CLEARED For Open Publication

By kempr on Apr 17, 2023

Department of Defense OFFICE OF PREPUBLICATION AND SECURITY REVIEW

Selected Acquisition Report (SAR)



Missile Defense System

FY 2024 President's Budget Defense Acquisition Visibility Environment

(DAVE)

SAR DEC 2022

MDS

Table of Contents

Acronyms and Abbreviations	3
Program Information	5
Responsible Office	5
Mission and Description	6
Executive Summary	7
Schedule	11
Performance	12
Acquisition Budget Estimate	13
Unit Cost	16
Risks	18
Low Rate Initial Production	19
Contracts	20
Deliveries and Expenditures	33
Operating and Support Costs	35

Common Acronyms and Abbreviations \$B - Billions of Dollars \$K - Thousands of Dollars \$M - Millions of Dollars ACAT - Acquisition Category Acq O&M - Acquisition-Related Operations and Maintenance ADM - Acquisition Decision Memorandum **APB** - Acquisition Program Baseline **APPN** - Appropriation APUC - Average Procurement Unit Cost BA - Budget Authority/Budget Activity Blk - Block BY - Base Year CAPE - Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation CARD - Cost Analysis Requirements Description CDD - Capability Development Document CLIN - Contract Line Item Number **CPD** - Capability Production Document CY - Calendar Year DAB - Defense Acquisition Board DAE - Defense Acquisition Executive DAMIR - Defense Acquisition Management Information Retrieval DoD - Department of Defense DSN - Defense Switched Network EMD - Engineering and Manufacturing Development EVM - Earned Value Management FMS - Foreign Military Sales FOC - Full Operational Capability FRP - Full Rate Production FY - Fiscal Year FYDP - Future Years Defense Program ICE - Independent Cost Estimate Inc - Increment IOC - Initial Operational Capability JROC - Joint Requirements Oversight Council **KPP** - Key Performance Parameter LRIP - Low Rate Initial Production MDA - Milestone Decision Authority MDAP - Major Defense Acquisition Program **MILCON - Military Construction** N/A - Not Applicable O&M - Operations and Maintenance O&S - Operating and Support **ORD** - Operational Requirements Document OSD - Office of the Secretary of Defense PAUC - Program Acquisition Unit Cost PB - President's Budget

MDS

SAR DEC 2022

PE - Program Element
PEO - Program Executive Officer
PM - Program Manager
POE - Program Office Estimate
RDT&E - Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation
SAR - Selected Acquisition Report
SCP - Service Cost Position
TBD - To Be Determined
TY - Then Year
U.S. - United States
UCR - Unit Cost Reporting
USD(A&S) - Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology and Logistics)

Program Information

Program Name

Missile Defense System

DoD Component

MDA

Responsible Office

Program Manager

Name: VADM Jon Hill

Phone: 571-231-8006

Email:jon.hill@mda.mil -

Mission and Description

Mission and Description: To develop and deploy a layered Missile Defense System (MDS) to defend the United States, its deployed forces, allies, and friends from hypersonic and missile attacks of all ranges and in all phases of flight. Following guidance from the President, the Secretary of Defense approved the 2019 Missile Defense Review (MDR) Report (dated January 2019), which established the following principles and elements governing U.S. Missile Defense:

- 1. The U.S. homeland missile defense will stay ahead of rogue states' missile threats
- 2. The missile defense will defend U.S. forces deployed abroad and support the security of allies and partners
- The United States will pursue new concepts and technologies 3.

Comprehensive missile defense capabilities will support a broad, multi-layered approach to preventing and defeating 4. missile attacks

- 5. Flexibility and adaptability will enable the United States to tailor its missile defense strategy to potential adversaries
- Tighter offense-defense integration and interoperability will leverage the full range of assets available 6.
- A focus on the importance of space will provide a more effective, resilient, and adaptable missile defense posture 7.

MDS

Executive Summary

MDS

Program Highlights Since Last Report

Introduction:

As the threat evolves and includes new offensive systems such as hypersonic glide vehicles, our Nation will need to increase investments in cutting-edge missile defense technologies, to include a persistent overhead sensor capability. If we are to support the Warfighter in a highly uncertain strategic environment, we must meet technology maturation, systems development, and manufacturing challenges head-on and continue to demonstrate missile defense capabilities through robust, operationally realistic live-fire testing. The Missile Defense Agency's (MDA's) overriding program lines of effort are to:

- 1. Build Warfighter confidence through focus on readiness and sustainment,
- 2. Increase missile defense engagement capability and capacity to outpace emerging threats, and
- 3. Increase speed of delivery of new capability to address evolving threats

MDA's mission today is "to develop and deploy a layered Missile Defense System to defend the United States, its deployed forces, allies, and friends from missile attacks in all phases of flight." The mission includes the capability to intercept ballistic, hypersonic and cruise missile threats.

The growing threats from ballistic and non-ballistic missiles, many of which can be armed with weapons of mass destruction, drive MDA programs. Some weapon systems have characteristics of both ballistic and cruise missiles. For example, ballistic missile-launched hypersonic glide vehicles (HGVs) are unpowered and maneuverable, capable of delivering various payloads that travel at hypersonic speed (greater than Mach 5) and spend most of their flight at much lower altitudes than a typical ballistic missile. Russia and China are developing advanced cruise missiles and hypersonic missile capabilities that can take unpredictable flight paths that challenge existing defenses.

Missile defense remains a high priority investment within the 2018 National Defense Strategy, which states, "it is now undeniable that the homeland is no longer a sanctuary." The missile defense architecture must evolve to give the Warfighter the ability to counter these threats, which now include non-ballistic threats. MDA intends to continue making progress in the design, development and delivery of an integrated and layered system and to support the investigation of new concepts and development of new technologies to address the challenging missile threat of tomorrow.

Highlights since the previous SAR

Nov 2022 Flight Test Aegis Weapon System (JFTM)-07. JFTM was a four-event Japanese funded Foreign Military Sales (FMS) flight test campaign. All four (JFTM) test events were successful. JFTM-07 successfully tracked and intercepted a Medium Range Ballistic Missile (MRBM) T4-E Target with a Standard Missile (SM)-3 Block IIA missile launched from the Japanese Ship (JS) MAYA. JFTM-07 Events 1 and 2 both successfully simulated engagements to include a live MRBM and a simulated Anti-Air Warfare (AAW) from the JS HAGURO. JFTM-07 Event 3 involved a MRBM launched from Pacific Missile Range Facility (PMRF) in which the JS MAYA demonstrated the ability to detect, track, and forward track messages to the JS HARGURO. The JS HARGURO successfully executed a simulated Engage-on-Remote (EoR) with a simulated SM-3 Block IIA. JFTM-07 Event 4 included a live concurrent engagement of a Short Range Ballistic Missile (SRBM) T4-B and an air breathing target with an SM-3 Block 1B Threat Upgrade (TU) and an SM-2 Block IIIB missile respectively launched from the JS HAGURO operating in Integrated Air and Missile Defense Priority Mode. JFTM-07 Event 2 was the first mission for the new High Altitude Observatory (HALO)-IR aircraft used to collect Electro-Optical/Infrared (EO/IR) truth data that will confirm critical test information and provide calibrated EO/IR data used in modeling and simulation.

Oct 2022 Sea-Based X-Band Radar (SBX) arrived at Joint Based Pearl Harbor-Hickam to begin the in-port maintenance period after setting a new record of 661 continuous days at sea

Aug 2022 MDA participated in Pacific Dragon 2022 (PD-22). PD-22 consisted of three Integrated Air and Missile Defense (IAMD) events, including an intercept of a MRBM with the SM-3 Block IA, simulated BMD and Anti-Air Warfare engagements, and additional SM-3 Block IA flights for stockpile surveillance as well as assessments for using SM-3 Block IA missiles as surrogate ballistic missile targets for future BMD tests. MDA utilized this campaign to test and evaluate critical new missile defense capabilities on ships from Republic of Korea Navy (ROKN) and Japanese Maritime Self-Defense Force (JMSDF) and to support risk reduction and readiness for future international flight tests

Apr 2022 Flight Test Aegis Weapon System (FEM-01). An Aegis Ballistic Missile Defense (BMD) System-equipped destroyer successfully fired at a threat-representative MRBM target with a SM-3 Block IIA missile

Apr 2022 The Long Range Discrimination Radar (LRDR), Clear Space Force Station (SFS) successfully conducted the final inspection of the LRDR Power Plant and achieved Beneficial Occupancy

Feb-Mar 2022 MDA partnered with the U.S. Army Program Executive Office Missiles and Space in the execution of the culminating events for U.S. Forces Korea's Urgent Material Release request for Terminal High Altitude Area Defense (THAAD)/ Patriot integration. Flight Test THAAD Weapon System (FTT)-21 successfully demonstrated THAAD's capability to fire and control two PAC-3 Missile System Enhancement (MSE) interceptors and intercept one SRBM target (Black Dagger). FTT-21 verified the THAAD Weapon System using THAAD 4.0 software can compute MSE firing solutions, communicate with an M903 launcher, control two MSE interceptors in flight, and successfully intercept an SRBM target

Mar 2022 Space Tracking and Surveillance System (STSS) decommissioned. Designed for 2 years of service, STSS completed 12 Years and 2 months providing data from orbit

History of Significant Developments Since Program Initiation				
Date				
Dec - 2021	Construction completed on the Long Range Discrimination Radar, Clear Space Force Station (SFS). Clear Air Force Station (AFS) was redesignated Clear SFS in Jun 2021			
Nov - 2021	Upgraded Early Warning Radar (Gen 2 Phase 2) at Fylingdales, United Kingdom operationally accepted by the U.S. Space Force (USSF)			
Jul - 2021	Flight Test Aegis Weapon System (FTM)-33. FTM-33 was the first operational test of Sea- Based Terminal capability to detect, track, and lethally engage a raid of two SRBM targets with four Standard Missile (SM)-6 missiles. The firing ship, USS RALPH JOHNSON, successfully detected, tracked, and engaged the raid of two SRBMs with dual salvos of SM-6 Dual IIs and intercepted one of the SRBM targets			
May - 2021	Flight Test Aegis Weapon System (FTM)-31. Executed by the USS RALPH JOHNSON, the Sea-Based Terminal flight test FTM-31 Event 1 demonstrated the ability of an Aegis Baseline 9.C2.0 (BMD 5.1) ship to detect, track, and lethally intercept an MRBM target, in a salvo of two SM-6 Dual II (BMD initialized) missiles. Ultimately, the flight test was unsuccessful in achieving intercept, but MDA gathered critical data to inform future developments.			
Apr - 2021	Final three silos installed in Missile Field 4 (MF4), Fort Greely, Alaska. This was the first time that three Ground-Based Midcourse Defense Interceptor (GBI) silos had been installed in one day			
Nov - 2020	Flight Test Aegis Weapon System (FTM)-44. An Aegis Ballistic Missile Defense (BMD) System-equipped destroyer, intercepted and destroyed a threat-representative Intercontinental Ballistic Missile (ICBM) target with a Standard Missile-3 (SM-3) Block IIA missile. In this developmental test, the destroyer used engage-on-remote capabilities through the Command and Control Battle Management Communications (C2BMC) network			
Nov - 2020	Upgraded Early Warning Radar (UEWR) at Cape Cod AFS, Massachusetts operationally accepted by the United States Space Force (USSF). Earlier, the UEWR at Clear Air Force Station (AFS), Alaska had been operationally accepted by USSF in May 2020			
Oct - 2020	Flight Test Patriot (FTP)-27 Event 1. Successfully executed a flight test to demonstrate the Patriot Weapon System Missile Segment Enhancement extended ground range salvo engagement of threat-representative Short Range Ballistic Missile (SRBM)target exercising Patriot Launch-on-Remote using THAAD AN/TPY-2 (Terminal Mode) track and discrimination data. The test supported the THAAD Advanced Capabilities Urgent Material Release			
Feb - 2020	Flight Test Patriot (FTP)-27. Joint test with the U.S. Army Lower Tier Project Office that demonstrated Patriot's Launch-on-Remote capability with Army/Navy Transportable Radar Surveillance (AN/TPY)-2's capability to detect, track and transmit that data to the Patriot Weapon System. The Patriot missile did not successfully intercept the Short Range Ballistic Missile (SRBM) target.			
Aug - 2019	Flight Test Aegis Weapon System (FTM)-31, Event 2. The Navy successfully conducted this test at the Pacific Missile Range Facility, Hawaii. The USS JOHN FINN (DDG 113) with Aegis Baseline 9.C2 (BMD 5.1) software, tracked, engaged, and intercepted for the first time a subsonic Anti-Air Warfare target with a Standard Missile-6 Dual II missile.			
Aug - 2019	Flight Test Terminal High Altitude Area Defense (THAAD) (FTT)-23. THAAD successfully demonstrated its expanding capabilities by intercepting a medium-range ballistic missile that was dropped from a C-17 aircraft. FTT-23 demonstrated the ability to increase the defended area of a single battery and provide additional engagement opportunities against threat ballistic missiles. Soldiers of the E-62 battery were not aware of the target launch timing. This was the 16th successful intercept in 16 attempts for the operational THAAD weapon system.			

Aug - 2019	The first radar panel for the new Long Range Discriminating Radar (LRDR) delivered to Clear Air Force Station, Alaska.
Mar - 2019	Flight Test Ground-based Midcourse Defense (FTG)-11. With the successful intercept of an advanced ICBM-class target with countermeasures launched from Kwajalein, MDA executed the first Ground-based Midcourse Defense test involving a salvo engagement, involving two Ground Based Interceptors (GBIs) launched from the missile field at Vandenberg Air Force Base, California. Following detection by Air Force satellites, the Command and Control, Battle Management and Communication (C2BMC) system directed early tracking information to precision discrimination sensors deployed on Wake Island (AN/TPY-2 radar) and in the Pacific Ocean (Sea-Based X-band radar). We achieved an intercept of the lethal warhead using the lead GBI, with the trailing GBI observing the intercept flash and debris scene then intercepting the next most lethal object. During the test of homeland defenses, for the first time, Spacebased Kill Assessment sensors successfully provided data required to assess successful intercepts.
Nov - 2017	44th GBI deployed to silo at Fort Greely, Alaska, completing a DoD-mandated plus-up before the end of the year.
May - 2017	GBI with Redesigned Kill Vehicle successfully intercepted an ICBM target over the Pacific.
Apr - 2017	THAAD battery deployed to South Korea.
Apr - 2013	THAAD battery deployed to Guam.
Jan - 2012	AN/TPY-2 radar deployed to Turkey.
Sep - 2009	Deputy Secretary of Defense signed DoDD 5134.09, the MDA "Charter."
Sep - 2008	AN/TPY-2 radar deployed to Israel.
Jun - 2008	The U.S. Navy successfully shot down a damaged U.S. satellite with an SM-3 interceptor. The non-functioning National Reconnaissance Office satellite was traveling at over 17,000 mph at an altitude of 153 nautical miles above the earth. The satellite's fuel (over 1000 pounds of hydrazine) represented a danger to people if allowed to reenter the atmosphere.
May - 2008	U.S. Army activated the first Terminal High Altitude Area Defense (THAAD) Battery.
Sep - 2007	Sea-Based X-Band Radar deployed for first time to collect data during GBI Test.
Mar - 2007	The Airborne Laser completed the first in-flight test of the laser targeting system.
Mar - 2005	MDA completed first series of sea test of the Sea-Based X-Band Radar (SBX).
Jul - 2004	First Ground-based Midcourse Defense Interceptor (GBI) was deployed to an underground silo at Fort Greely, Alaska. Four more GBIs were deployed before the end of the year.
Dec - 2002	President George W. Bush directed that the Secretary of Defense "proceed with fielding an initial set of missile defense capabilities."
Jan - 2002	First successful intercept test of the SM-3.
Jan - 2002	Secretary of Defense signed memorandum changing the name of the Ballistic Missile Defense Organization (BMDO) to the Missile Defense Agency (MDA).
Sep - 2001	Following an unsuccessful test in July 2000, President Clinton announced that he was not going to initiate deployment of the national missile defense system.
Jan - 2001	Aegis cruiser USS Lake Erie conducted first successful flight test of newly-developed Standard Missile-3 (SM-3) interceptor.
Jul - 1999	President William J. Clinton signed the National Missile Defense Act of 1999 (Public Law 106-38) that required the United States to deploy an effective national missile defense system capable of defending the territory of the United States against limited ballistic missile attacks.

Schedule MDS

Events	Milestone Baseline Objective	Current Objective/	Baseline /Threshold	Current Estimate/Actual	Deviation
Notes					

For schedule milestones, see the unclassified Missile Defense Accountability Report (MDAR) and the MDAR Classified Annex scheduled for release in the 3rd Quarter FY 2023.

Deviation Explanation

No deviations for this program/subprogram

Performance

MDS

Performance Characteristics				
Milestone Baseline	Current Baseline Objective/Threshold	Demonstrated Performance	Current Estimate/Actual	Deviation
0 -				

Requirement Reference	
None	

Deviation Explanation

No deviations for this program/subprogram

Notes

For performance characteristics, see the unclassified Missile Defense Accountability Report (MDAR) and the MDAR Classified Annex scheduled for release in the 3rd Quarter FY 2023.

Acquisition Budget Estimate MDS

Total Acquisition Cost

		Milestone APB	Current Baseline		Budget Estin		
Category	Base Year	Objective (BY\$M)	Objective (BY\$M)	Threshold (BY\$M)	BY\$M	TY\$M	Deviation
RDT&E	2002				154,846.4	201,892.9	
Procurement	2002				24,016.3	35,049.9	
MILCON	2002				1,553.9	2,275.5	
Acq. O&M	2002						
Total		.0	.0		180,416.6	239,218.3	
PAUC	2002	.000	.000	.000			
APUC	2002	.000	.000	.000			

Appropriation Category Deviation Explanations

PAUC Deviation Explanation

APUC Deviation Explanation

Budget Notes

For Major Defense Acquisition Programs, DoD requires an APB at program initiation. The APB establishes cost, quantity, schedule, and performance parameters that form the basis for unit cost reporting under 10 U.S.C. Sec. 2433. As a single integrated system of systems, the BMDS does not have an APB. In response to other statutoryrequirements, however, Missile Defense Agency provides the Congress with an annual Missile Defense Accountability Report (MDAR), which includes schedule, technical, operational capacity, resource, and contract baselines that guide development of ballistic missile defense capabilities. The MDAR includes unit cost baselines for key assets (e.g. SM-3 missiles and THAAD interceptors) comprising the BMDS.

Total End Item Quantity

Quantity Category	Current APB Quantity	Current Estimate Quantity
Development		
Procurement		
O&M-Acquired		

Quantity Notes

Quantities of Key BMDS Assets (grouped by appropriation, total buys from FY 2002-28):

Program Component		RDT&E	Proc
Terminal High Altitude Area Defense (THAAD)	Batteries	2	6
	Interceptors	50	793
Aegis			
	SM-3 Block IA	79	71
	SM-3 Block IIA	17	146
	SM-3 Block IB	21	654
Ground-Based Midcourse Defense (GMD)	Ground-Based Interceptors (GBIs)	58	0
Sensors	AN/TPY-2	7	5

Unit Cost MDS

Current	UCR Baseline and Current l	Estimate (Base-Year Dollars)		
Category (\$M) Base Year:2002	Current UCR Baseline	Current Estimate	% Change	
Program Acquisition Unit Cost				
Cost				
Quantity		0		
Unit Cost				
Average Procurement Unit Cost				
Cost				
Quantity				
Unit Cost				
Original	UCR Baseline and Current l	Estimate (Base-Year Dollars)		
Category (\$M) Base Year:	Original UCR Baseline	Current Estimate	% Change	
Program Acquisition Unit Cost				
Cost				
Quantity		0		
Unit Cost				
Average Procurement Unit Cost				
Cost				
Quantity				
Unit Cost		N / 11		
	Cost Growth I	Details		
Current Baseline PAUC Breach Ex	xplanation			
Current Descline A DUC Dreach Ex				
Current Basenne AFUC Breach Es				
Original Deseline DALIC Preset F	value			
Original dasenne i AUC dreach E.				
Original Baseline APUC Breach F	vnlanation			
original basenic Ai de breach E.				
Impacts of Schedule Changes on U	nit Cost			
Impacts of Performance Changes on Unit Cost				
Actions Taken or Proposed to Con	trol Future Cost Growth			

Notes

The Missile Defense Agency (MDA) designs, develops and delivers sensors, command and control, and engagement systems, integrated into a single system that enables all Joint Force counter-missile operations. MDA works with the Services to transition subsystems as they mature, allowing MDA to return to focusing on its core research mission. Although MDA does budget for a subsystem's Missile Defense System (MDS) unique mission costs leading up to transition, it does not capture the Service's budget. Therefore, since the MDA portion does not represent the entire operating and support cost of each subsystem, MDA does not report these in the SAR.

Risk and Sensitivity Analysis MDS

	Risk and Sensitivity Analysis
Current Procurement Cost(December - 2022)	
Original Baseline Estimate ()	
Current Baseline Estimate ()	

Schedule Risk		
Technical Risks		

Low Rate Initial Production MDS

Item	Initial LRIP Decision	Current Total LRIP
Approval Date		
Approved Quantity		
Reference		
Start Year		
End Year		
Rationale if quantity exceeds 10% of the total number of articles to be procured:		

Notes

There is no LRIP for this program.

Contracts & Efforts

Contract Data		
Contract Number	HQ0147-11-C-0006	
Effort Number		
Modification Number	P00322	
Award Date	03/07/2011	
Definitization Date	03/07/2011	
Order Number		
CAGE Code/CAGE Legal Name	8LM16/Northrop Grumman	
Contract Title	IRBM/ICBM Targets	
Contract Address	Chandler, AZ	
Contracting Office	MDA/TCK	
Supported Phase	Development	
Contract Strategy	FAR 15 (Negotiated)	
Contract Type	Multiple Types	
Modification Date	December 15, 2022	
Work Start Date	March 07, 2011	
Technical Data Rights	Government Purpose License Rights to Technical DataNoncommercial Items & Software	
Work Completed	85.58	

Contracts/Effort Price, Quantity, and Performance (TY\$M)			
Initial Target Price: 217.08		Current Target Price	e: 1306.57
Initial Ceiling Price: 244.78		Current Ceiling Pric	e: 1388.57
Contractor EAC: 1156.46		PM EAC: 1157.30	
Initial Quantity: 8	Current Quantity	: 27	Delivered Quantity: 23
BAC: 1182.45	BCWP: 1011.90		ACWP: 1015.12

MDS

UNCLASSIFIED

BCWS: 1019.39	Cost Variance: -\$3.23	Schedule Variance: -\$7.50

Contract Notes:

Factors Contributing to Cost Variance and Projected Effects on Program Costs: Factors contributing to the cost variance are closed CLINs, (\$32.8M), and Kit-15 Non-Recurring Engineering, (\$3.5M), which has been offset by material costing less than baselined, production learning curve efficiencies, and labor efficiencies in production and pre/post mission tasks, \$33.1M. No concerns currently with costs. Program Costs will continue to trend favorably as contract moves forward.

Factors Contributing to Schedule Variance and Projected Effects on Program Schedule:

Factors contributing to the schedule variance are early receipt of material and completion of Motor and Carriage Extraction System production milestones ahead of baseline. No concerns currently with schedule. All future deliveries are on track to complete as currently planned.

Contract Data		
Contract Number	HQ0147-19-C-0005	
Effort Number		
Modification Number	P00036	
Award Date	09/20/2019	
Definitization Date	09/20/2019	
Order Number		
CAGE Code/CAGE Legal Name	8LM16/Northrop Grumman	
Contract Title	Type 4 (T4) Subscale Targets	
Contract Address	Chandler, AZ	
Contracting Office	MDA/TCK	
Supported Phase	Development	
Contract Strategy	FAR 15 (Negotiated)	
Contract Type	Multiple Types	
Modification Date	December 28, 2022	
Work Start Date	September 20, 2019	
Technical Data Rights	None	
Work Completed	37.99	

Contracts/Effort Price, Quantity, and Performance (TY\$M)			
Initial Target Price: 189.28		Current Target Pric	e: 210.18
Initial Ceiling Price: 200.18		Current Ceiling Price	ce: 220.48
Contractor EAC: 241.33		PM EAC: 250.76	
Initial Quantity: 9	Current Quantity	7: 9	Delivered Quantity: 0
BAC: 229.04	BCWP: 87.02		ACWP: 97.99
BCWS: 105.72	Cost Variance: -	\$10.97	Schedule Variance: -\$18.69
Contract Notes:			

None to date. The Contractor has submitted documentation to support their request to incorporate data rights, but they have not been accepted.

Factors Contributing to Cost Variance and Projected Effects on Program Costs:

The main cost contributor is higher vendor prices in multiple areas and low value material cable components. No effects on costs at this time, majority of contract is on Fixed Price CLINs. Program overruns are covered within program controls.

Factors Contributing to Schedule Variance and Projected Effects on Program Schedule:

The main schedule contributor is driven by Castor IVB motor milestone delays due to case insulation issues and program material delays. No impact to program milestones at this time.

Contract Data		
Contract Number	HQ0147-12-C-0004	
Effort Number		
Modification Number	P00162	
Award Date	12/30/2011	
Definitization Date	12/30/2011	
Order Number		
CAGE Code/CAGE Legal Name	3A768/The Boeing Company	
Contract Title	Development and Sustainment Contract (DSC)	
Contract Address	Huntsville, AL	
Contracting Office	MDA/GMK	
Supported Phase	Development	
Contract Strategy	FAR 15 (Negotiated)	
Contract Type	Multiple Types	
Modification Date	December 22, 2022	
Work Start Date	December 30, 2011	
Technical Data Rights	None	
Work Completed	78.56	

Contracts/Effort Price, Quantity, and Performance (TY\$M)			
Initial Target Price: 2816.80		Current Target Price	e: 11509.15
Initial Ceiling Price: 2816.80		Current Ceiling Price	ce: 11509.15
Contractor EAC: 10749.47		PM EAC: 10887.00	
			1
Initial Quantity	Current Quantity	,	Delivered Quantity
BAC: 10574.99	BCWP: 8307.25	5	ACWP: 8565.01
BCWS: 8356.96	Cost Variance: -	\$257.76	Schedule Variance: -\$49.71
Contract Notes:			

1) Contract: HQ0147-12-C-0004 / HQ0147-19-C-0004; Title: Development & Sustainment Contract (DSC). 2) Contract Types: Cost (CR), Cost Plus Fixed Fee (CPFF), Cost Plus Incentive Fee (CPIF), Cost Plus Award Fee (CPAF), Fixed Price Incentive (Firm Target) (FPIF).

Factors Contributing to Cost Variance and Projected Effects on Program Costs:

Cumulative Cost Variance primarily driven by:

1) Terminated Redesigned Kill Vehicle

2) Ground Based Interceptor (GBI) historical technical issues and

3) Ground Systems (GS), Launch Support Systems (LSS) / Launch Site Components (LSC) Development.

Factors Contributing to Schedule Variance and Projected Effects on Program Schedule:

Cumulative Schedule Variance primarily driven by:

1) GBI Vehicle Integration and Booster motor delays and

2) GS Launch Support Equipment-2 (LSE2) rack design, complexities, and supply chain constraints.

Contract Data		
Contract Number	HQ0147-14-C-0001	
Effort Number		
Modification Number	168	
Award Date	10/31/2013	
Definitization Date	10/31/2013	
Order Number		
CAGE Code/CAGE Legal Name	7VXX4/AEROJET ROCKETDYNE COLEMAN AEROSPACE, IN	
Contract Title	Medium Range Ballistic Missile Type 1 / Type 2 (T1/T2) Targets	
Contract Address	Orlando, FL	
Contracting Office	MDA/TCK	
Supported Phase	Development	
Contract Strategy	FAR 15 (Negotiated)	
Contract Type	Fixed-Price Incentive (Firm Target)	
Modification Date	December 15, 2022	
Work Start Date	October 31, 2013	
Technical Data Rights	Limited Rights to Technical DataNon-Commercial Items Only	
Work Completed	81.59	

Contracts/Effort Price, Quantity, and Performance (TY\$M)				
Initial Target Price: 73.36		Current Target Price: 1087.06		
Initial Ceiling Price: 78.69		Current Ceiling Pric	Current Ceiling Price: 1462.88	
Contractor EAC: 481.75		PM EAC: 484.93		
Initial Quantity: 6 Current Quantity:		: 18	Delivered Quantity: 5	
BAC: 398.29	BCWP: 324.98		ACWP: 402.58	
BCWS: 336.59	Cost Variance: -\$77.60		Schedule Variance: -\$11.61	

Contract Notes:

Technical Data/Computer Software to be Furnished with Restrictions (Limited Rights) eSR19 and Roll Control

Factors Contributing to Cost Variance and Projected Effects on Program Costs:

Factors contributing to cost variance are the majority of cost overrun in the past from Non-Recurring Engineering efforts with future cost growth in the enhanced solid rocket motor and roll control system areas. The cost overrun on the program is unrecoverable, however, costs are currently covered within controls and there are no funding impacts.

Note: The information presented above does not include any FFP CLINs or CLINs with EVM Waivers, so the EV data computes less than the Current Target Price.

Factors Contributing to Schedule Variance and Projected Effects on Program Schedule:

Factors contributing to the schedule variance are production delays from delayed design due to ongoing enhanced solid rocket motor issues; and Thrust Vector Control System (TVCS) delays due to ongoing de-scope of effort in order to move TVCS redesign.

Contract Data		
Contract Number	HQ0276-15-C-0003	
Effort Number		
Modification Number	P00130	
Award Date	06/11/2015	
Definitization Date	08/28/2017	
Order Number		
CAGE Code/CAGE Legal Name	15090/Raytheon Missile Defense	
Contract Title	Standard Missile 3 (SM3) Block IIA All Up Round (AUR)	
Contract Address	Tucson, AZ	
Contracting Office	MDA/ABK	
Supported Phase	Development	
Contract Strategy	FAR 15 (Negotiated)	
Contract Type	Multiple Types	
Modification Date	December 30, 2022	
Work Start Date	June 11, 2015	
Technical Data Rights	None	
Work Completed		

Contracts/Effort Price, Quantity, and Performance (TY\$M)			
Initial Target Price		Current Target Price	
Initial Ceiling Price		Current Ceiling Pric	e
Contractor EAC		PM EAC	
	_		_
Initial Quantity	Current Quantity		Delivered Quantity
BAC	BCWP		ACWP
BCWS	Cost Variance		Schedule Variance

Contract Notes:

Contract: HQ0276-15-C-0003; Title: Standard Missile 3 Block IIA All Up Round. Contract Types: CPIF, COST, CPFFNOTE: Requested data for SM3 Block IIA is CUI in accordance with the United States Navy Security Classification Guide for SM-2/3/4/6 missiles. The data will be submitted via SIPR.

Factors Contributing to Cost Variance and Projected Effects on Program Costs

Factors Contributing to Schedule Variance and Projected Effects on Program Schedule

Contract Data		
Contract Number	HQ0851-20-C-0002	
Effort Number		
Modification Number	P0021	
Award Date		
Definitization Date	3/27/2020	
Order Number		
CAGE Code/CAGE Legal Name	15090/Raytheon Missile Systems	
Contract Title	Standard Missile 3 (SM3) Block IB Multi-Year Procurement (MYP)	
Contract Address	Tucson, AZ	
Contracting Office	MDA/ABK	
Supported Phase	Development	
Contract Strategy	FAR 15 (Negotiated)	
Contract Type	Multiple Types	
Modification Date	November 22, 2022	
Work Start Date	March 27, 2020	
Technical Data Rights	None	
Work Completed		

Contracts/Effort Price, Quantity, and Performance (TY\$M)			
Initial Target Price		Current Target Price	
Initial Ceiling Price		Current Ceiling Pric	e
Contractor EAC		PM EAC	
Initial Quantity	Current Quantity		Delivered Quantity
BAC	BCWP		ACWP
BCWS	Cost Variance		Schedule Variance

Contract Notes:

Contract: HQ0851-20-C-0002; Title: Standard Missile 3 Block IB Multi-Year Procurement. Contract Types: FPI, FFP, CPFF NOTE: Requested data for SM3 Block IB is CUI in accordance with the United States Navy Security Classification Guide for SM-2/3/4/6 missiles. The data has been submitted via SIPR.

Factors Contributing to Cost Variance and Projected Effects on Program Costs

Factors Contributing to Schedule Variance and Projected Effects on Program Schedule

External Government Activities

Activity Title	Government Entity		Supported Phase
CAGE		Work Start Date	
City		State/Province:	
Notes			

Deliveries and Expenditures MDS

Deliveries				
Delivered to Date	Planned to Date	Actual to Date	Total Quantity	Percent Delivered
Development				
Production				
Total Program Quantity Delivered00				
Expended and Appropriated (TY \$M)				
Years Appropriated to date: 22				
Total Years Appropriated Funding (C	urrent Baseline): 27			
Percent Years Appropriated: 81.48%				
Then-Year Funding Appropriated as Percentage of Total Acquisition Estimate: 0.00%				
Then-Year Funding Expended as Perc	entage of Total Acquisit	ion Estimate: 0.009	ν ₀	
Total Acquisition Cost: 239,218.3				

Deliveries & Expenditures Notes:

The data reflects the FY 2023 Enacted position and is current as of March 2, 2023.

Operating and Support Costs MDS

O&S Cost Breakdown:

Category (BY\$ Million)	BMDS
Unit-Level Manpower	
Unit Operations	
Maintenance	
Sustaining Support	
Continued System Improvements	
Other	
Total	.0

Cost Estimate Source:

O&S Cost Notes: The Missile Defense Agency (MDA) designs, develops and delivers sensors, command and control, and engagement systems, integrated into a single system that enables all Joint Force counter-missile operations. MDA works with the Services to transition subsystems as they mature, allowing MDA to return to focusing on its core research mission. Although MDA does budget for a subsystem's Missile Defense System (MDS) unique mission costs leading up to transition, it does not capture the Service's budget. Therefore, since the MDA portion does not represent the entire operating and support cost of each subsystem, MDA does not report these in the SAR.

Total Program O&S Cost Compared with Baseline					
	Current Baseline				
	Objective (BY\$M)	Threshold (BY\$M)	Current Estimate (BY\$M)	Current Estimate (TY\$M)	Deviation
Total O&S	0	0	0.0		

Note:

See note above.

O&S Cost Deviation Explanation

Operating and Support Costs - Disposal and Unitized Costs MDS

Annual Unitized O&S Cost Definition and Calculation Relative to Total O&S Cost:

Sustainment Factors	System Name:	Antecedent System Name:
Quantity to Sustain		
Unit of Measure		
Unit Expected Service Life		

Base Year:

	System Name:	Antecedent System Name:
Unit-Level Manpower		
Unit Operations		
Maintenance		
Sustaining Support		
Continued System Improvements		
Other		
Total O&S	0.0	0.0

Disposal/Demilitarization Cost Estimate

(Base Year \$Millions)	System Name:	Antecedent System Name:
Total Disposal		

Cost Estimate Source - Disposal		
Туре:		
Approval Authority and Date:		
Note:		

The Missile Defense Agency (MDA) designs, develops and delivers sensors, command and control, and engagement systems, integrated into a single system that enables all Joint Force counter-missile operations. MDA works with the Services to transition subsystems as they mature, allowing MDA to return to focusing on its core research mission. Although MDA does budget for a subsystem's Missile Defense System (MDS) unique disposal costs, it does not capture the Service's budget. Therefore, since the MDA portion does not represent the entire disposal cost of each subsystem, MDA does not report these in the SAR.

Disposal Cost Notes:

Antecedent Estimate Assumptions:
Additional O&S Estimate Assumptions:
Sustainment Strategy: