/Province | TX | Contract Strategy | |
| Effort Number | | | | | |
| Supportive Phase | Production | Latest Modification Number | P00017 | Definitization Date | 3/31/2021 |
| Contract Type | Firm-Fixed-Price | Latest Modification Date | 3/31/2021 | Work Start Date | 3/31/2021 |
| Technical Data Rights | None | Notes | Martin Missiles of the FY 2021-3 MSE missiles contract value of requirements with the separately on th | 20, the U.S. Governmer and Fire Control a Firm -FY 2023 production of and ancillary hardware of \$9.5B. In this award, there awarded. 20, modification P0000° F) NTEs for Seeker Bloance System (IGS). This finitized. These two CLI are following pages and a price of the FFP CLIN list 2020, modification P000 polescence associated with the second s | Fixed Price contract U.S. and FMS PAC- with a total potential he FMS missile I added Cost Plus lock V and the s modification has Ns are reported are not included in sted above. O4 added the missile ith the missile |

| | | | | MSE missiles and U.S./FM limitations of the Continuir of initial U.S. production a procure the remaining 12 missiles was exercised on The FY 2021/FY 2022/FY annual production contract 1,100 US and FMS missile options. FY 2021 PAC-3 MSE delive Quarter FY 2023. | ng Resolution in place at ward, an additional option FY 2021 U.S. PAC-3 MS March 31, 2021. 2023 contract consists t options. The total quarties will be procured across | the time on to SE of three ntity of ss those |
|--|----------------|--------------------------|-------------|--|--|---|
| Contract/Effort Pri | ce, Quantity | and Performance (\$ | SM) | | | |
| Initial Target Price | \$ 4,196.90 | Current Target Price | \$ 4,465.60 | Contractor's EAC | | |
| Initial Ceiling Price | | Current Ceiling Price | | PM's EAC | | |
| Initial Quantity | 1,088 | BAC | | BCWP | Work Completed | 0.00% |
| Current Quantity | 1,100 | ACWP | | BCWS | Cost Variance | |
| Delivered Quantity | | | | | Schedule Variance | |
| Factors Contributing on Program Costs: | to Cost Varia | ance and Projected E | | ctors Contributing to Schedu Program Schedule: | ule Variance and Project | ted Effects |

| Contract Number: | W31P4Q-20-C-0023 | Order Number: | | Contract Title: | Seeker Block V |
|--------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|---------------------|----------------|
| CAGE Code | 64059 | City | Dallas | Contracting Office | |
| CAGE Legal Name | Lockheed Martin Missiles and Fire Control | State/Province | TX | Contract Strategy | |
| Effort Number | 1 | | | | |
| Supportive Phase | Production | Latest Modification Number | P00001 | Definitization Date | |
| Contract Type | Cost-Plus-Fixed-Fee | Latest Modification Date | 6/12/2020 | Work Start Date | 6/12/2020 |
| Technical Data Rights | None | Notes | Contract W31P4Q-20-C-0023 was modified on June 6, 20 to add NTEs for Seeker Block V and the Integrated Guidance Subsystem (IGS). This modification has not yet been definitized. The Seeker Block V effort represented here is 16.5% US funded and 83.5% FMS funded. | | |

| | | | | On June 4, 2021, the Backwards Compa | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------|--------------------------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------------|----------|
| Contract/Effort Pri | ce, Quantity | and Performance (\$ | M) | | | | , |
| Initial Target Price | \$ 405.00 | Current Target Price | \$ 421.4 | Contractor's EAC | \$ 421.40 | | |
| Initial Ceiling Price | | Current Ceiling Price | | PM's EAC | \$ 421.40 | | |
| Initial Quantity | | BAC | \$ 421.4 | 0 BCWP | \$ 78.23 | Work Completed | 18.57% |
| Current Quantity | | ACWP | \$ 79.80 | BCWS | \$ 86.48 | Cost Variance | -\$ 1.63 |
| Delivered Quantity | | | | | | Schedule Variance | -\$ 8.25 |
| on Program Costs: | 1000 | ance and Projected E | **** | Factors Contributing to on Program Schedule: The unfavorable net cha | | and the second second | 200 |
| | | d GPU-R software ar | | delays in the Boeing se | | adio randino io di | |

| Contract Number: | W31P4Q-20-C-0023 | Order Number: | | Contract Title: | Integrated Guidance Subsystem (IGS) |
|--------------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|--|---|
| CAGE Code | 64059 | City | Dallas | Contracting Office | |
| CAGE Legal Name | Lockheed Martin Missiles and Fire Control | State/Province | TX | Contract Strategy | |
| Effort Number | 2 | | | | |
| Supportive Phase | Production | Latest Modification Number | P00001 | Definitization Date | |
| Contract Type | Fixed-Price Incentive (Firm Target) | Latest Modification | 6/12/2020 | Work Start Date | 6/10/2020 |
| Technical Data Rights | None | Notes | to add NTEs for Guidance Subsy been definitized, FMS funded. | Q-20-C-0023 was mod Seeker Block V and the stem (IGS). This modif The IGS effort represe , the NTE for the IGS E ort was added. | e Integrated ication has not yet ented here is 100% |
| Contract/Effort Price | e, Quantity and Perfor | mance (\$M) | | | |
| Initial Target Price | \$ 147.10 Current Pri | | Contractor's EAG | \$ 163.30 | |

| Initial Ceiling Price | Current Ceiling Price | | | PM's EAC | \$ 163.30 | | |
|---|----------------------------|---------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|----------------------|-------------|
| Initial Quantity | BAC | \$ 163, | 30 | BCWP | \$ 23.94 | Work Completed | 14.66% |
| Current Quantity | ACWP | \$ 29.3 | 31 | BCWS | \$ 25.27 | Cost Variance | -\$ 5.37 |
| Delivered Quantity | | | | | | Schedule Variance | -\$ 1.33 |
| Factors Contributing to Cos on Program Costs: | t Variance and Projected E | Effects | | rs Contributing to | | riance and Project | ted Effects |
| The unfavorable net change in cost variance is due to cost overruns in the Electrical Engineering and Software Engineering areas. | | The fa | vorable net cha etion of tasks in | nge in schedu the Systems I | e variance is due Engineering Guida Materials for the I | nce and | |

Technologies and Systems Engineering

Significant Technical Risks

| Event | Date | Description |
|---------|------------|---|
| MS C | 3/31/2014 | Supplier Viability. The supplier of missile thermal batteries is experiencing financial issues that may affect its ability to supply product for the PAC-3 MSE program. If interruptions occur, then missile production may be impacted. The missile prime contractor, Lockheed Martin, is assessing supplier health and seeking potential second source. Mitigation actions include using prime contractor internal funding to initiate early turn-on to support initial production quantities and identifying alternate source and conducting vendor qualification to support FY 2015 production requirements. |
| MS C | 3/31/2014 | Supplier Quality Management. The supplier of missile actuators is experiencing product quality issues that are creating cost and schedule program impacts to the PAC-3 MSE program. The current Vendor Rating/Supply Chain Management System has not prevented recent issues. The U.S. Government and Prime Contractor are leading a quality focus team to ensure high visibility on quality concerns. The supplier initiated the Achieving Competitive Excellence (ACE) Operating System at the Vergennes, VT facility. The supplier conducted purchase order flow-down reviews and First Article refresh activities with key suppliers. The suppliers are to execute controlled hardware builds and process certification activities. |
| Current | 12/31/2021 | PAC-3 MSE Obsolescence. The program actively manages obsolescence redesign efforts. The PAC-3 MSE risk is assessed as Low. |

Deliveries and Expenditures

| Quantities | Planned to Date | Actual to Date | Total Quantity | Percent Delivered |
|-------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------|
| Development | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00% |
| Procurement | 906 | 906 | 3,100 | 29.23% |
| Total | 906 | 906 | 3,100 | 29.23% |

| Years Appropriated to date Total Years Appropriated Funding (Current Baseline): | 35 | Percent Years Appropriated: | 54.29% |
|--|----|--------------------------------|--------|
|--|----|--------------------------------|--------|

| Appropriation Category (\$Millions) | Then Year Appropriated Amount | Then Year Expended Amount |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| RDT&E | 869.80 | 869.80 |
| Procurement | 6,801.80 | 3,822.12 |
| MILCON | 30.00 | |
| Acq O&M | 9.40 | 9.40 |
| Total Appropriated/Expended | 7,671.6 | 4,691.92 |
| Percent Appropriated/Expended | 52.05% | 31.73% |

Delivery and Expenditure Notes:

MILCON is not executed at the PM level, and no product-specific expenditures are available. Deliveries and Expenditures as of March 31,2022.

Low-Rate Initial Production

| | Initial Decision LRIP | Current Total LRIP |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|
| Approval Date | 8/6/2004 | 1/25/2018 |
| Approval LRIP Quantity | 148 | 750 |
| Approval Document Title | Milestone B ADM | Army Acquisition Executive ADM |
| Start Year | 2010 | 2014 |
| End Year | 2011 | 2018 |

Rationale if quantity exceeds 10% of the total number of articles to be produced: CUI: ____

The Current Total LRIP Quantity is more than 10% of the total production quantity due to receipt of additional Congressional funding and OSD reprogramming to buy additional missiles.

Quantity Note: CUI:

The March 27, 2014, Milestone C ADM approved a PAC-3 MSE LRIP quantity of 330 based on the Army Acquisition Objective of 3,376 missiles.

On August 10, 2016, the MDA approved a PAC-3 MSE LRIP increase from 330 to 600 missiles.

On January 25, 2018, the MDA approved a PAC-3 MSE LRIP increase from 600 to 750 missiles.

Operating and Support (O&S) Cost

Total Program O&S Costs Compared with Baseline

| | Current Base Year Objective | Current Base Year Threshold | Current Base Year Estimate | Current Then Year Estimate | Deviation? |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------|
| Total O&S (\$Millions) | \$ 5,155.70 | \$ 5,671.30 | \$ 5,152.55 | \$ 8,850.96 | |

Deviation Explanation:

Operating and Support Cost Breakdown

| Category (Base Year \$Millions) Unit-Level Manpower | System Name: PAC-3 MSE | System Name: |
|--|------------------------|--------------|
| Unit Operations | | |
| Maintenance | \$ 4,085.50 | |
| Sustaining Support | \$ 379.60 | |
| Continued System Improvements | \$ 687.50 | |
| Other | | |
| Total O&S | \$ 5,152.60 | |

Cost Estimate Source

Type: Component Cost Position

Approval Authority and Date: Assistant Secretary of the Army (Financial Management and Comptroller), April 06, 2018.

Note: Army Cost Position dated April 06, 2018.

O&S Notes:

The PAC-3 MSE current O&S cost estimate was revised since the December 2019 SAR to reflect the shift in the procurement buy profile. The estimate includes the costs of repair and recertification of PAC-3 MSE missiles, all sustainment costs needed to maintain the missile through its service life, and demilitarization costs.

The missile is transported and operates in a hermetically sealed canister as a selfcontained major end item. There is no missile field maintenance; however, Preventive Maintenance Checks and Services are conducted only on the external canister. Removal and Replacement of failed exterior canister minor hardware components, approved "render safe" procedures, and semi-annual Missile Field Test status testing are completed by the Patriot user. All other maintenance is considered sustainment (depot) level maintenance. The missile will be certified twice, at ten-year intervals, within its 30-year planned service life. Interim Contractor Support will be the sustainment strategy until an organic capability is established in FY 2025. Once established, missiles will be shipped to Letterkenny Army Depot for diagnosis/testing, decanning, repair and return of faulty or degraded missile subassemblies, reassembly, re-coating, and recanning. Checkout and fault detection/isolation will be accomplished using depot test, measurement, and diagnostic equipment and peculiar test/support equipment. Missile sub-assemblies (five major sections) are returned to the original equipment manufacturer for repair. After the missile is repaired, an inspection will be performed prior to reinserting the missile into its canister to verify that current tactical software was uploaded as required.