UNCLASSIFIED



CLEARED For Open Publication

By kempr on Jul 08, 2024

Department of Defense OFFICE OF PREPUBLICATION AND SECURITY REVIEW

Modernized Selected Acquisition Report (MSAR) B-52 Radar Modernization Program (RMP) (B-52 RMP)

FY 2025 President's Budget Effective: December 31, 2023

Defense Acquisition Visibility Environment

Table of Contents

| Common DoD Abbreviations | 3 |
|--------------------------------------|----|
| Program Description | 5 |
| Responsible Office | 6 |
| Executive Summary | 7 |
| Schedule | 9 |
| Performance | 12 |
| Acquisition Budget Estimate | 15 |
| Unit Costs | 17 |
| Life-Cycle Costs | 19 |
| Technologies and Systems Engineering | 22 |
| Performing Activities and Contracts | 23 |
| Production | 25 |
| Deliveries and Expenditures | 26 |
| International Program Aspects | 27 |
| | |

(U) Common DoD Abbreviations

| \$B \$K \$M ACAT Acq O&M ADM APA APB APPN APUC BA BIk BY CAE | Billions of Dollars Thousands of Dollars Millions of Dollars Acquisition Category Acquisition-Related Operations and Maintenance Acquisition Decision Memorandum Additional Performance Attribute Acquisition Program Baseline Appropriation Average Procurement Unit Cost Budget Authority or Budget Activity Block Base Year Component Acquisition Executive |
|---|---|
| CAPE | Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation |
| CARD | Cost Analysis Requirements Description |
| CCE | Component Cost Estimate |
| CCP | Component Cost Position |
| CDD | Capability Development Document |
| CLIN | Contract Line Item Number |
| CPD CY | Capability Production Document Calendar Year or Constant Year |
| DAB | Defense Acquisition Board |
| DAE | Defense Acquisition Executive |
| DAES | Defense Acquisition Executive Summary |
| DAVE | Defense Acquisition Visibility Environment |
| DoD | Department of Defense |
| DSN | Defense Switched Network |
| EMD | Engineering and Manufacturing Development |
| EVM | Earned Value Management |
| FD | Full Deployment |
| FDD | Full-Deployment Decision |
| FMS | Foreign Military Sales |
| FOC | Full Operational Capability |
| FRP | Full-Rate Production |
| FY | Fiscal Year |
| FYDP | Future Years Defense Program |
| ICD ICE | Initial Capabilities Document Independent Cost Estimate |
| Inc | Increment |
| IOC | Initial Operational Capability |
| IT | Information Technology |
| JROC | Joint Requirements Oversight Council |
| KPP | Key Performance Parameter |
| KSA | Key System Attribute |
| | |

| LRIP | Low-Rate Initial Production |
|----------|--|
| MDA | Milestone Decision Authority |
| MDAP | Major Defense Acquisition Program |
| MILCON | Military Construction |
| N/A | Not Applicable |
| 0 | Objective |
| 0&M | Operations and Maintenance |
| 0&S | Operating and Support |
| ORD | Operational Requirements Document |
| OSD | Office of the Secretary of Defense |
| PAUC | Program Acquisition Unit Cost |
| PB | President's Budget |
| PE | Program Element |
| PEO | Program Executive Officer |
| PM | Program Manager |
| POE | Program Office Estimate |
| R&MF | Revolving and Management Funds |
| RDT&E | Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation |
| SAR | Selected Acquisition Report |
| SCP | Service Cost Position |
| Т | Threshold |
| TBD | To Be Determined |
| TY | Then Year |
| U.S. | United States |
| U.S.C | United States Code |
| UCR | Unit Cost Reporting |
| USD(A&S) | Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition and Sustainment) |
| | |

(U) Program Description

Full Name B-52 Radar Modernization Program (RMP)

PNO 574

Lead Component Department of the Air Force

Joint Program No

Adaptive Acquisition Pathway Major Capability Acquisition Short Name B-52 RMP

Milestone Decision Authority Component Acquisition Executive

Program Executive Office Bombers Directorate (AFPEO/BOMBERS)

Acquisition Type Major Defense Acquisition Program

Acquired Systems B-52 RMP

Acquisition Category IB

Acquisition Status Active Acquisition

Mission

The B-52 Radar Modernization Program (RMP) supports nuclear and conventional operations by upgrading or replacing, in whole or in part, the current APQ-166 radar Line Replaceable Units (LRU) on the B-52H aircraft. The APQ-166 system will be increasingly difficult to sustain due to diminished manufacturing sources and obsolescent technologies; the current failure rate of the APQ-166 places long duration missions at risk. This modernization program will encompass the radar antenna array and up to 14 individual LRUs that comprise the entire radar system. The RMP will provide development, production and installation of new components and systems to replace the legacy equipment, which will be installed on all 76 B-52H aircraft. RMP will take advantage of advances in technology and on-going development efforts to acquire, to the maximum extent possible, off-the-shelf components and integrate them into the B-52. The use of new technology will increase both the overall reliability of the radar system and the capabilities for new missions. This Radar Modernization Program will allow the operational command (AF Global Strike Command) to fully utilize the capabilities of the B-52H aircraft to employ an array of weapons and to perform mission-essential navigation and weather avoidance functions. In addition, all the applicable training devices which process radar data or represent the radar subsystem must also be modified and upgraded in conjunction with the aircraft modifications. This upgrade will affect all three Weapon System Trainers (WST), the WST Training Systems Integration Laboratory (SIL), both B-52 Offensive Station Maintenance Trainers (OSMT), and the Bombing-Navigation System Maintenance Trainers (BNSMT).

(U) Responsible Office

Program Executive Officer

Bombers Directorate (AFPEO/BOMBERS) Brig. Gen William S. Rogers william.rogers.4@us.af.mil (primary) (937) 713-2493 (commercial)

Program Manager

B-52 Division Col Scott E. Foreman scott.foreman.1@us.af.mil (primary) (405) 736-2001 (commercial)

(U) Executive Summary

Program Highlights Since Last Report

The B-52H Radar Modernization Program (RMP), referred to hereafter as B-52 RMP, is in the Engineering Manufacturing & Development (EMD) phase and continues to make progress in hardware development and modification. The new radar, a derivative of the AN/APG-79, has now been officially designated the AN/APQ-188.

B-52 RMP is now entering the software development stage to integrate the AN/APQ-188 into the B-52H. The initial drop of software is over 70% complete and there are currently no significant software related issues. Contractor supply chain (parts) delays and material availability constraints created challenges to delivering the Display & Sensor System Processors (DASSP), the brains of the RMP Program and a necessary aircraft component for the Radar Development Lab (RDL) to continue software development. Delayed DASSP deliveries, and other hardware, continue to put pressure on laboratory development and qualification efforts.

The Program inducted the first EMD Test Aircraft for modification with the RMP system and test instrumentation on May 25, 2023, and the modification is over 45% complete. The Program successfully delivered the first radar to the RDL in August 2023 and successfully applied power in December 2023.

The Program discovered material defects with the Radome design. The Program is moving forward with a major redesign and replanning effort and held a Delta Critical Design Review on February 27-29, 2024. Impacts to the flight test Program and Milestone C Decision Point #1 (DP1) (March 2025) are still to be determined, but the Program estimates the first Radome delivery will occur in 4th Quarter FY 2025. The delay should not impact Operational Test or IOC (FY 2027).

The Program expects to complete the following milestones in Calendar Year 2024:

-Delivery of eight additional EMD radar units to support two test aircraft, environmental qualification testing, software integration laboratories, and spares. -The first delivery of a fully operational radar system laboratory expected in May 2024, with system-level developmental laboratory testing planned to begin in June 2024. -Delivery of the first fully modified RMP aircraft beginning of system-level open-air developmental testing by November 2024 at Edwards Air Force Base.

The Program schedule is at the APB Threshold for Milestone C Decision Points #1 (March 2025) and Milestone C Decision Points #2 (September 2025). While there are funding shortfalls in future years, FY 2024 levels are sufficient to execute all requirements, including a potential FY 2024 \$9M SAC-D mark, which, if realized, will be mitigated within the portfolio. The President's Budget for FY 2025 RDT&E ensures the EMD effort is fully funded. Procurement funding in FY 2025 is also fully funded to support LRIP lot 1. However, Procurement shortfalls for FY 2026 and beyond will need to be addressed by Air Force Global Strike Command (AFGSC) in the FY 2026 Budget.

The Program's current FY 2023 Non-Advocate Cost Assessment (NACA) is within APB Objective and Threshold values. However, the FY 2023 POE uses a more detailed set of cost estimating assumption and exceeds the APB Thresholds in Procurement Cost and APUC (12.6%). The Program team believes the FY 2023 POE is the more realistic cost estimate and sent a Program Deviation Report to the MDA on September 19, 2023. The PAUC (10.1%) is slightly above the APB Threshold and is being monitored as a potential breach. The Program team provided an update to the MDA on October 3, 2023, and will continue to manage within current APB constraints. The Program Team and Cost Estimators will review all assumptions in the FY 2024 POE and FY 2024 NACA to ensure a consistent approach.

Defense Cost and Resource Center Cost and Software Data Reporting (CSDR) Compliance Rating: Red: Any outstanding CSDR deliverables greater than 12 months overdue, or formally rejected CSDR deliverables outstanding greater than 30 days overdue.

| Date | Description |
|---------------|---|
| March 2018 | The Joint Requirements Oversight Council approved the Capability Development Document. |
| August 2018 | The Program team completed the System Requirements Review. |
| October 2018 | The Program team completed the System Functional Review. |
| July 2019 | The Prime Contractor completed subsystem supplier selections. |
| October 2020 | The Program team completed the System Preliminary Design Review. |
| June 2021 | The Program entered EMD via the Milestone B Acquisition Decision Memorandum. |
| February 2022 | The Program team completed the System Critical Design Review. |
| March 2023 | The Program team released a Request for Proposal for LRIP. |
| May 2023 | The Contractor team inducted Test Aircraft #1 for Modification. |
| August 2023 | The Contractor team delivered the first EMD Test Radar to the Radar Development Laboratory. |

(U) History of Significant Developments Since Program Inception

(U) Schedule

(U) Schedule Events

| Events | Development APB (Milestone) 6/9/2021 Objective | Development APB (Current) 6/9/2021 Objective / Threshold | | Current Estimate 12/31/2023 | Actual |
|----------|--|---|--|-----------------------------------|--------|
| REDACTED | | | | | |

Notes

This Program's schedule events are CUI and have therefore been redacted from this MSAR. However, it may be noted that changes to the schedule are due to supply chain (parts) delays and material availability constraints for equipment planned for laboratories and the environmental qualification program. The contractor is challenged to deliver DASSP to the Radar Development Laboratory, which continues to put pressure on delivery schedules for equipment destined for laboratories and the qualification program. Boeing is working aggressively to mitigate impacts, and dates for all acquisition events are within APB Threshold and Objective windows.

-- Milestone C Decision Point #1 is for approval to buy the first production lot and comply with Milestone C requirements with the following criteria

-- Manufacturing Readiness Assessment conducted. Manufacturing Readiness Level seven achieved.

-- Hardware maturity demonstrated through:

-- Environmental testing, EM Interference, EM Compatibility, and safety-of-flight testing completed.

-- Hardware interfaces demonstrated in ground testing.

-- Capability to create high-resolution Synthetic Aperture Radar map demonstrated during flight testing.

- -- DT interim technical report and OT periodic report completed.
- -- Statutory documents for Milestone C completed.
- Milestone C Decision Point #2 is for approval of remaining program production with the

UNCLASSIFIED

- following specific entrance criteria: -- Production Readiness Review conducted.
- -- System flight testing conducted and documented in DT interim technical report.
- -- Operational assessment documented in OT periodic report.

Schedule Baseline Deviation Explanation

None

(U) Current Significant Schedule Risks and Risks Identified at Milestones/Decisions

| Event | Date | Description |
|---------|------------|--|
| Current | 12/31/2023 | Title: Display and Sensor System Processor (DASSP) Graphics Processor Unit (GPU) Components Life of Type Buy (LoTB) Risk: IF B-52 RMP is not able to procure Display and Sensor System Processor (DASSP) Graphics Processor Unit (GPU) card components before the supplier runs out of stock, THEN major program milestones including LRIP, FRP, and Operations and Support will be at high risk of schedule slip due to the need to redesign and recertify. Mitigation: 1) Determine way forward (3010 New Start) - Complete, 2) New Start Prior Approval submitted to OSD - Complete, 3) Congressional New Start Approval, 4) Updated RFQ to Curtiss-Wright, 5) RFP to Boeing, 6) Proposal Received from Boeing, 7) Contract Award to procure obsolescent components, and 8) Procurement of obsolescent components. |
| Current | 12/31/2023 | Title: Radome Integrated Test Risk: Independent characterization testing of the Radome and radar is planned to occur. Limited plan for radiating integration testing of a flight represented configuration prior to flight test. IF Radome is not evaluated with Electronic Warfare and radar system, THEN any Radio Frequency issues occurring at Flight Test will delay Procurement Mitigation: 1) Decision for radiate testing prior to flight test - Complete, 2) ID Radome qualification testing site - Complete, 3) RF Interoperability analysis - Complete, 4) Qualification Testing, 5) Receive TG in RSIL - Complete, 6) Receive Radar in RSIL, 7) Receive Radome in RSIL, 8) RSIL ATO, and 9) RSIL Testing Complete. |
| Current | 12/31/2023 | Title: Radar Development Laboratory (RDL) Authority to Operate (ATO) (NOTE: This risk has been realized and is now an Issue.) Risk: Radar Development Laboratory (RDL) with radar bench approved for classified operation. IF the RDL with the radar bench is not approved for classified operations when needed THEN Increment 0.5 software development, integration, and test activities will be delayed. Mitigation: 1) Identify Alternate Authority to approve use of RDL with radar bench - Complete, 2) Coordinate with DCSA to determine minimum information required in ATO package submission - Complete, 3) Attain Boeing concurrence to submit ATO package with minimum information identified by DCSA - Complete, 4) Identify intermediate and final configs that will enable classified processing and what functionality percentage will be accepted for Day One RDL ATO - complete, 5) Receive all hardware for final ATO config - incomplete, 6) Submit final config certification, and 7) DCSA approve. |
| Current | 12/31/2023 | Title: Software Development Risk: The concurrent overlap of capability drops, Source Lines of Code quantity, software complexity, and contractor team experience in |

| | implementing a large-scale software effort increases the probability of delay to complete software development and test. IF software functions are not implemented by planned increments, THEN flight testing will be delayed Mitigation: 1) Software Specification Review - Complete, 2) Software PDR preliminary software design complete - Complete, 3) Software CDR (software development begins) - Complete, 4) Delivery of first SAS for S/W development - Complete, 5) SIL 3 ATO - Complete, 6) RDL ATO with Radar (RDL ATO Risk) - waiting, 7) RSIL receives ATO, 8) Increment .5 Process Review (TRR), 9) .5 delivered to A/C for test, and 10) MS C DP 1 Software Criteria Demonstrated |
|--|--|
|--|--|

(U) Performance

Additional information for this section is provided in the classified annex to this submission.

| (U) Performance Attributes | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------|--|
| REDACTED | | |
| Current Estimate 12/31/2023 | | |
| Demonstrated Performance | | |
| - | | |
| Development APB (Current) | Objective | |
| 6/9/2021 | Threshold | |
| Development APB (Milestone) | Objective | |
| 6/9/2021 | | |
| REDACTED | - I | |
| Current Estimate 12/31/2023 | | |
| Demonstrated Performance - | | |
| Development APB (Current) | Objective | |
| 6/9/2021 | Threshold | |
| Development APB (Milestone) | Objective | |
| 6/9/2021 | | |
| REDACTED | | |
| Current Estimate 12/31/2023 | | |
| Demonstrated Performance - | | |
| Development APB (Current) | Objective | |
| 6/9/2021 | Threshold | |
| Development APB (Milestone) | Objective | |
| 6/9/2021 | | |
| REDACTED | | |
| Current Estimate 12/31/2023 | | |
| Demonstrated Performance | | |

| - | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------|--|
| Development APB | Objective | |
| (Current) | | |
| 6/9/2021 | Threshold | |
| Development APB (Milestone) | Objective | |
| 6/9/2021 | | |
| REDACTED | | |
| Current Estimate 12/31/2023 | | |
| Demonstrated Performance - | | |
| Development APB (Current) | Objective | |
| 6/9/2021 | Threshold | |
| Development APB | Objective | |
| (Milestone) | | |
| 6/9/2021 | | |
| REDACTED | | |
| Current Estimate 12/31/2023 | | |
| Demonstrated Performance | | |
| Development APB (Current) | Objective | |
| 6/9/2021 | Threshold | |
| Development APB (Milestone) | Objective | |
| 6/9/2021 | | |

(U) Requirement Source: Sponsor(s): United States Air Force 1. Capability Development Document, *B-52 RMP CDD* Validated By: Joint Requirements Oversight Council, March 12, 2018

Notes

1. Due to a system data migration error, the Objective and Threshold values as shown are reversed. The Objective MTBCF requirement is 1000 flight hours and the Threshold MTBCF requirement in 300 flight hours.

Performance Deviation Explanation

None

(U) Acquisition Budget Estimate

(U) Total Acquisition Estimates and Quantities

| Category (\$M) Base Year: 2021 | Development APB (Milestone) 6/9/2021 CY\$ obs Objective | Development APB (Current)Current Es(Current)PB 206/9/2021CY\$ obs / TCY\$ obsObjective / Threshold | | 2025 | |
|--------------------------------|---|---|---------|---------|---------|
| RDT&E | 1,157.1 | 1,157.1 | 1,272.8 | 1,250.5 | 1,402.2 |
| Procurement | 885.0 | 885.0 | 973.5 | 976.8* | 1,285.8 |
| Total Acquisition | 2,042.1 | 2,042.1 | - | 2,227.3 | 2,688.0 |
| Program Acquisition Unit Cost | 26.870 | 26.870 | 29.557 | 29.307 | 35.368 |
| Average Procurement Unit Cost | 11.959 | 11.959 | 13.155 | 13.201* | 17.375 |
| Program End-Item Quantity | | | | | |
| Development | 2 | 2 | | 2 | |
| Procurement | 74 | 74 | | 74 | |
| O&M-Acquired | - | - | | 0 | |
| * Pacalina Daviation | | | | | |

* Baseline Deviation

Budget Notes

None

Quantity Notes

None

Cost Baseline Deviation Explanation

| Parameter | Explanation |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Acquisition Cost (Procurement) | The FY 2023 POE identified Procurement cost will exceed APB Threshold. The Program Team believes the FY 2023 POE is the more realistic cost estimate and sent a Program Deviation Report (PDR) to the MDA on September 19, 2023. The Program Team provided an update to the MDA on October 3, 2023 and will continue to manage within current APB constraints. |
| Program Acquisition Unit Cost | None |
| Average Procurement Unit Cost | The FY 2023 POE identified the APUC will exceed APB Threshold (12.83% growth from the APB Objective). The Program Team believes the 2023 POE is the more realistic cost estimate and sent a PDR to the MDA on September 19, 2023. The Program Team provided an update to the MDA on October 3, 2023 and will continue to manage within current APB constraints. |

(U) Risk and Sensitivity Analysis

| Curren | nt Procurement Estimate Risks (12/31/2023) |
|---------|--|
| 1 | Title: Display and Sensor System Processor (DASSP) Graphics Processor Unit (GPU) Components Life of Type Buy (LoTB) Risk: IF B-52 RMP is not able to procure Display and Sensor System Processor (DASSP) Graphics Processor Unit (GPU) card components before the supplier runs out of stock, THEN major program milestones including LRIP, FRP, and Operations and Support will be at high risk of schedule slip due to the need to redesign and recertify. Mitigation: 1) Determine way forward (3010 New Start) - Complete, 2) New Start Prior Approval submitted to OSD - Complete, 3) Congressional New Start Approval, 4) Updated RFQ to Curtiss-Wright, 5) RFP to Boeing, 6) Proposal Received from Boeing, 7) Contract Award to procure obsolescent components, and 8) Procurement of obsolescent components. |
| Currer | nt Baseline Risks (6/9/2021) |
| None | |
| Origina | al Baseline Risks (6/9/2021) |
| None | |

(U) Unit Costs

(U) Current Estimate Compared with Current Baseline

| Category (CY\$M) Base Year: 2021 | Current Baseline 06/09/2021 | Current Estimate PB 2025 | % Change |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|
| Program Acquisition Unit Cost | | | |
| Acquisition Cost | 2,042.1 | 2,227.3 | |
| Program Quantity | 76 | 76 | |
| PAUC | 26.870 | 29.307 | 9.07% |
| Average Procurement Unit Cost | | | |
| Procurement Cost | 885.0 | 976.8 | |
| Procurement Quantity | 74 | 74 | |
| APUC | 11.959 | 13.201 | 10.38% |

(U) Current Estimate Compared with Original Baseline

| Category (CY\$M) Base Year: 2021 | Original Baseline 06/09/2021 | Current Estimate PB 2025 | % Change | | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|--|--|--|
| Program Acquisition Unit Cost | | | | | | |
| Acquisition Cost | 2,042.1 | 2,227.3 | | | | |
| Program Quantity | 76 | 76 | | | | |
| PAUC | 26.870 | 29.307 | 9.07% | | | |
| Average Procurement Unit Cost | | | | | | |
| Procurement Cost | 885.0 | 976.8 | | | | |
| Procurement Quantity | 74 | 74 | | | | |
| APUC | 11.959 | 13.201 | 10.38% | | | |

(U) Cost Growth Details

Impacts of Schedule Changes on Unit Cost

Not Applicable.

Impacts of Performance Changes on Unit Cost

Not Applicable.

Actions taken or Proposed to Control Future Cost Growth

Not Applicable.

Status of Each Major Contract and Significant Factors Contributing to Cost and Schedule Variance; Projected Effects on Future Program Costs

See Contracts section.

Notes

The FY 2023 POE identified the APUC will exceed APB Threshold (12.83%). The program team believes the FY 2023 POE is the more realistic cost estimate and sent a Program Deviation Report to the Milestone Decision Authority on September 19, 2023. The program team provided an update to the MDA on October 3, 2023 and will continue to manage within current constraints as Red on Cost. FY 2023 POE increased the PAUC to 10.19%, slightly over the APB Threshold. The program team is monitoring PAUC as a potential breach because small downward changes of RDT&E cost estimates and EMD execution could potentially lower PAUC below threshold. PAUC will be reevaluated with the FY 2024 NACA and FY 2024 POE.

(U) Life-Cycle Costs

(U) Operating and Support and Disposal Cost Estimates Compared with Baseline

| Category (\$M) Base Year: 2021 | Development APB (Milestone) 6/9/2021 CY\$ obs Objective | Development APB (Current) 6/9/2021 CY\$ obs Objective / Threshold | | Current I CY\$ obs / | Estimate / TY\$ obs |
|--------------------------------|--|---|-------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| Total O&S | 391.8 | 391.8 | 431.0 | 383.8 | 638.4 |
| Total Disposal | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ |

(U) Current Cost Estimate Sources

Operating and Support Cost

Type: Program Office Estimate Approved by: AFLCMC/FZC, August 17, 2023

Disposal/Demilitarization Cost

Type: Program Office Estimate Approved by: AFLCMC/FZC, August 17, 2023

Operating and Support Baseline Deviation Explanation

None

Cost Notes

Draft Life Cycle Sustainment Plan approved at Milestone B

- -- Two Level Maintenance
- -- Interim Contractor Support until Organic Depot Maintenance capability
- -- Depot Source of Repair completed in January 2021.
- -- Hardware at Warner Robbins Air Logistics Center (ALC)
- -- Software as Oklahoma City ALC
- -- Manpower: No expected crew manpower increase
- -- Supply: Planning organic Sustaining Support and Initial Spares evaluated via Readiness Modeling

-- Training: Contractor will provide initial training. Primary systems updated via Air Force Global Strike training systems plan

-- Support Equipment will utilize existing common equipment to max extent possible

O&S and Disposal Cost Sources: For Programs with an O&S Cost estimate or Disposal Cost estimate the O&S Cost Source and Disposal Cost Source listed in the MSAR are inaccurate due to a system limitation. See MSAR Supplement for corrected source(s).

(U) Operating and Support Variance with Prior Estimate

| (CY\$M) Base Year: 2021 | Estimate | |
|-----------------------------------|----------|---|
| Prior Estimate (12/31/2022) | 441.9 | |
| Current Estimate | 383.8 | |
| | | |
| Category | Variance | Explanation |
| Unit-Level Manpower | 0.0 | |
| Unit Operations | 0.0 | |
| Maintenance | -44.4 | Overall decrease due to schedule shift to one year delay. and additional year of Interim Contractor Support (added to Procurement cost estimate). |
| Sustaining Support | -11.1 | Overall decrease due to schedule shift to one year delay. and additional year of Interim Contractor Support (added to Procurement cost estimate). |
| Continuing System Improvements | -2.6 | Overall decrease due to schedule shift to one year delay. and additional year of Interim Contractor Support (added to Procurement cost estimate). |
| Other | -0.1 | Application of FY 2025 indices. |
| | | |
| Not Categorized | -0.0 | |

(U) Operating and Support Cost Element Structure Estimates by Acquired System

| (CY\$M) Base Year: 2021 | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| System | Unit-Level Manpower | Unit Operations | Maintenance | Sustaining Support | Continuing System Improvements | Other | Total |
| B-52 RMP | 0.0 | 0.0 | 260.6 | 61.0 | 62.2 | 0.0 | 383.8 |
| Program | 0.0 | 0.0 | 260.6 | 61.0 | 62.2 | 0.0 | 383.8 |

(U) Annual Operating and Support Costs per Unit Compared with Antecedent System

| (CY\$M) Base Year: 2021 | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| System | Unit-Level Manpower | Unit Operations | Maintenance | Sustaining Support | Continuing System Improvements | Other | Total |
| B-52 RMP | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |

(U) Operating and Support Cost Estimate Assumptions

| System | Quantity to Sustain | Unit Expected Service Life (Years) | Unit of Measure | Fiscal Years Operational |
|----------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| B-52 RMP | 74 | 20.0 | Total Aircraft Inventory (TAI) | 2030 - 2050 |

Additional O&S Estimate Assumptions

None

Antecedent Estimate Assumptions

None

O&S Annual Cost Calculation Memo

There is no identified Antecedent System for this Program.

(U) Technologies and Systems Engineering

(U) Current Significant Technical Risks and Risks Identified at Milestones/Decisions

| Event | Date | Description |
|---------|------------|--|
| Current | 12/31/2023 | No Technology development risks identified. The program Acquisition Strategy is predicated on the reuse of an existing radar system. |

(U) Performing Activities and Contracts

(U) External Government Activities

None

(U) Contracts and Efforts

| Contract Title | Contract Number / Effort | Contractor | Phase | | | |
|---------------------------|---|----------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| B-52 RMP EMD | FA862819D1000 | THE BOEING COMPANY | Development | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| · · · | ification, Price, Quantity and Pe | | | | | |
| Contract Number: | FA862819D1000 | Order Number: | FA862821F1006 | | | |
| Contract Title: | B-52 RMP EMD | Strategy: | FAR 15: Negotiated Contracts | | | |
| CAGE: | 1N929 - THE BOEING COMPANY | Contracting Office: | AFLCMC/WBD - B-52 Division | | | |
| City, State/Province: | OKLAHOMA CITY, OK | | | | | |
| Effort Number: | - | Supported Phase: | Development | | | |
| Туре: | Cost Plus Incentive Fee (Cost Based) | Award Date: | June 14, 2021 | | | |
| Latest Modification Date: | - | Definitization Date: | January 31, 2022 | | | |
| Latest Modification No.: | - | Work Start Date: | June 15, 2021 | | | |
| Technical Data Rights: | - | | | | | |
| Notes: | Target Price Change Explanation The EMD Undefinitzed Contract Action (UCA) was awarded with a total Not To Exceed (NTE) price of \$704.7M. The scope of this effort is to develop, build, integrate, install, test and verify the Bomber Modernized Radar System satisfies all operational and derived requirements, support production or deployment decisions, and establish the initial product baseline for all configuration items. However, on January 31, 2022, the EMD UCA definitization contract mod was awarded at a total price of \$523.4M. Shortly after, on February 16, 2022, Engineering Change Proposal (ECP) A was awarded as a Undefinitzed Change Order (UCO), with an NTE price of \$165M and on March 10, 2022, ECP C was awarded as a UCO with an NTE price of \$37,960,000. Additionally, due to degrading EVM cost performance \$6.5M in supplier overruns have been awarded. | | | | | |
| | General Variance Explanation Due to ongoing and frequent errors, Defense Contract Management Agency has decertified the contractor's EVM system. The reported EVM variance data is directly pulled from the Contractor's system. | | | | | |
| | The full EMD baseline was delivered in the March 2022 Integrated Program Management Report, as a result of definitizing the EMD UCA on January 31, 2022, which is why EVM reporting started in the March 2022. Although Boeing baselined their schedule on January 17, 2023, there are still some unbaselined costs that are erroneously included in the cumulative Actual Cost of Work Performed. Removing these costs typically results in a more accurate Cost Performance Index (CPI). Currently, cumulative Schedule Performance Index is 88% and CPI is 82%, due to Boeing working | | | | | |
| ming Activities and | UNCLASSIE | IFD | 23 | | | |

| | | pc | | r the upcoming m | ontrio. | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| Initial Pric Target / | • • | | ice (TY\$M) / Ceiling | | npletion (TY\$M) tor / PM | Initial Quantity | Current Quantity | Delivered Quantity |
| 523.6 | 523.6 | 738.7 | 738.7 | 730.9 | 738.7 | 2 | 2 | - |
| Work Cor | mpleted (%) |): 49 | 9.66% | | | | | |
| Cost Vari | iance (TY\$I | M): -7 | 9.3 | | | | | |
| Schedule | e Variance (| TY\$M) : -3 | 8.9 | | | | | |

through a baseline reconciliation with major subcontractors and plan updating accurate performance in the upcoming months.

Factors Contributing to Cost Variance and Projected Effects on Program Costs

The unfavorable Cost Variance is due to Boeing working through a baseline reconciliation with major subcontractors and plan updating accurate performance in the upcoming months. Due to Supply chain (parts) delays and material availability constraints, the contractor is challenged to deliver the Display & Sensor System Processors (DASSP), the brains of the RMP program, and a necessary aircraft component for the Radar Development Lab to continue software development.

Factors Contributing to Schedule Variance and Projected Effects on Program Schedule

The unfavorable Schedule Variance is due to Boeing working through a baseline reconciliation with major subcontractors and plan updating accurate performance in the upcoming months. Delayed DASSP deliveries, and other hardware, continue to put pressure on laboratory development and qualification efforts.

(U) Production

(U) Low-Rate Initial Production

| | Original LRIP Determination | Current LRIP Determination |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| Total LRIP Quantity | 28 | 28 |
| Date | 6/10/2021 | 3/24/2023 |
| Reference | Milestone B ADM | LRIP Request for Proposal Release approval by the MDA |
| LRIP Period | FY 2024 - 2026 | FY 2025 - 2028 |
| Total Procurement Quantity | 74 | 74 |
| LRIP Percentage of Total | 37.8% | 37.8% |

Rationale if LRIP Quantity Exceeds 10% of Total Procurement Quantity (Current Determination)

The MDA approval added an update to the LRIP Incentive Fee Plan, whereby:

Cost: 100% of the negotiated fee will be allocated to cost.

Schedule: An additional pool of incentive dollars (amount to be determined during negotiation) will be used to incentivize the first six kit deliveries.

LRIP Notes

The program will spilt the Milestone C event into two sperate decision points. The reason for this split is to enable procurement of the initial lot in time to support production line stand-up required to meet the FY 2026 IOC date.

The program would need to purchase long-lead items in FY 2024 to meet the number if assets required by the IOC date. The two Milestone C decision points allow the use of procurement funds in support of the program timeline and also mitigate risk.

The LRIP quantity increased from 11 aircraft (15% of total quantities) to 28 aircraft (38% of total quantities). This is due to elimination of AP. In the prior approval Acquisition Strategy, the inclusion of AP in the production strategy enabled Lot 2 procurement to occur after the FRP decision.

(U) Deliveries and Expenditures

(U) Acquisition Funding

| | Total Estimate | Actual to Date | Actual, Percent Complete |
|--------------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------------|
| Years Appropriated | - | - | - |
| Appropriations (TY, \$M) | 2,688.0 | 2,688.0 | 100.0% |
| Expenditures (TY, \$M) | 2,688.0 | 773.5 | 28.8% |

(U) End Items Delivered

| | Total Required | Planned to Date | Actual to Date | Actual, Percent Complete |
|-------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| Development | 2 | | | |
| Procurement | 74 | | | |
| Total | 76 | - | - | - |

Notes

The Development quantity identified in the table of two is for the EMD Test aircraft modifications.

(U) International Program Aspects

General Memo

Not Applicable

Exportability and Business Issues

Not Applicable

Is design for international exportability No Industry/Partner Exportability Cost-Sharing? No planned? If not, has the MDA approved an Not Applicable exportability waiver for a U.S.-only design?

Program Protection: Technology Security and Foreign Disclosure Issues

Not Applicable

(U) Agreements

No International Agreements have been defined for B-52 RMP

UNCLASSIFIED



Modernized Selected Acquisition Report Supplement

B-52 Radar Modernization Program (RMP) (B-52 RMP)

FY 2025 President's Budget As of: December 31, 2023

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

MSAR Supplement Sections

Program Description

Program Use of the Adaptive Acquisition Framework

Technologies and Systems Engineering

Funding Sources (Acquisition)

Funding Sources (Operating and Support)

Acquisition Estimate and Quantity Summary

Annual Acquisition Estimates by Appropriation Account

Acquired System Annual End-Item Quantities by Appropriation Account

Nuclear Costs

Operational Fielding Plan

O&S Independent Cost Estimate

Annual Operating and Support Estimates by Cost Element

Program Description

Full Name B-52 Radar Modernization Program (RMP)

PNO 574

AAF Pathway MCA

Acquired Systems B-52 RMP Short Name B-52 RMP

Lead Component Air Force

Acquisition Type MDAP

Related Programs

| Full Name | PNO | Pathway | Туре | ACAT/ BCAT | Acquisition Status | Costs i Acq | |
|-----------|-----|---------|------|---------------|-----------------------|----------------|--|
| | | | | | | | |

Program Use of the Adaptive Acquisition Framework

The B-52 Radar Modernization Program (RMP) supports nuclear and conventional operations by replacing the legacy, unsupportable APQ-166 radar system with the new AN/APQ-188 radar system on B-52H aircraft. The APQ-166 system will be increasingly difficult to sustain due to diminished manufacturing sources and obsolescent technologies; the current failure rate of the APQ-166 places long-duration missions at risk. This modernization program will encompass the radar antenna array and up to 14 individual LRUs that comprise the entire radar system. Development, production and installation of new components and systems to replace the legacy equipment; to be installed on all 76 B-52H aircraft. RMP will take advantage of advances in technology and ongoing development efforts to acquire, to the maximum extent possible, off-the-shelf components and integrate them into the B-52. The use of new technology will increase both the overall reliability of the radar system and the capabilities for new missions. This Radar Modernization Program will allow the operational command (AF Global Strike Command) to fully utilize the capabilities of the B-52H aircraft to employ an array of weapons and to perform mission-essential navigation and weather avoidance functions. In addition, all the applicable training devices which process radar data or represent the radar subsystem must also be modified and upgraded in conjunction with the aircraft modifications.

This upgrade will affect the Bombing-Navigation System Maintenance Trainers (BNSMTs), a desktop trainer, as well as the Mission Employment Trainer (MET), and a Family of Systems (FoS) concept that includes Handheld/Wearable and Point-of-Use Devices, Medium-Fidelity Deployable Devices and High-Fidelity Devices covering the entire training spectrum. This program also upgrades one B-52 Software Integration Lab (SIL) with radar functionality, and builds a new Radar Development Lab and a new Radar SIL.

Technologies and Systems Engineering

B-52 Radar Modernization Program (RMP)

Major Software Efforts

| Title | Status | Fielding Date | Description |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---|
| RMP Software | Development | · · | Software for the Radar and the Display & Sensor System Processor (DASSP) \$108.2M |

Major Engineering Changes

| | Original Need | | |
|-----------|---------------|---------------|---|
| Title | Date | Fielding Date | Description, Rationale and Program Impacts |
| RMP ECP-A | Nov 2023 | | Changes from pre-EMD phase drove updated EMD proposals from Boeing and suppliers for missed scope. |

Funding Sources (Acquisition)

Acquisition Funding Notes

| Category | Account | ва | Line Item | Program Element | RDT&E Project | Shared | Sunk |
|-------------|---------|----|--------------------------------------|--------------------|---|--------|------|
| RDT&E | 3600F | 07 | 0101113F - B-52 Squadrons | 0101113F | 675056 - B-52 Radar Modernization Program (RMP) | | |
| Procurement | 3010F | 05 | B05200 - B-52 | 0101113F | - | x | |
| Procurement | 3010F | 06 | 000999 - Initial Spares/Repair Parts | 0101113F | - | x | |
| Procurement | 3010F | 07 | 000000 - COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 0101113F | - | x | |

UNCLASSIFIED

Funding Sources (Operating and Support)

Note: Budget lines fund activites executed by the Program Office or Sustainment Office.

Operating and Support Funding Notes

The program is not receiving any O&S funding for the FY 2025 PB or during the current FYDP.

| | | | | Program | | | | |
|------------|---------|----|-----------|---------|---------------|--------|------|--|
| Category A | Account | BA | Line Item | Element | RDT&E Project | Shared | Sunk | |

Acquisition Estimate and Quantity Summary

B-52 Radar Modernization Program (RMP)

| Acquisiton Estimate | S | Current Base Year | Original Base Year | Report Fiscal Year |
|---------------------|----------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| Category PB 2025 | TY (\$M) | CY2021 (\$M) | CY2021 (\$M) | CY2024 (\$M) |
| RDT&E | 1,402.2 | 1,250.5 | 1,250.5 | 1,434.5 |
| Procurement | 1,285.8 | 976.8 | 976.8 | 1,120.6 |
| MILCON | - | - | - | - |
| O&M | - | - | - | - |
| | | | | |
| Total Acquisition | 2,688.0 | 2,227.3 | 2,227.3 | 2,555.2 |
| PAUC | 35.368 | 29.307 | 29.307 | 33.620 |
| APUC | 17.375 | 13.201 | 13.201 | 15.144 |

Acquisiton End-Item Quantities

| System | PB 2025 | Development | Procurement |
|----------|---------|-------------|-------------|
| B-52 RMP | | 2 | 74 |
| Total | | 2 | 74 |

Unit Description

A unit consists of one radar modernization kit.

Current and Future Years Defense Program Summary, TY(\$M)

| | | | | | | | | 10 | |
|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------|---------|
| Appropriation | Prior | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | 2029 | Complete | Total |
| RDT&E | 797.1 | 204.3 | 179.8 | 143.2 | 64.2 | 9.7 | 3.9 | - | 1,402.2 |
| Procurement | - | - | 129.6 | 132.6 | 208.5 | 206.1 | 170.2 | 438.9 | 1,285.8 |
| MILCON | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| O&M | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| PB 2025 Total | 797.1 | 204.3 | 309.3 | 275.8 | 272.6 | 215.9 | 174.1 | 438.9 | 2,688.0 |

Та

UNCLASSIFIED

Annual Acquisition Estimates by Appropriation Account (Aligned to Budget Position: PB 2025)

| Source | for TY\$-CY\$ Conversion: | SAF/FMCE Raw and Weighted Inflation | Indices for DAF Accour | nts: 23 Feb 202 | 24 | |
|----------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| | | 3600F - Research, Developme | nt, Test & Eval, A | F | | |
| fiscal year | | | Other/ Unallocated | Total TY(\$M) | Weighted Rate | Total CY2021 (\$M) |
| Total | | | 1,402.2 | 1,402.2 | - | 1,250.5 |
| 2017 | | | 4.300 | 4.3 | 0.934669 | 4.6 |
| 2018 | | | 18.511 | 18.5 | 0.954318 | 19.4 |
| 2019 | | | 38.836 | 38.8 | 0.972059 | 40.0 |
| 2020 | | | 122.279 | 122.3 | 0.997060 | 122.6 |
| 2021 | | | 177.919 | 177.9 | 1.043904 | 170.4 |
| 2022 | | | 188.016 | 188.0 | 1.099905 | 170.9 |
| 2023 | | | 247.201 | 247.2 | 1.138999 | 217.0 |
| 2024 | | | 204.349 | 204.3 | 1.168010 | 175.0 |
| 2025 | | | 179.765 | 179.8 | 1.193076 | 150.7 |
| 2026 | | | 143.220 | 143.2 | 1.218131 | 117.6 |
| 2027 | | | 64.161 | 64.2 | 1.243712 | 51.6 |
| 2028 | | | 9.734 | 9.7 | 1.269830 | 7.7 |
| 2029 | | | 3.920 | 3.9 | 1.296496 | 3.0 |

UNCLASSIFIED

Annual Acquisition Estimates by Appropriation Account (Aligned to Budget Position: PB 2025)

| Source | e for TY\$-CY\$ C | Conversion: | SAF/FMCE Ra | w and Weighted | Inflation Indice | s for DAF Accou | ints: 23 Feb 202 | 24 | |
|----------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | 3010F | - Aircraft Pr | ocurement, | Air Force | | | |
| fiscal year | End Item Recurring Flyaway | Non-End Item Recurring Flyaway | Non- Recurring Flyaway | Initial Spares | Depot Activation | Other/ Unallocated | Total TY(\$M) | Weighted Rate | Total CY2021 (\$M) |
| Total | 593.5 | 241.6 | 6.9 | 84.2 | 162.7 | 196.9 | 1,285.8 | - | 976.8 |
| 2017 | | | | | | | - | 0.962613 | - |
| 2018 | | | | | | | - | 0.990675 | - |
| 2019 | | | | | | | - | 1.025045 | - |
| 2020 | | | | | | | - | 1.068388 | - |
| 2021 | | | | | | | - | 1.114107 | - |
| 2022 | | | | | | | - | 1.150857 | - |
| 2023 | | | | | | | - | 1.179250 | - |
| 2024 | | | | | | | - | 1.203016 | - |
| 2025 | 86.680 | 11.645 | | 12.245 | | 18.980 | 129.6 | 1.228405 | 105.5 |
| 2026 | 69.750 | 9.650 | | 9.592 | 23.973 | 19.606 | 132.6 | 1.254202 | 105.7 |
| 2027 | 39.550 | 47.949 | 6.910 | 8.469 | 78.866 | 26.738 | 208.5 | 1.280540 | 162.8 |
| 2028 | 113.064 | 28.485 | | 14.555 | 20.416 | 29.624 | 206.1 | 1.307431 | 157.7 |
| 2029 | 82.500 | 20.540 | | 11.351 | 20.742 | 35.028 | 170.2 | 1.334888 | 127.5 |
| 2030 | 120.060 | 41.916 | | 16.610 | 16.950 | 36.482 | 232.0 | 1.362920 | 170.2 |
| 2031 | 81.880 | 29.908 | | 11.328 | 0.583 | 27.907 | 151.6 | 1.391541 | 108.9 |
| 2032 | | 30.600 | | | 0.597 | 1.425 | 32.6 | 1.420764 | 23.0 |
| 2033 | | 20.870 | | | 0.611 | 1.133 | 22.6 | 1.450600 | 15.6 |

Acquired System Annual End-Item Quantities by Appropriation Account

(Aligned to Budget Position: PB 2025)

| 3 | 3600F - Research, Development, Test & Eval, AF | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|--|--|--|-------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | |
| fiscal year | B-52 RMP | | | Total | | | | | |
| Total | 2 | | | | 2 | | | | |
| Undistributed | 2 | | | | 2 | | | | |

Acquired System Annual End-Item Quantities by Appropriation Account

(Aligned to Budget Position: PB 2025)

| 3010F - Aircraft Procurement, Air Force | | | | |
|---|----------|-------|--|--|
| fiscal year | B-52 RMP | Total | | |
| Total | 74 | 74 | | |
| Undistributed | | - | | |
| 2025 | 11 | 11 | | |
| 2026 | 17 | 17 | | |
| 2027 | 17 | 17 | | |
| 2028 | 17 | 17 | | |
| 2029 | 12 | 12 | | |

Nuclear Costs

B-52 Radar Modernization Program (RMP)

Program's Use of Department of Energy Resources None

Operational Fielding Plan

B-52 Radar Modernization Program (RMP)

System: B-52 RMP

Fielding and Inventory Notes

74 B-52H aircraft will be modified during Planned Depot Maintenance (PDM) at Tinker Air Force Base, Oklahoma with kits procured and delivered from the Prime Contractor, Boeing. Installations will begin in FY 2027 with 11 kits in Lot 1. Installation of Lots 2 - 5 will continue at the PDM rate of 17 kits per year through FY 2031.

| fiscal year | Store | Field | Expend/Loss | Decommission | Inventory |
|----------------|-------|-------|-------------|--------------|-----------|
| 2023 | | | | | - |
| 2024 | | | | | - |
| 2025 | | | | | - |
| 2026 | | | | | - |
| 2027 | | 11 | | | 11 |
| 2028 | | 17 | | | 28 |
| 2029 | | 17 | | | 45 |

B-52 RMP Fielding Plan and Inventory

O&S Independent Cost Estimate

B-52 Radar Modernization Program (RMP)

Independent and Current Cost Estimate Comparison

| Category | CY2021 (\$M) | Independent Cost Estimate 5/19/2021 | Current Estimate 8/17/2023 | Variance with ICE (%) |
|-------------------------------|--------------|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Unit-Level Man | power | | - | - |
| Unit Operations | 6 | | - | - |
| Maintenance | | 219.0 | 260.6 | 19% |
| Sustaining Support | | 85.6 | 61.0 | -29% |
| Continued System Improvements | | 68.0 | 62.2 | -9% |
| Other | | | - | - |
| Total O&S | | 372.6 | 383.8 | 3% |

Independent Cost Estimate Source

| Event: | Milestone B |
|--------------|--|
| Туре: | Independent Cost Estimate |
| Approved by: | OSD Cost Assessment & Program Evaluation, May 19, 2021 |
| Note: | Milestone B CAPE ICE did not break out the O&S Costs into CAPE categories. |

Current Cost Estimate Source

Type:Program Office EstimateApproved by:Jeremy Mitchell, Chief, Cost and Economics Division (AFLCMC/FZC), August 17, 2023

Cost Estimate Variance Explanation

The minimal cost estimate variance between the FY 2021 Milestone B ICE and the FY 2023 POE is based on differing methodologies, updated indices, rates, phasing adjustments, etc.

Annual Operating and Support Estimates by Cost Element

B-52 Radar Modernization Program (RMP)

System: B-52 RMP

Source for TY-CY Conversion: F

Formal OSD indices FY 2024 PB

| Operating and Support Cost Elements | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|--|-------|-----------------------|
| fiscal year | 1.0 Unit- Level Manpower | 2.0 Unit Operations | 3.0 Maintenance | 4.0 Sustaining Support | 5.0 Continuing System Improvements | Other | Total CY2021 (\$M) |
| Total | - | - | 260.6 | 61.0 | 62.2 | | - 383.8 |
| 2030 | | | 3.284 | 0.129 | | | 3.4 |
| 2031 | | | 7.696 | 0.240 | | | 7.9 |
| 2032 | | | 12.910 | 3.140 | 3.214 | | 19.3 |
| 2033 | | | 12.935 | 3.146 | 3.220 | | 19.3 |
| 2034 | | | 12.960 | 3.151 | 3.227 | | 19.3 |
| 2035 | | | 12.986 | 3.157 | 3.233 | | 19.4 |
| 2036 | | | 13.011 | 3.162 | 3.239 | | 19.4 |
| 2037 | | | 13.037 | 3.168 | 3.246 | | 19.5 |
| 2038 | | | 13.062 | 3.174 | 3.252 | | 19.5 |
| 2039 | | | 13.088 | 3.179 | 3.258 | | 19.5 |
| 2040 | | | 13.113 | 3.185 | 3.265 | | 19.6 |
| 2041 | | | 13.139 | 3.191 | 3.271 | | 19.6 |
| 2042 | | | 13.165 | 3.196 | 3.277 | | 19.6 |
| 2043 | | | 13.191 | 3.202 | 3.284 | | 19.7 |
| 2044 | | | 13.217 | 3.208 | 3.290 | | 19.7 |
| 2045 | | | 13.242 | 3.213 | 3.297 | | 19.8 |
| 2046 | | | 13.268 | 3.219 | 3.303 | | 19.8 |
| 2047 | | | 13.294 | 3.225 | 3.310 | | 19.8 |
| 2048 | | | 13.320 | 3.231 | 3.316 | | 19.9 |
| 2049 | | | 13.346 | 3.236 | 3.323 | | 19.9 |
| 2050 | | | 13.373 | 3.242 | 3.329 | | 19.9 |