CLEARED For Open Publication

By kempr on Apr 19, 2023

Department of Defense OFFICE OF PREPUBLICATION AND SECURITY REVIEW

Selected Acquisition Report (SAR)



Next Generation Operational Control System (OCX)

FY 2024 President's Budget

Defense Acquisition Visibility Environment (DAVE)

Table of Contents

| Acronyms and Abbreviations | |
|-----------------------------|----|
| Program Information | 5 |
| Responsible Office | 5 |
| Mission and Description | 6 |
| Executive Summary | 7 |
| Schedule | 10 |
| Performance | 11 |
| Acquisition Budget Estimate | |
| Unit Cost | 19 |
| Risks | |
| Low Rate Initial Production | |
| Contracts | 22 |
| Deliveries and Expenditures | 22 |
| Operating and Support Costs | 23 |

Common Acronyms and Abbreviations \$B - Billions of Dollars \$K - Thousands of Dollars \$M - Millions of Dollars ACAT - Acquisition Category Acq O&M - Acquisition-Related Operations and Maintenance ADM - Acquisition Decision Memorandum **APB** - Acquisition Program Baseline **APPN** - Appropriation APUC - Average Procurement Unit Cost BA - Budget Authority/Budget Activity Blk - Block BY - Base Year CAPE - Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation CARD - Cost Analysis Requirements Description CDD - Capability Development Document CLIN - Contract Line Item Number **CPD** - Capability Production Document CY - Calendar Year DAB - Defense Acquisition Board DAE - Defense Acquisition Executive DAMIR - Defense Acquisition Management Information Retrieval DoD - Department of Defense DSN - Defense Switched Network EMD - Engineering and Manufacturing Development EVM - Earned Value Management FMS - Foreign Military Sales FOC - Full Operational Capability FRP - Full Rate Production FY - Fiscal Year FYDP - Future Years Defense Program ICE - Independent Cost Estimate Inc - Increment IOC - Initial Operational Capability JROC - Joint Requirements Oversight Council **KPP** - Key Performance Parameter LRIP - Low Rate Initial Production MDA - Milestone Decision Authority MDAP - Major Defense Acquisition Program **MILCON - Military Construction** N/A - Not Applicable O&M - Operations and Maintenance O&S - Operating and Support **ORD** - Operational Requirements Document OSD - Office of the Secretary of Defense PAUC - Program Acquisition Unit Cost PB - President's Budget

PE - Program Element
PEO - Program Executive Officer
PM - Program Manager
POE - Program Office Estimate
RDT&E - Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation
SAR - Selected Acquisition Report
SCP - Service Cost Position
TBD - To Be Determined
TY - Then Year
U.S. - United States
UCR - Unit Cost Reporting
USD(A&S) - Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology and Logistics)

Program Information

Program Name

Next Generation Operational Control System (OCX)

DoD Component

Air Force

Responsible Office

Program Manager

Name: Barbara Baker Date Assigned: August 1, 2019 Address: 483 N. Aviation El Segundo, CA 90245 Phone: (310) 653-3002

Mission and Description

The Global Positioning System (GPS) is a space based positioning, navigation, and timing distribution system, which operates through weather and electromagnetic environments (jamming, spoofing, etc.). GPS supports both civil and military users in air, space, sea, and land operations. GPS is a satellite-based radio navigation system that serves military and civil users worldwide. GPS users process satellite signals to determine accurate position, velocity, and time. GPS must comply with 10 United States Code (USC) Section 2281 which requires that the Secretary of Defense ensures the continued sustainment and operation of GPS for military and civilian purposes and 51 USC Section 50112, which requires that GPS complies with certain standards and facilitates international cooperation. The GPS Next Generation Operational Control System (OCX) program develops and fields a modernized satellite command and control (C2) system which replaces the current ground control system for legacy and new GPS satellites. OCX implements a modern flexible architecture with built-in robust information assurance to address emerging cyber threats. The Air Force is taking a block approach to develop OCX with each block delivering upgrades as they become available. The OCX program of record consists of 2 block deliverables: Block 1, and Block 2. OCX Block 0, a subset of Block 1, will allow OCX to support the launch and checkout of GPS III satellites. OCX Block 1 replaces the existing legacy GPS C2 system and fields the operational capability to control legacy satellites (GPS IIR, IIR-M, and IIF) and control existing signals (L1 C/A, L1P(Y), L2P(Y)). OCX Block 1 also adds the operational capability to command and control the GPS III satellites and the modernized civil signals (L2C and L5). OCX Block 2 adds operational control of the new international open/civil L1C signal in compliance with 2004 European Union-United States agreement and adds control of the modernized Military Code signal. With the restructuring of the program as a result of the Nunn-McCurdy process, Block 1 and Block 2 capabilities will be delivered concurrently. The majority of Block 2 capabilities were merged into the Block 1 delivery during the 2014 OCX restructure. Recent analysis found it would be cheaper to merge the remaining Block 2 capabilities into Block 1 than to deliver Block 2 after Block 1. This approach delivers Block 2 capabilities sooner and eliminates the impact to GPS operations from a transition from Block 1 to Block 2.On December 20, 2019, the President of the United States established the United States Space Force which assumed the responsibility for all major space acquisition programs. This program is now a United States Space Force program.

Executive Summary

OCX

Program Highlights Since Last Report

OCX remains a large software-intensive program that is performing well using metric driven decisions and its remaining technical risks are typical of a complex software acquisition. Since the last SAR the Government continues its plan to mitigate an unacceptable cyber risk caused by the sale of an International Business Machines product line to Lenovo, a foreign owned company, in a manner which saves the government \$150M in future costs. This Hewlett-Packard (HP) Replacing IBM Project (HRIP) held Raytheon to its original contract commitment to qualify the OCX Block 1 and 2 mission software on IBM while separately directing them to build up the new HP environments in parallel. After concluding a major program element referred to as Certificate of Conformance (CoC) in December 2021, Hewlett-Packard (HP) based Segment Integration efforts initiated both in the factory and at operational sites. In January 2022, the GPS System Simulator (GSYS) was used to converge a Kalman Filter solution, demonstrating a capability vital to GPS operations in the factory. This was a first on the HP baseline. Concurrently, HP equipment successfully commanded and received telemetry from all three classes of GPS satellite or "Iron Bird" simulators (one for GPS IIR/IIR-M, IIF, and III, respectively). Subsequently, OCX demonstrated the ability to initialize all three signal types (Legacy Navigation (LNAV), Military Navigation (MNAV), and Civil Navigation (CNAV)). The last of four Legacy Ground Antenna Element (LGAE) interfaces was installed at Kwajalein Island in April 2022, completing all OCX equipment installations apart from the classified portion of the Alternate Master Control Station (AMCS). AMCS is being retained to support HP Formal Qualification Testing (FQT) and will ship to Vandenberg Space Force Base (VSFB) in mid-2023. Additionally, key communication links between Schriever Space Force Base (SSFB) and VSFB were finished in May 2022. In June 2022, OCX also received Space Authorizing Official and National Industrial Security Program Authorizing Official permission to connect all remaining links between the Software Integration and Test Lab at Raytheon's factory in Aurora CO; the Transition Support Facility (TSF) at SSFB; and test equipment at the Consolidated Test Environment in Colorado Springs, CO and the Telecommunications Simulator Test Station at Cape Canaveral Space Force Station, FL. This allowed several segment integration efforts to finish. In the factory, HP Formal Qualification Test (FQT) Golden Dry Run (GDR) began in August 2022. Initial pass rates were lower than anticipated, in the 50% range. Primary issues included challenges with integrating the Navigation (NAV) configuration item with other subsystems, and challenges related to end-to-end alerting and overall system stability. To address these issues, Raytheon engaged with a key subcontractor, L3Harris, who sent additional Subject Matter Experts to address navigation-related Discrepancy Reports (DR). Raytheon has also brought back OCX veterans and other senior engineers to work through the higher-than-expected DR count. Since HP FQT will formally verify 65% of the program's remaining segment requirements, it remains the program's primary focus and gauge of system maturity. In the field, OCX continues to focus on preparing deployed components for operations, including connecting OCX to other agencies' systems. In this period, connections were made with the existing Ground Support Facility, which supports transition; the Integrated Correlation and Detection System, which supports the U.S. Nuclear Detonation Detection System; the 18th and 19th Space Defense Squadrons (18/19 SDS), in support of USSF space domain awareness and collision avoidance operations; the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency (NGA), a key partner in navigation operations; the Operational Support System, in support of the GPS IIR/IIR-M and GPS III Space Vehicles; and the Eastern Vehicle Checkout Facility which demonstrates whether OCX is able to conduct tracking, telemetry & commanding operations on the network.Furthermore, the analysis of Live Sky data collected using OCX's 17 global monitor stations completed in September 2022. The analysis concluded OCX would meet over 90 navigation-centric requirements. The Launch and Checkout System (LCS), or OCX Block 0, is operating nominally. To date, LCS has supported the launch and checkout of GPS III Space Vehicles (SV)s 1 through 5 and is on track to support the SV06 launch on January 18, 2023. The FY 2023 Omnibus Funding Bill reduced the OCX budget by \$74.3M, citing unjustified growth for Block 1 and 2 Interim Contractor Support. The mark will hamper the contractor's ability to integrate OCX with the GPS Space and User segments and support Government-led Developmental Testing (DT) slated for early FY 2024. The Program Manager signed a Program Deviation Report (PDR) on October 26, 2022 and submitted it to the Defense Acquisition Executive (DAE). The PDR reported a schedule deviation for the final APB milestone, Ready to Transition to Operations (RTO), from its APB threshold date of April 2023. The OCX team briefed program status to the DAE on November 2, 2022 and plans to provide a follow-up briefing in April 2023. This is a software-intensive program actively using Development Security Operations (DevSecOps), with routine, low-level development and program execution issues worked day-to-day.

| History of Significant Develop | nents Since Program Initiation |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Hist | ory of Significant Developments Since Program Initiation |
| Date | Significant Development Description |
| Sep - 2022 | OCX finished Live Sky analysis. |
| Aug - 2022 | HP FQT GDR began August 15, 2022. |
| Jul - 2022 | TSF installation completed July 27, 2022. |
| Jun - 2022 | MCS installation completed June 15, 2022. |
| Jun - 2022 | OCX finished a campaign to initialize all three signal types (LNAV, CNAV, and MNAV) on all three vehicle class simulators (GPS IIR/IIR-M, IIF and III). |
| Mar - 2022 | The last of four LGAE site installations was completed in the Marshall Islands (Kwajalein). |
| Dec - 2021 | On December 17, 2021 Government accepted Raytheon's IBM based CoC. |
| Dec - 2021 | The MCS Element FQT ended on December 16, 2021. |
| Nov - 2021 | The Transition Support Facility installation began at SSFB on November 9, 2021. |
| Oct - 2021 | Global LGAE interface deployment began with Cape Canaveral Space Force Station, which was installed on October 26, 2021. |
| Oct - 2021 | MCS installation began at SSFB on October 5, 2021. |
| Oct - 2021 | The AMCS installation began at Vandenberg Space Force Base on October 12, 2021. |
| Jul - 2021 | All 17 MS installations completed; the last site, Ecuador, finished installation on July 23, 2021. |
| Jun - 2021 | GPS III SV05 satellite control authority transferred to 2 SOPS on June 28, 2021 following LCS support of the launch on June 17, 2021. |
| Nov - 2020 | GPS III SV04 satellite control authority transferred to 2 SOPS on November 16, 2020 following LCS support of the launch on November 5, 2020. |
| Nov - 2020 | The primary GGA (Rack 2) was integrated onto SIPRNet at SAFB on November 23, 2020. |
| Sep - 2020 | GPS System Simulator Element FQT completed on September 8, 2020. |
| Sep - 2020 | The Global MS deployment campaign began with the completion of the Schriever Air Force Base (SAFB) installation on September 4, 2020. |
| Sep - 2020 | The Product Test campaign completed on September 25, 2020, having assessed over 10,000 configuration item-level requirements. |
| Jul - 2020 | GPS III SV 03 satellite control authority transferred to 2 SOPS on July 23, 2020 following LCS support of the launch on June 30, 2020. |
| Mar - 2020 | Hewlett Packard Enterprise Replacing International Business Machines Project contract modification definitized. |
| Mar - 2020 | SV02 satellite control authority transferred to 2 SOPS. |
| Dec - 2019 | SV01 satellite control authority transferred to Second Space Operations Squadron (2 SOPS). |
| Aug - 2019 | LCS supported launch of the second GPS III SV02 on August 22, 2019. |
| Aug - 2019 | OCX Block 1 and 2 completed software development. |
| Mar - 2019 | Completed production of all 34 OCX Monitoring Station Receivers and received security accreditation for both the unclassified and classified variants. |
| Jan - 2019 | Completed the pilot phase for monitoring station obsolescence. |
| Dec - 2018 | LCS supported launch of first GPS III SV01 on December 23, 2018. |
| Oct - 2018 | LCS received Authority to Operate on October 2, 2018, which is valid for two years |

| Sep - 2018 | USD(A&S) recertified Milestone B on September 27, 2018. The recertification established a new APB and revalidated the program funding to the SCP. The MDA remains with USD(A&S) and Milestone C was waived. |
|------------|---|
| Oct - 2017 | The program office accepted Block 0 LCS delivery to support the first GPS III launch, which successfully occurred on December 23, 2018. |
| Jun - 2017 | GPS OCX Capability Development Document (CDD) approved. |
| Jun - 2017 | The DAB occurred on June 20, 2017, and USD(AT&L) agreed to approve OCX's new Milestone B certification and new APB objective dates of April 2021 for Milestone C and April 2022 for Block 1 and 2. |
| Mar - 2017 | Program Office and Raytheon completed OTB/OTS process on March 28, 2017. Execution against the new baseline began on April 1, 2017. |
| Oct - 2016 | OCX was recertified on October 12, 2016 and the Milestone B, original and current APBs were rescinded. The contract was restructured to reflect a 24-month plus six-month risk schedule extension. All Block 2 content was re-phased to deliver concurrently with Block 1. |
| Sep - 2016 | Quarterly review conducted with USD(AT&L). Raytheon reported they met Block 0 LCS FQT Test Readiness Review key milestones. Raytheon also reported on improvements on implementing Development Operations processes, including increased automation in software development, platform deployment, and test as well as an updated configuration management and software development approach. |
| Jul - 2016 | Raytheon completed Block 0 FQT Golden Dry Run, demonstrating the maturity of Block 0 requirements to support LCS. |
| Jun - 2016 | The Secretary of the Air Force declared a critical Nunn-McCurdy breach on June 30, 2016. |
| Feb - 2016 | Due to reported schedule delays, the Air Force awarded GPS III Contingency Operations to bridge capability between Block 0 and Block 1. |
| Dec - 2015 | Second Deep Dive with USD(AT&L) was conducted resulting in OSD and the Air Force jointly agreeing to a 24-month replan to the schedule objectives for Milestone C, Block 1 RTO and Block 2 RTO in the APB. |
| Dec - 2015 | Program Office reported a schedule breach against current baseline on December 23, 2015. |
| Oct - 2015 | A revised APB was signed on October 19, 2015. |
| Feb - 2015 | Program Office and Raytheon held a Deep Dive with USD(AT&L) which directed the development of a new APB and established new milestones to measure schedule and cost performance. |
| Jun - 2014 | Government completed Over Target Baseline (OTB) / Over Target Schedule (OTS). The result of the OTB/OTS resulted in revised milestone dates which required the program to submit a Program Deviation Report to USD (AT&L). As part of the OTB/OTS initiatives, Raytheon paused software development activities and focused its effort on completing the balance of Block 1 & Block 2 systems engineering. |
| Jun - 2013 | Raytheon conducted a Critical Design Review for the GPS III LCS (Block 0). The design artifacts assessed by the Government team demonstrated that Raytheon's design and software architecture were adequate to meet requirements. |
| Mar - 2012 | OCX Program received Milestone B approval and was authorized to begin Engineering and Manufacturing Development. An updated APB was signed by the Milestone Decision Authority (MDA), USD(AT&L). |
| Feb - 2010 | OCX development contract awarded to Raytheon for \$886M, with an option to begin preliminary work on Blocks 3 & 4 which are to provide additional capabilities to support follow-on, upgraded versions of GPS III satellites. |
| Feb - 2007 | The United States Air Force began the OCX program with a technology development phase (Phase A). Awarded Phase A contracts for \$160M each to Northrop Grumman and Raytheon to produce competitive prototypes. |

Schedule

OCX

| Events | Milestone Baseline Objective | Current Objective, | Baseline /Threshold | Current Estimate/Actual | Deviation |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|
| Development Contract Award | Feb 2010 | Feb 2010 | Feb 2010 | Feb 2010 | |
| Block 1 and 2 PDR | Aug 2011 | Aug 2011 | Aug 2011 | Aug 2011 | |
| Milestone B | Nov 2012 | Nov 2012 | Nov 2012 | Nov 2012 | |
| Block 0 (LCS Delivery) | Oct 2017 | Oct 2017 | Oct 2017 | Sep 2017 | |
| Revised Milestone B | Sep 2018 | Sep 2018 | Sep 2018 | Sep 2018 | |
| Block 1 RTO | Apr 2022 | Apr 2022 | Apr 2023 | May 2024 | |
| Block 2 RTO | Apr 2022 | Apr 2022 | Apr 2023 | May 2024 | |

Note:

The Block 1 and 2 RTO current estimate changed from December 2023 to May 2024. This shift accounts for technical issues, contractor staffing challenges that might emerge in early FY 2024, and the risk that operational assets (crews and satellites) might not be available to fully support Government-led Developmental Testing. Therefore, the government estimates DD250 submission to occur in the October 2023 timeframe. The government estimates seven months of transition/activities are required between the Raytheon contract delivery submission date and the APB RTO milestone, putting RTO estimate at mid-2024.

Performance

OCX

| Performance Characteristics | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|-----------------------------|---|-----------|--|--|
| Milestone Baseline | Current Baseline O | bjective/Threshold | Demonstrated Performance | Current Estimate/Actual | Deviation | | |
| (KPP) - Availability of Posit | tion Accuracy a. b. H | orizontal c.d. Vertica | al | | | | |
| (KPP) - Availability of Posit UEE = 0.8 m rms HORIZONTAL Note 1) a. 4.5 m (95%) @ 90% availability (any lat/long) b. 4.0 m (95%) @ 99.9% availability (global Average) VERTICAL (see Note 1) c. 7.0 m (95%) @ 90% availability (any lat/long) d. 7.0 m (95%) @ 99.9% availability (global average) UEE = 2.6 m rms (See Note 1) HORIZONTAL (see Note 1) a. 11.5 m (95%) @ 90% availability (any lat/long) b. 11.5 m (95%) @ 99.9% availability (global average) VERTICAL (see Note 1) c. 17.7 m (95%) @ 90% availability (any lat/long)d. 17.7 m global average. (95%) @ 99.9% availability *Note 1: Availability of position accuracy | tion Accuracy a. b. H UEE = 0.8 m rms HORIZONTAL Note 1) a. 4.5 m (95%) @ 90% availability (any lat/long) b. 4.0 m (95%) @ 99.9% availability (global Average) VERTICAL (see Note 1) c. 7.0 m (95%) @ 90% availability (any lat/long) d. 7.0 m (95%) @ 99.9% availability (global average) UEE = 2.6 m rms (See Note 1) HORIZONTAL (see Note 1) a. 11.5 m (95%) @ 90% availability (any lat/long) b. 11.5 m (95%) @ 90% availability (any lat/long) b. 11.5 m (95%) @ 99.9% availability (global average) VERTICAL (see Note 1) c. 17.7 m (95%) @ 90% availability (any lat/long) d. 17.7 m global average. (95%) @ 99.9% availability (any lat/long) d. 17.7 m global average. (95%) @ 99.9% availability of position accuracy | orizontal c.d. Vertice UEE = 0.8 m rms HORIZONTAL Note 1) a. 4.5 m (95%) @ 90% availability (any lat/long) b. 4.0 m (95%) @ 99.9% availability (global Average) VERTICAL (see Note 1) c. 7.0 m (95%) @ 90% availability (any lat/long) d. 7.0 m (95%) @ 99.9% availability (global average) UEE = 2.6 m rms (See Note 1) HORIZONTAL (see Note 1) a. 11.5 m (95%) @ 90% availability (any lat/long) b. 11.5 m (95%) @ 90% availability (any lat/long) b. 11.5 m (95%) @ 99.9% availability (global average) VERTICAL (see Note 1) c. 17.7 m (95%) @ 90% availability (any lat/long) d. 17.7 m global average. (95%) @ 99.9% availability *Note 1: Availability of position accurrent | al TBD | UEE = 0.8 m rms HORIZONTAL Note 1) a. 4.5 m (95%) @ 90% availability (any lat/long) b. 4.0 m (95%) @ 99.9% availability (global Average) VERTICAL (see Note 1) c. 7.0 m (95%) @ 90% availability (any lat/long) d. 7.0 m (95%) @ 99.9% availability (global average) UEE = 2.6 m rms (See Note 1) HORIZONTAL (see Note 1) a. 11.5 m (95%) @ 90% availability (any lat/long) b. 11.5 m (95%) @ 90% availability (any lat/long) b. 11.5 m (95%) @ 99.9% availability (global average) VERTICAL (see Note 1) c. 17.7 m (95%) @ 90% availability (any lat/long)d. 17.7 m global average. (95%) @ 99.9% availability (any lat/long)d. 17.7 m global average. (95%) @ 99.9% availability (any lat/long)d. 17.7 m global average. (95%) @ 99.9% availability (5 mostion accuracy | | | |
| is dependent on the GPS | is dependent on the GPS | is dependent on the GPS | | is dependent on the GPS | | | |
| receiver's UEE. | receiver's UEE. | receiver's UEE. | | receiver's UEE. | | | |

| (KPP) - Availability of Time Transfer Accuracy | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|-----|--|--|--|
| UEE = 0.8 m rms (See Note 1) 15 nanoseconds (ns) (95%) @ 90% availability (any lat/long) 15 ns (95%) @ 99.9% availability (global average) UEE = 2.6 m rms (See Note 1) 40 ns (95%) @ 90% availability (any lat/long) 50 ns (95%) @ 99.9% availability (global average) Static Time Transfer 3.0 ns (95%) @ >99.9% availability Note 1: Availability of time transfer accuracy (dynamic) is dependent on the GPS receiver's UEE. | UEE = 0.8 m rms (See Note 1) 15 nanoseconds (ns) (95%) @ 90% availability (any lat/long) 15 ns (95%) @ 99.9% availability (global average) UEE = 2.6 m rms (See Note 1) 40 ns (95%) @ 90% availability (any lat/long) 50 ns (95%) @ 99.9% availability (global average) Static Time Transfer 3.0 ns (95%) @ >99.9% availability Note 1: Availability Note 1: Availability of time transfer accuracy (dynamic) is dependent on the GPS receiver's UEE | UEE = 0.8 m rms (See Note 1) 15 nanoseconds (ns) (95%) @ 90% availability (any lat/long) 15 ns (95%) @ 99.9% availability (global average) UEE = 2.6 m rms (See Note 1) 40 ns (95%) @ 90% availability (any lat/long) 50 ns (95%) @ 99.9% availability (global average) Static Time Transfer 3.0 ns (95%) @ >99.9% availability Note 1: Availability of time transfer accuracy (dynamic) is dependent on the GPS receiver's | TBD | UEE = 0.8 m rms (See Note 1) 15 nanoseconds (ns) (95%) @ 90% availability (any lat/long) 15 ns (95%) @ 99.9% availability (global average) UEE = 2.6 m rms (See Note 1) 40 ns (95%) @ 90% availability (any lat/long) 50 ns (95%) @ 99.9% availability (global average) Static Time Transfer 3.0 ns (95%) @ >99.9% availability Note 1: Availability of time transfer accuracy (dynamic) is dependent on the GPS receiver's UEE | | |
| | | | | | | |

OCX

| (KPP) - Backward Compat | tibility | | | |
|---|---|---|-----|---|
| All modifications made to the existing GPS Space Segment and Control Segment shall allow the continued operation of existing IS-GPS-200, ISGPS- 700, IS-GPS-705 and SS-GPS-001 compliant UE and continued operation of legacy receivers (to include Federal augmentation system receivers) IAW performance meeting the APB, Precise Positioning Service Performance Standard and GPS Positioning Service Performance Standard, and Federal augmentation system specifications for the Local Area Augmentation System, Wide Area Augmentation System, Nationwide Differential GPS, and Maritime Differential GPS. | All modifications made to the existing GPS Space Segment and Control Segment shall allow the continued operation of existing IS-GPS-200, ISGPS- 700, IS-GPS-705 and SS-GPS-001 compliant UE and continued operation of legacy receivers (to include Federal augmentation system receivers) IAW performance meeting the APB, Precise Positioning Service Performance Standard and GPS Positioning Service Performance Standard, and Federal augmentation system specifications for the Local Area Augmentation System, Wide Area Augmentation System, Nationwide Differential GPS, and Maritime Differential GPS. | All modifications made to the existing GPS Space Segment and Control Segment shall allow the continued operation of existing IS-GPS-200, ISGPS- 700, IS-GPS-705 and SS-GPS-001 compliant UE and continued operation of legacy receivers (to include Federal augmentation system receivers) IAW performance meeting the APB, Precise Positioning Service Performance Standard and GPS Positioning Service Performance Standard, and Federal augmentation system specifications for the Local Area Augmentation System, Wide Area Augmentation System, Nationwide Differential GPS, and Maritime Differential GPS. | TBD | At contract delivery (DD250), OCX may not meet Positioning Signal Integrity and Continuity Assurance (PSICA) that relate to the GPS Positioning Service Performance Standard. The specified approach is new for OCX (the prior system did not have these requirements). It is technologically possible to modify the integrity monitor but there would be programmatic impacts. |
| | Differential Of 5. | Differential OF 5. | | impuets. |
| (KPP) - Net-Ready KPP | | | | |
| Availability of Accuracy in the terrestrial Transfer Determination Capability; service volume with UE UEE = 0.8 m rms HORIZONTAL 4.5 m (95%)@ 90% availability (any lat/long) 4.0 m (95%) @ 99.9% availability (global VERTICAL 7.0 m (95%)@ 90% availability (any lat/long) 7.0 m (95%)@ 99.9% availability (global average) UEE = 2.6m rms | Availability of Accuracy in the terrestrial Transfer Determination Capability; service volume with UE UEE = 0.8 m rms HORIZONTAL 4.5 m (95%)@ 90% availability (any lat/long) 4.0 m (95%) @ 99.9% availability (global VERTICAL 7.0 m (95%)@ 90% availability (any lat/long) 7.0 m (95%)@ 99.9% availability (global average) UEE = 2.6m rms | Availability of Accuracy in the terrestrial Transfer Determination Capability; service volume with UE UEE = 0.8 m rms HORIZONTAL 4.5 m (95%)@ 90% availability (any lat/long) 4.0 m (95%)@ 99.9% availability (global VERTICAL 7.0 m (95%)@ 90% availability (any lat/long) 7.0 m (95%)@ 99.9% availability (global average) UEE = 2.6m rms | TBD | Availability of Accuracy in the terrestrial Transfer Determination Capability; service volume with UE UEE = 0.8 m rms HORIZONTAL 4.5 m (95%)@ 90% availability (any lat/long) $4.0 \text{ m} (95\%)@$ 99.9% availability (global VERTICAL 7.0 m (95%)@ 90% availability (any lat/long) 7.0 m (95%)@ 99.9% availability (global average) UEE = 2.6m rms |

OCX

UNCLASSIFIED

HORIZONTAL 11.5 m (95%)@ 90% availability (any lat/long) 11.5 m (95%)@ 99.9% availability (global average) VERTICAL 17.7 m (95%)@ 90% availability (any lat/long) 17.7 m (95%) @ 99.9% availability (global average) Availability of Dynamic and Static Time Transfer Accuracy with UE. UEE = 0.8 m rms 15 ns (95%)@90% availability (any lat/long) 15 ns (95%)@ 99.9% availability (global coverage) UEE = 2.6 m rms40 ns (95%)@ 90% availability (any lat/long) 50 ns (95%)@ 99.9% availability (global coverage) Static Time Transfer Threshold=Objec tive 30ns (95%) (a) > 99.9%availability Note: This represents the cumulative threshold/objecti ve achieved by the collective contributions of the space, control, and/or user segments. Availability of position accuracy is dependent on the GPS

HORIZONTAL 11.5 m (95%)@ 90% availability (any lat/long) 11.5 m (95%)@ 99.9% availability (global average) VERTICAL 17.7 m (95%)@ 90% availability (any lat/long) 17.7 m (95%) @ 99.9% availability (global average) Availability of Dynamic and Static Time Transfer Accuracy with UE. UEE = 0.8 m rms 15 ns (95%)@90% availability (any lat/long) 15 ns (95%)@ 99.9% availability (global coverage) UEE = 2.6 m rms40 ns (95%)@ 90% availability (any lat/long) 50 ns (95%)@ 99.9% availability (global coverage) Static Time Transfer Threshold=Objec tive 30ns (95%) (a) > 99.9%availability Note: This represents the cumulative threshold/objecti ve achieved by the collective contributions of the space, control, and/or user segments. Availability of position accuracy is dependent on the GPS

HORIZONTAL 11.5 m (95%)@ 90% availability (any lat/long) 11.5 m (95%)@ 99.9% availability (global average) VERTICAL 17.7 m (95%)@ 90% availability (any lat/long) 17.7 m (95%) @ 99.9% availability (global average) Availability of Dynamic and Static Time Transfer Accuracy with UE. UEE =0.8 m rms 15 ns (95%)@90% availability (any lat/long) 15 ns (95%)@ 99.9% availability (global coverage) UEE = 2.6 m rms40 ns (95%)@ 90% availability (any lat/long) 50 ns (95%)@ 99.9% availability (global coverage) Static Time Transfer Threshold=Objec tive 30ns (95%) (a) > 99.9%availability Note: This represents the cumulative threshold/objecti ve achieved by the collective contributions of the space, control, and/or user segments. Availability of position accuracy is dependent on the GPS

HORIZONTAL 11.5 m (95%)@ 90% availability (any lat/long) 11.5 m (95%)@ 99.9% availability (global average) VERTICAL 17.7 m (95%)@ 90% availability (any lat/long) 17.7 m (95%) @ 99.9% availability (global average) Availability of Dynamic and Static Time Transfer Accuracy with UE. UEE = 0.8 mrms 15 ns (95%)@90% availability (any lat/long) 15 ns (95%)@,99.9% availability (global coverage) UEE = 2.6 m rms40 ns (95%)@ 90% availability (any lat/long) 50 ns (95%)@ 99.9% availability (global coverage) Static Time Transfer Threshold=Objec tive 30ns (95%) (a) > 99.9%availability Note: This represents the cumulative threshold/objecti ve achieved by the collective contributions of the space, control, and/or user segments. Availability of position accuracy is dependent on the GPS

| receiver's UEE. Note: Mission: Provide: Positioning, Navigation, and Time Transfer Determination Capability; Military Protection and Operations Capability: and | receiver's UEE. Note: Mission: Provide: Positioning, Navigation, and Time Transfer Determination Capability; Military Protection and Operations | receiver's UEE. Note: Mission: Provide: Positioning, Navigation, and Time Transfer Determination Capability; Military Protection and Operations | | receiver's UEE. Note: Mission: Provide: Positioning, Navigation, and Time Transfer Determination Capability; Military Protection and Operations Capability; and |
|--|---|---|-----|---|
| Constellation | Constellation | Constellation | | Constellation Management. |
| (KPP) - Position and Time / | Management. | Management. | | |
| The GPS III Enterprise shall not transmit Misleading SIS Information (MSI) to the user with a probability greater than 0.0001 per hour. | The GPS III Enterprise shall not transmit Misleading SIS Information (MSI) to the user with a probability greater than 0.0001 per hour. | The GPS III Enterprise shall not transmit Misleading SIS Information (MSI) to the user with a probability greater than 0.0001 per hour. | TBD | At contract delivery (DD250), OCX may not meet Positioning Signal Integrity and Continuity Assurance that relate to MSI. The specified approach is new for OCX (the prior system did not have these requirements). It is technologically possible to modify the integrity monitor but there would be programmatic impacts. |
| (KPP) - Sustainment | The achievement | The achievement | TDD | The exhimut |
| of the Availability of Position Accuracy KPP and Time Transfer Accuracy KPP thresholds satisfies this KPP. | of the Availability of Position Accuracy KPP and Time Transfer Accuracy KPP thresholds satisfies this KPP. | of the Availability of Position Accuracy KPP and Time Transfer Accuracy KPP thresholds satisfies this KPP. | IRD | of the Availability of Position Accuracy KPP and Time Transfer Accuracy KPP thresholds satisfies this KPP. |

| (KPP) - System Survivability | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----|---------------------------|--|
| The System | The System | The System | TBD | The System | |
| Survivability | Survivability | Survivability | | Survivability | |
| KPP is satisfied | KPP is satisfied | KPP is satisfied | | KPP is satisfied | |
| by meeting the | by meeting the | by meeting the | | by meeting the | |
| thresholds of the | thresholds of the | thresholds of the | | thresholds of the | |
| Availability of | Availability of | Availability of | | Availability of | |
| Position | Position | Position | | Position | |
| Accuracy KPP | Accuracy KPP | Accuracy KPP | | Accuracy KPP | |
| (SS and CS); | (SS and CS): | (SS and CS): | | (SS and CS); | |
| Position and | Position and | Position and | | Position and | |
| Time Transfer | Time Transfer | Time Transfer | | Time Transfer | |
| Integrity KPP | Integrity KPP | Integrity KPP | | Integrity KPP | |
| (SS and CS); | (SS and CS); | (SS and CS); | | (SS and CS); | |
| Availability of | Availability of | Availability of | | Availability of | |
| Time Transfer | Time Transfer | Time Transfer | | Time Transfer | |
| Accuracy KPP | Accuracy KPP | Accuracy KPP | | Accuracy KPP | |
| (SS and CS); | (SS and CS); | (SS and CS); | | (SS and CS); | |
| PNT | PNT | PNT | | PNT | |
| Determination | Determination | Determination | | Determination | |
| KPP (User | KPP (User | KPP (User | | KPP (User | |
| Segment); | Segment); | Segment); | | Segment); | |
| Accuracy KPP | Accuracy KPP | Accuracy KPP | | Accuracy KPP | |
| (User Segment); | (User Segment); | (User Segment); | | (User Segment); | |
| System | System | System | | System | |
| Survivability - | Survivability - | Survivability - | | Survivability - | |
| Cybersecurity | Cybersecurity | Cybersecurity | | Cybersecurity | |
| KPP (CS); | KPP (CS); | KPP (CS); | | KPP (CS); | |
| Integrity KPP | Integrity KPP | Integrity KPP | | Integrity KPP | |
| (User Segment); | (User Segment); | (User Segment); | | (User Segment); | |
| Cryptography, | Cryptography, | Cryptography, | | Cryptography, | |
| Security | Security | Security | | Security | |
| Architecture, and | Architecture, and | Architecture, and | | Architecture, and | |
| Key Distribution | Key Distribution | Key Distribution | | Key Distribution | |
| KPP (User | KPP (User | KPP (User | | KPP (User | |
| Segment); and | Segment); and | Segment); and | | Segment); and | |
| External | External | External | | External | |
| Augmentation | Augmentation | Augmentation | | Augmentation | |
| KPP (User | KPP (User | KPP (User | | KPP (User | |
| Table 5.1 OCY | Segment).* See | Segment).* See | | Segment).* See | |
| Table 5-1 OCA | Table 5-1 OCX | Table 5-1 OCX | | Table 5-1 OCA | |
| System | System | System | | System | |
| Survivability - | Survivability - | Survivability - | | Survivability - | |
| (KPP) in | (VDD) in | (VDD) in | | (KDD) in | |
| (INTE) III approved CDD | (KPP) IN | (KPP) In | | (NFF) III approved CDD | |
| for GPS OCY | approved CDD | approved CDD | | for CPS OCY | |
| IUI UFS UCA. | IOP GPS OCX. | IOF GPS OCX. | | IUI UPS UCA. | |

Requirement Reference

GPS OCX CDD dated June 29, 2017.

Note:

This performance baseline is for OCX and was derived from the system-level CDD requirements. The GPS III program will track cost, schedule, and performance separately in its own APB.Performance characteristics System Survivability, Sustainment, and Availability of Time Transfer Accuracy were added in the approved September 27, 2018 APB. The "Performance Demonstrated" entries are dependent on IST 3-1 test results, which are projected to be available post-DD250.

Acquisition Budget Estimate OCX

Total Acquisition Cost

| | | Milestone APB | Curren | t Baseline | Budget Estin | nate PB 2024 | |
|-------------|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|
| Category | Base Year | Objective (BY\$M) | Objective (BY\$M) | Threshold (BY\$M) | BY\$M | TY\$M | Deviation |
| RDT&E | 2017 | 6,030.4 | 6,030.4 | 6,633.4 | 5,993.3 | 6,069.2 | |
| Procurement | 2017 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| MILCON | 2017 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Acq. O&M | 2017 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Total | | 6,030.4 | 6,030.4 | | 5,993.3 | 6,069.2 | |
| PAUC | 2017 | 6,030.400 | 6,030.400 | 6,633.440 | 5,993.300 | 6,069.200 | |
| APUC | 2017 | | | | | | |

Budget Note:

Decrease in FY 2014 RDT&E due to Below Threshold Reprogramming of \$0.8M to pay Cancel Year bill via an approved Upward Obligation Adjustment in May 2017.

Decrease in FY 2021 RDT&E of \$1.6M due to higher Space Force priorities.

Net decrease in FY 2022 RDT&E of \$9.8M due to an increase of a realignment of funds to OCX (\$3.7M) and a decrease due to Small Business Innovative Research (\$13.5M).

Decrease in FY 2023 RDT&E due to Congressional mark of \$74.3M.

Net increase in FY 2024 RDT&E of \$23.6M due to increase of \$24M to fund OCX Baseline disconnect and inflation adjustment (\$0.7M) and a decrease due to higher Space Force priorities (\$1.1M).

Total End Item Quantity

| Quantity Category | Current APB Quantity | Current Estimate Quantity |
|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| Development | 1 | 1 |
| Procurement | 0 | 0 |
| Acq. O&M | | |

Unit Cost OCX

| Current | UCR Baseline and Current Es | timate (Base-Year Dollars) | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|----------|
| Category (\$M) Base Year:2017 | Current UCR Baseline | Current Estimate | % Change |
| Program Acquisition Unit Cost | | | |
| Cost | 6,030.4 | 5,993.3 | |
| Quantity | 1 | 1 | |
| Unit Cost | 6,030.400 | 5,993.300 | -0.62% |
| Average Procurement Unit Cost | | | |
| Cost | | | |
| Quantity | | | |
| Unit Cost | | | |
| Original | UCR Baseline and Current Es | timate (Base-Year Dollars) | |
| Category (\$M) Base Year:2017 | Original UCR Baseline | Current Estimate | % Change |
| | · | · | |
| Program Acquisition Unit Cost | | | |
| Cost | 6,030.4 | 5,993.3 | |
| Quantity | 1 | 1 | |
| Unit Cost | 6,030.400 | 5,993.300 | -0.62% |
| Average Procurement Unit Cost | | | |
| | | | |

Cost

Quantity

Unit Cost

Risks

OCX

Risk and Sensitivity Analysis

Risk and Sensitivity Analysis

Current Procurement Cost (December - 2022)

1. Although technical issues moved the schedule, the program continues to execute within Total Acquisition/PAUC values. No cost risks as this time.

Revised Original Estimate (September - 2018)

1. Total Acquisition Cost - \$6030.4M (BY 2017); PAUC - \$6030.4M; Risks - Minimal efficiency gains in the final testing phase from the implementation of Development Operations (DevOps) and hardware/software obsolescence.

Current Baseline Estimate (September - 2018)

1. Total Acquisition Cost - \$6030.4M (BY 2017); PAUC - \$6030.4M; Risks - Minimal efficiency gains in the final testing phase from the implementation of Development Operations (DevOps) and hardware/software obsolescence.

Significant Schedule Risks

Significant Schedule Risks

Current Estimate (December - 2022)

 Operational assets may not be fully available for the Government's Developmental Test (DT) campaign and resolving issues during DT and may add increased schedule risk. Near term challenges include meeting Integrated System Test (IST) 3-1 Phase 1 needs for ready environments, relevant TOs and test procedures, and available test and operational staff to conduct dry runs and test events. In addition, technical issues and schedule concurrency continue to place pressure on the DD250 delivery date. The government projects DD250 acceptance date of December 2023.

Revised Milestone B (September - 2018)

- 1. Development Operations (DevOps) Adoption.
- 2. Simulation Accreditation.
- 3. Integration/Product test.

Milestone B (November - 2012)

- 1. Information Assurance Requirement.
- 2. Software Development Plan.
- 3. Software Defects.
- 4. Systems Engineering process discipline.

Technologies and Systems Engineering

| Significant Technical Riskss |
|---|
| Current Estimate (December - 2022) |
| Software Qualification. Site Acceptance Testing. Development Testing. |
| Revised Milestone B (September - 2018) |
| Development Operations (DevOps) Adoption. Simulation Accreditation. Integration/Product test. |
| Milestone B (November - 2012) |
| 1. Information Assurance Requirement. |

2. Software Development Plan.
 3. Software Defects.
 4. Systems Engineering process discipline.

Low Rate Initial Production

OCX

There is no LRIP for this program.

Contracts

OCX

This program has no Major Contracts as defined by 10 USC4351(e)(2)(A). The following contract is more than 90% complete and is no longer reporting in the SAR: FA8807-10-C-0001 – Raytheon.

Deliveries and Expenditures

OCX

| Deliveries | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Delivered to Date | Planned to Date | Actual to Date | Total Quantity | Percent Delivered |
| Development | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.00% |
| Production | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00% |
| Total Program Quantity Delivered | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.00% |

Expended and Appropriated (TY \$M)

Years Appropriated to date: 17

Total Years Appropriated Funding (Current Baseline): 19

Percent Years Appropriated: 89.47%

Then-Year Funding Appropriated as Percentage of Total Acquisition Estimate: 96.38%

Then-Year Funding Expended as Percentage of Total Acquisition Estimate: 91.93%

Total Acquisition Cost: \$6,069.2

Operating and Support Costs OCX

O&S Cost Breakdown:

| Category (BY2017\$ Million) | GPS OCX |
|----------------------------------|-----------|
| Unit-Level Manpower | \$232.4 |
| Unit Operations | \$81.0 |
| Maintenance | \$870.8 |
| Sustaining Support | \$219.7 |
| Continued System Improvements | \$926.6 |
| Other | \$5.3 |
| Total | \$2,335.8 |

Cost Estimate Source: Single Best Estimate dated February 24, 2023

| Total Program O&S Cost Compared with Baseline | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|
| | Current Baseline | | | | |
| Base Year: 2017 | Objective (BY\$M) | Threshold (BY\$M) | Current Estimate (BY\$M) | Current Estimate (TY\$M) | Deviation |
| Total O&S | \$2,303.2 | \$2,533.5 | \$2,335.8 | \$3,300.6 | |
| Total Disposal | 0 | 0 | 5.3 | 8.5 | |

OCX

UNCLASSIFIED

O&S Cost Note: The 2022 OCX O&S SBE approved on February 24, 2023 continues to experience fact-of-life changes. This has made some of the February 2022 SBE assumptions overcome by events and will be updated accordingly in future estimates.

O&S costs includes operating, maintaining, and supporting the dedicated Master Control Station (MCS) located at Schriever Space Force Base Colorado and the Alternate MCS (AMCS) located at Vandenberg SFB, California, both of which include connections to the ground antenna and monitoring stations which support the GPS III, GPS III Follow-On (GPS IIIF), and GPS II legacy spacecraft. Also included are the costs of operating, maintaining, and supporting 17 monitoring stations, six controlled by the 50th Space Wing and 11 co-located at National Geo-spatial Intelligence Agency sites. Satellite operations at the MCS include mission planning, mission payload operations, and monitoring of satellite state of health. Monitor stations receive mission payload data and transfer this data to the MCS to ensure spacecraft are operating as desired.

The system to be supported will consist of the MCS, AMCS, Launch and Checkout System, Transition Support Facility, Data Storage and Archive System, GPS System Simulator, Standard Space Trainer software, four ground antennae elements, and 17 remote sites.

The program plans for a 10-year operational period. Manpower assumes a mixture of Space Force personnel performing organic work with assistance from contractor engineers.

Hardware depot maintenance will be 100% supported by Tobyhanna Army Depot while the Organizational Level maintenance will be Contractor Logistics Support (in alignment with operational unit's maintenance structure). The estimate assumes 30% organic sotware maintenance and 70% contractor software maintenance.

Operating and Support Costs - Disposal and Unitized Costs OCX

Annual Unitized O&S Cost Definition and Calculation Relative to Total O&S Cost:

The annual O&S Cost was calculated based on the total BY 2017 cost of \$2,335.8 and divided by the expected service life of 10 years, resulting in an annual O&S Cost of \$233.6 based of this February 2023 Single Best Estimate.

| Sustainment Factors | System Name: OCX | Antecedent System Name: |
|----------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| Quantity to Sustain | 1 | |
| Unit of Measure | System | |
| Unit Expected Service Life | 10 | |

Base Year: 2017

| Annual Unitized O&S Cost by Category Base Year \$ Unit:(\$M) | System Name: OCX | Antecedent System Name: |
|---|------------------|-------------------------|
| Unit-Level Manpower | \$23.2 | |
| Unit Operations | \$8.1 | |
| Maintenance | \$87.1 | |
| Sustaining Support | \$22.0 | |
| Continued System Improvements | \$92.7 | |
| Other | \$0.5 | |
| Total O&S | \$233.6 | \$0.0 |

Disposal/Demilitarization Cost Estimate

| (BY2017\$M) | System Name: OCX | Antecedent System Name: |
|----------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| Total Disposal | \$5.3 | |

| Cost Estimate Source - Disposal | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------|--|
| Туре: | Other | |
| Approval Authority and Date: | PEO 02/24/2023 | |
| Note: | | |
| None | | |
| Disposal Cost Note: | | |
| None | | |
| Antecedent Estimate Assumptions: | | |
| Not Applicable | | |