UNCLASSIFIED LGM-35A Sentinel SAR DEC 2022

CLEARED For Open Publication

By kempr on Apr 19, 2023

Department of Defense OFFICE OF PREPUBLICATION AND SECURITY REVIEW

Selected Acquisition Report (SAR)



LGM-35A Sentinel (LGM-35A Sentinel)

FY 2024 President's Budget

Defense Acquisition Visibility Environment (DAVE)

Table of Contents

| Acronyms and Abbreviations | 3 |
|-----------------------------|----|
| Program Information | 5 |
| Responsible Office | 5 |
| Mission and Description | 6 |
| Executive Summary | 7 |
| Schedule | |
| Performance | 10 |
| Acquisition Budget Estimate | 11 |
| Unit Cost | |
| Risks | 13 |
| Low Rate Initial Production | |
| Contracts | |
| Deliveries and Expenditures | |
| Operating and Support Costs | 18 |

Common Acronyms and Abbreviations

\$B - Billions of Dollars

\$K - Thousands of Dollars

\$M - Millions of Dollars

ACAT - Acquisition Category

Acq O&M - Acquisition-Related Operations and Maintenance

ADM - Acquisition Decision Memorandum

APB - Acquisition Program Baseline

APPN - Appropriation

APUC - Average Procurement Unit Cost

BA - Budget Authority/Budget Activity

Blk - Block

BY - Base Year

CAPE - Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation

CARD - Cost Analysis Requirements Description

CDD - Capability Development Document

CLIN - Contract Line Item Number

CPD - Capability Production Document

CY - Calendar Year

DAB - Defense Acquisition Board

DAE - Defense Acquisition Executive

DAMIR - Defense Acquisition Management Information Retrieval

DoD - Department of Defense

DSN - Defense Switched Network

EMD - Engineering and Manufacturing Development

EVM - Earned Value Management

FMS - Foreign Military Sales

FOC - Full Operational Capability

FRP - Full Rate Production

FY - Fiscal Year

FYDP - Future Years Defense Program

ICE - Independent Cost Estimate

Inc - Increment

IOC - Initial Operational Capability

JROC - Joint Requirements Oversight Council

KPP - Key Performance Parameter

LRIP - Low Rate Initial Production

MDA - Milestone Decision Authority

MDAP - Major Defense Acquisition Program

MILCON - Military Construction

N/A - Not Applicable

O&M - Operations and Maintenance

O&S - Operating and Support

ORD - Operational Requirements Document

OSD - Office of the Secretary of Defense

PAUC - Program Acquisition Unit Cost

PB - President's Budget

PE - Program Element

PEO - Program Executive Officer

PM - Program Manager

POE - Program Office Estimate

RDT&E - Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation

SAR - Selected Acquisition Report

SCP - Service Cost Position

TBD - To Be Determined

TY - Then Year

U.S. - United States

UCR - Unit Cost Reporting

USD(A&S) - Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition and Sustainment)

USD(AT&L) - Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology and Logistics)

Program Information

Program Name

LGM-35A Sentinel (Sentinel)

DoD Component

Air Force

Responsible Office

Program Manager

Name: Col Charles A. Clegg Date Assigned: July 2022 Address: 6008 Wardleigh Road

Building 1580 Hill AFB, 84056 **Phone:** (801) 777-1776

5

Mission and Description

The Ground Based Strategic Deterrent (GBSD) program is a full recapitalization of the Minuteman (MM) III Intercontinental Ballistic Missile (ICBM) weapon system, which includes 400 deployed missiles, associated spares, and 450 launch facilities (LF). The replacement program includes new missile systems, new command and control systems, ground systems, and the conversion of the MM III silos and Launch Control Centers. The GBSD weapon system will be designed to be operationally capable through FY 2075. The program's mission is to deliver the next generation of ICBM nuclear deterrence for America.

Executive Summary

LGM-35A Sentinel

Program Highlights Since Last Report

Sentinel continues to make progress through the Engineering and Manufacturing Development phase. The Northrop Grumman Corporation, with government team oversight, continues to mature the design, integrate all technologies and capabilities into a single system and prepare for weapon system manufacturing. Synchronizing the development and fielding of the Sentinel Weapon System and the associated military construction projects remains a top priority with activities taking place across seven states and five installations. The Sentinel program, like other major programs, continues to have challenges due to supply chain issues, parts availability, and the ability to hire qualified individuals to support program needs. Analysis of these challenges and the macroeconomic conditions related to construction materials are being evaluated against the Milestone-B Independent Cost estimate funding levels.

Sentinel is working to mitigate likelihood and impact of potential program delays due to the current macroeconomic environment affecting the industrial base. Sentinel is actively engaged with resolving identified risks and is conducting a schedule assessment. Sentinel and the Northrop Grumman Corporation are jointly focused on clear communication to facilitate schedule synchronization. Other efficiencies include transferring capabilities to the cloud to increase access points from other operating locations and to leverage a wider talent pool. Program efforts to address recruiting challenges include utilizing support contractors and Federally Funded Research and Development Center mission partners as well as establishing program office operating locations near acquisition and technical centers of excellence. FY 2023 MILCON cost escalations caused by the macroeconomics conditions were submitted to the Congressional Committees for inclusion in the FY 2023 Appropriations Bill. The program is still evaluating FY 2024 MILCON cost escalations within the existing Sentinel MILCON allocation.

Upcoming events include subsystem Critical Design Review (CDR) deliveries throughout FY 2023. The Post Boost Propulsion System will be delivered to support Flight Test-01. Multiple testing events include a simulated interface test with the Western Test Range, Stage 1 Static Fire Open Air tests and Interstage Separation Tests. Military construction continues for Sentinel Weapon System development, testing and to support the Warfighter.

There are no significant software-related issues with this program at this time.

Highlights:

- 1st Booster Modal Test Asset (MTA): Stage 1 and Stage 2 assembled/completed; Stage 3 cure is complete; developing non-destructive test (NDT) standards in preparation for X-ray inspection as of December 20, 2022.
- 2nd Booster Static Fire 1 (SF-01) Assets: Stage 1 assembled/completed and at Promontory; Stage 2 inspection complete; at Promontory now awaiting assembly; Stage 3 NDT complete; working issue with forward dome delamination as of December 20, 2022.
- 3rd Booster Developmental Flight Test 1 (FT-01): Stage 1 propellant casting activities on hold pending completion of Stage 2 propellant cracking root cause corrective action; Stage 2 case hydro test is complete; working issue with surface-level fiber breakage; Stage 3 EPM trials underway as of December 20, 2022.
- Successfully held CDR for the 1/2 and 2/3 Interstages; this marks the first Level 3 CDR for the Sentinel program November 1-2, 2022.
- Successful completion of KS-75/Cryptographic Unit Test (CUT) Station Critical Design Review (CDR) w/Sandia National Lab August 23-24, 2022.
- The Sentinel Draft Environmental Impact Survey (DEIS) released 1 Jul 2022 for public review; public comments/hearings concluded on August 16, 2022.
- Successful delivery to Northrop Grumman (NG) of all planned KS-75 prototype units 22 in total August 5, 2022.
- Integrated Functional Capability (IFC) 0.5 software coded, and hardware delivered to NG June 17, 2022.
- Single Board Computer Developmental Unit CDR held April 26, 2022.
- Stage 1, 2, and 3 Booster Thrust Vector Control (TVC) CDR held March 29-30, 2022.
- Conducted Honeywell TVC system CDR March 29-30, 2022.

7

| listory of Significant Developments Since Program Initiation | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| History of Significant Developments Since Program Initiation | | | | |
| Date | Significant Development Description | | | |
| Dec - 2022 | The Office of the Secretary of Defense authorized the Department of the Air Force (DAF) to use the DX industrial priority rating in support of the Sentinel Weapon System to alleviate some prioritization issues caused by supply chain volatility. | | | |
| Sep - 2022 | NG delivered 29 Test Reports for Nuclear Hardness and Survivability (NH&S) parts characterization of electronic components for use in various subsystems throughout the weapon system. | | | |
| Sep - 2022 | Testing completed at Arnold Engineering Development Complex (AEDC) von Karman Gas Dynamics Facility (VKF) for sub-scale Stage 1 and 2 cold separation of the Aerospace Vehicle Equipment (AVE), wind tunnel testing of Stage 1 and 2 hot separation of the AVE, and NH&S parts characterization | | | |
| Sep - 2022 | The Nuclear Effects Advisory Panel's (NEAP) Combined Nuclear Effects Surrogate Testing (CNEST) final report was approved by Sentinel (GBSD) leadership and provided to OSD R&E stakeholders. | | | |
| Aug - 2022 | Successful completion of KS-75/Cryptographic Unit Test (CUT) Station Critical Design Review (CDR) w/Sandia National Lab | | | |
| Aug - 2022 | The Sentinel (GBSD) Draft Environmental Impact Survey (DEIS) was released July 1, 2022 for public review; public comments/ hearings concluded on August 16, 2022 | | | |
| Dec - 2021 | SPO delivered the updated Test and Evaluation Master Plan (TEMP) to the Office of Secretary of Defense (OSD). | | | |
| Nov - 2021 | Program Office conducted sled test with Strategic Resonating Beam Accelerometer (SRBA) at Holloman AFB. | | | |
| Oct - 2021 | Enhanced Ground Test (sled test) conducted at Holloman AFB. | | | |
| Oct - 2021 | GBSD closed out the encryption preliminary design review with Sandia National Labs. | | | |
| Sep - 2021 | First GBSD Holloman High Speed Test Track mass-mock run. | | | |
| Sep - 2021 | The United States Government delivered VSFB Missile Alert Facility to NG. | | | |
| Aug - 2021 | The United States Government delivered Vandenberg Space Force Base (VSFB) Launch Facility to NG. | | | |
| Mar - 2021 | NG conducted an Integrated Baseline Review. | | | |
| Sep - 2020 | GBSD successfully awarded the EMD Contract on September 8, 2020. | | | |
| Sep - 2020 | MDA approved Milestone B on September 4, 2020. | | | |

Schedule

LGM-35A Sentinel

| Events | Milestone Baseline Objective | | | Current Estimate/Actual | Deviation |
|---|------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|
| Milestone B | Aug 2020 | Aug 2020 | Sep 2020 | Sep 2020 | |
| System Critical Design Review (CDR) | Jul 2023 | Jul 2023 | Jul 2024 | May 2024 | |
| Milestone C | May 2026 | May 2026 | May 2027 | Jan 2026 | |
| Initial Operational Capability | Jun 2029 | Jun 2029 | Jun 2030 | May 2029 | |
| Full Rate Production (FRP) Decision Point | Sep 2029 | Sep 2029 | Sep 2030 | Jul 2029 | |

Schedule Note

Sentinel Risks are held at Controlled Unclassified Information Level. Sentinel is experiencing schedule pressures due to macroeconomics factors affecting the industrial base because of COVID-19. Volatility in the supply chain is causing increasing lead times for parts and components that are extending to commodities. These pressures eliminated margin or pushed forecasted major program events dates past their baseline. Sentinel Systems Directorate conducted a schedule assessment to explore opportunities to mitigate impacts to Initial Operational Capability (IOC) and Secondary Launch Platform-Airborne (SLP-A) capabilities. The "Current Estimate" dates as listed above are contractor estimates. A schedule assessment was completed and results were briefed to the Milestone Decision Authority (MDA) in 2nd Quarter FY 2023 at the In-Progress Review (IPR).

UNCLASSIFIED

LGM-35A Sentinel SAR DEC 2022

Performance

LGM-35A Sentinel

Classified Performance information is provided in the classified annex to this submission.

Requirement Reference

GBSD Capabilities Design Document (CDD) June 18, 2019

Performance Note

Sentinel (GBSD) is tracking to meet or exceed all EMD KPP requirements. Sentinel tracks KPPs as defined in Capabilities Design Document.

Acquisition Budget Estimate

LGM-35A Sentinel

Total Acquisition Cost

| Total Acquisition Co. | | Milestone APB | Current Baseline | | Budget Estin | | |
|-----------------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|----------|-----------|
| Category | Base Year | Objective (BY\$M) | Objective (BY\$M) | Threshold (BY\$M) | BY\$M | TY\$M | Deviation |
| RDT&E | 2020 | 22,978 | 22,978 | 25,275.8 | 21,235.1 | 25,514.7 | |
| Procurement | 2020 | 47,858.4 | 47,858.4 | 52,644.2 | 43,546.4 | 61,593.1 | |
| MILCON | 2020 | 6,904.3 | 6,904.3 | 7,594.7 | 6,341.9 | 8,730.7 | |
| Acq. O&M | 2020 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Total | | 77,740.7 | 77,740.7 | | 71,123.4 | 95,838.5 | |
| PAUC | 2020 | 117.968 | 117.968 | 129.765 | 107.926 | 145.430 | |
| APUC | 2020 | 75.486 | 75.486 | 83.035 | 68.685 | 97.150 | |

Budget Note

Funding realigned to meet current program phasing requirements with artificial cost growth attributed to change in inflation indices. Updated cost position for FY 2029 and beyond is anticipated approximately 3rd Quarter FY 2023.

Total End Item Quantity

| Quantity Category | Current APB Quantity | Current Estimate Quantity |
|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| Development | 25 | 25 |
| Procurement | 634 | 634 |
| O&M-Acquired | | |

Quantity Note

Quantities are based on Air Vehicle Equipment (AVE), which consists of a Booster, Guidance, Post-Boost, and Reentry System.

Unit Cost

LGM-35A Sentinel

| Current UCR Baseline and Current Estimate (Base-Year Dollars) | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|----------------------------|----------|--|--|
| Category (\$M) Base Year: 2020 | Current UCR Baseline | Current Estimate | % Change | | |
| Program Acquisition Unit Cost | | · | | | |
| Cost | 77,740.7 | 71,123.4 | | | |
| Quantity | 659 | 659 | | | |
| Unit Cost | 117.968 | 107.926 | -8.51% | | |
| Average Procurement Unit Cost | | | | | |
| Cost | 47,858.4 | 43,546.4 | | | |
| Quantity | 634 | 634 | | | |
| Unit Cost | 75.486 | 68.685 | -9.01% | | |
| Original U | UCR Baseline and Current Est | timate (Base-Year Dollars) | | | |
| Category (\$M) Base Year: 2020 | Original UCR Baseline | Current Estimate | % Change | | |
| Program Acquisition Unit Cost | | | | | |
| Cost | 77,740.7 | 71,123.4 | | | |
| Quantity | 659 | 659 | | | |
| Unit Cost | 117.968 | 107.926 | -8.51% | | |
| Average Procurement Unit Cost | | | | | |
| Cost | 47,858.1 | 43,546.4 | | | |
| Quantity | 634 | 634 | | | |
| Unit Cost | 75.486 | 68.685 | -9.01% | | |

Risks

LGM-35A Sentinel

Risk and Sensitivity Analysis

Risk and Sensitivity Analysis

Current Procurement Cost (December - 2022)

1. The program office estimate is composed primarily of parametric and analogous methodologies. The program office is closely monitoring monthly Earned Value submissions and other contractor data with the intent to inform the cost / schedule risk assessments. In addition to Original Baseline Estimate risks and sensitivities, the program office is monitoring and analyzing impacts to the cost of key inputs to include Aerospace Vehicle Equipment (AVE) components, operational ground deployment & construction (i.e., LFs, Launch Centers, utility corridor, and support facilities), and software. AVE is closely monitored as the Sentinel (GBSD) AVE subsystem composes more than 50% of the acquisition cost. Cost adjustments to AVE have potentially significant cost impacts. Operational ground deployment and construction contains many variables to include: National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), LF deployment rates, requirement maturity, utility corridor and real estate acquisition. All these variables have significant impacts on cost and schedule in the Production and Deployment Phase of the program. Requirement refinement in utility corridor and real estate acquisition are ongoing and will be updated in future Program Office Estimates. COVID-19 is a cost impact that has not been completely considered as evidence of raw material costs remain above pre-COVID levels. Software includes traditional code development activities and Nuclear Safety Cross Check Analysis. The size, integration, and independent review of the software creates significant cost and schedule challenges. The program office estimate incorporates the detailed analysis of the integrated software oversight team in developing the cost / schedule risk assessment for each Computer Software Configuration Item (CI).

Original Baseline Estimate (September - 2018)

1. In preparing the GBSD Program Office Estimate, risk and uncertainty were assessed on the significant cost inputs (e.g., software, complex strategy, industry labor rates, etc.) into the model. The program office analyzed the distribution shape and developed minimum and maximum values using historical data and subject matter experts. The program model used a risk simulation as recommended by the Joint Agency Cost Schedule Risk and Uncertainty Handbook (CSRUH). Estimates were presented at the mean, which included approximately \$1.3B in the EMD phase, \$2.8B in the production phase, and \$0.5B for MILCON risk and uncertainty. In preparing the Independent Cost Estimate (ICE) for GBSD Milestone B Review, OSD reviewed and included additional resources, citing the following reasons: ICBM industrial base, design/build concurrency, software, environmental, MBSE/Agile/DevSecOps, and contracting strategy.

Current Baseline Estimate (September - 2020)

1. There are no known risks for this baseline estimate.

Risk and Sensitivity Analysis

Significant Schedule Risks

Current Estimate (December - 2022)

Details of Schedule Risks of this program are Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI) and have been removed per paragraph (i) of title 10 United States Code 4351 which required the SAR be submitted without any designation relation to dissemination control.

Technologies and Systems Engineering

Significant Technical Risks

Current Estimate (December - 2022)

1. There are no known risks with this program at this time.

Low Rate Initial Production

LGM-35A Sentinel

| Item | Initial LRIP Decision | Current Total LRIP |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Approval Date | 09/04/2020 | 09/04/2020 |
| Approved Quantity | 211 | 211 |
| Reference | Milestone B ADM | Milestone B ADM |
| Start Year | 2026 | 2026 |
| End Year | 2029 | 2029 |

Rationale if quantity exceeds 10% of the total number of articles to be procured:

The four LRIP lots will include the equipment and labor needed to deploy, transport, operate, maintain, train, and sustain the Sentinel (GBSD) Weapon System (i.e., spares, training, installation, transportation, support equipment, etc.).

Contracts & Efforts

| Contract Data | | |
|---------------------------|--|--|
| Contract Number | FA8219-20-C-0006 | |
| Effort Number | | |
| Modification Number | P00001 | |
| Award Date | 09/08/2020 | |
| Definitization Date | 09/08/2020 | |
| Order Number | | |
| CAGE Code/CAGE Legal Name | 8MQW5/Northrop Grumman Systems Corporation | |
| Contract Title | GBSD EMD & Early Production and Deployment | |
| Contract Address | Roy, UT | |
| Contracting Office | | |
| Supported Phase | Development | |
| Contract Strategy | | |
| Contract Type | Multiple Types | |
| Modification Date | September 08, 2020 | |
| Work Start Date | September 08, 2020 | |
| Technical Data Rights | | |
| Work Completed | 25.68% | |

| Contracts/Effort Price, Quantity, and Performance (TY\$M) | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--|
| Initial Target Price | | Current Target Price | ; | |
| \$13,293.6 | | \$13,316.8 | | |
| Initial Ceiling Price | | Current Ceiling Pric | e | |
| N/A | | N/A | | |
| Contractor EAC | | PM EAC | | |
| \$12,759.1 | | \$13,735.1 | | |
| Initial Quantity | nitial Quantity Current Quantity | | Delivered Quantity | |
| N/A | N/A | | N/A | |
| BAC | BCWP | | ACWP | |
| \$11,689.2 | \$3,001.4 | | \$3,286.8 | |

| BCWS | Cost Variance | Schedule Variance |
|-----------|---------------|-------------------|
| \$3,332.3 | -\$285.4 | -\$330.9 |

Contract Note:

PM's Estimate at Completion (EAC) does not include overhead and fee.

Factors Contributing to Cost Variance:

The unfavorable cost variance indicates that work accomplished is costing more than planned. Northrop Grumman is exploring improving forecasting. Sentinel program is within CAPE ICE.

Factors Contributing to Schedule Variance:

The unfavorable schedule variance Sentinel is experiencing due to macroeconomics factors affecting the industrial base because of COVID-19. Volatility in the supply chain is causing increasing lead times for parts and components that are extending to commodities. These pressures eliminated margin or pushed forecasted major program events dates past their baseline.

Deliveries and Expenditures

LGM-35A Sentinel

| Deliveries | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|--|
| Delivered to Date | Planned to Date | Actual to Date | Total Quantity | Percent Delivered | |
| Development | 25 | 0 | 25 | 0.00% | |
| Production | 634 | 0 | 634 | 0.00% | |
| Total Program Quantity Delivered | 659 | 0 | 659 | 0.00% | |

Expended and Appropriated (TY \$M)

Years Appropriated to date: 8

Total Years Appropriated Funding (Current Baseline): 26

Percent Years Appropriated: 30.77%

Then-Year Funding Appropriated as Percentage of Total Acquisition Estimate: 9.37% Then-Year Funding Expended as Percentage of Total Acquisition Estimate: 6.09%

Total Acquisition Cost: \$95,838.5

Deliveries and Expenditures Note

Expenditures are tracking to OSD goals.

Operating and Support Costs

LGM-35A Sentinel

O&S Cost Breakdown:

| Category (BY2020\$ Million) | GBSD |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Unit-Level Manpower | |
| Unit Operations | |
| Maintenance | This Data has been marked as CUI and has been |
| Sustaining Support | removed |
| Continued System Improvements | |
| Other | |
| Total | |

Cost Estimate Source: ICE dated August 22, 2020

O&S Cost Note: The current estimate shown is based upon Milestone B ICE.

| Total Program O&S Cost Compared with Baseline | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Current Baseline | | | | |
| Base Year: 2020 | Objective (BY\$M) | Threshold (BY\$M) | Current Estimate (BY\$M) | Current Estimate (TY\$M) | Deviation |
| Total O&S | This Data has been marked as CUI and has been Removed | This Data has been marked as CUI and has been removed | This Data has been marked as CUI and has been removed | This Data has been marked as CUI and has been removed | This Data has been marked as CUI and has been removed |

O&S Cost Deviation Explanation

This Data has been marked as CUI and has been removed

Operating and Support Costs - Disposal and Unitized Costs

LGM-35A Sentinel

Annual Unitized O&S Cost Definition and Calculation Relative to Total O&S Cost:

LGM-35A Sentinel does not have MMIII O&S data. This will need to come from the Minuteman program office

| Sustainment Factors | System Name: GBSD | Antecedent System Name: Minuteman III |
|----------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Quantity to Sustain | 634 | 473 |
| Unit of Measure | Missiles | Missiles |
| Unit Expected Service Life | 47 | 59 |

Base Year: 2020

| Dusc Teat. 2020 | | |
|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Annual Unitized O&S Cost by Category Base Year \$ Unit:(\$M) | System Name: GBSD | Antecedent System Name: Minuteman III |
| Unit-Level Manpower | | \$1.0 |
| Unit Operations | | \$0.1 |
| Maintenance | This Data has been marked as | \$0.8 |
| Sustaining Support | CUI and has | \$0.1 |
| Continued System Improvements | been Removed | \$0.7 |
| Other | | \$0.1 |
| Total O&S | | \$2.7 |

Disposal/Demilitarization Cost Estimate

| (BY2020\$M) | System Name: GBSD | Antecedent System Name: Minuteman III |
|--|-------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Total Disposal This Data has been marked as CUI and has Removed | | |

| Cost Estimate Source - Disposal | | |
|---|---|--|
| Type: | Independent Cost Estimate | |
| Approval Authority and Date: | Richard P. Burke, Deputy Director, Cost Assessment 08/22/2020 | |
| Note: | | |
| None | | |
| Disposal Cost Notes: | | |
| None | | |
| Antecedent Estimate Assumptions: | | |
| Ouantity based on number of available booster set currently utilized average, annual AFTOC cost data from FY 2000- FY 2022. | | |