December 26, 2005 I-05/016965 ES-4993

TO: <u>Ryan Henry</u> Larry DiRita

FROM: Donald Rumsfeld **D**.

SUBJECT: Briefing for Intellectuals and Academics on the QDR

I think we ought to think about getting a big group of intellectuals and academics in, and tell them what we are doing on the QDR.

DHR.ss 122605-20

7

Please Respond By 01/24/06

TOUO

**DSD 00185-06** 

#### FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

### **INFO MEMO**

FOR: SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

FROM: Ryan Henry, PD Under Secretary of Defense for Policy WOWALL JAN 0 4 2006

DSD

13.1

USD(P) 4 JAN 0 5 2006

2:22

I-05/016905-ES ES-4993

SUBJECT Briefing for Intellectuals and Academics on the QDR

- In your recent memo, you suggested we get a group of intellectuals and academics in and tell them what we are doing on the QDR.
- I think that's an excellent idea. On Friday, 6 January, 1 will brief 23 members of the Defense Policy, Science and Business Boards in response to your suggestion.
- o TAB A lists the Board members who will attend the briefing here in the Pentagon.

COORDINATION: None

Prepared by: Reed Grabowski, Col USMCR, Military Assistant for PDUSD P (b)(6)

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY 05-0 1-06 050 001 85-06

Defense Policy Board Members Ms. Victoria Clarke Hon Newt Gingrich GEN Jack Keane Dr. James Schlesinger Mr. Chris Williams Dr. Ruth Wedgwood Gov Pete Wilson

÷.

Defense Science Board Members Dr. Bill Schneider Dr. Bill Graham ADM Bill Studeman GEN Bill Hartzog Mr. Rich Haver Mr. Larry Lynn Dr. Bob Hermann Mr. Bob Nesbit Dr. Johnny Foster GEN James McCarthy Mr. Vince Vitto

Defense Business Board Members Mr. Denis Bovin Ms. Madelyn Jennings Mr. Bob Hale Mr. Michael Bayer

At the request of Mr. Henry MG Bob Scales ļ

January 05, 2006

TO:	President George W. Bush
FROM	Donald Rumsfeld
SUBJECT:	Visit to Pentagon

Mr. President--

ALTERNA AND AND

Thanks for coming over to the Pentagon yesterday.

You'll be interested to know that after the meeting was over, one of the three-stars in the room said, "Given the fact that the Global **War** on Terror is a test of wills, I am darn glad that that man is President, because he has the will."

Respectfully,

DHR.dh 010505-04

SJa ab

OSD 00223-06

FOUO 11-L-0559/OSD/55413

January 05, 2006

**OSD 00224-06** 

TO: Stephen J. Hadley

CC: The Honorable Dr. Condoleezza Rice

FROM Donald Rumsfeld DA,

## SUBJECT: Invitation to Washington for Toledo

I really do think the President ought to invite Toledo to Washington, not for a state dinner, but for a meeting. He has been solid; he is under enormous pressure from Chavez, Castro; he has trouble with Evo Morales; he could use a boost. He is leaving office, but he has been solid.

Let me know what I can do to help.

Thanks.

DHR.35 010406-28 Peru

5 Jan 06

## FOUO 11-L-0559/OSD/55414

And a state of the state of the

113 JUL - 2 21 1: 34 NOV 1 0 2005

TO: Jim Haynes

FROM: Donald Rumsfeld

SUBJECT: Ensign Amendment

Please read the attached Ensign Amendment and tell me where you think, and where we ought to be standing on it.

Thanks.

6. 2

Attach: 11/8/05 ASD LA memo to SecDef

DHR.ss 110905-11

Please Respond By November 22,2005

O NNOS

370.61

FOUO 11-L-0559/OSD/55415 OSD 00309-06

## UNCLASSIFIED

## **INFO MEMO**

November 08,2005 7:30 PM

## FOR: SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

FROM: Daniel R. Stanley, Assistant Secretary of Defense for Legislative Affairs

SUBJECT: National Defense Authorization Act Amendments

- You asked to see the amendments pending consideration in the Senate. The agreed list is divided 12 Majority and **12** Minority. Submitted or potential amendments are listed below.
- We have not received the language of all amendments. Those underlined **are** tabbed.

## Majority:

- Train and Equip: to build the Partnership Security Capacity of Foreign military and Security forces; agreed on by voice vote -Inhofe (R-OK) (TAB-8)
- <u>To establish Retirement Benefits for workers at Rocky Flats</u> <u>Environmental Technology Site: a pension issue unrelated to</u> <u>DoD; defeated 38-53 -</u> Allard (R-CO) (TAB-1)
- To create uniform standards for interrogations and urohibition on <u>cruel</u>. inhuman. or degrading detainse treatment; agreed on by <u>voice vote</u>-McCain (R-AZ) (TAB-2)
- To mandate reporting on the use of riot control agents by the Armed Forces - Ensign (R-NV) (TAB-3)
- To require Secretary of Defense to transfer property, located at BRAC'd military installations. at no consideration: defeated 36-62- Snowe (R-ME) (TAB-9)
- o <u>To reduce retired pay eligibility age for formerly mobilized</u> <u>Reserve veterans</u> – Chambliss (R-GA) (TAB-10)
- <u>To direct Secretary of Defense to submit procedures for Combatant</u> <u>Status Review Tribunals and Administrative Review Boards to</u> <u>determine GTMO detainees' status</u> - Graham (R-SC)(TAB-4)

Prepared by: CAPT J.M. Cathey, Director Senate Affairs (b)(6) 11-L-0559/OSD/55416

050 21996-05

 2<sup>nd</sup> Demee to TAB-13 Harkin Amendment. Sense of the Senate. allowing Secretary of Defense to establish an Ombudsman position and to commend the Armed Forces Radio Network Dassed 55-43-Inhofe (R-OK) (TAB-14)

## Minority:

- o Medical Isotopes Schumer (D-NY)
- o <u>To transfer Robust Nuclear Earth Penetrator (RNEP)</u> funds to the <u>DC Army National Guard</u> Kennedy (D-MA) (TAB-12)
- o Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) Salazar (D-CO)
- o To ensure Federal employees taking leave without pay to serve will not receive an overall cut in pay Durbin (D-IL) (TAB-11)
- <u>To provide concurrent receipt for veterans with service-connected</u> <u>disabilities</u> – Reid (D-NV)
- <u>To repeal reduction of Survivor Benefit Plan annuities by</u> <u>Dependency and Indemnity Compensation</u>; <u>Dassed 93-5</u> – Nelson (D-FL) (TAB-5)
- <u>To establish a Detainee Commission</u>: defeated 43-55 Levin @-MI) (TAW
- Increase the Cooperative Threat Reduction Program and decrease Missile Defense funding: defeated 37-60 – Reed (D-RI) (TAB-7)
- <u>To reauire balanced American Forces Network political</u> programming and to establish an Ombudsman position; defeated 44-54-Harkin (D-IA) (TAB-13)
- To authorize a feasibility study on the creation of a Deputy Secretary of Defense for Management to oversee spending and financial management at the Defense Department: passed 97-0-Byrd (D-WV) (TAB-15)

### Attachments:

- 1. Allard Amendment #2423
- 2. McCain Amendment #2425
- 3. Ensign Amendment unnumbered
- 4. Graham Draft Amendment unnumbered
- 5. Nelson Amendment #2424
- 6. Levin Amendment#2430
- 7. Reed Amendment #2427
- 8. Inhofe Amendment #2432
- 9. Snowe Amendment#2436
- 10. Chambliss Amendment #2433
- 11. Durbin Amendment #1571
- 12.Kennedy Amendment#1415
- 13.Harkin Amendment#2438
- 14.Inhofe Amendment #2439
- 15.Byrd Amendment #2442
- 16. Reid Amendment#2441

ENSIGN REV-1

AMENDMENT NO.

Calendar Nø.

Purpose: To authorize additional uses of riot control agents by members of the Armed Forces, and for other purposes.

#### IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES-109th Cong., 1st Sess.

#### S. 1042

To authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2006 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe personnel strengths for such fiscal year for the Armed Forces, and for other purposes.

Referred to the Committee on and ordered to be printed

Ordered to lie on the table and to be printed

AMENDMENT intended to be proposed by Mr. ENSIGN

Viz:

1 On page 286, between lines 7 and 8, insert the fol-

2 lowing:

3 SEC. 1073. RIOT CONTROL AGENTS.

(a) RESTATEMENT OF POLICY.—It is the policy of
5 the United States, as provided in Executive Order 11850
6 (40 Fed. Reg 16187) and affirmed by the Senate in the
7 resolution of ratification of the Chemical Weapons ('on-

OAbagABAG05103.xml

2

vention, that riot control agents are not chemical weapons
 but are legitimate, legal, and non-lethal alternatives to the
 use of lethal force that may be employed by members of
 the Armed Forces in war in defensive military modes to
 save lives, including for illustrative purposes cited in Exce utive Order 11850.

7 (b) REPORT REQUIRED.—

8 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days 9 after the date of the enactment of this Act, the 10 President, shall submit to Congress a report on the 11 use of riot control agents by members of the Armed 12 Forces.

13 (2) CONTENT.—The report required by para14 graph (1) shall include—

(A) a description of all regulations, doctrines, training materials, and any other information related to the use of riot control agents
by members of the Armed Forces;

(B) a description of the doctrinal publications, training, and other resources provided or
available to members of the Armed Forces on
an annual basis with regard to the tactical employment of riot control agents;

24 (C) a description of how the material de25 scribed in subparagraphs (A) and (E) is con-

## 11-L-0559/OSD/55420

--

۲

į

\_

i

i

į

ł

¢

3

1	sistent with United States policy on the use of
2	riot control agents;
3	(D) a description of the availability of riot
4	control agents, and the means to employ them,
5	to members of the Armed Forces deployed in
6	Iraq and Afghanistan;
7	(E) $a$ description of thic frequency of use of
8	riot control agents since January 1, 1992, and
9	a summary of views held by military com-
10	manders about the utility of the employing riot
11	control agents by members of the Armed
12	Forces;
13	(F) a general descriptioii of steps taken or
14	to be taken by the Department of Defense to
15	clarify the circumstances under which riot con-
16	trol agents may be used by members of the
17	Armed Forces; and
18	(G) an assessment of the, legality of Execu-
19	tive Order 11850, including an explanation why
20	Executive Order 11850 remains valid under
21	United States law.
22	(3) FORM.—The report required by paragraph
23	(1)shall be submitted in unclassified form, hut may
24	include a classified annex.

ŧ

(

,

Ч

]	(c) AUTHORITY FOR ADDITIONAL USES OF RIOT
2	CONTROL AGENTS
3	(1) AUTHORITY.—The President or the Sec-
4	retary of Defense may, for purposes of saving inno-
5	cent lives or the lives of members of the Armed
6	Forces, authorize members of thic Armed Forces to
7	use riot, control agents as follows:
8	(A) In war in modes other than defensive
9	military modes.
10	(B) In operations other than war—
11	(i) in defensive military modes; and
12	(ii) in modes other than defensive
13	military modes.
13 14	military modes. (2) CONSTRUCTION.—The authority in para
	•
[4	(2) CONSTRUCTION.—The authority in para
l 4 15	(2) CONSTRUCTION.—The authority in para graph (1) to use riot control agents is in addition to
14 15 16	(2) CONSTRUCTION.—The authority in para graph (1)to use riot control agents is in addition to any other authority to use riot control agents under
14 15 16 17	(2) CONSTRUCTION.—The authority in para graph (1)to use riot control agents is in addition to any other authority to use riot control agents under law, including authroity under Executive Order
14 15 16 17 18	(2) CONSTRUCTION.—The authority in para graph (1)to use riot control agents is in addition to any other authority to use riot control agents under law, including authroity under Executive Order 11850.
14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>(2) CONSTRUCTION.—The authority in para graph (1)to use riot control agents is in addition to any other authority to use riot control agents under law, including authroity under Executive Order 11850.</li> <li>(d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>(2) CONSTRUCTION.—The authority in para graph (1)to use riot control agents is in addition to any other authority to use riot control agents under law, including authroity under Executive Order 11850.</li> <li>(d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:</li> <li>(1) CHEMICAL WEAPONS CONVENTION.—The</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>(2) CONSTRUCTION.—The authority in para graph (1)to use riot control agents is in addition to any other authority to use riot control agents under law, including authroity under Executive Order 11850.</li> <li>(d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:</li> <li>(1) CHEMICAL WEAPONS CONVENTION.—The term "Chemical Weapons Convention" means the</li> </ul>

Ç

(

Paris, January 13, 1993, and entered into force
 April 29, 1997 (T. Doc. 103-21).

3 (2) RESOLUTION OF EATIFICATION OF THE
4 CHEMICAL WEAPONS CONVENTION.—The term "res5 olution of ratification of the Chemical Weapons Con6 vention" means S. Res. 75, 105th Congress, agreed
7 to April 24, 1997, advising and consenting to the
8 ratification of the Chemical Weapon's Convention.

MODIFIE

AMENDMENT NO. 2432

Calendar No. \_\_\_\_

Purpose: Relating to the partnership security capacity of foreign military and security forces and security and stabilization assistance.

## IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES-109th Gong., 1st Sess.

S. 1042

<b>T</b> o i		AMENDMENT No.	2432	military
	Ву То:	Intofe		'military :Depart- gths for
				or other
	······	Page(s)	GPO: 200# 17-22044mi)	

Referred to the Committee on \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ and ordered to be printed

Ordered to lie on the table and to be printed

**AMENDMENT** intended to be proposed by Mr. INHOFE

vi:

1 296

1 At the end of title XII, add the following:

2 SEC. \_\_\_\_. BUILDING THE PARTNERSHIP SECURITY CAPAC-

3 ITY OF FOREIGN MILITARY AND SECURITY
4 FORCES.

(a) AUTHORITY.—The President may authorize
building the capacity of partner nations' military or secu7 rity forces to disrupt or destroy terrorist networks, close

11-L-0559/OSD/55424

ł

ć

2

safe havens. or participate in or support United States.
 coalition, or international military or stability operations.
 (b) TYPES OF PARTNERSHIP SECURITY CAPACITY
 BUILDING.—The partnership security capacity building
 authorized under subsection (a) may include the provision
 of equipment, supplies, services, training, and funding.

7 (c) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—The Secretary of De-8 fense may, at the request of the Secretary of State, sup-9 port partnership security capacity building as **authorized** 10 under subsection (a) by transferring funds available to the 11 Department of Defense to the Department of State. **Any** 12 funds so transferred shall remain available until expended. 13 The amount of such partnership security capacity building 14 support provided by the Department of Defense under this 15 section may not exceed \$750,000,000 in any fiscal year. 16 (d) CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.—Before build-17 ing partnership security capacity under this section, the 18 Secretaries of State and Defense shall submit to their con-19 gressional oversight committees a notification of the na-20 tions designated by the President with which partnership 21 security capacity will be built under this section and the 22 nature and amounts of security capacity building to occur. 23 Any such notification shall be submitted not less than 15 24 days before the provision of such partnership security ea-

25 pacity building.

¢

3

1 (e) COMPLEMENTARY AUTHORITY.—The authority to 2 support partnership security capacity building under this 3 section is in addition to any other authority of the Depart-4 ment of Defense to provide assistance to a foreign country. 5 (f) APPLICABLE LAW,—The authorities and limitations in the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 and the For-6 7 eigu Operations, Export Financing, and Related Programs 8 Appropriations Act, 2006 shall be applicable to assistance 9 provided and funds transferred under the authority of this 10 section.

(g) MILITARY AND SECURITY FORCES DEFINED.—
12 In this section, the term "military and security forces"
13 includes armies, guard, border security, civil defense, in14 frastructure protection, and police forces.

(h) EXPIRATION.—The authority in this section shall
expire on September 30,2007.

#### 17 SEC. \_\_\_. SECURITY AND STABILIZATION ASSISTANCE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, upon a request from the Secretary of State,
with the agreement of the Secretary of Defense and upon
a determination by the President that an unforeseen emergency exists that requires immediate reconstruction, security, or stabilization assistance to a foreign country for
the purpose of restoring or maintaining peace and security
in that country, and that the provision of such assistance

1

4

is in the national security interests of the United States.
 the Secretary of Defense may authorize the use or transfer
 of defense articles, services, training or other support, in cluding support acquired by contract or otherwise, to pro vide such assistance.

(b) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—Subject to subsection
(a), the Secretary of Defense may transfer funds available
8 to the Department of Defense to the Department of State
9 or to any other Federal agency to carry out the purposes
10 of this section, and funds so transferred shall remain
11 available until expended.

(c) LIMITATION.—The aggregate value of assistance
provided or funds transferred under the authority of this
section may not exceed \$200,000.000.

15 (d) COMPLEMENTARY AUTHORITY.—The authority
16 to provide assistance under this section is in addition to
17 any other authority of the Department of Defense to pro18 vide assistance to a foreign country.

19 (e) NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS.—Before the ex-20 ercise of the authority in this section, the President shall 21 notify Congress of the exercise of such authority in accord-22 ance with the procedures set forth in section 652 of the 23 Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2411).

24 (f) APPLICABLELAW.—(1)The authorities and limi-25 tations in the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 and the 3

5

Foreign Operations, Export Financing. and Related Pro grams Appropriations Act. 2006 shall be applicable to as sistance provided and funds transferred under the author ity of this section.

5 (2) Any authority available to the President to waive
6 a provision of law referred to in paragraph (1) may be
7 exercised by the President in a written document executed
8 pursuant to subsection (a).

9 (g) EXPIRATION.—The authority in this section shall
10 expire on September 30,2007.

O:\/ RM\ARMO6G83.mbl

001

Markin



Ĺ

AMENDMENT NO.\_\_\_\_\_ Calendar No.\_\_\_\_ Purpose: Relating to the American Forces Network.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES-10965 Cong., 1st Same

5.1042



Ordered to lie on the table and to be printed

AMENDMENT intended to be proposed by Mr. HARKIN (for himself and Mr. DORGAN)

Viz:

1 At the end of subtitle A of title IX, add the following: 2 SEC. SOR. AMERICAN FORCES NETWORK.

3 (E) MISSION.—The American Forces Network (AFN) 4 shall provide members of the Armed Forces, civilian *em*-5 ployees of the Department of Defense, and their families 6 staticned outside the continental United States and at sea 7 with the same type and quality of American radio and tale-8 vision news, information, sports, and entertainment as is 9 available in *the* continental United States.

 (b)	61	-	
 110/1	0	100	

\$

1

OAARMARMOSG33.mml

3

S.L.C

1	(b) POLITICAL PROGRAMMING
2	(1) FAIRNESS AND BALANCE All political pro-
3	gramming of the American Foms Network shall be
4	characterized by its fairness and balance.
5	(2) FREE FLOW OF PROGRAMMING The
6	American Forces Network shall provide in in pro-
7	gramming a free flow of political programming from
8	United States commercial and public radio and tele-
9	vision stations.
10	(c) OMBUDSMAN OF THE AMERICAN FORCES NET-
11	WORK
12	(1) ESTABLISHMENTThere is hereby estab-
13	lished the Office of the Ombudaman of the American
14	Forces Network.
15	(2) HEAD OF OFFICE
16	(A)OMBUDSMANThe head of the Office
17	of the Ombudsman of the American Forces
18	Network shall be the Ombudsman of the Amer-
19	ican Forces Network (in this subsection re-
20	ferred to as the "Ombudsman"), who shall be
21	appointed by the Secretary of Defense.
22	(B) QUALIFICATIONS Any individual
23	nominated for appointment to the position of
24	Ombudsman shall have recognized expertise in

2

e ....

O:\ARM\ARM06G33\_mi

SL.C.

	3
1	the field of mass communications, print media,
2	or broadcast media.
3	(C) PART-TIME STATUS The position of
4	Ombudsman shall be a part-time position.
5	(D)TERM The term of office of the Om-
6	budsman shall be five years.
7	(E) REMOVAL The Ombudsman may be
8	removed from office by the Secretary only for
9	malfeesance.
10	(3) DUTIES
11	(A) IN GENERALThe Ombudsman shall
12	ensure that the American Forces Network ad-
13	heres to the standards and practices of the Net-
14	work in its programming.
15	(B) PARTICULAR DUTIES In carrying out
16	the duties of the Ombudsman under this para-
17	graph, the Ombudsman 88-
18	(i) initiate and conduct, with ss fre-
19	quency as the Ombodsman considers ap-
20	propriate, reviews of the integrity, fairness,
21	and balance of the programming of the
22	American Forces Network;
23	(ii) initiate and conduct, upon the re-
24	quest of Congress or members of the a d -

O:\AHM\ARM05G33.ml

1 na (b)(6)

......

1004

.

SLC.

4

1 ence of the American Forces Network, re-2 vinn of the programming of the Network: 3 identify, pursuant to reviews (iii) 4 under clause (i) or (ii) or otherwise, cir-5 cumstances in which the American Forces 6 Network has not achered to the standards 7 and practices of the Network in ita pro-8 gramming, including circumstances in which the programming of the Network 9 10 lacked integrity, fairness, or balance; and 11 (iv) make recommendations to the 12 American Forces Network on means of 13 correcting the lack of adherence identified 14 pursuant to clause (iii). 15 (C) LIMITATION.—In carrying out the du-16 ties of the Ombudsman under this paragraph, 17 the Orbudsman may not engage in any pre-18 broadcast censorship or pre-broadcast review of 19 the programming of the American Forces Net-20 work. 21 (4) RESOURCES.—The Secretary of Defense 22 shall provide the Office of the Ombudsman of the 23 American Forces Network such personnel and other 24 resources as the Secretary and the Ombudsman 25 jointly determine appropriate to permit the Ombuds-

Complexity

١.

Ļ

ć.

1

OARMARM05G33.mml

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

5

man to earry out the duties of the Ombudaman
 under paragraph (3).

3 (5) INDEPENDENCE.—The Secretary shall take
4 appropriate actions to ensure the complete independ5 ence of the Ombudaman and the Office of the Om6 budaman of the American Forces Network within
7 the Department of Defense.

(6) ANNUAL REPORTS.---

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Ombudsman shall submit to the Secretary of Defense and the congressional defense committees each year a report m the activities of the Office of the Ombudsman of the American Forces Network during the preceding year.

(B) AVAILABILITY TO PUBLIC.—The Ombudsman shall make available to the public each
budsman shall make available to the public each
report submitted under subparagraph (A)
through the Internet website of the Office of
the Ombudsman of the American Forces Network and by such other means as the Ombudsman considers appropriate.

MODIFIEL O-ARM\ARMOD (Instruction line only)

AMENDMENT NO.

Calendar No.

Purpose: To propose a substitute.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES-109th Cong., 1st Sess.



Referred to the Committee on ordered to be printed

and

Ordered to lie on the table and to be printed

AMENDMENTintended to be proposed by Mr. INHOFE to the amendment (No. 243) proposed by Mr. HARKIN 2439

> At the end of subtitle A of title 18, In tion of the matter proposed to be inserted, insert

Viz:

1

by UIC - 246

£ .

2 the following:

#### 3 SEC. \_\_\_\_. AMERICAN FORCES NETWORK.

4 (a) FINDINGS.—The Senate makes the following5 findings:

6 (1) The mission of the American Forces Radio
7 and Television Service (AFRTS) and its American
8 Forces Network (AFN), a worldwide radio and tele-

O:\ARM\ARM05P36.xml

 $\underline{2}$ 

1 vision broadcast network, is to deliver command information by providing United States military com-2 3 manders overseas and at sea with a broadcast media 4 that effectively communicates information to per-5 sonnel under their commands, including information 6 from the Department of Defense, information from 7 the Armed Forces, and information unique to the 8 theater and localities in which such personnel are 9 stationed or deployed.

10 (2) The American Forces Radio and Television 11 Service and the American Forces Network provide **a** 12 "touch of home" to members of the Armed Forces, 13 civilian employees of the Department of Defense, 14 and their families stationed at bases and at embas-15 sies and consulates in more than 179 countries, as 16 well as Navy, Coast Guard, and Military Sealift 17 Command ships at sea, by providing the same type 18 and quality of radio and television programming (in-19 cluding news, information, sports, and entertain-20 ment) that would be available in the continental 21 United States. Additionally, the American Forces 22 Network plays an important role in enabling military 23 commanders to disseminate official information to 24 members of the Armed Forces and their families, thus making popularity and acceptance keg' factors 25

¢

3

### 1 in ensuring effective communication, **Althouse** whether

2

in cusuring checuve communication, we

3 (3) It is American Forces Radio and Television 4 Service and American Forces Network policy that, 5 except for the Pentagon Channel service, program-6 ming is acquired from distributors of the most pop-7 ular television program airing in the continental 8 United States. Much of the programming is provided 9 at no cost to the United States Government. The re-10 mainder of the programming is provided at less-11 than-market rates to cover distributors' costs and obligations. Depending on the audience segment or 12 13 demographic targeted, programs that **perform** well 14 are acquired and scheduled to maximize audiences 15 for internal and command information exposure.

16 (4) American Forces Radio and Television 17 Service and American Forces Network select pro-18 gramming that represents a cross-section of popular 19 American radio and television, tailored toward the 20 worldwide audience of the American Forces Radio 21 and Television Service and the American Forces 22 Network. Schedules emulate programming practices 23 in the United States, and programs are aired in ac-24 cordance with network broadcast standards. Specifi-25 cally, policy on programming seeks—

O:\ARM\ARM05P36.xml

[

 $\sim 10$ 

ζ.

S.L.C.

4

(A) to provide balance and diversity;

2 (B) to deliver a cross-section of popular 3 programming; 4 (C) to target appropriate demographics; 5 and 6 (D) to maintain network broadcast stand-7 ards. 8 (5) The "Voice Channel", or radio program-9 ming, of the American Forces Radio and Television 10 Service and American Forces Network is chosen to 11 address requirements specified by the military 12 broadcasting services and the detachment com-13 manders of their affiliate radio stations. American 14 Forces Network Radio makes a best faith effort to 15 obtain the top-rated program of its sort at the time 16 of selection, at no cost to the United States Govern-17 ment. American Forces Network Radio usually re-18 tains a scheduled program until it is no longer pro-19 duced, too few American Forces Network affiliates 20 choose to schedule the program locally, or a similar 21 program so thoroughly dominates its audience in the 22 United States that the American Forces Radio and 23 Television Service switches to this program to offer 24 the higher rated **show** to the overseas audience.

O{ARM\ARM05P36.xml

5

1 (6) American Forces Network Radio personnel 2 review the major trade publications to monitor an-3 nouncements of new programs, follow the ratings of 4 established programs, and keep aware of program-5 ming trends. When a program addressing a need 6 identified by a Military Broadcasting Service or an 7 American Forces Network affiliate becomes available 8 to the American Forces Network, or a program 9 seems especially worthy of consideration, American 10 Forces Network Radio informs the affiliates and 11 supplies samples to gauge affiliate interest. If affili-12 ates commit to broadcasting the new show, Amer-13 ican Forces Network Radio seeks to schedule it.

14 (7) The managers of the American Forces 15 Radio and Television Service continually update 16 their programming options and, in November 2005, 17 decided to include additional programs that meet the 18 criteria that American Forces Radio and Television 19 Service managers apply to such decisions, and that, 20 consistent with American Forces Radio and Tele-21 vision Service and American Forces Network proce-·22 dures, local programmers at 33 locations around the 23 globe decide which programs actually are broadcast. 24 American Forces Radio and Television Service have O \ARM\ARM05P36.xml

-

6

consistently sought to provide a broad, high quality
 range of choices for local station managers.

3 (b) SENSE OF SENATE.—It is the sense of the Senate
4 that —

5 (1) the men and women of the American Forces
6 Radio and Television Service and the Armed Forces
7 Network should be commended for providing a vital
8 service to the military community worldwide; and

9 (2) the programming mission, themes, and 10 practices of the Department of Defense with respect 11 to its television and radio programming have fairly 12 and responsively fulfilled their mission of providing 13 a "touch of home" to members of the Armed Forces 14 and their families around the world and have con-15 tributed immeasurably to high morale and quality of 16 life in the Armed Forces.

17 (c) AUTHORITY TO APPOINT OMBUDSMAN AS INTER18 MEDIARY.—The Secretary of Defense may appoint an in19 dividual to serve as ombudsman of the American Forces
20 Network. Any ombudsman so appointed shall act as an
21 intermediary between the staff of the American Forces
22 Network and the Department of Defense, military com23 manders, and listeners to the programming of the American
24 ican Forces Network.

PENDING

O:\ARM\ARMOEN82.LC

AMENDMENT NO.\_\_\_

Calendar No.

8.L.O.

Purpose: To establish the position of Deputy Secretary of Defense for Management.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES-109th Cong., Lat Sees.

S.1042 AMENDMENT No olitary To ulitary lepartha for 1171 other Page(s)

Referred to the Committee on \_\_\_\_\_\_ and ordered to be printed

Ordered to lie on the table and to be printed

AMENDMENT intended to be proposed by Mr. BYRD (for himself, Mr. Alaka, and Mr. Lautenberg)

At the end of subtitle A of title IX, add the following:

2 SEC. \_\_\_. DEPUTY SECRETARY OF DEVENSE FOR MANAGE-

. MENT.

4 (a) KSTABLISHMENT.---

5

6

7

1

3

(1) POSITION AND DUTIES .--

(A) Chapter 4 of title 10, United States

Code, is amended—

October 31, 2005

.

(

(

O:\ARM\ARMOSNS2.LC

	1	(i) in section 131(b), by striking para-
	2	graph (1) and inserting the following new
	3	paragraph:
	4	"(1) Two Deputy Secretaries of Defense, as fol-
	5	lows:
	6	"(A) The Deputy Secretary of Defense.
	7	"(B) The Deputy Secretary of Defense for
	8	Management."; and
	9	(ii) inserting after section 132 the
	10	following new section 132a:
	11	"1132a. Deputy Secretary of Defense for Management
ŵ,	12	"(a) ESTABLISEMENT(1) There is a Deputy Sea-
	13	retary of Defense for Management, appointed from civilian
	14	life by the President, by and with the advice and consent
	15	of the Senate, from smong persons who have-
	16	"(A) extensive executive level experience in
	17	leadership and management in the public or private
	18	sector
	19	"(B) substantial experience in the reform of ac-
	20	counting or financial management systems in large
	21	organizations;
	22	"(C) a demonstrated ability to manage large
	23	and complex organizations; and
	24	"(D) a record of achieving positive operational
	25	results.

October 31, 2005

4015 18:12 FA (b)(6)

O:\ARM\ARMOSN33.LC

:005

aL

3

"(2) A person may not be appointed as Deputy Sec retary of Defense for Management within 10 years after
 relief from active duty as a commissioned officer of a reg ular component of an armed force.

5 "(3) The Deputy Secretary of Defense for Manage-6 ment shall serve for a term of seven years.

7 "(b) GENERAL AUTHORITY.--(1) The Deputy Sec-8 retary of Defense for Management--

9 "(A) serves as the Chief Management Officer of
10 the Department of Defense;

11 "(B) is the principal adviser to the Secretary of 12 Defense on matters relating to the management of 13 the Department of Defense, including defense busi-14 ness activities, to ensure departmentwide capability 15 to carry out the strategic plan of the Department of 16 Defense in support of national security objectives; 17 and

18 "(C) performs such additional duties and exer19 cises such other powers as the Secretary may pre20 scribe.

21 "(2) The Deputy Secretary of Defense for Manage22 ment takes precedence in the Department of Defense im23 mediately after the Deputy Secretary of Defense.

1

O:\ARM\ARMOSN32.LC

4

s

VICE E D UPPINO

BLC.

"(3)(A) The Deputy Secretary of Defense for Man agement shall act for, and enercise the powers of, the Sec retary of Defense when---

"(i) the Secretary is disabled or there is no Secretary of Defense; and

6 "(ii) the Deputy Secretary of Defense is dis7 shied or there is no Deputy Secretary of Defense.

8 "(R) The Deputy Secretary of Defense for Manage-9 ment shall set for, and exercise the powers of, the Deputy 10 Secretary of Defense when the Deputy Secretary is dis-11 abled or there is no Deputy Secretary of Defense.

12 "(c) MANAGRMENT DUTIES.—To support the eco-13 nomical, efficient, and effective execution of the national 14 defense objectives, policies, and plans of the Department 15 of Defense, the Deputy Secretary of Defense for Manage-16 ment shall be responsible to the Secretary of Defense for 17 the development, approval, implementation, integration, 18 and oversight of policies, procedures, processes, and sys-19 terms for the management of the Department of Defense 20 that relate to performance of the following functions:

21 "(1) Planning and budgeting, including per-22 formance measurement.

- 23 "(2) Acquisition.
- 24 "(3) Logistica

"(4) Facilities, installations, and environment.

Oracher 51, 2005

25

O:\ARM\ARMOEN32.LC 5 1 "(5) Financial management. 2 "(6) Human resources and personnel. 3 "(7) Management of information resources, in-4 cluding information technology, networks, and tele-5 communications functions. 6 "(d) DEVENSE BUSINESS REFORM .--- For the func-7 tions specified in subsection (c), the Deputy Secretary of 8 Defense for Management shall--9 "(1) develop and maintain a departmentwide 10 management strategic plan for business reform, and identify key initiatives to be undertaken by the De-11 12 partment and its components, together with related 13 resource needs; 14 "(2) establish performance goals and measures 15 for improving and evaluating overall economy, effi-16 ciency, and effectiveness: 17 "(3) monitor and measure the progress of the 18 Department of Defense and its components in meet-19 ing established performance guals for improving 20 economy, efficiency, and effectiveness; and 21 "(4) review and approve plans and budgets for 22 business reform, including any proposed changes to 23 policies, procedures, processes, and systems, to en-24 sure the compatibility of those plans and budgets 25 with---

Databar 31, 2005

## 11-L-0559/OSD/55444

STE.

٦.

VICE DEPTCH

**10**098

O:\ARM\ARMOSNS2.LC

SL.O.

6

	č
1	"(A) the overall strategic plan and budget
2	of the Department of Defense;
34	"(B) the strategic plan for business reform
4	of the Department of Defense; and
5	"(C) achievement of the integration of
6	business activities throughout the Department
7	of Defense.
8	"(o) DEFENSE BUSINESS SYSTEMS (1) In carrying
9	are the duties of the position under this section, the Dep-
10	uty Secretary of Defense for Management shall oversee
нÌ	the implementation of a defense business systems mod-
12	ernization program including the execution of any funds
13	appropriated for maintaining legacy systems and for mod-
14	ernining defense business systems.
15	"(2) The Deputy Secretary of Defense for Manage-
16	ment shell
17	"(A) overses the development of, and shall re-
18	view and approve, all budget requests for defense
19	business systems, including the information to be
20	, submitted to Congress under section 2222(b) of this
21	title; and
22	"(B) subject to the authority, direction, and
23	control of the Secretary of Defense, perform the re-
2	sponsibilities of the Secretary under section 2222 of
25	this title.

October \$1, 2005

Ę
O:\ARM\ARMOSN82.LC

7

"(3) In this subsection, the terms 'defense business
 gystem' and 'defense business system modernization' have
 the meanings given to those terms in section 2222(j) of
 this title.

5 "(f) RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER DEFENSE OFFI-6 CIALE.—(1) The Deputy Secretary of Defense for Manage-7 ment exercises the authority of the Secretary of Defense 8 in the performance of the duties of the Deputy Secretary 9 under this section, subject to the authority, direction, and 10 control of the Secretary.

11 "(2) The Secretaries of the military departments and 12 the heads of the other elements of the Department of De-13 fense are subject to the authority, direction, and control 14 of the Deputy Secretary in the performance of their duties 15 with respect to matters within the authority of the Deputy 16 Secretary, and the emergine of that authority by the Dep-17 uty Secretary is hinding on the military departments and 18 such other elements.

19 "(g) CONSULTATION WITH OTHER OFFICIALA.—In 20 carrying out the duties of the position under this section, 21 the Deputy Secretary of Defense for Management shall 22 consult on a continuing basis with the Deputy Secretary 23 of Defense, the Secretaries of the military departments, 24 and the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff—

October \$1, 2005

•

;

.

.

O:\ARM\ARMOSN84.LC

81LO. 1

8

1	"(1) to support economical, efficient, and effec-
2	tive performance of the missions of the Department
3	of Defense; and
4	"(2) to support each of those officials-
5	"(A) in the implementation of the national
6	defense strategy and the strategic plan of the
7	Department of Defense; and
8	"(B) in the administration of related pro-
9	grams, plans, operations, and activities.
10	"(h) PERFORMANCE AND EVALUATION(1) The
11	Deputy Secretary of Defence for Management shall enter
12	into an annual performance agreement with the Secretary
13	of Defense each year. The agreement shall ast forth meas-
14	urable individual and organizational goals that are con-
15	sistent with the goals and measures established under sub-
16	section (d) of this section. The agreement shall be avail-
17	able for public disclosure.
18	"(2) The Secretary of Defense shall evaluate the per-
19	formance of the Deputy Secretary of Defense for Manage-
20	ment each year and shall determine as part of each such
21	evaluation whether the Deputy Secretary has made satis-
22	factory progress toward achieving the goals set out in tha
23	performance agreement for that year under paragraph
24	<b>(1)</b> .".

Coluber 31, 2905

ł

•

i

÷

1009

O. LARM LARMOGN82.LC

SLC.

9

1	(B) The table of sections at the beginning
2	of such chapter is amended by inserting after
3	the item relating to section 132 the following
4	new item:
	"132a. Deputy Secretary of Defense for Management."
5	(2) EXECUTIVE LEVEL II,-Section 5313 of
6	title 5, United States Code, is amended by inserting
7	after "Doputy Secretary of Defence" the following:
8	"Deputy Secretary of Defense for Manage-
9	ment."
10	(b) MEMBERSHIP OF CERTAIN DEPARTMENT OF DE-
11	FENSE MANAGEMENT COMMITTRES
12	(1) FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT MODERNIZATION
13	EXECUTIVE COMMITTEESection 185(a) of title 10,
14	United States Code, is amended-
15	(A) in paragraph (2)
16	(i) by redesignating subparagraphs
17	(A), (B), (C), (D), and (E) as subpara-
18	graphs (B), (C), (D), (E), and (F), respec-
19	tively;
20	(ii) by inserting after "composed of
21	the following:" the following new subpara-
22	graph (A):
23	"(A) The Deputy Secretary of Defense for
24	Management, who shall be the chairman of the com-
25	mittee ", and

October 31, 2005

ł

11/08/2005	18:14	FAL	(D)(D)
*			

.

÷.

í

3

O:\ARM\ARMOSN82.LC

.

11.100

10

	. 10
1	(in) in subparagraph (B), as redesig-
2	nated by clause (i), by striking ", who shall
3	be the chairman of the committee"; and
4	(B) in paragraph (3), by inserting "the
5	Deputy Secretary of Defense for Management,"
6	after "the Deputy Secretary of Defense,".
7	(2) DEFENSE BUSINESS SYSTEM MANAGEMENT
8	concertantSection 186 of such title is amended
9	by striking "Deputy Secretary of Defense" each
10	place it appears in subsections (a)(1) and (b) and in-
11	serting "Deputy Secretary of Defense for Manage-
12	ment".
13	(c) Adjustments to Duties and Precedence of
14	OTHER OPPICIALS
15	(1) UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR POL-
16	ICTSection 134 of title 10, United States Code, is
17	amended-
18	(A) in subsection (b)(2), by striking "Sec-
19	retary of Defence-" and inserting "Secretary
20	of Defense and the Deputy Secretary of
21	Defense-"; and
22	(B) in subsection (s), by inserting "the
23	Deputy Secretary of Defense for Management,"
24	after "the Deputy Secretary of Defense,".

October \$1, 2006

11/08/2005	18-14	FAT (D)(b)	
11/10/2003	10.11	1.000	_

...

O:\ARM\ARMO5N82.LC

•

SL.C.

1	(2) UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR AC-
2	QUISITION, TECHNOLOGY, AND LOGISTICS Section
3	133(e) of such title is amended-
4	(A) in paragraph (1), by striking "and the
5	Deputy Secretary of Defense" and inserting ",
6	the Deputy Secretary of Defense, and the Dep-
7	uty Secretary of Defense for Management"; and
8	(B) in paragraph (2), by inserting "the
9	Deputy Secretary of Defense for Management,"
10	after "the Deputy Secretary of Defense,".
11	(3) DEPUTY UNDER SECRETARY OF DRUENSE
12	FOR LOGISTICS AND MATERIEL READINESS Sec-
13	tion 133b(c)(2) of such title is amended by inserting
14	"the Deputy Secretary of Defense for Management,"
15	after "the Deputy Secretary of Defense,".
16	(4) DIRECTOR OF OPREATIONAL TEST AND
17	EVALUATIONSection 139 of such title is
18	amended
19	· (A) in subsection (b)-
20	(i) in paragraph (2), by striking "and
21	the Under Secretary of Defense for Acqui-
22	sition, Technology, and Logistics" and in-
23	serting . the Deputy Secretary of De-
24	fense, the Deputy Secretary of Defense for
25	Management, the Under Secretary of De-

October 31, 2005

1

14

· - .

: i

ł

O:\ARM\ARMOSN32.LC

.

12

t	fense for Acquisition, Technology, and Lo-
2	gistics,"; and
3	(ii) in paragraph (5), by inserting ",
4	the Deputy Secretary of Defense, and the
5	Deputy Secretary of Defense for Manage-
6	ment" after "the Secretary of Defense";
7	and .
8	(B) in subsection (c), by striking "and the
. 9	Deputy Secretary of Defense" in the first sen-
10	tence and inserting ", the Deputy Secretary of
11	Defense, and the Deputy Secretary of Defense
12	for Management".

October 31, 2006

-

man 1

11/US/2005 18:31 PAL (b)(6) AS MODIFIED Vizi At the and of subtitle A of title IX add the fallowing е окт

Amendment intended to be proposed by Mr. Byrd (for himself, Mr. Warner, Mr. Ensign, and Mr. Akaka)

and Mr. Lastenberg

. Report on Establishment of a Deputy Secretary of Defense for Sec Management.

(a) Not later than 15 days after the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall select two Federally Funded Research and Development Centers to conduct independent studies of the feasibility and advisability of establishing a Deputy Secretary of Defense for Management. Each study under this section shall be delivered to the Secretary and the congressional defense committees not later than March 15, 2006.

(b) Content of Studies. - Each study required by this section shall address -(1) the extent to which the establishment of a Deputy Secretary of Defense for Management would:

(A) improve the management of the Department of Defense;

(B) expedite the process of management reform in the Department; and

(C) enhance the implementation of business systems moderization in the Department;

(2) the appropriate relationship of the **Deputy** Secretary of Defense for Management to other Department of Defense officials;

(3) the appropriate term of service for a Deputy Secretary of Defense. for Management; and

(4) the experience of any other federal agencies that have instituted similar management positions.

(c) For the purposes of this section, a Deputy Secretary of Defense for Management is an official who -

(1) serves as the Chief Management Officer of *the* Department of Defense;

(2) is the principle advisor to the Secretary of Defense on matters relating to the management of the Department. of Defense, including

3

Ì

defense business activities, to ensure department-wide capability to carry aut the strategic plan of *the* Department of Defense in support of national security objectives; and

(3) takes precedence in the Department. of Defense immediately *after* the Deputy Secretary of Defense.

	1	
- E (*	LAV6	1
	CHED.	

RI O L A

O:\ARM\ARM05790.LC

dar No. ar No. Cale

AMENDMENT NO

Purpose: To provide that veterans with service-connected disabilities rated as *total* by virtue of unemployability shall be covered by the termination of *the* phase-in of concurnent receipt of retired pay and veterans disability compensation for military *retirees*.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES-109th Cong., Int Sec.



Referred to the Committee on \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ and ordered to be printed

Ordered to lie on the table and to be printed

AMENDMENT intended to be proposed by Mr. REID

Viz

1 At the appropriate place in title VI, add the following:

Nore 25, 2005

S.L.C.

GARM\ARM05790.LC

1	SEC, INCLUSION OF VETERANS WITH SERVICE-CON-
2	NECTED DISABILITIES RATED AS FOTAL BY
3	REASON OF UNEMPLOYABILITY UNDER TER-
4	MINATION OF PHASE-IN OF CONCURRENT RE-
5	CEIPT OF RETIRED PAY AND VETELANE' DIS-
6	ABILITY COMPENSATION.
7	(a) INCLUSION OF VETERANS.—Section 1414(a)(1)

2

8 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting
9 "or a qualified retiree maceiving veterans' disability com10 pensation for a disability rated as total (within the mean11 ing of subsection (e)(3)(B))" after "rated as 100 percent".
12 (b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by
13 subsection (a)shall take effect on December 31,2004.

Aort 25, 2008

(

#### FOUO



GENERAL COUNSEL OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE 1600 DEFENSEPENTAGON WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301-1600

#### **INFO MEMO**

' 1: 34

January 4, 2005, 9:00 Ab4

FOR: SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

From: William J. Haynes II, General Counse

SUBJECT: Ensign Amendment on Riot Control Agents (RCAs)

- You asked (Tab A) for views on the Ensign amendment, which is in the National Defense Authorization Act as passed by Congress (Tab B).
- The amendment roughly restates U.S. policy under Executive Order (E.O.) 11850(1975) (Tab C) and consistent with the Chemical Weapons Convention (CWC), that RCAs may be employed by members of the Armed Forces in war in defensive military modes to save lives, including the illustrative purposes stated in E.O. 11850.
- Thus, the amendment does not change applicable law (CWC) or policy (E.O. 11850) regarding authorized use of RCAs.
  - o In commenting on the amendment, Senators Warner, Lugar and Biden noted that it conforms to E.O. 11850 and the CWC. Senator Warner specifically conditioned his vote on that understanding (Tab D).
    - An earlier version of the amendment contained language that would have permitted authorization of use of RCAs in war in offensive modes. This would have been in conflict with U.S. obligations under the CWC.
- The amendment requires within 180 days of enactment a report on RCA use, including "a general description of steps taken or to be taken by the Department of Defense to clarify the circumstances under which riot control agents may be used by members of the Armed Forces."
- Policy (ISP) is working with the Joint Staff (J-5) to gather information from the Combatant Commanders and to determine what steps, if any, need be taken to ensure clear guidance is provided to the field on permissible uses of RCAs.



OSD 00309-06

DOD-GC-1481	
(b)(6)	

P.03

FOUO

... NOV 1 0 2005

(b)(6)

To: Jim Haynes

FROM: Donald Rumsfeld

SUBJECT Ensign Amendment

Please read the attached Ensign Amendment and tell me where you think, and where we ought to be standing on it.

Thanks.

Attach: 11/8/05 ASD LA memoto SeeDef

DHRas 119905-12 Blaces Remard R. Neuropher 22, 2005

Please Respond By November 22, 2005

0SD 00309-06

THIS *SEARCH* <u>Next Hit</u> <u>Prev Hit</u> Hit List THIS DOCUMENT Forward Back Best Sections Contents Display W 10 <u>New Bills Search</u> <u>MomePage</u> **Help** 

### H.R.1815

#### National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Engrossed Amendment as Agreed to by Senate)

#### SEC. 1080. RIOT CONTROL AGENTS.

(a) Restatement of Policy- *It* is the policy of the United States that riot control agents are not chemical weapons and that the president may authorize their use as legitimate, legal, and non-lethal alternatives to the use of force that, as provided in Executive Order 11850 (40 Fed. Reg. 16187) and consistent with the resolution of ratification of the Chemical Weapons convention, may be employed by members of the Armed Forces in war in defensive military modes to save lives, including the illustrative purposes cited in Executive Order 11850.

#### (b) Report Required-

Contract of

(1) IN GENERAL- Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the President shall submit to Congress a report on the use of riot control agents by members of the Armed Forces.

(2) CONTENT- The report required by paragraph (1) shall include--

(A) a description of all regulations, doctrines, training materials, and any other information related to the use of riot control agents by members of the Armed Forces;

(B) a description of the doctrinal publications, training, and other resources provided or available to members of the Armed Forces on an annual basis with regard to the tactical employment of riot control agents;

(C) a description of how the material described in subparagraphs (A) and (B) is consistent with United States policy on the use of riot control agents;

(D) a description of the availability of riot control agents, and the means

÷

to employ them, to members of the Armed Forces deployed in Iraq and Afghanistan;

(E) a description of the frequency of use of riot control agents since January 1, 1992, and a summary of views held by military commanders about the utility of the employing riot control agents by members of the Armed Forces:

(F) a general description of steps taken or to be taken by the Department of Defense to clarify the circumstances under which riot control agents may be used by members of the Armed Forces; and

(G) an assessment of the legality of Executive Order 11850, including an explanation why Executive Order 11850 remains valid under United States law.

(3) FORM- The report required by paragraph (1) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

(c) Definitions- In this section:

(1) CHEMICAL WEAPONS CONVENTION- The term 'Chemical Weapons' Convention' means the Convention on the Prohibitions of Development. Production, Stockpiling and Use of Chemical Weapons and on Their Destruction, with annexes, done at Paris, January 13, 1993, and entered into force April 29, 1997 (T. Doc. 103-21).

(2) RESOLUTION OF RATIFICATION OF THE CHEMICAL WEAPONS CONVENTION- The term 'resolution of ratification of the Chemical Weapons Convention' means S. Res. 75, 105th Congress, agreed to April 24, 1997, advising and consenting to the ratification of the Chemical Weapons Convention.

#### SEC. 1081. DRUG TRAFFICKING DETERRENCE.

(a) Findings-

(1) According to the Department of State, drug trafficking organizations shipped approximately nine tons of cocaine to the United States through the Dominican Republic in 2004, and are increasingly using small, high-speed watercraft.

(2) Drug traffickers use the Caribbean corridor to smuggle narcotics to the United States via Puerto Rico and the Dominican Republic. This route is ideal for drug trafficking because of its geographic expanse, numerous law enforcement jurisdictions and fragmented investigative efforts.

(3) The tethered aerostat system in Lajas, Puerto Rico contributes to deterring and detecting smugglers moving illicit drugs into Puerto Rico. The aerostat's range and operational capabilities allow it to provide surveillance coverage of

#### THE U.S. NATIONAL ARCHIVES & RECORDS ADMINISTRATION

www.archives.gov

Wednesday, January 4,2006

## Executive Order 11850--Renunciation of certain uses in war of chemical herbicides and riot control agents

**Source:** The provisions of Executive Order 11850 of Apr. 8, 1975, appear at **40** FR 16187, **3** CFR, 1971-1975 Comp., **p**. 980, unless otherwise noted.

The United States renounces, as a matter of national policy, first use **of** herbicides in war except use, under regulations applicable to their domestic use, for control of vegetation within US, bases and installations or around their immediate defensive perimeters, and first use of riot control agents in war except in defensive military modes to save lives such as:

(a) Use of riot control agents in riot control situations in areas under direct and distinct US. military control, to include controlling rioting prisoners of war.

(b) Use of riot control agents in situations in which civilians are used to mask or screen attacks and civilian casualties can be reduced or avoided.

(C) Use of riot control agents in rescue missions in remotely isolated areas, of downed aircrews and passengers, and escaping prisoners.

(d) Use of riot control agents in rear echelon areas outside the zone of immediate combat to protect convoys from civil disturbances, terrorists and paramilitary organizations.

I have determined that the provisions and procedures prescribed by this Order are necessary to ensure proper implementation and observance of such national policy.

NOW, THEREFORE, by virtue of the authority vested in me as President of the United States of America by the Constitution and laws of the United States and as Commander-in-Chief of the Armed Forces of the United States, it is hereby ordered as follows:

**Section 1.** The Secretary of Defense shall take all necessary measures to ensure that the use by the Armed Forces of the United States of any riot control agents and chemical herbicides in war is prohibited unless such use has Presidential approval, in advance.

**Sec. 2.** The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe the rules and regulations he deems necessary to ensure that the national policy herein announced shall be observed by the Armed Forces of the United States.

Page URL: http://www.archives.gov/federal-register/codification/executive-order/11850.html

The U.S. National Archives and Records Administration

8601 Adelphi Road, College Park, MO 20740-6001 • Telephone: 1-86- VARA-NARA or 1-866-272-6272

#### FOUO



GENERAL COUNSEL OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE 1600 DEFENSE PENTAGON WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301-1600

#### **INFO MEMO**

GENERAL COUN

1 1: 34

January 4, 2005, 9:00 AM

FOR: SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

From: William J. Haynes II, General Couns

SUBJECT: Ensign Amendment on Riot Control Agents (RCAs)

- You asked (Tab A) for views on the Ensign amendment, which is in the National Defense Authorization Act as passed by Congress (Tab B).
- The amendment roughly restates U.S. policy under Executive Order (E.O.) 11850(1975) (Tab C) and consistent with the Chemical Weapons Convention (CWC), that RCAs may be employed by members of the Armed Forces in war in defensive military modes to save lives, including the illustrative purposes stated in E.O. 11850.
- Thus, the amendment does not change applicable law (CWC) or policy (E.O. 11850) regarding authorized use of RCAs.
  - o In commenting on the amendment, Senators Warner, Lugar and Biden noted that it conforms to E.O. 11850 and the CWC. Senator Warner specifically conditioned his vote on that understanding (Tab D).
    - An earlier version of the amendment contained language that would have permitted authorization of use of RCAs in war in offensive modes. This would have been in conflict with U.S. obligations under the CWC.
- The amendment requires within 180 days of enactment a report on RCA use, including "a general description of steps taken or to be taken by the Department of Defense to clarify the circumstances under which riot control agents may be used by members of the Armed Forces."
- Policy (ISP) is working with the Joint Staff (J-5) to gather information from the Combatant Commanders and to determine what steps, if any, need be taken to ensure clear guidance is provided to the field on permissible uses of RCAs.



**OSD** 00309-06

NOU-15-2005	11:29
-------------	-------

DOD-6C-1A&1 (b)(6)

(b)(6)	P.1

03

FOUO

A,

NOV 1 0 2005

11

Jim Haynes TO:

FROM: Donald Rumsfeld

SUBJECT: Ensign Amendment

Please read the attached Erzign Amendment and tell me where you think, and where we ought to be standing on it.

Thanks.

Attach: 11/8/05 ASD LA memo to SecDef

DKR\_11 110905-11 ....... ..................  ${\mathcal C}_{1}$ 

Please Respond By November 22, 2005



OSD 00309-06

ł

The Library of Congress >THOMAS Home > Bills, Resolutions > Search Results

THIS SEARCH Next Hit Prev Hit Hit List THIS DOCUMENT Forward Back Best Sections Contents <u>Display</u> W TO New Bills Search HomePage Help

### H.R.1815

#### National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Engrossed Amendment as Agreed to by Senate)

#### SEC. 1080, RIOT CONTROL AGENTS.

(a) Restatement of Policy- It is the policy of the United States that riot control agents are not chemical weapons and that the president may authorize their use as legitimate, legal, and non-lethal alternatives to the use of force that, as provided in Executive Order 11850 (40 Fed. Reg. 16187) and consistent with the resolution of ratification of the Chemical Weapons convention, may be employed by members of the Armed Forces in war in defensive military modes to save lives, including the illustrative purposes cited in Executive Order 11850.

(b) Report Required-

(1) IN GENERAL- Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the President shall submit to Congress a report on the use of riot control agents by members of the Armed Forces.

(2) CONTENT- The report required by paragraph (1) shall include -

(A) a description of all regulations, doctrines, training materials, and any other information related to the use of riot control agents by members of the Armed Forces;

(B) a description of the doctrinal publications, training, and other resources provided or available to members of the Armed Forces on an annual basis with regard to the tactical employment of riot control agents;

(*C*) a description of how the material described in subparagraphs (*A*) and (*B*) is consistent with United States policy on the use of riot control agents;

(D) a description of the availability of riot control agents, and the means

I

ł

to employ them, to members of the Armed Forces deployed in Irag and Afghanistan:

(E) a description of the frequency of use of riot control agents since January 1, 1992, and a summary of views held by military commanders about the utility of the employing riot control agents by members of the Armed Forces:

(F) a general description of steps taken or to be taken by the Department of Defense to clarify the circumstances under which riot control agents may be used by members of the Armed Forces; and

(G) an assessment of the legality of Executive Order 11850, including an explanation why Executive Order 11850 remains valid under United States law.

(3) FORM- The report required by paragraph (1) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

(c) Definitions- In this section:

(1) CHEMICAL WEAPONS CONVENTION- The term 'Chemical Weapons Convention' means the Convention on the Prohibitions of Development, Production, Stockpiling and Use of Chemical Weapons and on Their Destruction, with annexes, done at Paris, January 13, 1993, and entered into force April 29, 1997 (T. Doc. 103-21).

(2) RESOLUTION OF RATIFICATION OF THE CHEMICAL WEAPONS CONVENTION- The term 'resolution of ratification of the Chemical Weapons Convention' means S. Res. 75, 105th Congress, agreed to April 24, 1997, advising and consenting to the ratification of the Chemical Weapons Convention.

#### SEC. 1081. DRUG TRAFFICKING DETERRENCE.

(a) Findings

(1) According to the Department of State, drug trafficking organizations shipped approximately nine tons of cocaine to the United States through the Dominican Republic in 2004, and are increasingly using small, high-speed watercraft.

(2) Drug traffickers use the Caribbean corridor to smuggle narcotics to the United States via Puerto Rico and the Dominican Republic. This route is ideal for drug trafficking because of its geographic expanse, numerous law enforcement jurisdictions and fragmented investigative efforts.

(3) The tethered aerostat system in Lajas, Puerto Rico contributes to deterring and detecting smugglers moving illicit drugs into Puerto Rico. The aerostat's range and operational capabilities allow it to provide surveillance coverage of

12/29/2005

#### THE US. NATIONAL ARCHIVES & RECORDS ADMINISTRATION

#### www.archives.gov

Wednesday, January 4, 2006

Executive Order 11850--Renunciation of certain uses in war of chemical herbicides and riot control agents

Source: The provisions of Executive Order 11850 of Apr. 8, 1975, appear at **40** FR 16187, **3 CFR**, 1971-1975 Comp., **p**. 980, unless otherwise noted.

The United States renounces, as a matter of national policy, first use of herbicides in war except use, under regulations applicable to their domestic use, for control of vegetation within U.S. bases and installations or around their immediate defensive perimeters, and first use of riot control agents in war except in defensive military modes to save lives such as:

(a) Use of riot control agents in riot control situations in areas under direct and distinct **US**, military control, to include controlling rioting prisoners of war.

(b) Use of riot control agents in situations in which civilians are used to mask or screen attacks and civilian casualties can be reduced or avoided.

(c) Use of riot control agents in rescue missions in remotely isolated areas, of downed aircrews and passengers, and escaping prisoners.

(d) Use of riot control agents in rear echelon areas outside the zone of immediate combat to protect convoys from civil disturbances, terrorists and paramilitary organizations.

I have determined that the provisions and procedures prescribed by this Order are necessary to ensure proper implementation and observance of such national policy.

**NOW, THEREFORE,** by virtue of the authority vested in me as President of the United States of America by the Constitution and laws of the United States and as Commander-in-Chief of the Armed Forces of the United States, it is hereby ordered as follows:

Section **1**. The Secretary of Defense shall take all necessary measures to ensure that the use by the Armed Forces of the United States of any riot control agents and chemical herbicides in war is prohibited unless such use has Presidential approval, in advance.

**Sec.** 2. The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe the rules and regulations he deems necessary to ensure that the national policy herein announced shall be observed by the Armed Forces of the United States.

Page URL: http://www.archives.gov/federal-register/codification/executive-order/11850.html

The U.S. National Archives and Recorde Administration

6601 Adelphi Road, College Park, MD 20740-6001 . Telephone: 1-86-NARA-NARA or 1-866-272-6272

Stabenow	
Stevens	
Sununu	
Talent	
Thomas	
Thune	
Vitter	
Voinovich	
Warner	
Wyden	NOT VOTING1

#### Corzine

The amendment (No. 2440), as modified, was agreed to.

Mr. WARNER. Mr. President, I move to reconsider the vote.

Mr. DODD. I move to lay that motion on the table.

The motion to lay on the table was agreed to.

Mr. WARNER. Mr. President, it is my understanding that we have a second vote as ordered.

#### AMENDMENT NO. 2443

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The next question is on the Ensign amendment.

There are 2 minutes equally divided. Who yields time?

Mr. ENSIGN. Mr. President, Task that Senator Allard be added as cosponsor to my amendment.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. ENSIGN. Mr. President, very simply, this amendment seeks to clarify what the

12/16/2005

#### Search Results - THOMAS (Library of Congress)

policy of the United States has been since 1975, that our military would be **able** to use riot control agents--in this case tear gas--for defensive purposes. That has been the policy of the United States. But because of some interpretations, our military is not able to use tear gas. They do not take it with them, they do not train with it, and in many cases tear gas--just as police forces use it all over the world--would save civilian lives as well as lives of the members of our military.

This is absolutely a critical amendment to save lives of Americans and for those civilians who, when our military kills them--and unfortunately these things happen--it makes us look bad as a country.

This is a critical amendment that we need to adopt.

Mr. WARNER. Mr. President, I wish to indicate to my colleagues that I have carefully studied this. Isupport the Ensign amendment. Idefer to my colleague, Senator *Levin*.

Mr. LEVIN. Mr. President, the Senator from Nevada has assured the Senate that this amendment does not seek, in any way, to change current policy, including Executive Order 11850, relative to the use of riot control agents. Inote that the President has provided the Presidential approval required by that Executive order for use of riot control agents in Iraq. We look forward to consulting with the administration. The amendment of the Senator from Nevada is an appropriate amendment. It could be very helpful, and we support the amendment.

Mr. WARNER. Mr. President, as Istated on the floor yesterday, Iam able to support Senator **Ensign's** amendment because it now includes several important modifications that were requested by the administration. As a result of those modifications, the amendment more accurately reflects current U.S. policy and law regarding the use of riot control agents by members of the Armed Forces. I thank Senator **ENSIGN** for agreeing to those modifications. I will take into account the views and recommendations of the administration as we continue our work on this issue and the bill in conference.

The resolution of ratification for the Chemical Weapons Convention, CWC, passed by this body contained a condition requiring the President to certify that the United States is not restricted by the CWC in its use of riot control agents in certain specified circumstances. In addition, the condition required the President not to eliminate or alter Executive Order 11850, which prohibits the use of riot control agents in war `'except in defensive military modes to save lives."

In response to questions from myself and Senator *Levin* on the floor yesterday and today, Senator *Ensign* confirmed that he does not seek through this amendment to amend, expand or reinterpret Executive Order 11850 in any way. It is on that understanding that I can support his amendment.

The Senator from Nevada has raised the question of whether the U.S. Armed Forces currently have sufficiently clear authority with respect to riot control agents. Thave looked into this matter and consulted with representatives of the Department of Defense, including representatives of our commanders in the field.

They have informed me and my staff that, in their view, the use of riot control agents is a very complex matter. It is not clear that commanders in the field want to use

http://thomas.loc.gov/cgi-bin/query/F?1109.5:9559/P090265546130117:

12/16/2005

. \_\_\_\_ . . . . -

ł

"RCAs" widely. However, there are a number of cases where RCAs could be very useful to avoid unnecessary loss of life. I have been assured that, consistent with the Executive Order, U.S. Armed Forces have authority to use riot control agents. Furthermore, I am informed that DoD will examine whether any confusion exists about RCA use, and will take all steps necessary to ensure that U.S. Armed Forces have the clear guidance that they need and deserve.

I am confident that the DoD and the administration will ensure that our men and women in uniform have every tool available to them consistent with U.S. and international law.

Mr. LUGAR. Mr. President, I rise today to share my views on the amendment offered by Senator Ensign regarding the use of riot control agents, RCAs, by members of our Armed Forces in war. As one of the principal proponents of Senate ratification of the CWC, along with my ranking member, Senator Biden, I feel it important to provide my views in relation to this amendment.

I will vote in favor this amendment, and I do **so** because I believe that it in no way modifies, changes, reinterprets, or otherwise revises the laws of the United States regarding the use of RCAs in war to save lives, nor in any way affects U.S. compliance with our international obligations. This amendment creates no new law, and changes no U.S. policy.

When the Senate approved a resolution of advice and consent to ratification of the Convention on the Prohibition of Development, Production, Stockpiling and Use of Chemical Weapons and on Their Destruction--The Chemical Weapons Convention or CWC in 1997, it made the conditional on maintaining U.S. law in effect at that time. Condition 26(B) of that resolution of ratification stated:

The President shall take no measure, and prescribe no rule or regulation, which would alter or eliminate Executive Order 11850 of April **8**, 1975.

Senator Ensign's amendment mentions both this Executive order and the Senateapproved condition.

Senator Ensign's amendment cannot modify that condition, and because it merely restates authority the President already has regarding the use of RCAs in war. I believe that voting for the amendment will not harm U.S. leadership in preventing the proliferation of chemical weapons nor will it reverse the will of the Senate at the time it approved the CWC. I look forward to working with Chairman Warner, Senator Levin, and the administration as this provision is considered in conference with the House, and in efforts to improve it in that conference.

Mr. BIDEN. Mr. President, I will vote in favor of the Ensign amendment to this bill, relating to the use of riot control agents, and I want to make clear to my colleagues why a steadfast supporter of the Chemical Weapons Convention can do so in good conscience. Senator Ensign is concerned that current interpretation of U.S. policy and of U.S. obligations under international law might be hampering U.S. forces in Iraq. Igather that not everybody shares that belief, but I do not doubt that some people have this concern, and I appreciate Senator Ensign's desire to make sure that people in the

12/16/2005

#### [Page: S12578] <u>GPO's PDF</u>

military fully understand what they can and cannot do when it comes to using riot control agents in Iraq.

What is important about the Ensign amendment, in my view, is that it will in no way modify either U.S. policy or U.S. international obligations regarding the use of riot control agents. The statement, in subsection (a) of the amendment that ``riot control agents are not chemical weapons" is fully consistent with the Chemical Weapons Convention, in which ``riot control agent" is defined as a chemical, not listed in any of the Convention's three lists of chemical weapons or their precursors, \_`which can produce rapidly in humans sensory irritation or disabling physical effects which disappear within a short time following termination of exposure." That definition is quite different from the definition of a ``toxic chemical" in a chemical weapon, ``which through its chemical action on life processes can cause death, temporary incapacitation or permanent harm to humans or animals." So the Ensign amendment is correct in that a riot control agent, as defined in the Chemical Weapons Convention, would not be a chemical weapon as defined in that convention.

Similarly, the Ensign amendment now before this body accurately reflects U.S. policy as established by President Gerald Ford in Executive Order 11850 of April 8, 1975. That Executive order, signed by a Republican President and implemented by six subsequent Presidents of both parties over the last 30 years, states: \*\* The United States renounces, as a matter of national policy .....first use of riot control agents in war except in defensive military modes to save lives......." It goes on to give four examples of such defensive military modes, only two of which relate to combat zones:

'(b) ..... in situations in which civilians are used to mask or screen attacks and civilian casualties can be reduced or avoided"; and

``(c) .....in rescue missions in remotely isolated areas, of downed aircrews and passengers, and escaping prisoners."

Executive Order 11850 then orders implementation, as follows:

``Sec. 1. The Secretary of Defence shall take all necessary measures to ensure that the use by the Armed Forces of the United States of any riot control agents and chemical herbicides in war is prohibited unless such use has Presidential approval, in advance.

**`Sec. 2.** The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe the rules and regulations he deems necessary to ensure that the national policy herein announced shall be observed by the Armed Forces of the United States."

As far as I can tell, Senator **Ensign** does not intend that anything in Executive Order 11850 be changed, nor that there be any change in the U.S. policy and obligation to fully obey the Chemical Weapons Convention, which binds each state party ``not to use riot control agents as a method of warfare." It is standing U.S. policy that if somebody is using human shields, as occurred in Somalia in the early 1990s, our Armed Forces may use riot control agents ``in defensive military modes to save lives" without violating our obligations as state party to the Chemical Weapons Convention.

In light of **my** view that the Ensign amendment will not change U.S. policy and will not call into question the requirement to comply with our international obligations under the Chemical Weapons Convention, Isee no reason to oppose this amendment. I do urge, however, that the limited nature of this amendment be made more explicit in conference.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. All time has been yielded. The question is on agreeing to the amendment. The yeas and nays have been ordered, and the clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk called the roll.

Mr. DURBIN. I announce that the Senator from New Jersey (Mr. CORZINE) is necessarily absent.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Are there any other Senators in the Chamber desiring to vote?

The result was announced-yeas 98, nays 1, as follows: [Rolicall Vote No. 313 Leg.] YEAS--98

Akaka

Alexander

Allard

Allen

Baucus

Bayh

Bennett

Biden

Bingaman

Bond

Boxer

Brownback

Bunning

Burns

Burr

#### POUO

DEC 0 7 2005

DPR.as 1 20605-				
Than	iks.			
Please give Newt Gingrich a call, and walk him through your response on Walter Reed. His phone number is: $(b)(b)$				
SUB	JECT:	Call Newt Gingrich on your Walter Reed Response		
FRO	ЭМ	Donald Rumsfeld		
CC.		David Chu		
TO:		Bill Winkenwerder		

Please Respond By 12/20/05

FOUO

11-L-0559/OSD/55471

OSD 00315-06





WASHINGTON, D. C. 20301-1200

• 2:50

1.1.1

L.

÷

WEALTH AFFAIRS

#### INFO MEMO

JAN 5 2006

# FROM: William Winkenwerder, Jr. OND, ASD (Health Affairs)

SUBJECT: Medical Ideas from Newt Gingrich

- You asked me to call Newt Gingrich and discuss my response to his inquiry about the use of Walter Reed Army Medical Center as a reserve hospital during pandemic or terrorist attacks. (TAB A).
- I spoke with him on December 13,2005. We had a good conversation and he agreed with my suggestions. He was especially interested in the discussion regarding the Departments of Health & Human Services and Homeland Security pursuing initiatives to create temporary deployable facilities to maintain required beds and trained staff.
- My earlier info memo to you on this subject is included at TAB B.

COORDINATION: USD (P&R) Clark C. Line 1 Jon OL

Attachments: As stated

Prepared by: Lt Col Tilson, OASD (HA)<sup>(b)(6)</sup> DOCS Open 96956,98414

# TAB



#### FOUO

## 1 or 50 DEC 0 7 2005

TO. Bill Winkenwerder

C C David Chu

FROM: Donald Rumsfeld

SUBJECT: Call Newt Gingrich on your Walter Reed Response

Please give Next. Gingrich a call, and walk him through your response on Vielber

Reed. His phone number is: ((b)(6)

Thanks.

DHR.ss [20605-23

Please Respond By 12/20/05

FOUO

11-L-0559/OSD/55474

OSD 00315-06

ī

# TAB

# B

;

ł



#### THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

1200 DEFENSE PENTAGON WASHINGTON, DC20301-1200

#### **INFO MEMO**

HEALTH AFFAIRS

FOR: SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

DEC 2 2005

OSD 23468-05

FROM: William Winkenwerder, Jr., MD, ASD (Health Affairs)

SUBJECT Suggestion from Newt Gingrich Concerning Retaining Walter Reed Army Medical Center

- You asked for my thoughts regarding using Walter Reed Army Medical Center as a reserve hospital that could be expanded during a pandemic or terrorist attack as suggested by Mr. Gingrich (TAB A).
- Although at initial glance, **this** would appear to be a good idea, it is probably not for the following reasons:
  - Extraordinary resources would be needed to maintain Walter Reed Army Medical Center in acceptable condition, as well as staff to operate the facility.
  - o There are more effective and affordable alternatives. The Departments of Health & Human Services **and** Homeland Security are leading initiatives to create temporary deployable facilities, and they are working with private sector hospitals to maintain required beds and trained staff.
  - o DoD could, if needed, support these efforts with deployment of our own field hospitals.
- The most important effort now to prepare for and manage a pandemic should be to develop and procure needed medical countermeasures(antivirals, vaccines, etc), and to have the capability to rapidly distribute these materials. These efforts, now ongoing, are far more critical than having a standing hospital to care for the sick.
- Finally, according to DRAC rules, if DoD has no specific use for the Walter Reed site, it could be then turned over to another government agency, the District of Columbia, or the private sector. I expect others will be working hard to pursue their vision for how to use this potentially very valuable piece of real estate.

COORDINATION: USD (P&R) Thinks. U. Charmed and the

Attachment: As stated

Prepared by: Clayton Beonecke, OCFO, (b)(6) DOCS Open 95561,96587



#### FOUO

NOV 0 9 2005

TO: Bill Winkenwerder

CC: David Chu

FROM: Donald Rumsfeld PL

SUBJECT: Gingrich Suggestion

Please take a look at this note from Newt Gingrich on Walter Reed. **Any** thoughts?

Thanks.

Attach: 11/7/05 E-Mail from Newt Gingrich

DHR.ss 110805-13

Please Respond By 12/01/05

FOUO

05D 23468-05

FROM: NEWT		
ricom. New	10	

rom: Sent: To: Subject:

(b)(6)

 (b)(6)
 CIV, OSD

 Monday, November 07,2005 3:11 PM

 (b)(6)
 CIV, OSD

 Fw: A Hospital Plan for Pandemics

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

CIV, OSD

----Original Message-----

From: Thirdwave2 <thirdwave2@speakergingrich.com>

To: ahubbard@who.eop.gov <ahubbard@who.eop.gov>; mol@hhs.gov <mol@hhs.gov>;

jbolten@omb.eop.gov <jbolten@omb.eop.gov>

CC: minicclellanoa@cris.htis.gov <minicclellanoa@cris.htis.gov>, jyg2@cdc.gov <jyg2@cdc.gov>, cclancy@ahrq.gov <ccmgrath@ovp.eop.gov> Sent: Sun Nov 06 07:06:53 2005

Subject: FW: A Hospital Plan for Pandemics

It may be worth asking an outside panel if waiter reed should be transferred *to* the public health service and maintained as a reserve hospital that could be expanded during a pandemic or a terrorist attack

I am not advocating this but the contrast between saying we are worried about a pandemic with too few hospital beds while closing a major hospital is striking

newt

From: Terry Balderson [mailto:tbalders@tampabay.rr.com] Sent: Sunday, November 06,2005 5:57 AM To: Thirdwave2 Cc: Robert Egge Subject: A Hospital Plan for Pandemics

A Hospital Plan for Pandemics Don't Close Walter Reed and Other 'Obsolete' Facilities

By Phillip Longman The Washington Post Sunday, November 6, 2005: B07

Got your Tamiflu yet? How about a home respirator and a live-in nurse? If expert predictions of a coming flu pandemic prove right, there's little chance you'll be able to find a hospital bed in which to

1

#### recover

Here in Washington, for example, after a long series of hospital closures, there are only 4.346 hospital beds left -- a number that will soon go lower with the closing of Walter Reed Army Medical Center's main facilities. Yet projections show that even a moderately severe strain of a pandemic flu virus would require some 5,000 people to be hospitalized in the District alone. Even if we discharged every patient in Washington's hospitals -- including all the mental patients in St. Elizabeths. all the frail elderly in Hadley Memorial's long-term acute care facility and all the veterans in Veterans Affairs Medical Center -- there still would not be enough hospital beds available to care for, or even to quarantine, highly infectious flu patients.

The same is true nationally. Since 1980 the number of hospital beds available per U.S. resident has declined by roughly 40 percent. Today the United States has only about 965,000 staffed hospital beds. Yet Trust for America's Health, a nonprofit group committed to promoting public health, estimates that the emergence of a pandemic flu virus like the one of 1918 would require hospitalization of 2.3 million people in this country.

There are many sound reasons why the number of hospital beds has been declining. New technology allows for much greater use of outpatient facilities. Galloping medical inflation demands more cost-effective care. But the result is a health care system that is perpetually running at or above 100 percent capacity, and that will be overwhelmed by a pandemic, major terrorism attack or natural disaster.

Fortunately, there is a way to help solve this problem and many others that plague our health care system.

Let's start with the example of Walter Reed. Locatedjust 5 1/2 miles from the White House, 6 1/2 miles from the Capitol and six miles from the Washington Convention Center, its facilities, including a hospital built in 1972, are an integral component of the District's emergency preparedness plan. In the event of a mass casualty terrorist attack or other public health emergency, the plan calls for Walter Reed to discharge its noncritical patients and begin treating civilian victims within as little as three hours. Walter Reed is particularly well equipped and well situated to treat not only victims of a flu pandemic but also those wounded by a nuclear or biological attack in downtown Washington. But maintaining this capacity is expensive, and right now Congress is poised to accept the recommendation of the Base Realignment and Closure Commission that the main hospital and most other buildings on the 113-acre campus be razed.

It may well be appropriate for the military *to* reorganize and rationalize the way it delivers care in the Washington area and many other parts of the country, just as it is for the private sector. Across the Northeast and Midwest, for example, many VA hospitals have lost their patient base because so many aging veterans have retired elsewhere. The Department of Veterans Affairs has announced that it is closing hospitals in Pittsburgh and in Brecksville, Ohio, and it is threatening to close facilities in Brooklyn and Manhattan. But rather than abandon these and other "obsolete" hospitals -- including many shuttered public hospitals such as D.C. General -- we should turn at least some of them into facilities that will stand ready to serve the public in the event of disasters and that between disasters will serve the uninsured and those on Medicaid.

Private health care providers are under such enormous pressures to contain costs that they cannot begin to afford to keep wards open that aren't filled nearly every day. This makes it the proper role of government *to* ensure we have surge capacity that the private sector cannot deliver. Literally every American, including those with gold-plated health insurance plans, stands to benefit from a health care system built to handle such increasing **risks** as a flu pandemic, another Katrina, a major

2

earthquake or a terrorist attack.

Such a plan would also go a long way toward both rationalizing the U.S. health care system and making it more equitable. Study after study has shown that Veterans Affairs, by making extensive use of electronic medical records, information technology and provider incentives, is providing health care of far higher quality, and at less cost, than that received by most insured Americans, let alone the uninsured. At the same time, hospitals in the District of Columbia spend nearly 7 percent of their revenue on the uninsured, and the total cost to the local health care system is much higher. Meanwhile, nearly a quarter of all patients in Washington hospitals are on Medicaid, and to hear the hospitals tell it, they lose money on every one.

Message to Congress and local decision makers: Why not turn Walter Reed and facilities like it across the country into pilot projects that can point the way toward true reform and improvement of our beleaguered health care system?

The writer is a senior fellow at the New America Foundation.

FOUO

muluced, me ! :

November 30, 2005 I-05/014241 ES-4542

÷

I.

ł

TO: Eric Edelman

D.h. Donald Rumsfeld FROM:

SUBJECT: Memo on Mongolian Exercise

This memo seems not to answer my question as to what we ought to be doing to help Mongolia to have a successful exercise. Any thoughts?

Thanks.

Attach: 10125105 SecDef memo to ASD ISA, 11/28/05 ASD ISA Memo to SecDef

DHR.ss 112905-10

Please Respond By 12/14/05

FOUO

2-11-35 AT#121 1
-FOU'O

203 0 11 10 10 10 06

0CT 2 5 2005 J-05/014241 ES-4542

TO. Peter Rodman

CC: Eric Edelman

FROM: Donald Rumsfeld

SUBJECT Mongolian Exercise

We ought to think of countries we'd like to get involved in that Mongolian conquest exercise.

Thanks.

----

\_

. .\*

-

Der Un 1024-549-15160 Please respond by November 17, 2005

# OSD 23059-05

25-11-35 PO1-35 18

NOV 2 3 200:

21 7

285 3 10 06

A/DSD

65/01424

ES-45

#### INFO MEMO

#### FOR SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

FROM: Peter W. Rodman, Assistant Secretary of Defense, International Security Affairs

SUBJECT: Response to SecDef Question on How to Attain Participation of Other Countries in the Mongolian Multi-lateral Exercise KHAAN QUEST 2006

- (U) You asked which countries we would like to see participate in KHAAN QUEST 2006 (next under).
- (U) Mongolia will host KHAAN QUEST 2006 in August. The 2006 exercise will be the first held under the auspices of the Global Peace Operations Initiative (GPOI).
  - (U) PACOM has invited Japan, Republic of Korea (ROK), Thailand, Fiji. Tonga, and Australia.
  - (U) We anticipate Mongolia will request their neighbors, Russia and China, attend the exercise as observers.
  - (U) Mongolia will have 500 infantry soldiers take part in the exercise.
     KHAAN QUEST 2006 is designed to support up to 1,000 participants.
- (U) Increasing the number of participating countries will help Mongolia to become a hub for regional peacekeeping training and is critical to maintaining troop skills and interoperability.

COORDINATION: Ms. Mary Beth Long, PDASD/ISA Mr. Richard Lawless, DUSD/AP BGen John Allen, PD/AP ASD/SOLIC: Mr. Quentin Hodgson, 11/15/05 Joint Staff NEA/SEA: COL Little, 11/16/05 PACOM J5: CAPT Skinner, 11/15/05 State PM & EAP: Ms. Rachel Featherstone & Mr. Michael Goldman, 11/15/05

Prepared by: Ms. Suzanne Ross, OSD/ISA/AP, (b)(6)

### UNCLASSIFIED

OSD 23059-05

1

28-11-15 ALT: +5 11

#### COORDINATION:

Principal Deputy, Asst. Secretary of Defense, International Security Affairs: (Ms. Mary Beth Long, (b)(6) Deputy Under Secretary of Defense, Asian & Pacific Affairs: (Mr. Richard Lawless (b)(6) Principal Director, Asian & Pacific Affairs: (BGen John Allen, USMC, (b)(6) Asst. Secretary of Defense, Special Operations & Low Intensity Conflict: 12/23/05 (Mr. Quentin Hodgson, (b)(6) Office of the Secretary of Defense, Comptroller: Information Provided, 12/22/05 Defense Security Cooperation Agency: 12/28/05 (Lt Col Phil Hezeltine, (b)(6)

PACOM: 12/28/05 (LTC Tim Dunne, PACOM 57<sup>(b)(6)</sup>

Department of State: 12/28/05 (Ms. Rachel Featherstone, Pol/Mil Bureau, (b)(6)

> UNCLASSIFIED 11-L-0559/OSD/55484

JAN 05 2006

#### INFO MEMO

A/DSD USDP 44 TAN 0 9 2006 I-05/014241 ES-4542

#### FOR SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

FROM: Peter W. Rodman, Assistant Secretary of Defense, International Security Affairs.

SUBJECT: Memo on Mongolian Exercise

1:30

- (U) You asked what the U.S. can do to assist Mongolia in having a successful KHAAN QUEST in 2006 (next under)
- (U) Based on the December 2005 Initial Planning Conference (IPC), planning for this event is proceeding at a good pace:
  - o (U) During the IPC, personnel from the Mongolian Armed Forces, PACOM, and ROK National Defense University's Peacekeeping Operations Center developed the scenario of a large-scale humanitarian disaster for the staff-training exercise.
  - O (U) Personnel from USARPAC, Alaska National Guard, and Oregon National Guard, and the Mongolian Armed Forces scripted out the scenarios for the fieldtraining exercise.
- (U) One focus of our effort now is to engage additional international partners:
  - o (U) Australia, Japan, the Republic of Korea, Singapore, Thailand, Fiji, and Tonga, have expressed interest in participating in KHAAN QUEST 2006. We are contacting Canada now.
  - o (U) We will work with State, PACOM, and Mongolia to provide suggestions to these nations as to how they might participate. Some initial ideas include:
    - (U) providing strategic lift to bring selected countries to the exercise;
    - (U) funding the upgrade of the Five Hills Training Center in Mongolia where KHAAN QUEST will be held; and,
    - (U) resourcing the observation and participation of international organizations, such as the International Committee of the Red Cross, in the exercise.

UNCLASSIFIED 11-L-0559/OSD/55485 CE-CI 0 SD 003 36-06

## Way Ahead:

- (U) To make future GPOI capstone exercises better, we will work with Combatant Commanders to encourage their factoring GPOI activities into their requests for Theater Security Cooperation funding.
  - o (U) Although PACOM did budget for KHAAN QUEST 2006, the new requirements to make the exercise a GPOI capstone peacekeeping exercise have resulted in PACOM's having to pull from its O&M funds to support its role in KHAAN QUEST 2006.
- (U) We will suggest defined roles for international partners early on in the planning process for GPOI capstone peacekeeping exercises.

UNCLASSIFIED 11-L-0559/OSD/55486

	1000-	CONTRACTOR AND
		28K 201 Ha Alt 8:32
		JAN 0 3 2005
		06/00009 ES-5004
TO:	Eric Edelman	
CC:	Gen Pete Pace	
FROM:	Donald Rumsfeld	
SUBJECT:	Point Person for Iraq	
Let's think t	hrough whether we aced someone	in the Pentagon to manage Iraq for
us, 1ike Luti	used to cb. We no longer have so	omeone like that
<b>~</b> .		
Thanks,		· · · ·
Thanks,		
1 hanks, DHR:ch 12205-04 (T5).de		OSD 00354 <b>-0</b> 6

ł

	-FOUO-	
		0 €200 1° - 01 ∩ <b>: 32</b>
		JAN 03 2006
		06/000009 ES-5004
то.	Eric Edelman	,
CC.	Gen Pete Pace	
FROM	Donald Rumsfeld	
SUBJECT:	Point Person for Iraq	
Iat's think t	hrough whether we need someone in t	he Pentacon to manage Irao for
	used to do. We no longer have some	÷
Thanks.		
DHR:		OSD <i>00354-</i> (
122905-04 (73).do		
<b>Please</b> resp	ond by <b>January</b> 26,2006	1411 A 2000
~	A -	JAN 0 9 2006
m.	Secretury, your may reca	
As	your may reca	Il we have
14 be	Shulsky per	farming that role
	a tempo la	sis ucht how.
m	a remportary Oc	2
on I ho	a temponary Go ne to persuade	Abe to make at a
Jha	per to persuade	Abe to make at a
Iha	a remparary or persuade manust anong FOUD	Abe to make at a

11-L-055<u>9/OSD</u>/55488

---



#### THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE WASHINGTON

JAN 10 2006

Mr. Merrill Worcester Worcester Wreath Company 1 North Street Post Office **Box 214** Harrington, **ME 04643** 

Dear Mr. Worcester,

When I saw a photograph of the beautiful wreaths placed in Arlington National Cemetery over Christmas, I hastened to write you. I want to thank you and your company for spearheading this special initiative. It is a poignant **and** fitting tribute to those whose service helped make our way of life possible.

Your generosity and patriotism is remarkable. Please know how much I appreciate your efforts. I wish you, your family, and your colleagues all the best in the New Year.

Sincerely,

ω

**3**550

# OSD 00363-06

# 11-L-0559/OSD/55489

. -----

1014×06

Mr. Merrill Worcester Worcester Wreath Company 1North Street Post Office Box 214 Harrington, ME 04643

Dear Mr. Worcester,

When I saw a photograph of the beautiful wreaths placed in Arlington National Cemetery over Christmas, I hastened to write you. I want to thank you and your company for spearheading this special initiative. It is a poignant and fitting tribute to those whose service helped make our way of life possible.

Your generosity and patriotism is remarkable. Please know how much I appreciate your efforts. I wish you, your family, and your colleagues all the best in the New Year.

Sincerely,

The:

CSC SMOOPA M

FOUO

January 05, 2006

	TO:	Robert Rangel	
	FROM	Donald Rumsfeld	ն
	SUBJECT	Acknowledgement of Wreaths at Arlington	بې
·	l-ought to-w	rite a letter to the person who sends these wreaths to Arlington over	5
	Christmas,	Here is the material.	Ģ
	Thanks.		
	Attach.		

12/27/05 e-mail to JR

DHR.dh 010506-03

Please Respond By January 12, 2006

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE THE SPECIAL AMERICAN

1/5

Bill M -

- CAN YOU HAVE SOMESONE!

-Fact chack/ Userfy story - Draft 15 fer

Accocomply.

**OSD** 00363-06

FOUO 11-L-0559/OSD/55491

## Joyce Rumsfeld

From:"Jack Ruffer" <jackruffer@dc.rr.com>To:<Undisclosed-Recipient;>Sent:Tuesday, December 27,2005 2:09 PMSubject:Fw: ARLINGTON AT CHRISTMAS

- Original Message -

Sent: Tuesday, December 27,2005 10:28 AM Subject: ARLINGTON AT CHRISTMAS

This is just plain old GREAT! This guy deserves an award from Congress.JB

Arlington National Cometory



Rest easy, sleep well my brothers. Know the line has held, your job is done. Rest easy, sleep well. Others have taken up where you fell, the line has held Peace, peace, and farewell.



From Bruce K (in this web posting) ( http://michellemalkin.com/archives/004127.htm) . Your readers may be interested to know that these wreaths - are the former denoted by the title of the second se

He's done this since 1992. A wonderful guy. Also. most years, groups of Maine school kids combine an educational trip to DC with this event to help out. Making this even more remarkable is the **fact** that Harrington is in one the poorest parts of the state. Recent article posted in the **Farm** Bureau News **here.** 

Worcester Wreath Co is here if you wish to thank them for their kindness.

Best Regards, Tom Miller, CBI Message Center CBI Veterans are Unique

# Air Force Link

T٧

Radio Photos Art Letters

Library

#### Careers History

#### AMERICA'S AIR F Subscribe

A

search storis Advanced !

Terminal c

Air power I.

2005 succe: CENTAF airpower SUL

Airmen par

Rose Bowle

 New civilia training post reviewcomr

President I

Pentagontri

Joint Chief

differingcon

\*California(

the Predatoi

Nominatioi

opening for Reserve em

Lackland A

dead in dorr

 Good eats happy troop

CENTAFr-

airpower sui Postal sen

Graduatior

awards

service

lengths

Bagram

Sites

## Airmen, civilians lay wreaths at Arlington

by Senior Airman J.G. Buzanowski Air Force Print News

12/19/2005 - WASHINGTON (AFPN) -- Despite the cold, wind and snow, about 75 people gathered at Arlington National Cemetery on Dec. 16 to

Since 1992, members of the armed forces, civil air patrol, veterans of foreign wars and several civilians have gathered to take part in the project, a

Thirteen years ago he had a holiday wreath surplus and thought back to his youth. At age 12, he'd won a trip to Washington, D.C., and Arlington National Cemetery was one of the most memorable places he visited. His first thought was to bring the extra 4,000 wreaths there.

"We couldn't do anything in this country if it wasn't for the people who gave their lives to protect us,' Mr. Worcester said. "It's a great honor to be able to come here and pay our respects."

In 1993, rather than bring leftover wreaths, he planned for the event. Since then, he's driven to Arlington every year with volunteers and a truck full of decorations.

Word got around to other agencies, such as the civil air patrol and the National Reconnaissance Office, and Several people wanted to help.

"That first year, there were just a few of us, and it took us five or six hours to get them placed," Mr. Worcester said. 'This year, we had extra help and got done in about an hour."

This was the fourth year Tech. Sgt. Lisa. Rodier helped honor those buried at Arlington. She described the event as "very emotional" and something everyone should do at least once.

View AH Images F #

ARLINGTON, Va. (AFPN) .. Tech. Sqt. Scott Glassic places a wreath on a headstone. He was one of hundreds of volunceers who gathered at Arlington National Cemeteryto place more than 5,000 donated Christmas wreaths. The 14th annual wream laying event is the result of Worcester Wreath Company's owner MorrillWordester's, childhooddream of doing something to honor those laid to rest in the national cemetery. (U.S. Air Force photo by Master Sgt. Jim Varhegyi)

#### Download HiRes



Arlington Wreath Laying

Story Tools Printable story Subscribe now

E-mailstory

No matter h every part c Air Force pu

Podcasta

S- 200 Around the

14 R5S AF News via

"hou get a feeling you can truet from anything else," Sergeant Rodier said. These people sacrificed their lives for me and my children, so why can't i sacrifice a little time for them?'

Anyone interested in participating with this event next year should contact Wayne Hanson at (703) 971-4148.

Contact Us

Security and F



Contact Us

Security and Privacy notice



Contact Us

Securty and Privacy notice

:: Worcester wreath ::



sales@

1

Please choose one of our programs to start shopping



Veteran's Wreath Program







Visit A Touch of New Enganr

About the Company Arlington National Cemetery 

Contact I

January 09, 2006

TO:MG Stan McChrystalCC:GEN John Abizaid<br/>Gen Pete PaceFROM:Donald Rumsfeld M

SUBJECT Discussion with Director Mueller

Following my visit with you in the AOR, I had a chance to visit with the head of the FBI on the matter we discussed. He has since left a message that he thinks he has solved the problem.

I hope it was helpful.

DHR.88 010906-07 33350

**OSD** 00365-06

<del>F0U0</del> 11-L-0559/OSD/55498

TO: ADM Ed Giam FROM: Donald Rumsfe SUBJECT: Comparison of	<b>^</b>		1: 26
FROM: Donald Rumsfe	<b>^</b>		
FROM: Donald Rumsfe	<b>^</b>		
	Defense Expenditures	8	
-	s you have for Greece	e, for example, as a 2.8	-
percentage of GDP is not con	ect. There may be of	her errors as well.	
You might want to have some	one check it over.		
Thanks.			
Attach. VCICS incare to SD re: Compa 05)	ilson of U.S. and Foreign	Defense Bapanditures (OS	SD 22 <b>784</b> -
UHR;sili 122505-22 (TS).dm			
Please resnand by January	19. 2006		
		1	
	TOUO.		Tab A
		OSD	00480-06
	impression is that the number percentage of GDP is not com You might want to have some Thanks. Attach. VCJCS means to SD re: Compa- 05) UHR::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	impression is that the numbers you have for Greece percentage of GDP is not correct. There may be of You might want to have someone check it over. Thanks. Attach. VCJCS means to 3D re: Comparison of U.S. and Foreign 05) DR:min 122005-22 (TS).den Please respond by January 19, 2006	impression is that the numbers you have for Greece, for example, as a 2.8 percentage of GDP is not correct. There may be other errors as well. You might want to have someone check it over. Thanks. Attach. VCICS means to SD re: Comparison of U.9, and Fossign Defense Expenditures (Of 05) Difficult MERCH MARKET (FS).dem MERCH Please respond by January 19, 2006



#### THE VICE CHAIRMAN OF THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF WASHINGTON, D.C. 2019-000

MEMORANDUM FOR THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

Subject: Comparison of U.S. and Foreign Defense Expenditures

1. A common comparison of defense spending cited in the press is that, in absolute terms, the U.S. spends more than the next "X" countries combined. According to the most recent International Institute for Strategic Studies (IISS) "Military Balance" report (October 2005), U.S. defense spending totaled \$455.9 billion in 2004, compared with \$454.3 billion for the next <u>fifteen</u> largest spenders combined. Attachment 1 is a list of the top fifty countries from the IISS defense expenditure data (actual outlays where available, vice budget authority).

2. When compared by defense expenditure as a percentage of Gross Domestic-Product (GDP), the U.S. was tied for  $29^{th}$  in 2004 (up from  $47^{th}$  in 2002). Attachment 2 is a bar chart showing the top fifty countries ranked by 2004 defense spending as a percentage of GDP.

Very respectfully,



21 November 2

E. P. GIAMBASTIANI vice Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff

Attachments:

1. Defense Expenditures, Top 50 countries, 2004.

2. Defense Expenditures as a Percent of GDP, Top 50 Countries, 2004.

Copy to: CJCS

Tab A OSD 22784-85

11 L 0550/OSD/55500

<b>1</b> 272			
United States	1	455,998	3.8%
Chine (FRC)	2	62 536	3.7%
Retsia	3	61,908	4.3%
		51,686	2.5%
Frence			23%
Inited Kingdom	5	49,818	
Japan	6	46,181	1.0%
Germany	7	37,796	1.4%
Kaly		30,557	1.8%
Rand Ambia		<u>2</u>	8.8%
<b>india</b>	10	18,847	3.8%
South Kores	11	16,398	2.4%
Australia	12	14,310	2.5%
Spale -	11	12,586	1.2%
Canada	14	11,418	1.1%
Turkey	15	10,115	3.3%
inreal .	18	6,882	8.2%
Nothedande	17	B,897	1.8%
Brad		9,212	1.0%
Indonesia	10	7,953	2.5%
Telwon	29	7,519	2.4%
Myananar	21	6,250	9.4%
Ujergine	22	5,004	1.9%
Greece	20	5,000	2.8%
North Korse	24	5,590	25.0%
Sweden	- 27	5,307	1.3%
Singepore	2	5.042	4.7%
Polend	27		
	21	4,896	1.9%
Norwy Beigtum	2	4,421	
		4,301	1,2%
tan .	*	4,102	2.7%
Keenet	<u> </u>		7.8%
Setteerland	12	3,952	1.0%
Colombia	21	3,000	4.0%
Denmark	34	3,534	1.4%
Egget		3,636	4.6%
Pakistan	30	1,957	3.5%
Vietnem		3,177	1.9%
South Africa	<b>31</b>	3,176	1.4%
Chile		3,159	3.6%
Algeria	40	2,344	3.6%
Portugal	41	2,830	1.6%
Mexico	42	2,771	4.4%
Melayala	41	2,741	2.3%
Belenis	- 44	2,700	3.9%
UAE	44	2,546	2.8%
Omen)	44	2.503	10.0%
Finland	47	2.483	1.3%
Uzheldeten	1	2.400	4.9%
Austria	44	2,722	4,8%
Celar	54	2,060	7.2%
		2,009	F.470

# Defense Expenditures, Top 50 Countries, 2004 Source, 193, "The Military Delance, 2005 - 2006"

.



. .



СМ-0147-06 11:26 11 Јациану 2006

1 1

## INFO MEMO

## FOR SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

FROM Admiral E. P. Giambastiani, VCJCS

SUBJECT: Comparison of Defense Expenditures (SF 122905-22)

- Answer. In response to your issue (TAB A), we've reviewed the numbers in my 21 November 2005 memorandum and found them to be correct. The numbers were drawn from the most recent International Institute for Strategic Studies (IISS) Military Balance report. A comparison was made of the HSS data with those from the most recent CIA World Factbook and the most recent NATO figures (TAB B).
- Analysis. The IISS data for 2004 cited is consistent with the CIA and NATO numbers. For NATO countries, all discrepancies were less than 0.2 percentage points.
- A salutary change was adopted in 2004 in the official NATO definition of defense expenditures, which may have contributed to your concerns regarding the accuracy of the 2.8 percentage figure for Greece. This change excludes expenditures on paramilitary police forces, border patrol, and customs personnel unless they are equipped and trained to support defense forces and are realistically deployable. This reduced the figures for Greece by roughly 1.5 percentage points (TAB C). Greece, Hungary, Portugal, and Turkey are noted in TAB B as the countries most affected by this change.

COORDINATION: NONE

Attachments: As stated

copy to: CJCS

Prepared By: Colonel Craig Franklin, USAF; OVCJCS:(b)(6)

# FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY OSD 00480-06

<del>.</del>	ТАВ А		
	FOUO		
	TO: ADM Ed Giambastiani		
	FROM: Donald Rumsfield		
	SUBJECT: Comparison of Defense Expenditures		
	I looked at this paper you sent on US and Foreign Defens	e Expenditures. My	•
	impression is that the numbers you have for Greece, for e		
	percentage of GDP is not correct. There may be other en		
		·	
	You might want to have someone check it over.		
	Thenks.		
	Attach. VCJCS means to SD re; Comparison of U.S. and Foreign Defense	e Expenditures (OSD 22784-	
	Attach. VCJCS means to SD re; Comparison of U.S. and Foreign Defens 05)	e Expenditures (OSD 22784-	
	VCJCS means to SD re; Comparison of U.S. and Foreign Defens 05)	e Expenditures (OSD 22784-	
	VCJCS means to SD re; Comparison of U.S. and Foreign Defense		
	VCJCS means to SD re; Comparison of U.S. and Foreign Defens 05) DHR::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	e Expenditures (OSD 22784-	
	VCJCS means to SD re; Comparison of U.S. and Foreign Defens 05) DHR:0		
	VCJCS means to SD re; Comparison of U.S. and Foreign Defens 05) DHR::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::		
	VCJCS means to SD re; Comparison of U.S. and Foreign Defens 05) DHR::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::		
	VCJCS means to SD re; Comparison of U.S. and Foreign Defens 05) DHR::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::		
	VCJCS means to SD re; Comparison of U.S. and Foreign Defens 05) DHR::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::		•
	VCJCS means to SD re; Comparison of U.S. and Foreign Defens 05) DHR::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::		
	VCJCS means to SD re; Comparison of U.S. and Foreign Defens 05) DHR::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::		
	VCJCS means to SD re; Comparison of U.S. and Foreign Defens 05) DHR::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::		
	VCJCS means to SD re; Comparison of U.S. and Foreign Defens 05) DHR::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::		
	VCJCS means to SD re; Comparison of U.S. and Foreign Defens 05) DHR::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	£ <b>) 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 </b>	
	VCJCS means to SD re; Comparison of U.S. and Foreign Defens 05) DHR::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::		
	VCJCS means to SD re; Comparison of U.S. and Foreign Defens 05) DHR:: 122005-22 (TS).doe Please respond by January 19, 2006	£ <b>) 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 </b>	
	VCJCS means to SD re; Comparison of U.S. and Foreign Defens 05) DHR:: 122005-22 (TS).doe Please respond by January 19, 2006	£ <b>) 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 </b>	

•		2
	THE VICE CHARGAN OF THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF	TINE
1	WASHINGTON, D.C. 2011-000	\$ 39
		N.
		mp1
	21 November 2005	
	MEMORANDUM FOR THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE	
	Subject: Comparison of U.S. and Foreign Defense Expenditures	
	1. A common comparison of defense spending cited in the press is that, in absolute terms, the U.S. spends more than the next "X" countries combined. According to the most recent International Institute for Strategic Studies (IISS) "Military Balance" report (October 2005), U.S. defense spending totaled \$455.9 billion in 2004, compared with \$454.3 billion for the next <u>fifteen</u> largest spenders combined. Attachment 1 is a list of the tap fifty <i>Countries</i> from the IISS defense expenditure data (actual outlays where available, vice budget authority).	
	2. When compared by defense expenditure as a percentage of Gross Domestic Product (GDP), the U.S. was tied for 29 <sup>th</sup> in 2004 (upfrom 47 <sup>th</sup> in 2002). Attachment 2 is a <i>bar chart</i> showing the top fifty countries ranked by 2004 defense spending as a percentage of GDP.	
	Very respectfully,	
	So wer	
	Za Arabert	
	E. P. CHAMBASTIANI	
	vice Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff	
	Attachments:	I
	Attachments:	I
	Attachments:	I
	Attachments: Copy to: CJCS	·
		I
		I
		·

11 L 0550/OSD/55505

	President and the second second	4.4.006	
Lindhad States	1	6141.984	3.8%
Chine (PRC)	2	62.539	\$.7%
Bunnele	3		4.3%
Runela		01,900 51,696	2.5%
France	4		2.3%
United Kingdom		44,618	1.0%
Japan	6	45,131	1.4%
Gentury		37,790	1.8%
tair Saud Arabia		30,537	1.07
Sauce Arabia		50,914	1.1%
India		15,647 14,388 14,310	2.4%
Bouth Korea			2.3%
Australia	12	14,510	
Seela	11	12,580	1.2%
Canada	14	11,410	1.1%
Turing	18	10,115	3.3%
isreel	. 11	1,182	\$.2%
Netherlande	- 17	0,007 0,212	1.8%
Bradi	1		1.5%
Indensele	10	7,953	2.5%
Tehnes	29	7,819	2.4%
Nyenmer	21	6,230	9.0%
Ukraine	22	6,500	1.9%
Greece	2	\$,808	2.5%
North Koree	24	5,500	25.0%
Sweden	25	5,307	1.3%
Singapore	¥	6.642	4.7%
Polend	27	4,996	1.9%
Norway	28	4,431	1.5%
Beighm	29	4,341	1,2%
i nati	30	4.162	2.7%
Kowet		1,986	7.8%
Switzenend	22	1,952	1.0%
Colombia	33	3,909	4.0%
Denmirk	34	3,535	1.4%
East	35	1,536	4.6%
Pakistan		1.377	3.5%
Vietnera	\$7	3,177	6.9%
South Africa	34	3,176	1.4%
Chile	31	2,159	3.5%
Algeria	40	2,844	3.8%
. Portegil	41	2,330	1.5%
Mazioo	4	2,771	0.4%
Haisyala	41	2,741	2.3%
Selana	4	2,700	5.9%
UAE	45	2,588	2.3%
Oman	46	2,563	10.0%
Finland	47	2.483	1.3%
Uzbekietan	4	2.400	4.9%
Austria	4	2,222	9.5%
Quiter	30	2,080	7.2%
		2,000	1.27

## Defense Expenditures, Top 50 Countries, 2004 Source: 193, "The Hilling Belance, 2008 - 2008"

Attachment 1



ė

. .

Tab A Attachment 2

TAB B

Information for the Press

08 December/décembre/декабря 2005

#### NATO-RUSSIA COMPENDIUM OF FINANCIAL AND ECONOMIC DATA RELATING TO DEFENCE

#### COMPENDIUM OTAN-RUSSIE SUR LES DONNEESECONOMIQUES ET FINANCIERES CONCERNANT LA DEFENSE

#### СБОРНИК ФИНАНСОВО-ЭКОНОМИЧЕСКИХ ДАН: ПО ОБОРОННОМУ СЕКТОРУ НАТО-РОССИЯ

Data provided by NRC nations. Compiled by Data Analysis Section, Force Planning Directorate, Defence Policy and Planning Division, NATO International Staff

-1.

Tab B

I

#### DEFENCE EXPENDITURES OF NRC COUNTRIES (1985-2005)

The figures given in Table 1 represent payments actually made or to be made during the course of the fiscal year. They are based at the NATO definition of defence expenditures. In view of the differences between this and national definitions, the figures shown may diverge considerably from those which are quoted by national authorities or given in national budgets. For countries providing military assistance, this is induded in the expenditures figures. For countries receiving assistance, figures do not include the value of items received. Expenditures for research and development are included in equipment expenditures and pensions paid to ret rees in personnel expanditures.

Defence expenditures as of 2002 and personnel figures as *d* 2003 have been calculated on the basis of the revised NATO definition agreed in 2004, which excluded expenditure on Other Forces from the totals reported to NATO, except in the case of those elements of Other Forces which are structured, equipped and trained to support defence forces and which are realistically daployable. Most nations have reported defence expenditures according to this new definition, and in some cases (Greece. Hungary, Portugal and Turkey), this has resulted in a significant apparent decrease in defence expenditures. A few, however (France, Italy, Luxembourg and Natherlands), continue to have difficulty meeting this requirement and the data provided by these countries did not fully accord with the new NATO definition on defence expenditures. For the Netherlands, data reflect the 2004 approved defence budget. For the Russian Federation, data was provided based on the previous NATO definition.

#### SUMMARY

- Table 2: Gross domestic product (GDP) and defence expenditure annual volume change
- Table 3: Defence expenditures as % of GDP
- Table 4 GDP and defence expenditures per capila
- Table 5
   Distribution of defence expenditures by category
- Table 6: Armed forces

#### READERS GUIDE

France is a member of the Alliance but does not belong to the integrated military structure and does not participate in collective force planning. The defence data relating to France are indicative only.

Iceland has no armed forces.

The Czech Republic, Hungary and Polandjoined the Alliance in 1999

From 2001 on, United Kingdom has changed its accounting system for defence expenditures from "cash basis" to "resourcebasis".

For nine European NATO member countries, monetary values are expressed in national currencies up to 2001. As from 2002, they are expressed in euros.

Bulgaria, Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania. Romania, the Slovak Republic and Slovenia joined the Alliance in 2004.

From 2005 onwards, monetary values for Turkey are expressed in "New Turkish Lira",

To avoid any ambiguity the fiscal year has been designated by the year which includes the highest number of months; e.g. 2004 represents the fiscal year 200412005 for Canada and United Kingdom and the fiscal year 200312004 for the United States.

Because of rounding, the total figures may differ from the sum of their components

Conventional signs:	е	estimated		nil
·		not available	11	not applicable
	I	break in conlinuily of series		decimal point

T

#### DEPENSES DE DEFENSE DES PAYS DU COR (1985-2005)

Les chiffres indiqués dans le Tableau 1 sont fondés sur la definition OTAN des depenses de défense et représentent les paiements réellement effectués ou qui doivent l'être au cours de l'année fiscale. Ces chiffres peuvent accuser des variations sensibles par rapport aux chiffres correspondants qui ligurent dans les documents budgétaires nationaux, en raison des differences existant entre les définitions nationales et la definition OTAN des dépenses de defense. Les donnees relatives aux pays qui fournissent une assistance militaire incluent les depenses liees a cette assistance. En revanche celles-ci ne sont pas comptabilisées dans les depenses des pays bénéficiaires. Les depenses effectuées pour la recherche et le développement sont incluses dans les depenses d'équipement et les pensions versées aux retraités sont comprises dans les depenses effectuées pour le personnel.

Les depenses de defense à partir de 2002 et les chiffres concernant le personnel a partir de 2003 ont ete calculés sur base de la definitivit de l'OTAN révisée appruivee en 2004, qui exclut les dépenses relatives à la catégorie "Autres forces", lesquélles sont prises en compte dans les montants totaux communiques a l'OTAN, sauf en ce qui concerne les éléments des « Autres forces » qui sont structures, equipes et entrainés pour le soutien de forces de defense, et qui pourraijent être déployés. La plupart des pays ont communique leurs dépenses de defense selon cette nouvelle definitivit et, dans certains cas (Gréce, Hongrie, Portugal et Turquie), il en résulte une nette diminution de ces depenses. Cependant, quelques autres pays (France, Italia, Luxembourg et Pays-Bas) continuent d'éprouver des difficuités à remplir cette exigence et les données qu'is ont lournies ne répondent pas tout a fait a la nouvelle definition OTAN des depenses de defense. Pour les Pays-Bas, les données reflétent le budget de la defense approuve en 2004. Les donnees winnuniquees par la Fédération de Russie repondent à l'ancienne definition OTAN.

#### SOMMAIRE

Tableau 1:	Montant des dépenses de defense
Tableau 2:	<ul> <li>Variation en volume du produit intérieur brut (PIB) et des dépenses de defense</li> </ul>
Tableau 3:	Part des dépenses de défense dans le PIB
Tableau 4:	PIB et dépenses de défense par habitant
Tableau 5:	Repartition des dépenses de défense par catégorie
Tableau 6:	Forces armées

#### GUIDE DE LECTURE

Membre de l'Alliance, la France ne fait pas partie de la structure militaire intégree et ne participe pas aux travaux communs de planification des forces. Les données concernant la defense de la France n'ont qu'une valeur indicative.

L'islande n'a pas de forces armées.

e

La Republique tchèque, la Hongrie et la Pologne sont membres de l'Alliance depuis 1999.

A partir de 2001, le Royaume Uni a change son système de comptabilité base sur les décaissements en un systeme de comptabilité basé sur les coûts des ressources.

Pour neuf pays europeena membres de l'OTAN. les valeurs monétaires sont exprimées en monétaires nationales jusque 2001. A partir de 2002, et es sont exprimees en euros.

La Bulgarie, l'Estonie, la Lottonia, la Lituanio, la Roumanio, la République elevaque et la Slovenie sont membres de l'Alliance depuis 2004.

A partir de 2005. les valeurs monetaires de la Turquie sont exprimées en 'Nouvelles livres turques''.

Pour supprimer toute ambiguité, l'année fiscale a été designee par le millésime de l'année qui contient le plus grand nombre de mois; p.s. 2 W 4 représente l'année fiscale 2004/2005 pour le Canada et le Royaume-Uni e ll'année fiscale 20032004 pour les Etals-Unis

Du fait des arrondis, les tolaux peuvent ne pas coïncider avec la somme de leurs composantes

Signes	conventionnels:
--------	-----------------

estimation	
non disponible	
rupture de sér e	

nut sans **obje**t

H

point decimal

Tab B

#### ОБОРОННЫЕ РАСХОДЫ СТРАН СРН (1985-2005 m.)

В таблице № 1 представлены данные по произведенным на сегодняшний день выплатам пли выплатам, которые предстоит произвести о течение финансового года. Опи основаны на определении оборонных расходов, принятом в НАТО. 8 связи с различиями между кастоящим определением п определениями, используемыми государствами, указанные данные могут существенню отличаться от данных, на которые ссылается руководство стран или которые представлены в оборонных бюджетах государств. Страны, разывающие военную помощь, включают в данные стоимость полученных предметоз Расходы на НМОКР включаются о расходы а вооружения и военную технику, а пенсии, выплаченные всенным пенсионерам, – е расходы па личный состав.

Подсчет оборонных расходов, начиная с 2002 г., и данных по личному составу, начиная с 2003 г., делался на сонове пересмотренного спределения НАТО, согласованного в 2004 г. В соответствии с этим определением расходы по статье «другие силы» больше не включались о суммарные цифры, сообщаемые НАТО, за исключением гех подразделений других скл, структура, оснащение п подготовка которых позволяют им действовать в поддержку сиг. обороны, п котирые реально могут быть развернуты. Большинство стран представили данные по обороны, п котирые реально могут быть развернуты. Большинство стран представили данные по обороным расходам в соответствии с новым определением, что привело о ряде случаев (Греция, Венгрия, Португалия п Турция) к эначительному явному сокращению оборонных расходов. Там не менее, у некоторых стран (Франции. Италии, Люксембурга и Нидерпандов) адмники спожности с выполнением данного требования, и представленые этими странами данные не соответствовали полностью новому определению оборонных расходов, принятому в НАТО. Для нидерланов ливотство новому определению оборонных расходов, принятому в НАТО. Для нидерланов приеодятся данные по утвержденному оборонных расходов, принятому в НАТО. Для нидерланов приеодятся данные по утвержденному оборонных расходов, принятому в НАТО. Для нидерланов приеодятся данные по утвержденному оборонных расходов полностью новому определению оборонных расходов полностью новому определению оборонных расходов, принятому в НАТО. Для нидерланов приеодятся данные по утвержденному оборонных расходов, принятому в НАТО. Для нидерланов полностью новому определению оборонных расходов приеодятся данные по утвержденному оборонных расходов, принятому в НАТО ранее

#### КРАТКИЕ СВЕДЕНИЯ

Табляца № 1: Общий объем оборонных расходов

- Таблица № 2 Валовый внутренкий продукт (ВВП) пизиемение объема оборожных расходов за год
- Таблица № 3. Доля оборонных расходов от ВВП (%).
- Таблица № 4: БВП и оборонные расходы падушу населения
- Таблица № 5: Распределение оборонных расхопоз по категориям
- Таблица № 6: Вооруженные силы

#### РУКОВОДСТВО ДЛЯ ЧИТАТЕЛЯ

Франция является членом Североатпантического союза, по пе входит о объединенную военную структуру и пе участвует о коллективном планировании развития вооруженных спл. Данные по оборонным расходам, касающиеся Франции, являются лишь ориентировочными.

В Исландии нет вооруженных сил.

Чешская Республика, Венгрия п Польша вступили в Североатлантический союз в 1999. году

Начиная с 2001 г. Великобритания изменила систему учета оборонных расходов, перейдя, скасосесть метода на ресурсный метод.

Е том что касается девяти стран НАТО, являющихся членами ЕС, до 2001 г. денежная, стоимость выражена с соответствующей национальной валюте, а начиная с 2002 г. – в евро.

Болгария, Эстония, Лагаия, Литва, Румыния, Словацкая Республика и Словения вступили. о Североатлантический союз в 2004 г.

С 2005, денежная стоимость возражена в новых турецких лирах.

Для избежания двусмысленного толкования за финансовый год был взят год с наибольшим числом месяцев: например, 2004 год соответствует 2004-2305 финансовому году в случае Канады и Великобритании и 2003-2004 финансовому году о случае Соединенных Штатов.

Из-за округления итоговые цифры истут отличаться от суммы спагаемых. Условные обозначения:

e	предполагаемый	-	ноль
	нет в наличии	- #	нөприменимо
	карушение непрерывности ряда	. дес	ятичный <b>знак</b>

Tab B

#### Table 1 : Defence expenditures of NRC countries Tables: 1 : Departure de défense des pays du COR Tabinuga 1 : Paccoges Há obopoey atpaie CPH

Country / Pays / Cristens	Currency unit / Daité monétaire (mition) Activité aquest da (whet	1985	1990	1995	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
(0)	[ ]	<u>()</u>	(2)	(3)	(4)	151	(6)	0	(8)	(9)
	Current prices / Prix cou									
Belgum 7 Fanur 19	Begran Iranes - Euros / Banavatura dones - capo	140 159	155965	131106	139717	136567	3 3344	3434	3570.	1024
Bidgera , pour work	Loves Free	trear	1	12457	12574	13491	13379	14:43	14961	15522
Constal Amaga Cost Republic 'Heppines PeolyEnves	Canadian nomina A Kontatawa zurowy Czech koluny / Vielución kodiwa	.8.477	5	A	44314	45277		53584	\$23"1	51454
Сегупаль : Дания	Canat Anner I Barcan opens	13.544	16358	17414	19239	21017	21269	21'10	21435	2:307
Estat a Screene	Kinonis / (Dova	L	r	4		5	4		2186	25/1
France dismarker	Franci Kenica - Esiba - Opa seguitani japate sapo	166?18	27 1911	236-232	24:3150	243931	1 38-561	ACIE	426%)	42502
Gemony. Paperse	Opurside dulth Euro "News, an wides" - eaco	171561	HA376	1771277	5875A	50043 707065*	1 3197	31860	4752	54 M
Gresce? (perum hungany Bertova	Gradinan - Euros / Colores capa Foral Geover	5	A	S. S.	220126	272426	1 173081	114350	310731	2291 (6
Ibdy/ Utarus	1000 sal an rig - Euro - 1000 maranere taña meja campo	17787	28017	31661	47100	47516	1 25897	211195	27476	25100
Latva / Darmen	Lots / Ban		*	e.	10	e!"	p3		85	124
L truena / flame	Listal / Rey	-		e		2		3	100	R52 211
Lacentourg : Montenbyps	I us france - Euros ? Rescautyp: that eans	2295	1593	4 94	5213	72216	1 7149	176	7 202	11
Netherlands / Hispitonin-des Normery / Hoppitonik	маль биндера Бигос і Нацарг сульден нарн Norwegian Higher (Мараджан сраны	15446	21251	20124	2572	26668	12461	21684	12945	31346
Puland ( Tiphs us	Provide a state of the state of		24	R	13418	14655	145.51	15431	16901	100 (8
Partuga · Inenycana-s	Encudas Europ / Brayne mapo	111375	A7299	403478	#79385	520741	1 2062	10ps	7793	Z313
איזאנאניץא י א מפארטי		-	5	57000	501246	241830	B.023	+10613	19947786	55753+78
Pussian Federation / Россияская Федерация Slovak Reputing / Республика Славноня	4,000 / 2ysm Slovak kn/uny / Croat Jak 105 48		\$	2/000	C	14.4.5	ALC PA	2	2305	25263
Stovens / Chosenat	Tours 'Tang		3	*		3	p	>	94873	110266
Spen ( Mane as	Pesaras Fund Thoose B Mapo	674833	Service.	1678151	1204230	1328279	i 9460	8577	10 197	13540
Linkary * lygners	1000 lun lines New Tuto http://00111/p.twp-Hoe syd mou	1235	13005	102404	534827.	8540515	1 13107716	13613307	11345656	15/18
U stod Kingdom / Barovolips new		19201	306170	273.454	30469	1 24464	29.73	28420	27045	172-16
United Mates / Concentioned Utaria	JS dot arw/ gounges OEA	•		278404	JUNET.	214/81		(1364)		AILLAN
	2000 prices / Pvix de	2000 / 481	an 2004 c.							
Belo que / Fansa a	Prance beiges - Euros ' B Matericada aparte i cepo	10 256	1894-96	141588	13971	13350	3210	1540	3 305	3322
Eulgere / Eastabus	Leves, New	51 201	15100	# E3615	\$254-	12046	5 (1)10-9	13422	13143	13968
Canada / Kanada Hépublique Ishingue / Saucasa Proc. Sinasa	Dolars canadians ( Kanaguma gorynap Couronnes Khegues ( Maucada sócha	19:201	15100	51612	44344	43430	44437	-17%	45646	15771
Denemark / Aanao	Couronnes danouses · Derecta aporte	20100	21000	38875	19339	183-6	29388	SSARE	19436	19670
Estude . Jetune	Kippins / Apina		12	v		4	*	27	1997	3250
France : Manutana	France Intigues - Europ + Optim ancoust Optime - reco	250140	<b>NONIES</b>	749434	240752	231055	1 27191	36070	36775	39 106
Allemagne / Coward	Deutschemaths - Euros - Hemelara Materia Elipo	79665	D1 792	-	\$5752	09+64	1 90301	29861	30210	13043
Giese Toeune	Drachmen Euros / Doaxwa Easo	N	167 HST	MORA!	221.888	1973609	1 4503	3429	#127 733276	4521
Homonia / Bekrose ziale ( Mranve	Formit Response 1000 mes valennes - Europ : 1000 menue rep espo	14129	48450	42811	42100	454.44	13452	20.016	225	10125
Lettone / Ramen	LAIS IS A	4	4	1	5			N	81	97
Adagang 7 Darint	sitai + Net	1	3		4		W	ħr	#31	161
ועמשדעסעין "המשדעה.	Stands kin Euros (Tiescartyp), godie aspo	3195	462	-612	54.17	4076	195	154	123	; iai
Pays Bas HAIPParcia	Forns Euros / Hugers - Huger - Huger	152P	16902	6-13-06	24.58M	\$3 <b>682</b> 2	. 4676	4611	6'81	5819
Norvege /Hopseins	Couronnes norvägimmas / Hopseworker kapen	Zentz	26755	24830	124 18	27064C	10637	25446	29660	16104
Pologna / Dorwania Pologna / Dorwania	Ziolys · Snoreik Escudos Euros · Daugo seco	355191	452362	477473	479063	ALACIA	1 1043	1672	2001	2023
Houmane - Pyenese	1.07.784		31	.1	4	2	4	*	21281117	21697719
Fédéralon de a Russe Poucultras Depenagra	Ro,bbs Sybre		~	243865	30-246	263m1	7753014	2072.01	206561	-
République sidvague : Pechylories Crosser #	Солоппия в сходиез - Сперицкая зусна	1	K*	28		the second se	*z	*	TRACT	21353
Stoven e « Cronewa	Tolars / Torrag		*	*		*	1	41	14235	#4270 8602
Fapagne / Mananya Tengcie / Fughawa	Peselas Euros / Decers - 6000	1464 24 3274134	1183124	1243411	126-298	1272501	1 8780 1 9218063	64.84 50357:93	4165555	1 4792
Rojause un Senessarian	1000 איז קער אטיז קער אלט לי מינא אונער אונער אונער אונער איז איז איז איז אונער איז איז איז איז איז איז איז איז ו אונער איז	35/12	31155	3404	11617		27850	24262	343.55	24611
Hats Dine - Coeperations & State	Uoras EU I Donnes CIIA	364 766	107552	142932	10 407	SOTTO	11001	361281	418*5J	4 1465
		nun de defibu	-						ALLA ALLA	
	i millions of US Dollars) J. Prix et taux de chenge courante (milli			Ada (	3191	3015	2147	3075	4433	4259
Belguart " 6 antessos Bulgarda / Fart register		2428	4644		3.81	515k	6141 P	3010	565	640
Catage - Kanage		-346	11547	-	-	15-7	1631	13084	1 7452	12538
the use of the paster sur " Americana on Practicing Downers			2	*	110	1150	100	1899	9006	2314
Den train - Basson		1256	28.50	38. 6	2363	2525	2001	3204	1534	3694
Lindows / Dotosen France / Optimizera		20160	42544	47794	338 15	4 39277	39-404	450 18	174	210
Curnany, Tangang		19922	42319	41163	2015	374,26	2033	35065	70007	39271
Steerus / for Jun		2001	3883	30756	\$522	5300	4500	48.17	5601	7054
Hungary . Reserves				*	804	851	1 1984	100	1534	1504
Taly ' Kraunn		3168	21176	16375	224 11	72006	1353	36342	34116	12297
Laina Detain			*	*	4	4	*	5	174	199
Linesten og Researcher		36	87	17 962	120	7 250	354	150	235	273
Nether ands ' Husephanes		,ua/~	74.21	1012	1922	100	10	3336	3941	10250
Norway f Hitpostua	1	1797	36.95	aliai	2022	2968	1010	45.78	4007	4280
Poland / Ramus		1	4	4	1067	351	1574	3990	-452 3	5684
Horuga · Noorwanwa		354	1675	2670	2204	2324	1960	2344	2541	3:62
Kongrai Prosing		•		42 623	7156	8325	11296	14.550	1630	1857
Russian Federator - Poscyliciau Oscepalijes Novak Republic - Pesnydrivce Caronatoris		-		57	0	6325	7	1000	7.5	173
Storen a Chopewan		*	le .	**		*	*		493	502
Spein / Montenan		3868	1053	atio.	7011	7135	1007	IDANE	1.3641	13606
Turkey / Typum		2365	5315	sace	4686	7216	1 1033	90.32	9366	11650
Shiet Kingeon = Bel - Don Takin		7314	19850	210.30		25221	37730	-0145	#85.57	52772
UNER & STALLAS * CONCERNMENT IL LATE		257H555	306179	2/10.5	301457	3.1.197	3:4370	415722	46-675	472236

Tab B

#### Table 2 : Gross domestic product and defence expenditures annual variation (%) based on constant prices) Tablasu 2 : Evolution annuelle du produit intérieur brut et des dépenses de défense (%)

# (basée sur les prix coastants) Таблица 2 : Годовое изменение вёлового внутреннего прокукта и расходов на оборону (%) (в постоянных ценах)

Ссилту : Рауз/ Страна	Ачагаде / Моуелле / В среднам 1965 - 1989	Average / Моусппе / В среднем 1980 - 1994	Ачегаде / Моуепле / В среднем 1995 - 1999	Average / Moyenne / В среднем 2000 - 2004	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005e
	10	.(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(5)	(7)	(8)	(9)
Gross	iomestic prod	uet / Proc	suit intérieur	brut / Bane		ний продук	1		
Векачати / Бельгия	21	13	2.2	11	09	0.9	1.3	27	1 :
Bulgaria / Sonrapun			it.	л.	4	37	j,	56	5.3
Canada ( Kanada	35	04	33	24	1,8	34	20	2.8	Z.t
Gzech Republic / Чещская Республика		,,	"	27	2,6	1.5	\$7	40	4.1
Септялі Данні	18	12	27	1.1	13	0.5	97	34	21
Езірліа / Эстония			1		"	4		7.8	61
France / Opanung	29	11	2 2	1.6	21	1.2	9.6	2.3	11
Germany / Februaria	26	56	13	06	10	0.1	-0.1	10	12
Greece / Греция	. ne	13	11	4.2	43	38	4.7	42	24
Hungary / Bekroks			17	36	38	3.5	3.0	4.0	34
Iceland / Honahaus	5.0	-0.4	\$.1	18	2.6	-2.1	4.2	5.2	6;
ilaly / Vitanes	30	OB	15	10	18	0.4	03	1.2	D.1
Laive / Латеня	đ	,	3	1		,ri	11	83	r.
Libuania - Dursa	н			÷		0	17	6.7	5:
Цихельригу / Ликсембурх	78	51	60	24		2.5	29	4.5	3.:
Netherlands/ Hujapparga	29	: 5	2 4	07	14	0.0	-0.0	14	0.!
Norway ! Hoppering	21	35	4.4	1.8	27	11	04	2.9	3.
Poland / Польша	<i>µ</i>		H	2.1	1.0	1.4	38	5.3	4;
Portugal / Dopryranka	57	17	3.9	0.7	1.7	04	-1,1	1.0	0
Romania / Румыния					1.) 11	+ <b>L</b> ,;	 11	83	5.,
Russian Federation / Poccuircras Degepauns			.1.7	6.7	л 5.1	4,7	7.3	7.1	6.: 6.:
Slovak Republic / Pectydnuka Caosasa	1 3	ii ii	4	9.7 W	3.4 (7	•,r 18	/ 1	5.5	6. 5.1
Stovenia / Chose Ha		4				11 11	л р	42	a. 814
Spain / Monates	45	13	15	26	*		25		
Furkey/ Typusa	6.2		51		2.8	2.2		27	31
ronkeys турция United Kingdom / Великобритания	42	32 04	30	1.3 2.2	-75	7.9	5.8	89	51
United States / Соединенные Штаты	36	19	4 6	19	23 0.5	1.8	2.2 3.0	31	24
сплен значев и соединенные флагы	30	13	••	19	0.5	1,9	3.0	44	3.8
Del	ience expendi	itaries / Dé	epenses de d	állan ce í P	асходы на о	берону			
Векрие / Бельтия	ļ • •	<u>و ج</u> ـ	-5.8	-23	-4.4	-3.0	1,1	58	01
Bulgarie / Болгария	PE	£	7	л	μ	н	9	-12.1	81
Canada i Kanaga	20	32	-4 4	32	5.9	D.4	25	24	11
République schéque / Haurcias Peonyônesa			<i>y</i>		20	• 1	Ś.3	47	-0.5
Danema/k / Дание	10	.0 \$	0.2	1.0	5.2	.53	-3.0	-C.3	-24
Estonie / Эстония	11	r.	ď	fi -	11	ï	ц.	91	17.2
France / Франция	1 2	-5.3	-10	1.4	-0.5	18	3.6	32	-13
Allemagne / Fepmahwa	-04	-63	-11	-09	-1.D	0.2	.1 6	-22	-05
Grece / Грация	4,8	-11	5.4	-102	-2.3	-22.1	15.0	7.5	114
Hongriè / Benrpus	*	بر	μ	26	¥0.8	-5.6	45	-5.6	-19.6
наје / Италия	31	-85	0 <b>7</b>	-17	-1.6	-1 0	-2.3	-3.9	-9.8
Latonie / Raman	#	2	ų	л	*	#		6.6	14.5
Eituanie / Sierea	11	C	ų.	ů.	"	4	2	45	-3.2
ш келівочід / Лісясамбург	75	4.1	52	73	24.3	-10.1	5.Z	60	52
Реуз-Ваз / Нидерпанды	, ao	-2.0	0.6	12	2.8	-0.6	-0.2	25	1(
Norvège / Hoppenia	· e	03	1.2	4.9	¢ P	19,1	-4.7	06	-8(
Pologne / Romana	"		#	3.0	36	-04	5.3	6.4	38
Portugal / Roprymanus	54	0.4	-15	-5.7	4.1	25 2	-2.1	6.9	11
Roumanite / Pywartina	,		<i>p</i>	Ŀ	<i>p</i>	(1		2.6	2 (
Fédération de la Russie /Poconsicean Федерация	a.	4	.10 3	8.9	3.6	15 1	19.7	.7.5	
République slovаque / Республика Сповакия	(	.7		B	3	11		-3.8	14
Slovénie / Сповении	6	+			8			65	13.5
Езрадне / Испания	04	.34	-10	39	; t	14 8	.17	20	C.2
Гогана / Турция	85	14	55	4.1	-15.3	8.5	-36	-10.9	6.1
коузите-Uni Великобритания	-31	-12	.1.6	0.9	1 1.7	-0.3	17	03	12
/	20	-\$5	-26	72	0,5	-0.3	138	u 3 6.7	-1,3

-6-

#### Table 5: Defence expenditeras as % of gross domestic product Tableau 3: Déponser de défense en % du produit intérieur brut Tabrings 3: Рессиды на оборону в % от наповоге внутрекного предукте

.

Country / Pays / Capava	Average / Moyenne / В среднем 1985 - 1989	Average / Moyenne / 6 ppеднем 1990 - 1994	Average / Moyenne / 8 cptd;rex 1995 - 1999	Ачагадь ( Моуепль) В средиен 2000 - 2004	2001	2608	2003	2004	2005+
	(1)	[2]	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	0	<u>18</u>	(9)
	Based on ourse	ntorieus /	Sur la base de	е prix сощива	ta / B maxim				
Salgrum / Benstwa	1 28	20	1.5	1.3	1,3	1.2	1.3	13	13
Закучит / Болгария Вијозла / Болгария	z z	ĸ	#	,	11		2	2.4	25
видала / Болгария Селаda / Ханада	21	18	13	12	12	12	12	12	31
Следа Republic / Чешскай Республика	, <u>.</u>		n	20	Z0	20	21	1.3	18
Denmark / Denois	29	19	17	1.5	15	15	15	15	1.4
Estoria / Botonia			#	r,				1.5	17
Епола / Франция	37	33	29	25	25	2.5	26	2.5	2.5
Germany / February	30	21	16	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.4
Stores / perjag	51	44	4 6	36	4.6	3.4	2.8	29	<b>3</b> .1
Нолдагу / Векдия		,,	"	1.7	1.5	} 1. <b>⊺</b>	1.7	15	13
ltary / birancea	2.3	2.1	F.9	3.0	2.0	21	2.1	20	1.8
Latvia / Navens		8	9	И	Ø			1,3	1.4
цичинина / Личтва	21	μ		Q.	đ			14	13
Luxembourg / Jicecenóypr	10	0.9	06	0.7	<b>0.8</b>	0.7	Q.7	0.7	0.0
Helherlands / 1/kgepna-kto	2.0	73	: 6	t.Ø	7.8	1.0	3 B	1.7	1.1
Norway / Норвалия	29	28	2.2	1,9	1.7	2.1	20	2.0	17
Polant / Bonaua		17	fi	19	19	[.9	1.9	16	1.9
Partugal / Reprtyra Jva	28	2.6	22	1.6	2.1	1.0	16	1.7	1.7
Romania / Pytestera	1 "	jį.	Ľ	ų	4	,	f	2.1	20
Russian Federation / Poonsitions Федералия	ü	17	31	29	2.1	3,0	3.3	2.9	
Slovak Republic / PerrySnake Chosena	ñ	22	v	п	"		f	18	18
Skvena / Словения	, n	11	4	£	u		F	1.5	1.7
Spain J Monakyre	21	16	1,4	(3	1 2	14	1.3	1.3	! 2
Turkey/ Tyouwa	33	38	4.4	42	5.0	4.4	38	31	3.2
United Kingdom / Generacipyn gwws	45	3.7	27	24	1 25	2.4	24	2.3	2.3
United States / Сондиненные Шерты	60	15	23	3.4	3.1	3.4	3.8	40	3.6
6 em	ed on coastant	prides / Si	ur la base des	print constants	в / В постоян	ньк ценаа			
Веідіце / Белагия	27	2.9	1.5	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	13	13
видале / Болгария	21	2.9						24	2.5
Салада / Кажада	21	1.8	13	12	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.3
Записи - слада Зарибідце (спадце / Чешская Республика				2.0	2.0	20	2.1	1.9	1.8
Danemark / Qame	2.1	2.8	1.7	15	1.6	15	1.5	1.4	1.4
Estonie / Octown							A	5.5	1.7
France / Opanijas	3.7	3.3	2.9	2,5	2.5	25	2.6	2.5	26
Алетериски Германия	3.0	21	1.8	15	i.5	1.5	1.5	1,4	14
Сператори социания	5.1	4.4	4.6	3.6	4.6	. 34	26	2.9	31
Нопата / Венгрия				17	1.8	1.7	17	1.5	13
liana / Mitaona	28	25	21	20	20	20	19	1,9	1.7
Latonia I Barena							s.	1.3	
Ukranie / Akrea	1						li	3.5	1,3
Cuxembourg / Akaceutoypr	0.9	68	07	07	0.8	0.7	07	D.7	08
Pays-Bas / Нипраланды	28	23	18	16	1 🖸	1.6	16	16	17
Norvége / Hopes-wa	26	2 3	19-	19	1.7	29	1.9	1.9	7.7
Pologne (Tionuue				1.9	1.9	19	1.9	1.9	1.9
Portuga: / Πορηγαιτωρ	26	2.6	22	1.8	2.1	1.6	1.6	1 7	1.7
Roumania / Pynierius						K	ß	21	2.0
Fédération de la Russie /Pocchikoran desepaging			3,1	2.9	2.7	3.0	73	2.9	
Верціліциє аюуация / Республика Слования						К	c	1.5	1.8
Stanforie / Cingenside	1						Ħ	1.5	1.7
Езрадле / Ислания	2.1	15	1.4	1.3	1.2	14	1,3	1.3	5.2
Бидши / Турция	4.5	52	5.0	4.1	P,4	1 12	38	3.1	3.2
Воуаште-Шпі /Великобритания	4.0	39	27	24	2.5	2.4	Z4	23	2.3
Etais Unis I Cooдинанные Штаны		-					3.7	3.6	37

# 11-L-0559/OSD/55515

Gross domentic prim	feret (staffetted by par	shake rent	parties; J. P.	modelt interface the		es parties de p	exempte d'activel)	ſ	
	BarossA engle.	Appropriety (mapped	C-WTB-Public C	Associate transferrance	wani anacali	AR CONT			
Belgum - Generae	13399	1074	21713	21230	17517	25521	2904 Z	30618	31861
Bulgana / Bornaowe	,	44		hi	4		<u>#</u>	7884	8549 33282
Congday Karapa	-59.	19991	12245	26423	29330	36032	30920 1 <b>723</b> 4	31902 1907	18583
Gaag I Addubbl Miniman Psolyan-wa	1 14467	4 18472	22630	14573 20487	-5510 20620	21635	38/30	1001	33387
Окулирия / Дания Екідена / Эстония	14657	16433 á	(1030	1940; Ú	2	ff	,	13532	14856
Farce Operation	14125	16553	21606	287 13	28074	28832	29147	38471	31476
General February	1300	304.83	71575	25174	253.79	216.65	27242	27356	20424
G-MASA - FDBUMA	8309	116.25	13251	15268	7747	16990	<u>1.15 1</u>	21424	2247
Hungary / Benaphy	-	<i>h</i> i	,	12018	13730	14355	15166	15876	1658.7
Ice and I Mich award	15511	20122	21980	24246	29253	28907	29380	32502	35007
Haty - Mension	13120	1*499	21028	25345	25978	25568	26945	77977 [3495	28752 12639
Late a - Marsen		<u>*</u>	2	*	7	44	e K	12005	13754
Liftueria (Duraz		a\$115	33612	401 K5	49942	52405	53600	57077	59064
Lozienackurg / Desetenitypr Netherung / Prozentienace	13403	1/941	21698	27308	20147	20910	30435	31053	31739
Marway (1953 - Production	14368	18047	23933	38366	37114	36615	37418	38720	4(872)
Polann ' Renaute		*		August 1	10-106		11000	12547	13504
Portugal / Bootyrapwo	646-4	10750	13726	17315	18373	12202	10757	11967	19971
Полиряна / Румын-ни		**	ų	ú	9	fr	ý.	8298	9013
Кираль Екончерон Российская Федерация		Ú.	\$103	8952	7504	8030	8048	9728	10590
Sovak Recupik - Pernytomen Conserve	1 1	4	4 2	7	7		n R	14321	15448
Sover 1 Creative	1086	2 13116	15721	2 204.06	¥ ΣΓ⊕15	22758	238185	24769	24463
Зричк / Испания Токину / Турция	2305	4545	5404	6620	6140	6520	2.003	7658	8020
- Слифей Киларарии на Вар Пиреабритания	12116	16475	19905	25542	26999	28855	29919	31303	32763
j Christian kunggiptin i mpinjasaspensaka i UMated States : Christenses Jitates	17667	20415	40113	23650	35496	36391	3,(2.11	39827	41865
9	itic producti (2001 p								
				LIG COMMENT					
Briggue ( Skoeve	18061	15501	18893	72257	272371	22471	2267D	23217	23447
the Cont + Bon- appen		<i>r</i>	3	41		4	2	1949	2065
Canada - Kawana	18162	19620	2020	23621	23780	24332	2+509	12,004	25431
Периферия Integra, Маркская Мертификаць		•	5	5433	3592	19533	58.99	6131	5382
Оатаман⊭/Денкай	2,338	244.54	20164	29633	29912	29978	30115	30755	31400
Fatone / Scioner		r,	<i>H</i>	4	4	2	ŵ.	5367	5772
Frunce / Appendix	1620.	19025	19983	22519	2345	22003	22035	23459	23734
Af a magne + Festivee	19441	12213	202241	28734	27544	22821	1 1544	1203	23333 12390
Спера (Грания Исларте / Венгрия	6537	11021. 11	9163	10377	10785	11158 4939	5'04	5321	5529
hingh 'Menavira	22545	25301	24487	29699	20265	29389	30430	31547	38215
Rain - Manan	16103	16170	1/738	18/93	19072	19163	NJM SO	1935-	12829
Letten c / Carara	6		F	5	<i>p</i>		17	4457	4828
Lituaria / Awrea	u	n	Æ	*	2		Н	432-9	1682
Lustambourg / Dominafignic	21812	29876	33773	4470B	44972	45938	46469	48003	49048
Pays-Bas / Hearphan de	162422	19613	18957	7:1273	23429	23431	23095	23272	23227
Nonalight (Hapses na	2000	27304	22084	37188	170.00	76200	39125	32994	39646
Polagne / Romalia	×	F	5	4354	4399	44B1	4637	4857	5098 10331
Ponugali / Bop ( / Brus	: 6040 7	9069	6407 (7	1 <b>04</b> 07	10505	104718	10257	2151	2297
Ro, minute / Pyles stal Fieldent on die in Austrie Processieven degrypnisch			4605	1772	-640	1967	3122	220	2432
Republique монация Раслублика С цовеняя		*	1	4	*	4	R	4518	4747
Stembria / Caesa MR	1 1	7	4	8	4	1	11	1 100 1	11425
Espagne : Nona-AP	9012	11104	11803	(3970	14200	14308	14425	14574	145/0
Surveyor * I proven	7627	2497	2860	2	2690	2550	2977	3194	3300
Ясуните Цан Фермирбритания	110-0	19783	2" 192	24.556	24803	15244	23867	2:0:000	20040
Electric la suit d'annaigne anns anns Alberta	21.762	26455	30525	3.8656	34664	34981	95671	343.032	37463
	enditures (2008 pric			épen <b>nik de érlen</b> s Miserené sypt <b>2</b> 1		an énniñe as s	enel (		
Belgarm 'õcebarm	445	634	319 319	211	257	256	285	293	293
Bulgar# Calculations			318		3	e la	2	47	51
Canacti Ka-stova	300	189	311	170	283	281	285	290	290
Савот Акрысі с Мешання Республяна		#	<u>_</u>	112	105	114	124	117	116
Dest-rates : Educate	688	\$05	470	446	470	482	448	045	431
1-3920 #	د ا	4	4	4	۰.	26	ч	83	100
France / #Genunin	6.15	654	548	573	567	\$75	501	368	- 394
Bernany : epi-a	619	\$05	351	343	338	309	335	828	327
Grance / "pelint	484	410	394	\$05	492	1 7 <u>842</u>	323	348 82	386- 73
тылдану " Вангрия	у 1996	fr 4000	.e Second	79 395	27 385	1 82 181	66 372	367	922
Basy-Pittananan Latina					.*		9	57	
Linsten		4			, %		4	ь цэ.5	63
Lunambourg / Rest autopp	193	239	290	192	360	323	334	350	374
Networkship - Laboration	47C	473	3466	375	333	\$78	375	363	384
Nummay Harping an	679	*17	650	981	854	¥74	7.54	734	671
Potend - framework	1	<i>ii</i>		81	<b>5</b> 4	23	50	90	77
Portugal / Doptyrative)	150	212	219	Z15	223		165	173	170
Romana			1Ú TTD		IF ED	4 84	6 71	45	45
Russian - Celevation - Proceedings - Augustation	-	5 10	80) /	43	53	55 #	23 @	55 50	50
Slovar, Republic Slovarul		×	ir N	а Н	28 22	r. H	e 11	167	Tási
Shanga ji Speng Chara and	santi	igji	•75	174	173	196	185	168	183
Turkey / Typogan	<b>10</b>	12	131	146	:11	120	114	109	105
District Kriggars BiemeolContaine	Desp.	942	¢16	405	612	505	517	618	621
Unled States / Company sound Listation	1643	1897	147	1080	1063	1169	1317	34 LB	1377
									_

#### Такіє і Серен Астанове реоблаї вой об'яка езраноїшов ресаріть III II I Такіеми і Российі ініститист от францьки сібново распаністи в 800 Ваполой вертраніна продукти ракхады не обярону в доля. США надушу нас Tað

2000

(4)

3961

<u>{6</u>]

202

(6)

857

(7)

2000

(8)

Tab B

- 142

(\$)

1990

(2)

-945

(1) L (sin fiet

Country / Pays / Страна

**(**0)

2005e

(9)

#### Table 5 : Distribution of total definition as percificres by collegory follows 5 : Repartition day department totalism de definitiones per collègorie

Country - Market - Calanda	Armant - Vinyante : 3 : pageau	Average - Average - Average - Biogeometric	Autoge : Mayeris - Bicharite	Avalage ' Voyente : 3 cpc6-lev	2001	3th2	7:05	2004	2005/
	1985 - 1989	*060 - 1;84 (7)	1995 - 1999 th:	2000 · 2004	(5)	(4)	0	(5)	(1)
The regarding time. I the first many time to be the second	A devoted to pe								13 1
Зациле Бельти: Подали полларии	80 -	54.2 T	41.2	PR 4	40 7 X	15		7- 8	54.7
Connde / Ka-Inpa	-17 2		\$1 2	41 B	A2 6	45.1	41	47	-5 8
Conch Republic / -le schâle Pecnychesa Cenvrales / Sussen	5.0	12.14	-	61.2	\$23	57 .	51.4	51:4	122
ESIONA - JETO-NA		-	56 2	54	er 3	8		54.5	38.0
Рапан Юранцо- Одталу Германия		27-1	A 3	44.0	43	58 -	***		58 3
Statica f I paulas		496	4/7		50	67.6	425	77.4	14 2
Hungary/ Bearpus Haly: Antone	57 B	53 0	* a	23. 1	72 3	14.0	72.7	-21	197
Latival - Sin Tanin Lutrea Tea - Antra		Ä	:		5 A	2	-9		23 4
Lauren baung : Deschesufly:"	36.8	78.2	21	NC	-A e	20 E	25	42.8	12 2
Norway ( Hopmany)	125 ALE	250 B	14.3	20	-0-	37 9	40.3	41.3	457
Polano (Transan Parkont / Log yr An-A		-12	-	83 S	64.5 82.8	64 B	64.6 20.1	16 K	56.5 *9 8
Comanue : # your and						*	*	504	1.4
Runarden Finderaleza - Por uniteran Orbitetratero	Ĕ		** 3	***	48.0	38 B 11	43.5	2.92	
Sovak Republic / Рестублика Словария Sovarus / Слозании		21	1.		3.	y .	52.7	61.9	57 8
Spark " Mc Anone Forkers F Tore rea	5 1 3 K	84 8 741 1 767 20	96 E 24 J	50 Z 400 J	62 A	54.9 45 B	45.8	414 3	47 .
inted Amazon - Bell-Maticara - /dl	306 17 %	·#3	28		20 2		38.4	34.4	5.
United Statist . Looganster see Ultated	K devoted	the scalament are pe			And and a local division of the second	des manuel a			
along Inoral	1 12.3	**		80	y.	71	52	62	
Belgique - Earsonne Bulgistin / Ron Born	i ,						-7		-31
Canada i Kavinga République Krisque Hau was Pecinjenesi		14.1 2	44 F	12.0	19.1 20.3	-35	124	68-1 17 1	11.4
Danemark - Dana		58	12 4	4.4	·R #	15.5	6.7	19.1	18.1
Fatonia / Borneit		*	e1 %	18.2			36.5	133	133
Recipione / Teurower	3P	'39 19 1	51 Q 25 1	40	14.00	14 4 13 1	15 B 18 7	12 1	15.1
Srace / Foxular Kongine / Bis-spinn	:	-	-	1.12	\$3 29	15 .	48.2	* 3 #	
ation 4 Minatere	*** ?	40	15 🖤	17	113	12 4	12.0		10.0
CUDNIE / Parsa	1	•	*		4	π	0	. 2 5	24 8
ument boxing + Recclashispi Reys Balls / Hugangowiccu	25. 101	54	51		2 S. F Bic	64 45.5	74	14	14.8
ionvage i Koppierus.	392	24.9	24.5	3- 4	t et	2.9.7	71 2	22 .	22 5
Pologne / Romanne Forlugal / Romanne	7.6	5+			12	4.	74	2 8	16.1
Roump wit * Pressents		-			*	2		26.6	213
Factivation da la Russia Disconteres Desegoura Republique starogue			41 <del>-</del>	-15	100 P	121	4	114	412
Sloven n / Crican-krun		4-	120	÷.	127	73 :	272	141	101
Esbapris / Monomum Turquis / Typ Jon	182	10 1		326	all b	21.5	38.2	12.1	768
Rayau man Um Barnet afgentauren Etan I ann ' Companion a Elinetta	P-1 5 296	(1 ·	24.2	23.H	24.1 286.2	23.1	22 5	378 248	25.
		staticutive experi	diaran 1 % affect		Interactore / % as				
Beterun : Samona	[ ns.	31		31	21	11	2.5	3.0	25
Sulgara / Kramapan	-	1	7	6		5 37	*	3.0	45
Sanaani Karaaté Santi Republik Hesisina Pechéheca	20	5.4	36	44	44		31	4.8	**
Janmark i Rivus	12	31	32	12	21	54 27	25	2.	153
Storue f Scholand Rence / Poe-uw			2 B	44	41	+1	48	5 1	
Contracting / Papaganan General 1 3 pagant	22	d 2 8 7	1	41	4.	- 5	3.	3.6	40
tangary / Bewgan				51	£`		40	70	29
alist / Preses	24	2.		* 1	47	0.0	5	B.B.	DA.
chuona / fiver pa		1		i.	*		3	38	31
unmikang i Skacentişti latimlarisi i Hagessisilar	52	:#kc 5.5	4.5	2.	41	21	15	23	. 0.0
Rangeddir i Yddaenaa	14	*1	**		* 27	10	21	5.4	53
Postand / Florence	5.	2:	1.00	82	#7				14
tompos / Pyrawse tusses Enteration / Premission Champellan			74	6.5		10			**
South Republic : Pechylanamia Cecapania	1				<i>c</i>	-		13	6.2
lovena i Croze-vr-		- 2	24	13	41	2.	21	es	20
unter, Typ and	54	10		4.8 7.4	4.2	6× 03	92	55	23
Incard Kingdom - Ben wolfpersteine Incard States - Congenitienen Wtare	11	51	34	14	14	14	14	13	15
	1. sev.	the in the star and	diuma / % affact						
equipa / bothca	38-2	20:	15	30.7	264		Ø2	3/2	
dame - Ecerane	51		-		195	372	375	300	21.8
hreda i Kniega Iaputi gub shekjua "An. dan Pecrytimeu		2		118	291	309	34 H	30 2	76.9
нане тапи ; Дании Абла / Экления		21	25 -	29.1	28.2	206	30D	275	27 4
tance - Joberum				14.5	15.2		154	***	159
Remagne : Tepatenet	25 a 174	122	127	207	223	22 S 101	120	13.6	2.6
origen z henrigen				20 2	36 F	35 2	343	301.2	10.04
ale Vianas alema Antone	584	17 (		17.5	16.	124	153	HE	18
fast nur ? fut 'sa					100	2		32 8	2.0
WARDOWD ( Renowing)	134	5a 34 1	12.4	·23 247	17.8	118	123	31.8	295
owege / House we	260	24.6	31 1	227.0	34.8	125	30.9	34.3	29 2
ologne / Tanaan orougel / Deptynumer	15-	440	-2 3	25.1	246	22.3	12.1	2-1	21.7
Datrans i Pyskens		N			h.	4	3	22:#	22.2
BORTHLAS DE LE PRESE POUR COSTON POUR LA COSTON	-	*	28.0	365	40.1	356	83	313	34.5
RVDN+ CJOSD#		***		204	* * *	167	240 1	172	2/ 5
spagna / Microwers	-	225	22.0	15.7	147	16.2	13 1		13.0
	\$25	305	8.4		369	30.0	36%	354	32.9

Tab B

Country / Pairs · Crpane	19 <b>85</b>	1990	1995	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	20056
(0)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
,,,					XIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII			<u>_</u>	
арыт / Бальгия	107	106	47	42	- +1	-2	41	41	
ијрања / Болгарии			2	н	11		"	42	
агида / Кяндра	83	87	τú	59	59	1	62	61	
zech Republic / Чешская Республика		с. С	*	52	49	40	26	25	
еппак / Дания	29	31	27	24	22	22	20	20	
Нопа / Эстония	И	12	*	11	с. Г	ï	 I	3	
анта ја станция	560	548	502	394	366	355	356	357	
ermany / Германия	495	541	352	319	308	295	271	255	
евсе / Греция	201	201	213	205	202	208		138	
лцату / Венгрия						-1+	1 34	30	
ly / Mranina	504	493	435	381	374	362	325	315	
tivia / Ramews	2	<i>b</i>	F	н	IJ	я	н	7	
huania / flutosa	μ	11	#		,tf		,	12	
хальронд / Люксембург	1.2	i,3	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.6	1.6	
Ninerlands/ Hugephandan	103	104	67	52	51	53	53	51	
атмау / Нарвелия	36	51	35	32	31	22	21	23	
Hand ( Cloneum)	J.	т Т	1	191	178	159	150	150	
riuga: / Португалия	3052	87	•8	68	68	69	1 42	45	
тапіа і Рукыния	u i	11	4	h	"	1	7	÷1	
изајал Federation / Российская Федерация	4	2				1	•		
мак Republic / Республика Словажия	4	7	ň		"	_	,	18	
ovenia / Cnoeenus	شا					,	ý	6	
аіп / Испания	314	263	210	144	151	, 135	130	124	
гкеу / Турция	814	759	805	/53	803	316	) 534	502	
насто турции Hited Kingdom / Великобритания	134	308	233	216	215	214	206	202	
wed States / Coegureterere tutation	2244	2161	1670	1483	1487	1506	1422	1376	1
•		litery and civil		las S. of John	- from a				
		onnels civil et							
		тужацие и во	льнон авчасы		ORO HEIGENER	я			
изацие / Бельтия	2.8	2.7	1.2	1.0	1.0	1,0	1.0	85	
ulgarie / Боягария			1	Ň		ll –		1.6	
anada / Kakaga	P.0	0.9	D.7	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	6.5	
publique tchèque / Чешская Республика			16	1.4	1.4	1.2	0.6	0.6	
петатк/ Дания	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.2	1.0	t, D	1.0	0.9	
Ionie / Ocrones					¥	p		0.6	
апса / Франция	2.7	2.6	1.3	1.B	1,7	1.5	t.G	1.6	
епадле / Германия	2.3	25	1.3	10	1.0	1.0	<b>P.</b> 6	6.7	
асе/Греция	6.1	5.7	57	51	5.0	5.2	, 3.5	3.3	
ngrie / Bei-mus				1.5	1.4	i. 3	<b>i 1</b> .1	0.9	
ie / Vinanus	2.5	2.4	22	1.6	1.5	1,7	1.6	15	
				¥	,	1	11	0.6	
Коліе / Латаня				4		11	11	0,\$	
Rome / Латаня Name / Литаня			_				0.9	0.9	
Ronie / Латаня uanie / Литеа xembourg / Л <del>оксона</del> бурх	0.9	0.9	<b>0</b> .9	0.0	0.8	0.8			
Sone / Латеня Janie / Литеа Kambourg / Лоссонбург Ys-Bas / Нидерланды	20	1.B	1.2	0.9	0.6	0.B	0.6	0.6	
Sone / Летеня челів / Литер челівська / Лоссонбург уз-Ваз / Нидерпанды пиде / Норзепия			1.2 2.3	0.9 1.5	\$.B 1.6	0.8 1.4	1.2	1.2	
Sone / Латеня иелія / Литеа хетбоила / Лоассанбурх уз-Ваз / Нидерпанць гидде / Нодавлия Одле / Польша	20 2.3	1. <b>B</b> 2.9	1.2 2.3 #	0.9 1.5 1.5	0.6 1.6 1.4	0.8 1.4 7.3	1.2 7.2	1.2 1,2	
адне / Летеня излів / Литен чапіза / Индерландь гуда / Индерландь гуда / Индерландь гуда / Португалия	20	1.B	1.2 2.3	0.9 1.5	0.6 1.6 1.5	0.8 1.4	1.2	1.2 1.2 1.1	
Sone / Латеня извіє / Литеа извіс / Литеа уз-Ваз / Нидарланды гида / Нораєтия одпа / Пильша изда / Португалия изване / Румыния	20 2.3	1. <b>B</b> 2.9	1.2 2.3 #	0.9 1.5 1.5	0.6 1.6 1.4	0.8 1.4 7.3	1.2 7.2	1.2 1,2	
Sone / Латеня Janie / Литеа Kambourg / Ложовибурк Ys-Bas / Мидарланцы гидар / Норзегия Ogine / Польша Пидар / Португалия имаете / Румыния demien de la Roussie / Российская Федерация	20 2.3	1. <b>B</b> 2.9	1.2 2.3 #	0.9 1.5 1.5 1.5	0.6 1.6 1.5 1.5	0.8 1,4 1,3 1,5 3	1.2 3.2 J 1.0 J	1.2 1.2 1.1 1.1	
Sone / Латеня Janie / Литва Kambourg / Локсанбурк Ys-Bas / Индарланды гиège / Норзегия Ogne / Польша Пидаl / Польша пидаl / Портупалия ималіе / Румінния deration do la Rossie / Российская Фадерация publique slovaque / Республика Словения	20 2.3	1. <b>B</b> 2.9	1.2 2.3 #	0.9 1.5 1.5 1.5	0.6 1.6 1.5 7,4 1.5 <i>4</i>	0.8 1.4 7.3 1.5 3	1.2 3.2 J 1.0 )	1.2 1.2 1.1 1.1	
зопе / Латеня напіс / Литаа катіроца / Ложовибурк уз-Ваз / Нидарланць гида / Норзегия одла / Польша пида / Польша пида / Польша батісл da la Russia / Роскийская Фадерация рибідце skovaque / Республика Слования міліе / Словения	20 2.3 2.6	1.8 2.9 2 1	1.2 2.3 # 1.8	0.9 1.5 1.5 1.5 <i>1</i> ,5	<b>0.6</b> 1.6 1.5 パ リ	0.8 1.4 1.3 1.5 3 7 11	1.2 3.2 1 1.0 , ,, ,,	1.2 1.2 1.1 1.1 1.0 0.8	
коле / Латеня иалів / Литеа жатбошід / Лексанабурк уз-Ваз / Мидарланды гида / Пораланды пида! / Пораугалия ипалів / Румінния destilon da la Розсій / Российская Фадерация publique slovaque / Республика Словакия white / Слования радпе / Ислания	20 2.3 2.6 2.1	1.8 2.9 2 1 21	1.2 2.3 # 1.5	0.9 1.5 1.5 1.5 <i>1</i> <i>1</i> <i>1</i> <i>1</i>	0.6 1.6 1.5 7 1.5 7 1.1	0.8 1.4 7.3 1.5 3 7 1/ 1.0	1.2 3.2 1 1.0 3 1.0 2 1.0 3 1.0 3 1.0 3 1.0 3 1.0 3 1.0 3 1.0 3 1.0 3 1.0 3 1.0 3 1.	1.2 1.2 1.1 1.1 1.0 0.8 0.6	
апіє / Латеня запіє / Лита мапібоцаў / Маазаланць пада / Нидарланць пада / Норыца пада! / Португалия целапіє / Румыния deration da la Russia / Роскийская Фадерация publique skovaque / Республика Словекия vinie / Словения signe / Непачия quila / Туршия	20 2.3 2.6 2.1 4.7	1,8 2,9 2 1 21 40	1.2 2.3 // 1.5 1.7 3.4	0.9 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5	0.6 1.6 1.5 7,4 1.5 <i>6</i> <i>1</i> <i>1</i> <i>1</i> <i>1</i> <i>1</i> <i>1</i> <i>1</i>	0.8 1.4 1.3 1.5 3 7 11 1.0 2.6	1.2 3.2 1.0 , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1.2 1.2 1.1 1.1 1.0 0.8 0.8 2.3	
Копе / Гатана мал 2 логаа мальс / Литая мальсид / Локсамбур/ уз-Ваз / Нидарланды тибре / Норытия Кодле / Польща лицая / Портиалия хилагне / Румыния колагне / Румыния колагне / Румыния колагне / Словения радле / Испания радле / Испания узаиле-Uni /Великобритания	20 2.3 2.6 2.1	1.8 2.9 2 1 21	1.2 2.3 # 1.5	0.9 1.5 1.5 1.5 <i>1</i> <i>1</i> <i>1</i> <i>1</i>	0.6 1.6 1.5 7 1.5 7 1.1	0.8 1.4 7.3 1.5 3 7 1/ 1.0	1.2 3.2 1 1.0 3 1.0 2 1.0 3 1.0 3 1.0 3 1.0 3 1.0 3 1.0 3 1.0 3 1.0 3 1.0 3 1.0 3 1.	1.2 1.2 1.1 1.1 1.0 0.8 0.6	

#### Таble 5 : Алтей forces - Алгиаl strength Tableau 6 : Forces armées - Effectif annuel Таблица № 8: Веоруженные силы – численный состав (за год)

+

ТаБ В

# 11-L-0559/OSD/55517

-10
## Greek Defense Expenditures as a Percentage of GDP

TAB C

Year	% of GDP	Source	
	4.2	NATO (Dec. '03)	Old
	<b>4</b> .l	IISS (Oct. '04)	Definition
2003	4.3	CIA (Jan. '05)	J
	2.7	IISS (Oct. '05)	)
	2.8	NATO (Dec. '05)	. New
2004	2.8	IISS (Oct. '05)	Definition
2004	2.9	NATO (Dec. '05)	J

International Institute for Strategic Studies (IISS)

November 29, 2005

TO: Jim Haynes

FROM: Donald Rumsfeld P.A.

SUBJECT: Congressman Cunningham

I notice in the paper today that Congressman Cunningham resigned, and the allegation against him is that he influenced Defense contracts. If there is not already **an** investigation going on with respect to what that might mean, we should certainly initiate one.

Thanks.

DHR.ss 11290546 Please Respond By 12/13/05

FOUO

OSD 00517-06



11:45

## **INFO MEMO**

FOR SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

FROM: W. J. Haynes II, General Counser

SUBJECT: Congressman Cunningham

- This responds to your snowflake dated November 29,2005, regarding further investigation into the issues associated with Congressman Cunningham's resignation.
- The Deputy Secretary and I have addressed this question to you orally, but in the interest of certainty, please note the following:
- The head of the Criminal Division of the Department of Justice advises that DoJ has the lead for investigating the issues and that additional investigations could disrupt those efforts. Even something as seemingly innocuous as a data call could inadvertently affect the outcome.
- Ric Race, the Deputy Inspector General for Investigations, confirms that the Defense Criminal Investigative Service is supporting the Department of Justice in the investigation. He concurs that additional investigations could be disruptive.
- On the other hand, the Acting Inspector General has assured the Deputy Secretary and me that the IG will bring to our attention any infirmities within DoD that come to light during the investigation.

COORDINATION: NONE

Prepared by: Douglas Larsen, (b)(6)



11-L-0559/OSD/55520

OSD 00517-06

## January 12,2006

FOUO

ТО	Stephen J. Hadley
----	-------------------

Ary cc: The Honorable Dr. J.D. Crouch FROM: Donald Rumsfeld

SUBJECT: The Circular PC Meeting on "Lead Departments," "Blended Leads," "Co-Leads," and the lie.

This issue has been pending **since** last June -- some seven months. I thirk it is important that it be settled. My recommendation is that you put the Department of State, the Department of Homeland Security, and anyone else you want in charge of all of them. But, whatever you do, do not put the Department of Defense in as a "co-chair", or a "blended lead," or a "rotating lead."

My view is that the President ought to be able to know who he should hold accountable, and, only if you put somebody in charge, is that going to be possible.

In the DoD we **are** comfortable working in a "supporting" role with others, as opposed to a "supported role where others support us. We understand the concept, we can do it, and we can certainly work comfortably with others in the lead of all those groups.

As you know, I left the PC before it ended, as you were about to summarize. I have not yet seen your written **summary** of that meeting, but **as** you know, I did not agree with what was being discussed.

**This** memo reflects my personal view and recommendation, and I would not want the written **summary** of that meeting to leave the impression that I acquiesced in co-chairs, blended leads, or rotating leads, **a** that I recommended that the **NCTC** take **the** lead, given the restrictions in the legislation and the President's Views.

Thanks.

DHR.ss 011206-10

334N&C

RJANOS

January 12,2006

TO:	Stephen J. Hadley
cc:	The Honorable Dr. J.D. Crouch
FROM:	Donald Rumsfeld
SUBJECT:	The Circular PC Meeting on "Lead Departments," "Blended Leads, ""Co-
	Leads," and the like.

This issue has been pending since last June -- some seven months. I thirk it is important that it be settled. My recommendation is that you put the Department of State, the Department of Homeland Security, and anyone else you want in charge of all of them. But, whatever you do, do not put the Department of Defense in as a "co-chair", or a "blended lead," or a "rotating lead."

My view is that the President ought to be able to know who he should hold accountable, and, only if you put somebody in charge, is that going to be possible.

In the DoD we are comfortable working in a "supporting" role with others, as opposed to a "supported" role where others support us. We understand the concept, we can do it, and we can certainly work comfortably with others in the lead of all those groups.

As you know, I left the PC before it ended, as you were about to summarize. I have not yet seen your written summary of that meeting, but as you know, I did not agree with what was being discussed.

This memo reflects my personal view and recommendation, and I would not want the written *summary* of that meeting to leave the impression that I acquiesced in co-chairs. blended leads, or rotating leads, or that I recommended that the NCTC take the lead, given the restrictions in the legislation and the President's views.

Thanks.

DHR.ss 011206-10

> FOUO 11-L-0559/OSD/55522

### January 12,2006

-----

TO:	Stephen J. Hadley
cc:	The Honorable Dr. J.D.Crouch
FROM:	Donald Rumsfeld
SUBJECT:	The Circular PC Meeting on "Lead Departments," "Blended Leads," "Co-
	Leads." and the like.

This issue has been pending since last June -- some seven months. I thirk it is important that it be settled. My recommendation is that you put the Department of State, the Department of Homeland Security, and anyone else you want in charge of all of them. But, whatever you do, do not put the Department of Defense in as a "co-chair", or a "blended lead," or a "rotating lead."

FOHO

My view is that the President ought to be able to know who he should hold accountable, and, only if you put somebody in charge, is that going to be possible.

In the DoD we are comfortable working in a "supporting" role with **cthers**, as opposed to a "supported role where others support us. We understand the concept, we can do it, and we can certainly work comfortably with others in the lead of all those groups.

As you know, I left **the** PC before it ended, as you were about to summarize. I have not yet **seen** your written *summary* of that meeting, but as you know, I did not agree with what was being discussed.

This memo reflects my personal view and recommendation, and I would not want the written summary of that meeting to leave the impression that I acquiesced in co-chairs, blended leads, or rotating leads, or that I recommended that the NCTC take the lead, given the restrictions in the legislation and the President's views.

#### Thanks.

.

DHR.ss 011206-10

> FOUO 11-L-0559/OSD/55523

# -FOUO

#### TAB A

na ser i mar

## DEC 2 b 2005

TO:	Gen Pete Pace		
СС	Fran Harvey GEN Pete Schoomaker David Chu		
FROM	Donald Rumsfeld M.		
SUBJECT	SUBJECT Article on Mistaken Hometown Affiliation		
What is this article about?			
Thanks.			
Attach. "Pentagon's Mistake Upsets Family of Dead GI from Ohio," Chicago San-Times			
DHR.db 121903-43			
Please Respond By December 29,2005			

Tab A

#### FOUO

OSD 00633-06



CHAIRMAN OF THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20318-9999

CH-0151-06 2 5 45

INFO MEMO

FOR SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

# FROM: General Peter Pace, CJCS VA R B

SUBJECT: Article on Mistaken Hometown Affiliation (SF 121905-43)

- Answer. In response to your question (TAB A), the initial DOD press release listed the wrong hometown of record for Staff Sergeant Curtis A. Mitchell. The press release was amended the next day, prior to the *Chicago* Sun *Times* report.
- Analysis. Staff Sergeant Mitchell from McConnelsville, Ohio, died in Iraq on 12December. Injured in the same incident was a Service member from Evansville, Indiana. Upon reporting the incident to the Army Casualty and Memorial Affairs Operations Center (CMAOC), the field command erroneously reversed the hometowns. This information filtered through the Army Human Resources Command Public Affairs Office (PAO) to the Department of Defense, and the press release listed Sergeant Mitchell's hometown as Evansville. During a case review the following day, Army CMAOC noted the discrepancy and notified the Army PAO, who in turn alerted DOD PAO. The press release was corrected and used by most local papers. The *Chicago Sun-Times* noticed the change, contacted the family, and filed the Pentagon mistake story.
- The Army is aware of this incident and will determine whether or not any further action is warranted.

COORDINATION: TAB B

Attachments: As stated

Prepared By: Rear Admiral Donna L. Crisp, USN, Director, J-1; (b)(6)

copy to: CSA

OSD 00633-06

TAB A

DEC 210 2005

e Pace
Ĺ

CC: Fran Harvey GEN Pete Schoomaker David Chu

FROM: Donald Rumsfeld M.

SUBJECT: Article on Mistaken Hometown Affiliation

What is this article about?

Thanks.

Attach. "Pentagon's Mistake Upsets Family of Dead GI from Ohio," Chicago Sun-Times || December 19, 2006.

DHR.dk 121905-43

Please Respond By December 29,2005

Tab A

FOUO

Page 1of1

## **CHICAGO SUNTIMES**

www.suntimes.com

Back to regular view http://www.suntimes.com/output/news/cst-nws-err19.htm i

Print this page

# Pentagon's mistake upsets family of dead GI from Ohio

December 19,2005

A Pentagon error incorrectly listing Evansville, ind., as the hometown of an Ohlo soldler who died in iraq has bewildered the man's family, who want the military to correct the mistake.

When it announced that **Staff Sgt. Curtis** "Tony" **Mitchell** had died last week in Baghdad when a **/badside** bomb exploded under his tank, the Department of Defense listed Evansville as his "home of record."

But Charlie Mitchell, the ddest of eight siblings, said his brother never lived in Evansville. Their parents live in southeastern Ohb, where Curtis Mitchell,28, also grev up, joining the Army right cut of high school in McConnelsville, Ohio.

Charlie Mitchell, who lives in Springfield, Ohio, said their mother is trying to get the Pentagon to sit the record straight about her son.

A Pentagon spokeswoman admitted that it made an error.

The family of **Army** Spc. Craig Conger, who is **form Evansville**, believes the mistake **carm** about because Conger, 22, was also in the tank hit by the bomb.

Charlie Milchell said he has two surviving brothers in the military. One of them, Jimmy, was on petrol with Curtis when the bomb went off. He watched the explosion from his tank, ferther back in the convoy. AP!

Copyright C The Sun-Times Company

All rights reserved. This material may not be published, broadcast, rewritten, or redentbulled.

Tab A

TAB B

## COORDINATION

US Army Public Affairs

Mr. Boyce

29 December 2005

US Army DTAG

.

COL Larsen

28 December 2005

January 17, 2006

TO:	William Winkenwerder

CC: David Chu

FROM: Donald Rumsfeld

SUBJECT: Continued Follow-up

Henry Betts's comments here are really important with respect to "the **firel goal** of each of these people must be employment *that* is practical for them **and useful** to the person who hires them."

We ought to keep ow eye on that ball, and try to stay connected to these **folks** long enough to see that that *is* achieved.

Thanks.

Attach. 1/4/06 Betts letter to SD

OHR.dh 011706-44

Please Respond By February 16, 2006

FOUO





Rehabilitation Institute of Chicago

345 East Superior Street Chicage, Illiaois 60611-4496

312 234-1 894

4<sup>th</sup> January 2006

Donald Rumsfeld Secretary of Defense 1000Defense Pentagon Washington, DC 20301-1000

Dear Don:

Thanks for your attention to this **issue** of the injured military personnel returning from Iraq.

As you know, I feel that the <u>final</u> goal for each of these people must be employment that is practical for them and useful to the person who hires them.

In America, self-esteem is achieved through jobs more than any other place and I believe that, above all, these people deserve the opportunity to develop a sense of usefulness and heing participatory based on their ability, not their disability.

This is very hard to achieve and for people with disabilities in general it is taking an awful lot of work with the "private sector," employers and the motivation of counselors and human resource people who find that what is demanded is a fair amount of sophistication in dealing with these complex issues.

I was glad to see you and Joyce at Alan Reich's funeral.

It was certainly carried out beautifully and Alan deserved such a sendoff.

Sincerely

Henry Betts, MD. Past Medical Director/President/CEO Rehabilitation Institute of Chicago

HBB/mg

OSD 00668-06

An Academic Affiliate of Northwestern University Medical School

11-L-0559/OSD/55530



Rehabilitation Institute of Chicago

345 East Superior Street Chicago, Illinois 60611-4496 312 238-1000

ł

4<sup>th</sup> January 2006

Donald Rumsfeld Secretary of Defense 1000Defense Pentagon Washington, DC 20301-1000

Dear Don:

Thanks for your attention to this issue of the injured military personnel returning from Iraq.

As you know, I feel that the <u>final</u> goal for each of these people must be employment that is practical for them and useful to the person who hires them.

In America, self-esteem is achieved through jobs more than any other place and I believe that, above all, these people deserve the opportunity to develop a sense of usefulness and being participatory based on their ability, not their disability.

This is very hard to achieve and for people with disabilities in general it is taking an awful lot of work with the "private sector," employers and the motivation of counselors and human resource people who find that what is demanded is a fair amount of sophistication in dealing with these complex issues.

I was glad to see you and Joyce at Alan Reich's funeral.

It was certainly carried out beautifully and Alan deserved such a sendoff,

Sincerely

Henry Betts, MD. Past Medical Director/President/CEO Rehabilitation Institute of Chicago

HBB/mg

OSD 00668-06

An Academil Aliliate 0559/050/55556

060 80

TO Jim Haynes

C C Dorrance Smith

FROM: Donald Rumsfeld

SUBJECT Memo on Taping my Remarks at Various Functions

Attached is a memo from Dorrance Smith on taping my remarks at various functions. Please get your head into this, and tell us what we ought to be aware of in this connection.

FOUO

Thanks.

Attach 10/26/06 SecDef memo to ASD (PA); 10/18/06 SecDef memo to Man Latimer; 10/19/06 ASD (PA) memo to SecDef; 10/30/06 ASD (PA) memo to SecDef

DHR.84 SF110106-13

Please Respond By November 23, 2006

CLOSEP

1 NOVOL

<del>-FOUO-</del> 11-L-0559/OSD/55532



1/16/2007 6:06:36 PM

T O Dorrance Smith

FROM Donald Rumsfeld

SUBJECT JOOC Meting

Jf the JCOC meeting was off-the-record, why have there been two articles in the Early Bud?

Thanks.

÷ 1

Attach: 10/18/06 SD memo to Matt Latimer, 10/19/06 ASD (PA) memo to SD

DHR.45 SF102505-13

Please Respond By 10/31/06

KGV 0 1 2006 SNOWFLAKE RESPONSE ATTACHED

FOUO



0 10/25/0Ce

-<del>FOUO</del>-

October 18, 2006

To: Matt Latimer

CC: Dorrance Smith

Donald Rumsfeld

SUBJECT Material from JCOC Maing

Please see if you can get a transcript of what I said to the JCOC group yesterday, if it was taped. Several people have said it was really good. We might want to use some of it.

Let me see a transcript.

Thanks.

FROM:

2

<u>, 2</u>

**k**₁<sup>2</sup>

DHR.ds. MF101806-08 Please Respond By 10/26/06

> OCT 2 5 2005 SNOWFLAKE RESPONSE ATTACHED

FOUO



10/24/2006 3:52:32 PM

44 L OFFOIODIFEEDA

10/19/2006

A TO:	Secretary Rumsfeld
FROM:	Dorrance Smith
Robert Rengel	Material from JCOC Meeting
(= ] L4 Ref:	Snowflake 101806-08

Sir,

10/24

We are unable to must this requirement. As the event was considered "off the record," the only recording made was by the Armed Forces Press Service with a handheld recorder. During the playback of the file it was found to be inaudible. In the *future*, we will ensure to record these events with redundant systems.

SD CA	Intha	DSD SA	
SD SMA		DSD SMA	Τ
SD MA	18 2/25	DSD MA	
CSA	Walay	DSD CA	L
STT DIR	1		Τ
ES	1110/24	1795	125070
ESEMA	11-10124	ESD .	1 I
	,		1 -



10/30/2006



The taping of your remarks at the JCOC breakfast has resulted in **our** department instituting a new procedure. Your remarks will always be recorded, whether the event is **off** or **on** the record.

The confusion at the JCOC event was that although the **session** was closed to **external** media, the hriefing was on the record **as** there were journalists who were JCOC participants.

We will do a better job communicating the environment to you prior to *briefings* and we will always record your remarks.

· · · ·		s	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
SD CA	ार्गणा	DSD SA	[ ]
SD SMA	1	DSD SMA	] )
SD MA	7617	DSD MA	
TSA	WA3	DSD CA	
STF DIR		1	
ES	Min/Pi	1150 1	1 0700

11-L-0559/OSD/55536



10/30/2005 5:13:07 PM

# NOV 2 9 280

TO: Lt Gen Gene Renuart

FROM: Donald Rumsfeld

SUBJECT Accomplishments Paper

I think the attached "Accomplishments" paper is better. I do think it ought to have a conclusion -- please add one. The fact of the matter is that the changes that have taken place here are **cf** major proportion -- they **are** historic.

FOUO

The other thing I **am** worried about is they don't have enough on the **Army** transformation, which has really been significant.

Please rework this and give it to me soon.

Thanks.

Attach. 10/23/06 SMA note to SD

DHR.dh SFI12806-13

Please Respond By December 04,2006





## UNCLASSIFIED

23 October 2006

Memo to the Secretary From: SMA

Sir,

- I have edited and updated the Accomplishments Paper. The updated Title and the Lead In paragraph are marked by the Red Tab – "Rework".
- I incorporated the Foreign Area Officer words into two different sections (Red Tabs "A" and "C") to acknowledge both the expansion of the program and the improvements in personnel policies regarding these officers.
- **3.** Finally, I added the NATO Intel Fusion Center into the Alliances and Partners section at Red Tab "B".

Your original snowflakes on the topics are at the Lime Green Tab. I will maintain this document and keep current. I'll also pass to Matt, Eric, and Dorrance for their nse

V/K -

#### THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE: Transformation Brought to Life

Much attention has been paid to significant events like Operations Enduring Freedom or Iraqi Freedom as the center pieces of the Department. The reality is that over the last six *years*, the Department has made great strides in modernizing its forces to address the threats of the 21st century, in transforming its organization, in operationalizing the Quadrennial Defense Review, and in moving towards a more agile, capabilities based institution. Accomplishingall this while at war. **Look** at what the Department has done across a broad spectrum of categories.

#### L WAR ON TERROR

ι.

- Overall: A multinational coalition has liberated 50 million people in Afghanistan and Iraq, with formation of representative governments and security forces.
- Liberated 31 million Atghans from Taliban control and destroyed Al-Qaeda sanctuary conquering elements that successfully fought off the Soviet Union for over nine years and stood up a Loya Jurga governing council eight months after operations began.
- Liberated 26.7 million Iraqis from a brutal dictatorship and turned over sovereignty of the country to an Iraqi government in 16 months.
- Recruited, Organized, Trained, and Equipped Iraqi and Afghan Security Forces:
  - o 129,000 for Iraqi Ministry of Defense
  - o 165,100 for Iraqi Ministry of Interior
  - o 33,000 for Afghan National Army
  - o 37,000 for Afghan National Police
- Conducted safe and secure elections in Afghanistan and Iraq:
  - o Elections in Iraq
    - January 30,2005 election—55 percent turnout
    - October 15,2005 constitutional ratification43 percent turnout
    - December 15,2005 election—78 percent turnout
    - March 16, 2006—permanent Iraqi Government seated
  - o Elections in Afghanistan
    - October 9,2005 election roughly 80 percent of voters turnout
    - December 7,2005 Afghan President inaugurated
- Senior leadership of America's enemies have been captured, killed, or made to run:
  - Khalid Sheik Mohammad, Al-Qaeda's Director of Operations-captured March 1,2003
  - o SaddamHussein's sons-killed July 22,2003
  - o Saddam Hussein-captured December 13,2003
  - o Ali Hassan Mahmud al-Tikriti, AKA Chemical Ali-captured August 21,2003
  - o Al Zarqawi, leader of Al Qaeda in Iraq-killed June 7,2006

- o Forty-five of fifty-five of Saddam's top regime —the deck of cards—have been killed or captured
- Conducted hundreds of intelligence and tactical operations many with partner nations throughout the world against terrorist organizations directly or loosely affiliated with Al-Qaeda.
- NATO has expanded its reach in Afghanistan the first time the Alliance has acted outside of its traditional boundaries.
- Suspected terrorists held at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, have revealed information that **has** helped thwart attacks against our troops, the American people and our allies.

#### II. SHAPING THE JOINT FORCE FOR ASYMETRIC WARFARE

#### • Army

٠

.

- o Most significant reorganization in a generation, from a division-based structure to 70 modular brigade combat teams.
- o **\$21** billion invested in the National Guard for equipment and modernization so that, for the first time, the Guard will be fully manned, equipped, and funded.
- o Shift of thousands of troops from Institutional Army "tail" to Operational Army "tooth."
- o Development of Future Combat System.
- o Ended Cold War legacy programs, such as the Crusader artillery and Comanche helicopter programs.
- Navy
  - o New Fleet Response Plan doubles the number of Carrier Strike Groups that can be deployed at short notice.
  - o "Sea swaps" of crews allow longer deployment of ships.
  - o Started the development of the new Littoral Combat Ship designed to satisfy the urgent requirement for shallow draft vessels to operate in coastal waters.
  - o Conversion of Trident ballistic missile submarines to vessels that can carry Special Forces and launch UAVs.
- Marines
  - o Created expeditionary strike groups with U.S. Marine *Corps* with many capabilities optimized for GWOT operations.
  - o Created Marine Corps Special Operations Command.
- Air Force

#### DRAFT 1.2

- o Created Air Expeditionary Groups for better efficiency and flexibility.
- o Increased Unmanned Aerial Vehicles from 130 to over 3,000.
- More than 100 satellites and nearly 100 aircraft are controlled and flown daily by 26,000 Airmen to provide valuable intelligence, warning, and precision navigation to forces around the globe.
- **Provide** the joint/ coalition force with global networking and space-based communications, navigation, weather, and surveillance information.
- Irregular Warfare
  - o 107 percent budget increase in Special Forces.
  - o New technologies and tactics to counter IED threat.
  - o Foreign-language skills and area expertise increased throughout the force.
  - o Expanded Foreign Area Officer career field across all services
  - o Increased focus on stability operations.
  - o New counterinsurgency manual and doctrine issued.
- Missile Defense-Limited operating capability implemented:
  - Emplaced 11 ground-based interceptors in Alaska and 2 in California to address longrange threats (ICBMs with range of approximately 10,000 miles).
  - The first operational patrol of Aegis BMD long-range surveillance and tracking equipped destroyers was in the Sea of Japan in Septemher 2004; today, 10 Aegis BMD Destroyers are Long-Range Surveillance and Track capable; one Aegis BMD Destroyer is Engagement capable and two Aegis BMD Cruisers are Engagement capable.
  - o Since 2001, 22 hit-to-kill intercept tests bave destroyed their targets.
  - o On September 1,2006, the last test of the ground-based interceptors exceeded its objectives by destroying a mock ballistic missile in space.
  - o The BMDS transitioned from developmental to operational status.
- Nuclear Triad: New structure of offensive weapons, defensive weapons bound togetber by an enhanced command and control, and intelligence systems.
- Humanitarian Operations & Disaster Relief
  - o Hurricane Katrina/Rita
    - More than 2 million pounds of food, 34,000 MREs, and almost 180,000 bottles of water were distributed.
    - Military forces peaked at nearly 72,000--50,000 National Guardsmen and 22,000 active-duty personnel —a total deployment for Katrina more than twice the size of the military response to Hurricane Andrew.
    - DoD military personnel evacuated more than 80,000 Gulf Coast residents and rescued another 15,000.

- Military forces provided significant medical assistance, including 10,000 medical evacuations by ground and air and the delivery of medical treatment to more than 5,000 sick and injured people.
- o Asian Tsunami
  - More than 24 million pounds of supplies delivered since the disaster.
  - USNS Mercy cared for more than 60,000 patients and performed more than 1,000 surgeries.
- Pakistan Earthquake: Delivered more than 7,000 tons of medical supplies, food, shelter material, blankets, and rescue equipment. Delivered more than 4.5 million kilograms of relief supplies to the disaster area and transported more than 15,000 people, including over 4,300 people needing medical attention.
- o Evacuated nearly 15,000 American citizens from Lebanon.

#### **JII. MANAGEMENT & ORGANIZATION**

- Transformed Unified Command Plan
  - o Northern Command for homeland defense and domestic emergencies.
  - o Joint Forces Command to focus on Transformation.
  - Strategic Command replaces Space Command-missions include ballistic missile defense and WMD.
  - o Finalizing plan for new combatant command for Africa.
  - Developing mechanisms for Combatant Commanders to have dual-responsibilities in key countries, e.g. Mexico.

#### • Reorganized Department Leadership

- o Created an Undersecretary for Intelligence.
- o Created an Assistant Secretary for Homeland Defense.
- o Created an Assistant Secretary for Network Information Integration/Chief Information Officer.
- Budget: Consolidated the program/budget process into a 2-year cycle.
- *National Security Personnel System (NSPS)*: About **10,000** civilian employees incorporated into a system that allows for greater flexibility in hiring, promotion, and assignment.
- *Military to Civilian Conversion:* About 20,000 positions previously held by uniformed military personnel are now performed by civilians, freeing up troops for military tasks and assignments.

- **Business Processes:** Created the Business Transformation Agency to improveDoD's business processes, systems, and investmentgovemance
- **Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC):** Implemented largest BRAC round in history, saving taxpayers \$XX million.
- *Transportation:*EstablishedTRANSCOM as owner of the distribution process from factory to foxhole, as opposed to from port to port.
- Defense Logistics Agency: Established as sole entity for supply chain.
- Senior Level Review Group: Established to improve civilian-military interaction.
- *Headquarters Staff*: Decreased by 10 percent to reduce unnecessary overhead and duplication

#### IV. ALLIANCES AND PARTNERSHIPS

- Global Posture
  - Cold **War** arrangements overseas being updated to reflect new threats and circumstances.
  - o Thousands of troops and families being moved **from** Germany, Japan, and South Korea.
- NATO
  - o Stood up new NATO Response Force.
  - o Created Allied Transformation Command.
  - o Deployment of **ISAF** to Afghanistan and training mission to Iraq.
  - o Headquarters and overhead reduced.
  - Established NATO Intel fusion cell...Provides common threat picture, transforming NATO's intelligence capability and improving decision quality information for commanders on the ground.
- *Proliferation Security* Initiative: Implemented a 60-nation partnership to interdict dangerous weapons and materials.
- New Security Partnerships: Developed in the Pacific, Central and South Asia, Eastern Europe and the Balkans, and South America.
  - o Georgian Train and Equip Program.
  - o Restructure Defense Attach6 program to align with new Security Cooperation Guidance.

• Global Peacekeeping Operations Initiative

#### V. PEOPLE

- Medical
  - o Military Amputee Training Center.
  - o State-of-the-art medical care for combat operations in Iraq and Afghanistan.
  - o Smallpox Vaccination Program.
- Quality of Life: Longer tours at home bases to provide less disruption to family life.
- *Housing:* Privatized several housing programs and built thousands of military housing units.
- *Active Component/Reserve Component:* "Rebalanced" thousands of personnel spaces both within and between the components to reduce stress on the forces.
- Targeted Pay Raises: Aimed to attract most talented skilled people in high demand.
- Strengthened Career Opportunities for Foreign Area Officers (FAO): Expanded FAO training and career management focus, resulting in a three-fold increase in applicants for each position and improved promotion results across all branches of Service.

т <b>о:</b>	Lt Gen Gene Renuart
<i>cc:</i>	Robert Rangel
FROM:	Donald Rumsfeld
SUBJECT:	Add to Accomplishments

For the accomplishments paper, we should add that NATO has established **an intel** fusion center, which is a direct result of our urging and instigation, and that is **a** significant accomplishment. If NATO countries are going to have a common threat assessment, they have to have an intelligence capability at NATO Headquarters.

#### Thanks.

DHR.dh SF101706-07

Show we your edit

OCT 1 8 2006

007-1-8,2006

I.

TO: Lt Gen Gene Renuart

FROM: Donald Runsfeld

SUBJECT Add Joint FAO to Accomplishments

Please add to the list of accomplishments: the improvements we have made in the Joint Foreign *Area* Officer programs.

Shu de Wer you put 16. Findede Mile J-S. Thanks. DHR dh SF101706-17

Please Respond By October 26, 2006

October 18,2006

,

TO: Lt Gen Gene Renuart

FROM: Donald Rumsfeld

SUBJECT Addition to Accomplishments

Please put the attached Foreign Area Officer information in as part of the accomplishments paper. Show me what you propose to add before you do it.

FOUO

Thanks.

. . •

. . . .

Attach. USD(P&R) memo to SD re: "JointFAO Program Quarterly Update" (OSD 04632-06)

DHR.dh SF101805-12

Please Respond By October 26, 2006



January 03, 2006

To: David Chu

FROM: Donald Rumsfeld **2***M*.

SUBJECT: Foreign Area Officer Program

Please send me a quarterly report on how we're doing this Joint Foreign Area Officer Program.

Thanks

Please Respond By 03/16/06 OCT 1 8 2006 SNOWFLAKE RESPONSE ATTACHED

FOUO

11-L-0559/OSD/55548



10/10/2006 11:47:10 /



#### UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE 4000 DEFENSE PENTAGON WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301-4000



### **INFOMEMO**

PERSONNEL AND READINESS

September 29, 2006, 10:30 AM

MEMORANDUM FOR SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

FROM David S. C. Chu, UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE (P&R) Junk C. Chu

donto.

SUBJECT Joint Foreign Area Officer (FAO) Program Quarterly Update ~ 10/1V SNOWFLAKE (attached)

- Since the last update in June 2006, the Services have continued to make progress strengthening and institutionalizing the joint FAO program, and developing new FAOs.
- The Army hosted its semi-annual Foreign Area Officer Orientation Course in July 2006. This course was the first to include FAO trainees from all Services in ajoint program. The course **curriculum** consisted of briefings on the overall FAO program, career panels, regionally focused discussions, and information on the in-country training phase of the FAO program.
- In August 2006, the Marine Corps released the FY07 Lieutenant Colonel Command Selection List that included eight officers from the International Affairs Officer community, consisting of Foreign Area Officers and Regional Affairs Officers. This is a **3.5** percent increase over last year's list, possibly reflecting the increased emphasis on EAO skills. We will continue to monitor to see if this is a **trend**.
- In September 2006, the Navy took a giant leap forward in its development of its FAO program when the Secretary of the Navy approved the 17XX series of designators, which formally established FAO as a distinct career management field. This paves the way for re-coding of officers and billets and provides for PAO Restricted Line promotions beginning in FY08.
- Also in September, the Air Force Development Education Designation Board selected 76 officers, meeting 100 percent of its selection goal on Pol-Mil Affairs Strategists (PAS). These officers will begin their training Summer 2007.

COORDINATION: NONE

Attachment: As stated

Prepared by: LTC Wiltse, DLO, jeffrey, wiltse@osd.pentagon.mil(b)(6)







10/16/2006 11:50:09 AM



1

UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE 4000 DEFENSE PENTAGON WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301-4000



INFO MEMO

PERSONNELANC READINESS

June 23, 2006, 3:35 PM

Bes Thomas Ch

MEMORANDUM FOR SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

FROM David S. C. Chu, UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE (P&R)

SUBJECT: Joint Foreign Area Officer (FAO) Program Quarterly Update -SNOWFLAKE (attached)

- Since the last quarterly update in March 2006, FAO metrics were established and the Services
  provided their initial data. This effort provided measures that will help the Department manage
  FAO career progression and utilization, identified some noteworthy relationships, and created a
  baseline for future data comparisons:
- PAO Accession, The Department exceeded all FAO accession goals.
  - Focus on the importance of FAOs and corresponding incentives greatly increased the mamber and quality of applicants. Across the Services, applicants exceed requirements by 423%; approximately 74% met the minimum FAO accession qualifications, providing FAO selection panels more than three (3) qualified applicants per requirement.
  - o The Army and Marine Corps each reported 100% training completion, indicating that the competitive selection process ensures acceptance of candidates capable of meeting the rigorous FAO training requirements. Air Force and Navy begin their first FAO training in summer 2006.
- <u>FAO Promotion & Retention</u>. While many factors impact promotion selection and more than
  promotion rates influence a service mathems! decision to stay in the Force, the initial data
  suggest that when FAO promotion rates are above the Service averages, FAO retention is higher.
  - Army exceeded the goal for FAO promotion rates (i.e., for FAO rates to be not less than 3% below applicable Service averages) and retention among Army FAOs was 2% higher than their Service average.
  - o Data for the other Services was not sufficient to establish a trend.

#### COORDINATION: NONE

Attachment: As stated

Prepared by: COL Yeong-Tae Pak, DLO, Yeong.pak@osd.pentagon.mil(b)(6)



CC Mr. Smith

ale the sale of the

TO:

CC: **Robert Rangel** Steve Bucci Cathy Mainardi Donald Rumsfeld FROM: Invitation from George Shultz SUBJECT Attached is an invitation I received from George Shultz for September 11-14. Please think about it, and get back to me. Thanks. Attach 1/20/05 Shultz letter to SecDef DHR.ss 010506-19 Please Respond By 02/15/06 1/12/06 1/17 8 X Seclef. IF The schedule can be predicted this for out I think this would be a good thing to do. Obviously, o good thing to do. Obviously, o you il have other activities st 0770-06 around the September 11 & -FOUD Late but I should think we 11-L-0559/OSD/55551 or & this in too. Or late OSD 00770-06

901.1 SP

JAN 0 6 2005

January 20,2006

The Honorable Donald Rumsfeld Secretary of Defense 1010Defense Pentagon Washington DC 20301-3010

Dear Mr. Secretary:

I am writing to invite you to give a keynote address at the second annual meeting of the tri-national North American Forum, which will take place from September **11-14** at the Fairmont Lake Louise, in Banff, Canada, near Calgary.

The North American Forum (NAF) is an annual gathering of thought leaders from Mexico, Canada and the United States to discuss ways to advance the shared and reinforcing goals of security, economic prosperity and improved quality of life. The NAF was conceived in the aftermath of the 9-11 attacks on the United States, and reflects a recognition that protecting the homeland will require cooperation throughout the continent. The Forum is modeled in part after the annual Wehrkunde conference. I serve as the American Co-Chair of the newly formed NAF, and an joined by former Alberta Premier Peter Lougheed, and former Mexican Finance Minister Pedro Aspe. We are supported by a Core Group of Advisors, among whom is William Schneider, Chair of the Defense Science Board, who briefed you on the NAF in the lead up to its inaugural meeting, held in October, 2005, in Sonoma, California. You were unable to join us at that meeting, due to a scheduling conflict.

While discussions at the NAF take place in the context of policies forged by the three governments, its focus is on the role that private actors can play to reinforce and complement official actions. The format is a round-table discussion among 75 participants, drawn from the public, private and social sectors of the three countries of North America. Much like the Wehrkunde conferences in which you and I have taken **part**, panels of experts tee up issues for discussion, and the conversation is off-the-record. However, there are opportunities for on-the-record keynote remarks. My hope is that you will make a keynote address to the full group, and that you will identify an official of the Defense Department at the Under Secretary or Assistant Secretary level to take part in the full conference.

I greatly look forward to seeing you on January 27 at the dinner you are so kindly hosting. Perhaps we will have a moment then for a quick conversation about this invitation.

With warm regards,

Sincerely yours,

George P. Shultz Co-Chairman



Co-Chairmen • George P. shultz • pedro Aspe • peter Lougheed

WORLD AFFAIRS CENTER • 312 SUTTER STREET, SUITE 200 • SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108 (415) 293-4600 tel. • (415) 293-4691 fax 11-L-0559/OSD/555552



#### THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE WASHINGTON

JAN 18 2006

The Honorable George P. Shultz Co-Chairman North American Forum World Affairs Center 3 12 Sutter Street, Suite 200 San Francisco, CA **94108** 

Dear George,

Thanks so much for the invitation for September 11-14 to speak at the meeting of the North American Forum. I am sure there will be a lot of things involved with the anniversary of September 11, but it is entirely possible that I could do it. I would like to work my schedule a little bit and then get back to you.

Thanks so much for the nice thought.





**0\$D** 00770-06
		1 DT.
	-FOLO-	25
	-FOLO- JAN 0 3 2006 GO FO	- Pa
то	Gen Pete Pace	t six
cc:	VADM Staser Holcomb (Ret)	ar
FROM	Donald Rumsfeld	
SUBJECT:	Defense Attaché m Pakistan	
-	robably not smart to have a Naval officer as our principal military dristan, given the fact that the Pakistan army ispretty much in charge y.	
	e with <b>a proposal.</b>	
Thanks.		
Different ) 22905-09 (TS), das	***************************************	
Please resp	and by January 26, 2006	

	$\mathbb{S}$
	5
	ê
	a
0 S Ď	00808-06

1000

.

ţ



### CHAIRMAN OF THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF

अग्रहान्त्र हो दिनगढ

2 1"1 12 PM

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20318-9999

INFO MEMO

CH-0157-06 17 January 2004

FOR SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

FROM: General Pete Pace, CJCS TA Tan 06

SUBJECT: Defense Attach6 in Pakistan

- In your 3 Jan note', you state, "it is probably not smart to have a Naval officer as our principal military official in Pakistan, given the fact that the Pakistan anny is pretty much in control." The DATT position in Islamabad is an Air Force position, and is currently filled by Col Charles M. Robinson. USAF. The Naval Attaché, CAPT Mark Boettcher, USN, served as interim DATT to fill a five-month gap between the departure of Col Robinson's predecessor, and Col Robinson's arrival. CAPT Boettcher has returned to his duties as Naval Attaché.
- BG Charles Davidson (USAR)<sup>2</sup>, the Chief, Office of Defense Representative- Pakistan, is the senior US Military Representative in Pakistan and serves as the principal military advisor to the US Ambassador to Pakistan and represents Cdr. USCENTCOM and Cdr, CFC-Afghanistan.<sup>3</sup> BG Davidson oversees the recommendation, execution and coordination of all US military assistance to the host nation Minister of Defense to include security assistance, mil-to-mil engagements, combined/joint exercises, and force protection issues.
- The Office of the Defense Representative consists of three Army and one Air Force members. The Defense Attach6 Office consists of nine US military members; five Air Force, three Army, and one Navy.
- The formal decision to assign an Air Force, rather than Army, officer as DATT Pakistan was
  reaffirmed by the Service Chiefs during a review of DATT positions in the 2004-'05 Defense
  Attache System re-alignment study, Although the Army has always been the dominant
  Service in Pakistan, it made sense to assign an Air Force pilot as DATT given our robust F-16
  program with the Pakistani military.

COORDINATION: None

Attachment: As stated

Prepared By: General Peter Pace, CJCS. (b)(6)

a kistan

7 Jan 06

3 Jan 06

OSD 00808-06

11-L-0559/OSD/55555

Commander (TPU) 350th Civil Affairs Command Pensacola, Florida 32504-8999 since July 2001

### SOURCE AND YEARS OF COMMISSIONED SERVICE OCS, Over 32

CURRENT OCCUPATION Security Consultant, Spartanburg, South Carolina

### MILITARY SCHOOLSATTENDED

Infantry Officer Advanced Course Armor Officer Advanced Course Civil Affairs Officer Advanced Course United States Army Command and General StaffCollege United States Army War College CAPSTONE

EDUCATIONAL DEGREES Wofford College · BA Degree - English Catholic University · MA Degree · Psychology

### FOREIGN LANGUAGE None recorded

PROMOTIONS

### DATES OF APPOINTMENT

Rank	<u>Comvonent</u>	Date
2LT	AUS	20 Jan 72
1LT	USAR	19 Jan 75
CPT	USAR	18 Jan 79
MAJ	USAR	17 Jan <i>86</i>
LTC	USAR	16 Jan 93
CÔL	USAR	02 Mar <b>98</b>
BG	USAR	06 May 02

### MAJOR DUTY ASSIGNMENTS

FROM	DM TO ASSIGNMENT	
Active Duty		
<b>Jan</b> 72	Apr 72	Student, Mechanical Maintenance Officer Course, Aberdeen Proving
		Grounds, Maryland
Apr 72	Dec 72	Material Requirements Officer, United States Army Combat
-		Developments Command, Personnel and Logistics Systems Group, Fort
		Lee, Virginia



# 11-L-0559/OSD/55556

_				
Dec	72	Sep	73	Chief, Ordnance Program Maintenance Directorate, Project Office, United States Army Corps of Engineers, Saudi Arabia
USA	R - Not or	n Activ	/e	
Şep		Jul	77	Control Group
Jul	77	Jan	78	Supply Officer, 3d Battalion, 323d Regiment, 1st Brigade, 108th Division (Training), Spartanburg, South Carolina (non-rated)
Jan	78	Oct	78	Training Officer, Company <b>A</b> , 3d Battalion, 323d Regiment, 15 Brigade, 108th Division (Training), Spartanburg, South Carolina (Jan-Apr 78, non-rated)
Oct	78	Oct	80	Commander, Company C, 3d Battalion, 323d Regiment, 1st Brigade, 108th Division (Training), Spartanburg, South Carolina (Sep-Oct 80, non-rated)
Nov	80	Mar	81	Assistant Adjutant. 80th Training Command, 80th Division (Training), Salem, V i n i a
Mar	81	Mar	82	Chief, Individual Tactical Training Sub-Committee, Tacties Committee, 80th Training Command, 80th Division (Training), Fort Lee, Virginia
Mar	82	Dec	83	Assistant Committee Chief, Tactics Committee, 80th Training Command, 80th Division (Training), Fort Lee, Virginia
Jan	84	Aug	86	Operations and Training Officer, 80th Division (Training), Richmond, Virginia (Aug 86, non-rated)
Sep	86	Mar	88	Committee Chief, Tactics Committee and Headquarters and Headquarters Company, 80th Infantry Training Group, 80th Division (Training), Fort Lee, Virginia
Mar	88	Feb	89	Procurement Officer, 5th Psychological Operations Group, 97th United States Army Reserve Command, Washington, DC (Mar-May 88, non-rated)
Feb	89	Feb	90	Tactical Intelligence Officer, 5th Psychological Operations Group, 97th United States <b>Army Reserve Command</b> . Washington, DC
Feb	90	Sep	90	Strategic Intelligence Officer, 5th Psychological Operations Group, 97th United States Army Reserve Command, Washington, DC
Sep	90	Feb	91	Psychological Operations <b>Officer</b> , 305th Research and Analysis Company, <b>5th</b> Psychological Operations Group, 97th United States Army Reserve Command, Washington, DC
<u>Activ</u>	e Duty			
Feb	91	Мау	91	Deputy Chief, Coalition Warfare Branch, Combined Civil Affairs Task Force, Saudi Arabia and Kuwait
<u>USA</u> I	R - Not on	Activ	c Duty	
May		Oct		Public Education Officer. 352d Civil Affairs Command, United States Army Civil Affairs and Psychological Operations Command, Riverdale, Maryland
Nov	92	Oct	94	Commander, 3d Battalion, 317th Regiment, 1st Brigade, 80th Division (Training), Culpepper, Virginia

# 11-L-0559/OSD/55557

· **-**

•

1

Oct 94	Jun 95	Policy Officer, Commander in Chief Support <b>Team, 352d</b> Civil Affairs Command, United States <b>Army</b> Civil Affairs and Psychological Operations Command, Riverdale. Maryland
<b>J</b> un <b>95</b>	Sep <b>95</b>	Commander, 11th Psychological Operations Battalion, 7th Psychological Operations Group, United States Army Civil Affairs and Psychological Operations Command, Washington, DC
Oct 95	Jan 97	Commander, 11th Psychological Operations Battalion, 2d Psychological Operations Group, United <b>States</b> Army Civil Affairs and Psychological Operations Command, Washington, DC
<u>Active <b>Dity</b></u> Jan 97	Aug 97	Commander, Tactical Psychological Operations Support, Element, 1st Infantry Division, Combined Joint Information Campaign Task Force, Bosnia
USAR • Not o	n Active Duty	
Aug 97	sep 97	Commander, 11th Psychological Operations Battalion, <b>2d</b> Psychological Operations Group, United States Army Civil Affairs and Psychological Operations Command, Washington, DC
Oct 97	Jun OO	Commander, 2d Psychological Operations Group, United States Army Civil Affairs and Psychological Operations Command, Cleveland, Ohio
Jun 00	Jul 01	Deputy Commander, 352d Civil Affairs Command, United States Army Civil Affairs and Psychological Operations Command, Riverdale, Maryland
Jul 01	Dec 03	Commander, 350th Civil Affairs Command, Pensacola, Florida
<u>Active Dut</u> y D∞ 03	Present	Commander, 350th Civil Affairs Command and Director,
		Civil Military Operations Directorate, Operation Iraqi Freedom, Baghdad, Iraq
<u>SUMMARY (</u>	OF JOINT EXPE	RIENCE
	GRADE	ASSIGNMENT

Feh-May91	MAJ	Deputy Chief, Coalition Warfare Branch, Combined <b>Civil</b> Affairs <b>Task</b> Force, Saudi Arabia and Kuwait
Jan-Aug 97	LTC	Commander, Tactical Psychological Operations Support Element, 1st Infantry Division, Combined Joint Information Campaign Task Force,
Dec 03-Presen	t BG	Bosnia Director, Civil Military Operations Directorate, <b>Operation Ira</b> qí Freedom, Baghdad, Iraq

3

US DEC<u>ORATIONS AND BADGES</u> Legion of Merit Bronze Szar Medal (with Oak Leaf Cluster) Defense Meritorious Service Medal Meritorious Service Medal (with Oak Leaf Cluster) Army Commendation Medal (with Oak Leaf Cluster) Army Achievement Medal . Combat Infantry Badge Expert Infantry Badge Air Assault Badge German Psychological Defense Personnel Badge (Gold)

As of 18 January 2005

· .

3

JOINT/OUTSIDE-SERVI	CE BILLET POSITION DESCRIPTION
d, Posihon Title: Chlef, Office ofDefense Representative - Paklatan	2. Aurth Grade: 07/08 3. Effective Date: 14 Aug 02
Ia. JMP Para/Ling No: NA	7. Telephone:
ID. NATO PE Post No.: NA	Commercial: (b)(6)
1.c. Other: USCENTCOMJoint Manning	AUTOVON: (b)(6)
Document Para/Lip WPA10701	Secure noutype system: SCAMPInumber(b)(6)
4. Higher Headquarters: USCENTCOM	IVSN: NVA
8. Reporting Senior: DCDR, USCENTCOM	Data Fax: $DSN(b)(6)$
6. Location: US Embassy Pakistan	Secure Fax: DSN(b)(6)
Meil: (b)(6) Mag: ODRP ISLAMABAD PK	
es the principal military advisor to the US Ambassa (USCENTCOM) and the Commander, Combined For country. Oversets the recommandation, execution of Defense to Include Security Assistance. Mil-to-Mil Oversees ODR-P operations and ensures its efforts as the military advisor to the Ambassador, USCENT of the Pakistani military. Works with US Army Fore	tan is the senior US Military Defense Representative in Pakistan. Sorves dor to Paksitan, and representative Commander, US Cantral Command- tas Command-Afghanistan (CFC-A) for all military related matters in and coordination of all US military assistance to the host netion Military is ngagamenta, combined/joint exercises, and force protaction is use are completely coordinated with other governmental spencies. Surves COM and CFC-A on all issues related to the operations and employment as Central (ARCENT), US Navel Forces Central (NAVCENT) and US helf interests are included in the USCENTCOM country engegment plan.
JS FORM148, DEC <b>99 (EG)</b>	(Centinued on Reverse)

11-L-0559/OSD/55560

FOUO

JAN 2 4 2006

TO: **Den** Stanley

Donald Rumsfeld FROM

SUBJECT: List of Invited Members

On the items that I have checked on the attached memo, please indicate the number of people we invited to those sessions. Those listed are only the people who came, and we invited a much larger number. It was their choice not to come.

Thanks.

Attach 1/17/06 ASD (LA) memo to SecDef

DHR.ss 012306-13 Please Respond By February 09,2006

FOUO





### THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

WASHINGTON, DC 20301-1300

### INFO MEMO

\* 11: 47

January 17,2006 5:00 PM

FOR: SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

FROM:

Daniel R. Stanley, Assistant Secretary of Defense – (Legislative Affairs)<sup>(b)(6)</sup>

SUBJECT: Congressional Contacts for 2005 by the Secretary of Defense

- During the calendar year 2005, the Senate was in session for a total of 159 days while the House was in session for a total of 139 days. The Secretary of Defense had at least 78 events with Members of Congress.
- The Secretary of Defense conducted fifteen briefings with the Chairman or Vice Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, some of which included the CENTCOM Commander or other DoD witnesses, as follows: four for all Senators, two before the Senate Armed Services Committee, three for all House members, two before the House Armed Services Committee and four before select members via SVTC at the White House.
- The Secretary of Defense hosted 12 breakfasts with a total of 36 Senators and 41 Representatives.
- The Secretary of Defense met with Chairman Warner at least 10 times and Chairman Hunter at least 4 times.
- Additionally, the Secretary of Defense conducted other personal meetings with at least 26 Senators and 1 14 Representatives.
- The Secretary of Defense testified ten times: three times before the Senate Armed Services Committee, four times before the House Armed Services Committee, once before the Senate Appropriations Committee, once before the Senate Appropriations Subcommittee on Defense and once before the House Appropriations Subcommittee on Defense.
- A copy of this summary is attached at Tab A.

cc: Deputy Secretary of Defense

Prepared by: MGySgt Sue Ann Hines-Laboy, OSD/LA(b)(6)

11-L-0559/OSD/55562

OSD 00926-06

- - --

Date	Event	Location	Members Attending	Other DOD attendees	<b>Duration</b>
Jan 6	Breakfast	Pentagon	DeMint, Coburn, Thune, Isakson, Jitter, Martinez, Obama, Salazar	DepSecDef, CJCS, Harvey, England, Moore, DiRita,	1 hr
fan 19	Meeting – House Freshmen Orientation	Pentagon	Barrow, Bean, Boustany, Conaway, Costa, Cuellar, Dent, <b>Drake</b> , Fitzpatrick, Fortenberry, Fortuno, Foxx, Jindal, Kuhl, Lungren, McCaul, McHenry, McMorris, Marchant, McFancon, Price, leichert, Schwartz, Wasserman- Schultz	Harvey, England, Teets, Wynne, Jonas, Chu, Cambone, Moore, Gen. Moseley, Lt.Gen. Schwartz, Di Rita, Geren, Stanley, Espeme, Broome	1 hr
fan 20	The Michigan Society Inaugural Ball	American History Museum	Dingell, Upton		<b>30</b> mins
lan 20	Congressional Elected Leadership Ball	Library of Congress	Cox		
	Briefing – Ops Intel	2118 RHOB	HASC: 48 Members	Abizaid	2 hrs.
an. 26	Briefing – Ops Intel	222 Russell	SASC: 23 Senators	Abizaid	2 hrs.
Jan 26	Dinner – iho Combatant Commanders	Café Milano	DeLay, Stevens	Schoomaker, Clark, Jumper, Hagee, Abizaid, Jones, Giambastiani, Craddock, Fargo, Keating, Brown, Cartwright,	1 hr
an. 28	Breakfast	Pentagon	Reid	CJCS, Moore	<b>45</b> mins.
Feb 2	Majority Leader's Reception ost State of the Union	Capitol	Frist		1 hr
			Hastert, Wolf		

# SECDEF Contact with Members of Congress (for CY 2005)

1



Feb 7	Lunch – Budget brief	Pentagon	Senators: Wamer, Levin, Stevens,	DepSec, Harvey, England,	1 ¼ hr
			Inouye, Cochran	Teets, CJCS, Wynne,	
			Representatives: Hunter, Skelton,	Feith, Jonas, Chu,	
			Obey	Cambone, Pace,	
				Schoomaker, Clark,	
				Jumper, Hagee, Stanley,	
				DiRita, Willard, Espeme,	
				Broome	
Fcb 8	Breakfast – Iraq elections and	Pentagon	Senators: Allard, Allen, Bennett,	DepSec, CJCS, Harvey,	I hr
	Security Forces		Bond, Chambliss, Graham, Comyn,	Jonas, Chu, Schoomaker,	
			Huthchison, Inhofe, Isakson, Kyl,	Stanley, DiRita, Willard,	
			Lugar, McConnell, Sessions,	Broome	
			Shelby, Smith, Talent, Thune		
Feb 15	Breakfast - Iraq elections and	Pentagon	Representatives: Blackburn, Carter,	DepSec, Harvey, England,	1 hr
	Iraqi Security forces		"Duke" Cunningham, Granger,	CJCS, Jonas, Schoomaker,	
			Leach, Marshall, Putnam, Ros-	Stanley, Haynes, DiRita,	
			Lehtinen, Ryun, Turner, Wicker	Dubois, Espeme	
Feb 16	Hearing – Posture Overview	2118 RHOB	HASC – 62 Members	CJCS, Jonas, Chu, Stanley,	3 hrs
	-			Geren, Esperne	
Feh 16	Hearing – Supplemental	106 Dirkson	3AC: Kohl, Durbin, Cochran,	CJCS, Jonas, Stanley,	2 hrs
			Dorgan, Mikulski, Harkin, Inouye,	Geren, Espeme	
			3rownback, Feinstein, Domenici,		
			vurray, Allard, Leahy, Bums, Byrd,		
			Stevens		
Feb 17	Hearing – Posture Overview	216 Hart	SASC: Ensign, Wamer, Levin,	CJCS, Jonas, Stanley,	3 hrs
	÷		vicCain, Kennedy, Inhofe,	Geren, Broome	
			Jeberman, Collins, Reed, Clinton,		
			l'hune, B. Nelson, Chambliss		

Feb 17	Hearing – Posture Overview	2359 RHOB	HAC-D: Young, Hobson, Bonilla, Cunningham, Frelinghuysen, Tiahrt, Wicker, Kingston, Lewis, Granger, Murtha, Dicks, Sabo, Visclosky, Moran, Kaptur, Obey	CJCS, Jonas, Stanley, Geren, Esperne	2 hrs
Mar 8	Dinner	SecDef Residence	Thune, Kyl		1 hr
Mar 9	Briefing - Ops Intel	Capitol S-407	Senate: 43 Members	CJCS	1 ½ hrs
Mar 10	Hearing – Posture Review continued from 2/16	2118 RHOB	HASC: Hunter, Bartlett, Saxton, Hefley, J. Wilson, Akin, McMorris, Ryun, Schwarz, Drake, Franks, Kline, G. Davis, Conaway Skelton, Taylor, Abercrombie, Snyder, McIntyre, S. Davis, Langevin, Cooper, Marshall, Ryan, McKinney, Boren	CJCS, Jonas, Chu, Stanley, Geren, DiRita, Stavridis, Espenie	3 hrs
Mar 15	Breakfast – Nuclear issues	Pentagon	Hobson	Sec. Bodman (DoE), Cambone, Wynne, Henry, Stanley, Klein, Cartwright	1 hr
Apr 5	Dinner		Cochran, Martinez	Cambone. O'Beirne, Moseley	1 hr
Apr 7	Lunch	Pentagon	Warner	CJCS, Chu, Stanley	I hr
Apr 20	Briefing – Ops Intel	2118 RHOB	HASC: 80 members	CJCS	1 ½ hrs
<b>Apr</b> 21	Breakfast – Ops Intel	Pentagon	Sens: Warner, Levin, Stevens, Reps: Hunter, Skelton	CJCS, Jonas, Cambone, O'Connell, Stanley Kostiw (CIA)	1 hr
April 27	Hearing	192 Dirksen	SAC-D: Bond, Bums, Byrd, Cochran, Gregg, Feinstein, Inouye, Shelby, Specter, Stevens	CJCS	2 hrs
April 27	Meeting	Capitol H-405	J. Lewis, Obey, Young, Murtha,	Cambone, Stanley	45 mins

•

April 27	Meeting	Cannon H-407	P. Kennedy	Stanley, Stavridis	30 <b>mins</b>
April 29	Farewell Ceremony	Pentagon	Warner, Coleman	DepSee, Harvey, England, OSD A-List	I ½ hrs
May 3	Reception – Japanese Diet Members	Capitol S-211	Dicks, Kirk, Tiahrt, Cole, G. Davis, Boehlert, J Wilson, L. Davis, Simmons, Bordallo	CJCS, Henry, Lawless, Flory, O'Bering	1 ½ hrs
May 16	Dinner – iho Paul Wolfowitz	SecDefs Residence	Sens Lieberman & Shelby Rep Marshall	England (Acting DepSec), Wolfowitz, Combatant Commanders	1 hr
May 18	Briefing – Ops Intel	Capitol S-407	SASC: 27 members	CJCS. Abizaid	1 ½ <b>hrs</b>
May 18	Meeting – Surety Issue	Capitol S-407	Warner	Acting DepSec, Haynes, Stanley	<b>30</b> mins
May 19	Reception iho Ambassadors: Costa Rica, Dominican Republic, El Salvador, Guatemala, Hondorus, Nicaragua	SecDef 5 Residence	Reps: Blackburn, Bartlett, Hinojosa Sen Sessions	Maurer, Craddock, Field	½ hrs
May 27	Lunch	Pentagon	Rep S. Johnson	Stanley	30 mins
June 15	Meeting	225 Russell	Warner	Stanley	<b>45</b> mins
Jun 16	Breakfast - successes in Global War on Terror	Pentagon	Hunter, Granger, Gerlach, Wilson, Ros-Lethinen, Reichart, Kline, McCaul, Davis, Miller, Poe, Taneredo	England, Feith, Henry, Abell, Stanley, DiRita, Rangel, Geren	I hr
Jun 23	Hearing – Iraq issues	325 Russell	SASC: 24 members	CJCS, Abizaid, Casey, DiRita, Rangel, Broome	3 hrs
Jun 23	Hearing - Iraq issues	2118 M O B	HASC: 49 members	CJCS, Abizaid, Casey, Rangel, Stanley, Ruff	3 hrs
Jun 27	Dinner	The Occidental Restaurant	Sen Cornyn Rep Ros-Lehtinen	VCJCS, Feith, Krieg, Rodman, Admiral Willard. Gen Maples	1 ½ hrs

.

Jul 11	Lunch	Pentagon	G. Davis	Harvey, Rangel, Stanley	30 mins
Jul 19	Breakfast	Pentagon	Skelton	Stanley, Rangel	<b>45</b> mins
Jul 21	Talk Show – GWOT, GTMO, BRAC, C-130 J	Pentagon	Chambliss	Broome	<i>50</i> mins
Aug 3	Award Ceremony	Dallas, TX	Rep. S. Reyes		15 mins
Aug 22	Dinner iho Gen Jumper		Sen Chambliss	Gen Jumper	1 hr
Sep 6	Briefing – Relief efforts post Hurricane Katrina	Capitol S-407	All Senate members	CJCS, Stanley, McHale, LTG Blum, LTG Strock	1 hr 20 mins
Sep 6	Briefing – Relief efforts post Hurricane Katrina	Capitol Hous Floor	All House members	CJCS, Stanley, McHale, LTG Blum, LTG Strock	3 hrs
	Radio Day	Pentagon	Rep M. Blackbum		15 mins
<del>6. p. 1</del> 1	Freedom Walk	Arlington/DC	Sens: Stevens, Allen Rep: Blackburn	Dubois, DiRita, Stavridiews, Bucci	2 hrs
Sep 21	Briefing - Ops Intel	21 18 RHOB	All House Members	CJCS, VCJCS, Stanley, Ambassador Jeffries (DoS)	1 ½ hrs
Sep 21	Briefing - Ops Intel	Capitol 5-407	All Schate Members	CJCS. VCJCS, Stanley, Ambassador Jeffries (DoS)	1 ½ hrs
Sep 26	Dinner – iho CJCS Myers		Sens Sessions and Brownback. Rep Skelton		l hr
Sep 28	Briefing – Ops Intel	Capitol S-40	Senate	VCJCS, Stanley, Abizaid. Casey	1 ½ hrs
Sep 28	Briefing – GWOT	2 172 RHOB	House – 10 members	VCJCS, Stanley, Abizaid. Casev. DiRita. Rangel	1 ½ hrs
Sep 29	Meeting	Caoitol H-40:	M. Rogers	Cambone, Abizaid	30 mins
Sep 29	Breakfast – Congressional Leadership	Capitol H-13	Reps: Hunter, Hyde, Kirk, Thornberry, Kline, Cole, Blackbum, T. Davis, Capito, Marshall, Boren Sens: Warner, Kyl, Bums, Martinez	Stanley, Cambone,	1 ¼ hrs
Sep 29	Hearing - U.S. military strategy in Iraq/Afghanistan	106 Dirksen	SASC: Warner, McCain, Inhofe, Sessious, Ensign, Collins, Comyn, Talent, Thune, Graham, Chambliss, Levin, Reed, Akaka, Dayton,	CJCS, Stanley, Rangel, Abizaid, Casey	3 hrs

.

٠

			E. Nelson, Clinton, Kennedy, Lieberman, Bayh, B. Nelson,		
Sep 29	Hearing - U.S. military strategy in Iraq/Afghanistan	2118 RHOB	HASC	Stanley, Rangel, Abizaid,	3 hrs
3ct 6	Meeting - BRAC	Pentagon	Domenici	Stanley	15 mins
Oct 7	Meeting - Posse Comitatus	Pentagon	Skelton	Stanley, Rangel	30 mins
Oct 11	Town Hall Meeting	Mac Dil AFB, FL	Martinez		15 mins
Oct 11	Reception	Miami	Reps Ros Lehtinen and Diaz-Balart		20 mins
Det 27	Breakfast – FY06 Def Approps Conference	Capito S-238	Sen Stevens	CJCS, Stanley, Rangel	l hr
Det 27	Meeting – Iraq/Afghanistan				20 mins
Det 27	Meeting – Courtesy call	Capitol H-329	Blunt	CJCS, Stanley, Rangel	<b>30</b> mins
Oct 27	Meeting	Capitol	Kirk	Rangel	5 mins
Nov 3	House US China Working Group – China Trip	2360 RHOB	Kirk, Larsen, Kuhl, Johnson, Dent, Cannon, Simmons, Schwarz, Wu, Holt, Cooper, Case, Platts, Boehlert, Conaway, G. Davis, Wilson, Moore, A. Smith, Honda, Dicks, Bass, Rogers, Kennedy, Feeney, Baird, Michaud, D. Weldon, Blumenaur, Moran, Chandler, S. Davis, Boozman, Boustany	Stanley	50 mins
i o v <b>3</b>	Meeting – China Trip, CN, Budget	Capitol H-232	Hastert	Pace, Stanley	30 mins
Nov 30	Presidential Speech	Naval Academy Annapolis	Sen Warner Reps Hoekstra and Shadegg		l hr
Dec 5	Congressional Ball	White House	Over 250 members in attendence		í hr
Dec 6	Diker - SEDM	American History	Sessions		1 hr
Dec 8	Breakfast	Pentagon	Liebennan	CJCS, Stanley	<b>45</b> mins

Dec 8	Briefing	White House	Representatives: Hastert, Blunt,	CJCS, Generals Casey and	<b>45</b> mins
			Pryce, Shadegg, Kingston,	Abizaid (via SVTS)	
			Reynolds, DeLay. Pence, Hunter,		
			Hoekstra, Young, Kirk		
Dec 8	Meeting – Progress in Iraq	Cannon 440	Granger, Blackbum, S. Johnson,	Stanley	30 mins
			Marshall, Tiahrt, Thornberry		
Dec 8	Meeting - Progress in I r a q	2242 RHOB	Shuster, Kingston, Rehberg	Stanley, Rangel	30 mins
Dec 13	Briefing – War on Terror	White House	Senators: Frist, McConnell, Stevens,	CJCS, Gen Casey (via	<b>45</b> mins
			Santorum, Warner, Lugar, Cochran,	SVTS)	
			Roberts, Chambliss, Allen, Sessions		
Dec 14	Briefing – Waron Terror	White House	Reps Andrews, Berman, Bishop,	CJCS, Gen Casey (via	<b>45</b> mins
			Boren, Boswell, Cramer, Cuellar,	SVTS)	
			Dicks, Harman, Herseth, Israel,		
			Lantos, Marshall, Matheson, Moore,		
			Reyes, Schiff, Skelton, Spratt		
Dec 14	Briefing	White House	Senators: Collins, Lieberman, Biden,	CJCS, Gen Casey (via	<b>45</b> mins
			Carper, Clinton, Coleman,	SVTS)	
			Domenici, Graham, McCain,		
			Nelson, Pryor, Snowe, Thune,		
			Wyden		

Total - 78

Breakfasts – 12	Hearings - 10	Briefings – 15	
Meetings - 14	Lunches- 4	Dinner – 8	Other - 15

12 9/15/06

000.7150

2874106

-FOUO

July 28, 2006

TO Steve Bucci

CC: Cathy Mainardi

FROM: Donald Rumsfeld

SUBJECT Lunch with Ben Bradlee next week at the Pentagon

I would like Ben Bradlee to come in for lunch next week, if he is in town and there is time on my calendar.

Thanks.

DHR.ss SP072806-01

Please Respond By August 02, 2006



# FOUO

March 23,2006

334NSC

TO: (b)(6)

FROM: Donald Rumsfeld

SUBJECT: Names of NSC Staff

Please find out the names of the 3 or 4 women women located in the office between the entrance to the Sit Room and the conference room.

Thanks.

DHR.ss 032306-01

Please Respond By 03/24/06



When you walk in from the lobby, the first gal's name is **Teresa. Hilda Esquizel** sits in front of Teresa. The woman you come upon after them (sitting at the desk on the right) is **Wendy Gray.** 

1.6



FOUO 11-L-0559/OSD/55571



1/19/2007 5:12:32 PM

APR 2 4 2005

то	Steve Bucci	
cc:	Robert Rangel Cathy Mainardi	
FROM:	Donald Rumsfeld	FILE

FOUO

SUBJECT: Liz Cheney for Lunch

I would like to have Liz Cheney in for lunch someday and talk a bit about her job. We ought to do it fairly soon.

Thanks.

-

•

ι.

•---

DHR.db 042306-07

L

Please Respond By May 18, 2006







000 MISD

FOUO

June 28, 2006

то	SteveBucci
cc:	Cathy Mainardi
FROM:	Donald Rumsfeld
SUBJECT:	Meeting with the Vice President and others

I said today I wanted a meeting with Bolten, Hadley, someone from the Vice President's office and Pete Pace.

I want to change that. I now want to have a meeting with with **Bolten**, Hadley, the Vice President, Gordon England, Steve Cambone and Pete Pace.

We ought to have it some time in the next 10 - 15 days.

Thanks.









Jennch

FOUO

July 19, 2006

TO Steve Bucci

CC: Cathy Mainardi

FROM: Donald Rumsfele

SUBJECT: Meeting w/VP re: Emergency Actions

I know it is difficult to get these folks together, but I would like to get this meeting done. We will need a prep meeting with Gordon England, **Rete** Pace, Steve Cambone and Eric Edelman.

Thanks.

Attach. 6/28/065F#062806-14

DHRAA SF071908-06 Please Respond By August 03,2006



000. M/SD





1/19/2007 6:07:12 PM

June 28, 2006

TO:Steve BucciC CCathy MainardiF ROMDonald Rumsfeld Mainardi

SUBJECT: Metring with the Vice President and others

I said today I wanted a meeting with Bolten, Hadley, someone from the Vice President's office and Pete Pace.

I want to change that. I now want to have a meeting with with Bolten, Headley, the Vice President, Gordon England. Steve Cambone and Pete Pace.

FOUO

We ought to have it some time in the next 10 • 15 days.

Thanks.

Please Respond By 07/07/06



FOUO 11-L-0559/OSD/55575



1/19/200=7 6:05:20 PM

		205/0/3880 ES-4463
•	283 JUL 93 Gi 1: 05	OCT 1 8 2005
<b>TO:</b>	Roger Pardo-Mauner	
CC:	Ecic Edolmen Peter Rodman	
FROM:	Donald Rumsfeld	
SUBJECT:	Central American Representative at JFC	M
)oes it mak	sany sense to think of having the Central 4	merican countries
ollectively	ponsor a representative to the Joint Forom	Command, and rotate the
cension by oc	untry over time? Perhaps we could psy fo	is Or Shuld ever
•		
	••	Curty be a neg. ?.
Thurics.	and by November 17, 2005	Curty fac a NB . ?.

۰.

,

11-L-0559/OSD/55576

### FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

# JAN 9 2005

# 1 1: 05

DSD USD(P) 0 2006 I-05/01 ES-4463

### **INFO MEMO**

### FOR SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

FROM: Peter W. Rodman, Assistant Secretary of Defense (ISA)

1 0 2006

SUBJECT: Central American Representative at JFCOM

- You asked about having Central American representation at Joint Forces Command (next under).
- We think it is a great idea. So does JFCOM. When the Central American ministers visited JFCOM October 14, LTG Wagner invited them to send liaisons to JFCOM
- We also should encourage Colombia to send a liaison to JFCOM.
  - o Colombia's CJCS-equivalent, General Padilla, accompanied the Ministers to Norfolk and expressed strong interest in a formal relationship with JFCOM.
  - We are suggesting to JFCOM that it offer to work with Colombia to conduct a "lessons learned" analysis of Colombia's experience with insurgencies.
- As a result of the visit, JFCOM also is inviting Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Ecuador, Mexico and Peru to establish a liaison relationship.
- SOUTHCOM also wants to establish liaison relationships with these countries. We see the benefit of having country representation at both commands, to include:
  - o Enhanced JFCOM-SOUTHCOM coordination.
  - Stronger links between our commands and the Conference of Central American Armed Forces (CFAC).
- Next step: Through embassies and defense attaches (ours and theirs), we will encourage the countries to accept the JFCOM and SOUTHCOM offers, and examine the various options and priorities for country representation.

Prepared by: Jim Alverson, ISA/WHA (b)(6)

94-210359/050/59977

OSD 01021-06

# **COORDINATION PAGE**

1

Joint Staff / J-5 / CCSA	Col. S. Dennis	19Dec 05
USJFCOM / POLAD	D. Cagan	16Dec 05
USSOUTHCOM/J-5	Brig Gen Mark Stearns	5 Jan06

9

### FOUO

JAN 2 4 2006

TO: The Honorable Andrew H. Card Jr.

CC: Stephen J. Hadley

FROM Donald Rumsfeld

SUBJECT State of the Union Suggestion

One set of ideas to consider including in the State of the Union speech might be material drawn from the **Norm** Augustine report, "Rising Above the Gathering Storm." It is a study of how the U.S. can best ensure we maintain the scientific and technical building blocks of economic and security leadership. The report is full of ideas to help improve the U.S. in this important area.

In particular, the report outlines a dozen key actions to improve scientific education at various levels; increase basic and advanced research, especially in the area of energy self-sufficiency;**and** enhance the investment climate in the private sector for scientific projects.

I have attached a copy of the executive *summary* of the report and a **disk** with the entire paper. I'll also send over **a** few specific ideas shortly.

Attach "Rising Above the Gathering Storm" Executive Summary and report on disk

DHR.ss 012306-15

84JANO6

# FOUO 11-L-0559/OSD/55579

OSD 01069-06

化氯化乙酸医氯化乙酸乙酯

Prepublication Copy

# RISING ABOVE THE GATHERING Energizing and STORM

Energizing and Employing America for a Brighter Economic Future

NATIONAL OF ENGINEERING AND TONAL ACADEMY OF ENGINEERING AND INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES

# **COMMITTEE BIOGRAPHIC INFORMATION**

NORMAN R. AUGUSTINE **[NAE\*]** (Chair) is the retired chairman and CEO of the Lockheed Martin Corporation. He serves on the President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology and has served as underseverency of the Anny. He is a recipient of the National Meth. of Technology.

CRAIG BARRETT [NAE] is chairman of the Board of the Intel Corporation.

**GALL** CASSELL **[IOM\*]** is vice president for scientific **affairs end a Distinguished** Lilly Research Scholar for Infectious **Diseases** at Eli Lilly and Company.

STEVEN CHU [NAS\*] is the director of the E.O. Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. He was a cowinner of the Nobel prize in physics in 1997.

ROBERT GATES is the president of Texas A&M University and served as Director of Central Intelligence.

NANCY GRASMICK is the Maryland state superintendent of schools.

CHARLES EOLLIDAY JR (NAE) is chairman of the Board and CEO of DuPont.

SHIRLEY ANN JACKSON [NAE] is president of Recesselaer Polytechnic Institute. She is the immediate past president of the American Association f a the Advancement of Science and was chairman of the US Nuclear Regulatory Commission

ANITA K. JONES [NAB] is the Lawrence R. Quarles Professor of Engineering and Applied Science at the University of V is she sewed as director of defense research and engineering at the US Department of Defense and Wes vice-chair of the National Science Board.

JOSHUA LEDERJSERG **[NAS/IOM]** is the Sackler Foundation Scholar at Rockefeller University in New York. He was a commer of the Nobel prize in physiology at medicine in 1958.

RICHARD LEVEN is president of Yale University and the Frederick Millian Beinecks Professor of Economics.

C. D. (DAN) MOTE JR. (NAB) is president of the University of Maryland and the Glenn L. Martin Institute Professor of Engineering.

CHERRY MURRAY [NAS/NAE] is the deputy director for science and technology at Lawrence Livermort National Laboratory. She was formarly the senior vice president at Bell Laboratory, Lucent Technologies.

PETER O'DONNELL JR. is president of the ODonnell Foundation of Dallas, a private foundation that develops and funds model programs designed to strengthen engineering and science education and research.

LEE R RAYMOND [NAE] is the chairman of the Board and CEO of Excon Mobil Corporation.

ROBERT C. RICHARDSON [NAS] is the F. R. Newman Professor of Physics and the vice provost for research at Cornell University. He was a cowinner of the Nobel prize in physics in 1996.

P. ROY VAGELOS [NAS/IOM] is the retired chainman and CEO of Merck & Co., Inc. He serves as chairman of New Jersey's Commission on Jabs. Growth, and Economic Development.

CEARLES M, VEST [NAE] is president emeritus of MET and a professor of mechanical engineering. He meets on the President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology and is the immediate past chair of the Association of American Universities.

GEORGE M. WHITESIDES [NAS/NAE] is the Woodford L. & Ann A. Flowers University Professor at Harvard University. He has served as an adviser for the National Science Foundation and the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency.

RICHARD N ZARE [NAS] is the Marguerite Blake Wilbur Professor of Natural Science at Stanford University. He was chair of the National Science Board from 1996 to 1998.

#### FOR MORE INFORMATION

\*This report was developed under the acquise of the National Academies Committee on Science, Engineering, and Public Policy (COSEPUP), a joint committee of the three honorific academies—the National Academy of Sciences [NAS], the National Academy of Engineering [NAE], and the Institute of Medicine [IOM]. Its overall charge is to addressoross-outting issues in science and technology policy that affect the health of the national research enterprise.

Maxe information, including the full body of the report, is available at COSEPUP's Web site, www.nationalacademies.org/cosepup.

# 11-L-0559/OSD/55581

# **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The United States takes deserved pride in the vitality of its economy, which forms the foundation of our high quality of life, our national security, and **our** hope that our children and grandchildren will inheritevergreater opportunities. That vitality is derived in large **part** from the productivity of well-trained people and the steady stream of scientific and technical innovations they produce. Without high-quality, knowledge-intensive jobs and the innovative enterprises that lead to discovery and new technology, **our** economy will suffer and **our** people will face a lower standard of living. Economic studies conducted before the information-technology revolution have shown that even then as much as 85% of measured growth in US income per capita is due, to technological change.'

Today, Americans **are** feeling the gradual and subtle effects of globalization that challenge **the** economic and strategic leadership that the United **States has** enjoyed since World **War** II. A substantial portion of **our** workforce finds itself in direct competition for jobs with lower-wage workers around the globe, and leading-edge scientific and engineering work is being accomplished in many parts of the world. Thanks to globalization, driven by modern communications and other advances, workers in virtually every sector must now face competitors **who** *live just a mouse-click away in Ireland*. Finland, China, India, or dozens of other nations whose economies are growing.

### CHARGE TO THE COMMITTEE

The National Academies was asked by Senator Lamar Alexander and Senator Jeff Bingaman of the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources, with endorsement by Representatives Sherwood Boehlert and Bart. Gordon of the House Committee on Science, to respond to the following questions:

What **are** the top 10 actions, in priority order, that federal policy-makers could take to enhance **the** science and technology enterprise **so** that the United States can successfully compete, **prosper**, and be secure in the global community of the 21st Century? What strategy, with several concrete **steps**, could be used to implement each of those actions?

The National Academies created the Committee on Prospering in the Global Economy of the **21st** Century to respond to this request. The charge constitutes a challenge both daunting and exhilarating: to recommend to the nation specific **steps** that can best strengthen the quality of life in America—our prosperity, our health, and our security. The committee has been cautious in its analysis of information. However, **the** available information is **cnly** partly adequate for the committee's needs. In addition, the time **allotted** to develop the report (10 weeks from the time of the committee's meeting to report release) limited the ability of the committee to conduct a thorough analysis. Even if unlimited time were available, definitive analyses **on** many issues **are** not possible given the uncertainties involved.

This report reflects the consensus views and judgment of the committee members. Although the committee includes leaders in academe, industry, and government—several current and former industry chief executive officers, university presidents, researchers (including three Nibel prize winners), and former presidential appointees—the array of topics and policies covered is so broad that it was not possible to assemble a committee of 20 members with direct expertise in each relevant area. Because of those limitations, the committee has relied heavily on the judgment of many experts in the study's focus groups, additional consultations via e-mail and telephone with other experts, and an unusually large panel of reviewers. Although other solutions are undoubtedly possible, the committee believes that its recommendations, if implemented, will help the United States achieve prosperity in the 21st century.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For example, work by Rebert Solow and Moses Abramovitz published in the middle 1950s demonstrated that as much as 85% of measured growth in US income per capita during the 1890-1950 period could not be explained by increases in the capital stock or other measurable inputs. The big unexplained portion, **zeferred** to alternatively **as** the "residual" or "the measure of ignorance': has been widely attributed to the effects of technological change.

### FINDINGS

Having reviewed trends in the United States and abroad, the committee is deeply concerned **that** the scientific and technical building blocks of our economic leadership **are** eroding at a time when many other **nations are** gathering **strength**. We strongly believe that a worldwide strengthening will benefit the world's economy — particularly in the creation of jobs in countries that **are** far less well-off than the United States. **Bit** we are worried about the **fiture**. prosperity of the United States. Although many people assume that United States will always be a world leader in science and technology, **this** may not continue to be the **case** inasmuch **as** great minds and ideas exist throughout the world. We fear the abruptness with which a lead in science and technology **can** be lost—and the difficulty of recovering a lead once lost, if indeed it can be regained **at** all.

This nation must prepare with great urgency to preserve its strategic and economic security. Because other nations have, and probably will continue to have, the competitive advantage of a low-wage structure, the United States must compete by optimizing its knowledge-based resources, particularly in science and technology, and by sustaining the most fertile environment for new and revitalized industries and the well-payingjobs they bring. We have already seen that capital, factories, and laboratories readily move wherever they are thought to have the greatest promise of return to investors.

### RECOMMENDATIONS

The committee reviewed hundreds of detailed suggestions—including various calls for novel and untested mechanisms—from other committees, from its focus groups, and from its own members. The challenge is immense, and the actions needed to respond **are** immense **as** well.

The committee identified two key challenges that are tightly coupled to scientific and engineering prowess: creating high-quality jobs for Americans and responding to the nation's need for clean, affordable, and reliable energy. To address those challenges, the committee structured its ideas according to four basic recommendations that focus on the human, financial, and knowledge capital necessary for US prosperity.

The four recommendations focus on actions in **K-12** education (10,000 Teachers? 10 Million Minds), research (Sowing the Seeds), higher education (Best and Brightest), and economic policy (Incentives for Innovation) that are set forth in the following sections. Also provided are a total of 20 implementation steps for reaching the goals set forth in the recommendations.

Some actions involve changes in the law. Others require financial support that would come from reallocation of existing funds or, if necessary, from new funds. Overall, the committee believes that the investments **are** modest relative to the magnitude of the **return** the nation can expect in the creation of new high-quality jobs and in responding to its energy needs.

### 10,000 TEACHERS, 10 MILLION MINDS AND K-12 SCIENCE AND MATHEMATICS EDUCATION

### Recommendation A IncreaseAmerica's talentpool by vastly improving K-12 science and mathematics education.

### Implementation Actions

The highest priority should be assigned to the following actions and programs. All should be subjected to continuing evaluation and refinement **as** they are implemented

Action A-1: Annually recruit 10,000 science and mathematics teachers by awarding 4-year scholarships and thereby educating 10 million minds. Attract 10,000 of America's brightest students to the teaching profession every year, each of whom can have an impact on 1,000 students over the life of their careers. The program would award competitive 4-year scholarships for students to obtain bachelor's degrees in the physical or life sciences, engineering, or mathematics with concurrent certification as **K-12** science and mathematics teachers. The merit-based scholarships would provide up to \$20,000 a year for 4 years for qualified educational expenses, including tuition and fees, and require a commitment to 5 years of service in public **K-12** 

# 11-L-0559/OSD/55583

schools. A \$10,000 annual **bonus** would **go** to participating teachers in undersewed schools in inner cities and rural **areas**. To provide the highest-quality education for undergraduates who want to become **teachers**, it would **be** important to award matching grants, perhaps \$1 million a year for up to 5 years, to **as** many **as** 100 universities and colleges to encourage **them** to establish integrated 4-year undergraduate programs leading to bachelor's degrees in science, engineering, or mathematics with teacher certification.

Action A-2: Strengthen the skills of 250,000 teachers through training and education programs at summer institutes, in master's programs, and Advanced Placement and International Baccalaureate (AP and IB) training programs and thus inspires students every day. Use proven models to strengthen the skills (and compensation, which is based on education and skill level) of 250,000 cwrent K-12 teachers:

• Summer institutes: Provide matching grants to state and regional 1-to 2-week summer institutes to upgrade as many as 50,000 practicing teachers each summer. The material covered would allow teachers to keep current with recent developments in science, mathematics, and technology and allow for the exchange of less teaching practices. The Merck Institute for Science Education is a model for this recommendation.

• Science and mathematics master's programs: Provide grants to universities to offer 50,000 current middle-school and high-school science, mathematics, and technology teachers (with or without undergraduate science, mathematics, or engineering degrees) 2-year, part-time master's degree programs that focus on rigorous science and mathematics content and pedagogy. The model for this recommendation is the University of Pennsylvania Science Teachers Institute.

• *AP*, *IB*, *undpre-AP or pre-IB training:* Train an additional 70,000 *AP* or 1B and **80,000** pre-AP or pre-IB instructors to teach advanced courses in mathematics and science. Assuming satisfactory performance, teachers may receive incentive payments of up to \$2000 per year, **25** well **as \$100** for each student who **passes** an AP or 1B exam in mathematics or science. There **are** two models for this program: the Advanced Placement Incentive **Program** and Laying the Foundation, a **pre-AP** program.

• K-12 curriculum materials modeled on world-class standards. Foster high-quality teaching with world-class curricula, standards, and assessments of student learning. Convene a national panel to collect, evaluate, and develop rigorous K-12 materials that would be available free of charge as a voluntary national curriculum. The model for this recommendation is the Project Lead the Way pre-engineering courseware.

Action A-3: Enlarge the pipeline by increasing the number of students who take AP and IB science and mathematics courses. Create opportunities and incentives for middle-school and high-school students to pursue advanced work in science and mathematics. By 2010, increase the number of students in AP and IB mathematics and science courses from 1.2 million to 4.5 million, and set a goal of tripling the number who pass those tests, to 700,000, by 2010. Student incentives for success would include 50% examination fee rebates and \$100 mini-scholarships for each passing score on an AP or IB mathematics and science examination.

The committee proposes expansion of two additional approaches to improving K-12 science and mathematics education that are already in use:

• statewide specialty high schools. Specialty secondary education can foster leaders in science, technology, and mathematics. Specialty schools immerse students in high-quality science, technology, and mathematics education; serve as a mechanism to test teaching materials; provide a training ground for K-12 teachers; and provide the resources and staff for summer programs that introduce students to science and mathematics.

• *Inquiry-based learning*. Summer internships and research opportunities provide especially valuable laboratory experience for both middle-school and high-school students.

### SOWING THE SEEDS THROUGH SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING RESEARCH

Recommendation B: Sustain and strengthen the nation's traditional commitment to long-term basic research that has the potential to be transformational to maintain the flow of new ideas that fuel the economy, provide security, and enhance the quality of life.

### Implementation Actions

Action E1: Increase the federal investment in long-term basic research by 10% a year over the next 7 years, through reallocation of existing funds' or if necessary through the investment of new funds. Special attention should go to the physical sciences, engineering, mathematics, and information sciences and to Department of Defense (DOD) basic-research funding. This special attention does not mean that there should be a disinvestment in such important fields as the life sciences (which have seen growth in recent years) or the social sciences. A balanced research portfolio in all fields of science and engineering research is critical to US prosperity. This investment should be evaluated regularly to realign the research portfolio—unsuccessful projects and venues of research should be replaced with emerging research projects and venues that have greater promise.

Action **B-2**: Provide **new** research grants of \$500,000 each annually, payable over 5 years, to 200 of our most outstanding *early-career* researchers. The grants would be made through existing federal research agencies—the National Institutes of Health (NIH), the National Science Foundation (NSF), the Department of Energy (DOE), DOD, and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration—to underwrite new research opportunities at universities and government laboratories.

Action B-3: Institute a National Coordination Office for Research Infrastructure to manage a centralized research-infrastructure fund of **\$500** million per year over the next **5** years –through reallocation of existing funds or if necessary through the investment of new **funds**—to ensure that universities and government laboratories create and maintain the facilities and equipment needed for leading-edge scientific discovery and technological development. Universities and national laboratories would compete annually for these **funds**.

Action **B4:** Allocate at least 8% of *the* budgets of federal research agencies to discretionary funding that would be managed by technical program managers in the agencies and be focused on catalyzing high-risk, bigh-payoff research.

Action **E5** Create in the Department of Energy (DOE) an organization like **the** Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) called the Advanced Research Projects Agency-Energy (ARPA-E)." The director of ARPA-E would report to the under secretary for science and would be charged with sponsoring specific research and development programs to meet the nation's long-term energy challenges. The new **agency** would support creative "out-of-the-box" transformational generic energy research that industry by itself cannot or will not support and in which risk may **be** high but success would provide dramatic benefits for the **ration**. This would accelerate the process by which knowledge obtained through research is transformed to create jobs and address environmental, energy, and security issues. ARPA-E would be based on the historically successful DARPA model and would be designed **as** a lean and agile organization with a great deal of independence **trat** can start and stop targeted programs on the basis of performance. The agency would itself perform no research or transitional effort itself but would fund such **work** conducted by universities, *startups*, established **firms**, and others. Its **staff** would turn over about every **4** years. Although the agency would be focused on specific energy issues, it is expected that its work (like that of DARPA or NIH) will have important spinoff benefits, including

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The funds may **come** from anywhere in **an** agency, not **just** other research funds.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> One committee member, Lee Raymond, does not support this action item. **He does** not believe that ARPA-E is **necessary** as energy research is already well funded by the federal government, along with formidable funding of *energy* research by the private sector. Also, ARPA-E would put the federal government in the business of picking "winningenergy technologies"—a role **best** left to the private sector.

aiding in the education of the next generation of researchers. Funding for ARPA-B would **start** at \$300 million the first year and increase to **\$1** billion per year over **5-6** years, **a** which point the program's effectiveness would be evaluated.

Action B-6: Institute a Presidential Innovation Award to stimulate scientific and engineering advances in the national interest. Existing presidential awards address lifetime achievements or promising young scholars, but the proposed new awards would identify and recognize persons who develop unique scientific and engineering innovations in the national interest at the time they occur.

### BEST AND BRIGHTEST IN SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING HIGHER EDUCATION

# Recommendation C: Make the United States the most attractive setting in which to study and perform research so that we can develop, recruit, and retain the best and brightest students, scientists, and engineers from within the United States and throughout the world

### Implementation Actions

Action C-1: Increase the number and proportion of US citizens who carn pbysical-sciences, lifesciences, engineering, and mathematies bachelor's degrees by providing **25,000** new 4-year competitive undergraduate scholarships each year to **US** citizens attending **US** institutions. The Undergraduate Scholar Awards in Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (USA-STEM) would be distributed to states on the basis of the **size** of their congressional delegations and awarded on the basis of national examinations. An award would provide up to \$20,000 annually for tuition and fees.

Action C-2: Increase the number of US citizens pursuing graduate study in "areas of national need" by funding **5,000** new graduate fellowships each year. NSF should administer the program and draw on the advice of other federal research agencies to define national needs. The focus on national needs is important both to ensure an adequate supply of doctoral scientists and engineers and to ensure that there are appropriate employment opportunities for students once they receive their degrees. Portable fellowships would provide funds of up to **\$20,000** annually directly to students, who would choose where to pursue graduate studies instead of being required to follow faculty research grants.

Action C-3: Provide a federal **tax** credit to encourage employers to make continuing education available (either internally or though colleges and universities) to practicing scientists and engineers. These incentives would promote career-long learning to keep the workforce current in the face of rapidly evolving scientific and engineering discoveries and technological advances and would allow for retraining to **neet**, new demands of the job market.

Action C-4: Continue to improve visa processing for international students and scholars to provide less complex procedures and continue to make improvements on such issues **as** visa categories and duration, travel For scientific meetings, the technology-alertlist, reciprocity agreements, and changes in **status**.

Action C-5: Provide a 1-year automatic visa extension to international students who receive doctorates or the equivalent in science, technology, engineering, mathematics, or other fields of national need at qualified **US** institutions to remain in the United States to seek employment. If these students are offered jobs by United States-based employers and pass a security screening test, they should he provided automatic work permits and expedited residence status. If students are unable to obtain employment within 1 year, their visas would expire. Action C-6: Institute **a** new skills-based, preferential immigration option. Doctoral-level education and science and engineering skills would substantially raise an applicant's chances and priority in obtaining **US** citizenship. In the interim, the number of  $H-1B^4$  visas should be increased by 10,000, and the additional visas should be available for industry to hire science and engineering applicants with doctorates from US universities.

Action **C-7:** Reform the current system of "deemed **exports**<sup>n5</sup>. The new system should provide international students and researchers engaged in fundamental research in the United States with access to information and research equipment in **US** industrial, academic, and national laboratories comparable with the access provided to US citizens and permanent residents in **a** similar stams. It would, of c o me, exclude information and facilities restricted under national-security regulations. In addition, the effect of deemed-exports regulations on the education and fundamental research work of international students and scholars should be limited by removing all technology items (information and equipment) from the deemed-exports technology list that are available for purchase on the overseas open market from foreign or **US** companies **cr** that have manuals that are available in the public domain, in libraries, over the Internet, or from manufacturers.

### INCENTIVES FOR INNOVATION AND THE INVESTMENT ENVIRONMENT

Recommendation D: Ensure that the United States is the premier place in the world to innovate; investin downstream activities such as manufacturing and marketing: and create high-paying jobs that are based on innovation by modernizing the patent system, realigning tax policies to encourage innovation, and ensuring affordable broadband access.

### Implementation Actions

Action D-1: Enhance intellectual-property protection for the 21st century global economy to ensure that systems for protecting patents and other forms of intellectual property underlie the emerging knowledge economy but allow research to enhance innovation. The patent system requires reform of four specific kinds:

- Provide the Patent and Trademark Office sufficient resources to make intellectual-properly protection more timely, predictable, and effective.
- Reconfigure the **US** patent system by switching to a "first-inventor-to-file" system and by instituting administrative review *after* a patent is granted. Those reforms would bring the US system into alignment with patent systems in Europe and Japan.
- Shield research uses of patented inventions from infringement liability. One recent **court** decision could jcopardize the long-assumed ability of academic researchers to use patented inventions for research.
- Change intellectual-property laws that act as barriers to innovation in specific industries, such as
  those related to data exclusivity (in pharmaceuticals) and those which increase the volume and
  unpredictability of litigation (especially in information-technology industries).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The H-1B is a nonimmigrant classification used by an alien who will be employed temporarily in a specialty occupation of distinguished merit and ability. A specialty occupation requires theoretical and practical application of a body of specialized knowledge and at least a bachelor's degree or its equivalent. For example, architecture, engineering, mathematics, physical sciences, social sciences, medicine and health, education, business specialties, accounting, law, theology, and the arts are specialty occupations. See http://uscis.govlgraphics/howdoi/hl b.htm <sup>5</sup> The controls governed by the Export Administration Act and its implementing regulations extend to the transfer CI

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The controls governed by the Export Administration Act and its implementing regulations extend to the transfer O technology. *Technology* includes "specific information necessary for the 'development,' 'production,' or 'use' of a product" [emphasis added]. Providing information that is subject to export controls—for example, about some kinds of computer hardware—to a foreign national within the United States may be "deemed" an export, and that transfer requires an export license. The primary responsibility for administering controls on deemed exports lies with the Department of Commerce, ht. other agencies have regulatory authority as well.

Action **D-2:** Enact a stronger research and development tax credit to encourage private investment in innovation. The current Research and Experimentation **Tax** Credit goes to companies **that** *increase* their research and development spending above a base amount calculated from their spending in prior years. **Congress** and the administration should make the credit **permanent**<sup>6</sup> and it should be increased from **20%** to **40%** of **the** qualifying increase so that the **US tax** credit is competitive with **that** of other countries. The credit should be extended to companies **that** have consistently spent large amounts on research and development **so thet** they will not be subject to the current **de**facto penalties for previously investing in research and development.

Action D-3: Provide tax incentives for United States-based innovation. Mary policies and programs affect innovation and the **nation's** ability to profit from it. It was not possible for the committee to conduct an exhaustive examination, but alternatives to current economic policies should be examined and, if deemed beneficial to the United States, pursued. These alternatives could include changes in overall corporate tax rates, provision of incentives for the purchase of high-technology research and manufacturing equipment, treatment of capital gains, and incentives for long-term investments in innovation. The Council of Economic Advisers and the Congressional Budget Office should conduct a comprehensive analysis to examine how the United States compares with other nations as a location for innovation and related activities with a view to ensuring that the United States is one of the most attractive places in the world for long-term innovation-related investment. From a tax standpoint, that is not now the case.

Action D-4: Ensure ubiquitous broadband Internet access. Several nations are well **ahead** of the United States in providing broadband access for home, school, and business. That capability will do **as** much to drive innovation, the economy, and job creation in the 21st century **as** did access to the telephone, interstate highways, and air travel in the 20th century. Congress and the administration should take action—mainly in the regulatory arena and in spectrum management—to ensure widespread affordable broadband access in the near **future**.

### CONCLUSION

The committee believes that its recommendations and the actions proposed to implement them merit serious consideration if we are to ensure that our nation continues to enjoy the jobs. security, and high standard of living that this and previous generations worked so hard to create. Although the committee was asked only to recommend actions that can be taken by the federal government, it is clear that related actions at the state and local levels are equally important for US prospenty, as are actions taken by each American family. The United States faces an enormous challenge because of the disadvantage it faces in labor cost. Science and technology provide the opportunity to overcome that disadvantage by creating scientists and engineers with the ability to create entire new industries — much as has been done in the past.

It is easy to be complacent about **US** competitiveness and pre-enimence in science and technology. We have ted the world for decades, and we continue to do **so** in many research fields today. But the world is changing rapidly, and our advantages are no longer unique. Without a renewed effort to bolster the foundations of our competitiveness, we can expect to lose our privileged position. For the first time in generations, the nation's children could face poorer prospects than their parents and grandparents did. We owe our current prosperity, security, and good health to the investments of past generations, and we **are** obliged to renew those commitments in education, research, and innovation policies to ensure that the American people continue to benefit from the remarkable opportunities provided by the rapid development of the global economy and its not inconsiderable underpinning in science and technology.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The current R&D tax credit expires in December 2005.

### SOME WORRISOME INDICATORS

- When asked in spring 2005 what is the most attractive place in the world in which to "lead a good lif respondents in only one of the 16 countries polled (India) indicated the United States.
- For the cost of one chemist or one engineer in the United States, a company can hire about five chemists in China or 11 engineers in India?
- For the first time, the most capable high-energy particle accelerator on Earth will, beginning in 2007 reside outside the United States?
- The United States is today a ret importer of high-technology products. Its share of global hightechnology exports has fallen in the last 2 decades from 30% to 17%, and its trade balance in hightechnology manufactured goods shifted from plus \$33 billion in 1990 to a negative \$24 billion in 20X
- Chemical companies closed 70 facilities in the United States in 2004 and have tagged 40 more for shutdown. Of 120chemical plants being built around the world with price tags of \$1 billion or more, one is in the United States and 50 in China'
- Fewer then one-third of US 4th grade and 8th grade students performed at or above a level called "proficient" in mathematics; "proficiency" was considered the ability to exhibit competence with challenging subject matter. Alarmingly, about one-third of the 4th graders and one-fifth of the 8th graders lacked the competence to perform basic mathematical computations!
- US 12th graders recently performed below the international average for 21 countries on a test of general knowledge in mathematics and science. In addition, an advanced mathematics assessment with administered to US students who were taking or had taken precalculus, calculus, or Advanced Placement calculus and to students in 15 other countries who were taking or had taken advanced
- mathematics courses. Eleven nations outperformed the United States, and four countries had scores similar to the US scores. No nation scored significantly below the United **States**?
- In 1999, only 41% of US 8th grade students received instruction from a mathematics teacher who specialized in mathematics, considerably lower than the international average of 71%.<sup>1</sup>
- In one recent period, low-wage employers, such as Wal-Mart (now the nation's largest employer) an McDonald's, created 44% of the new jobs, while high-wage employers created only 29% of the new jobs?
- In 2003, only three American companies ranked among the top 10 recipients of peterts granted by th United States Patent and Trademark Office.<sup>10</sup>
- In Germany, 36% of undergraduates receive their degrees in science and engineering. In China, the figure is 59%, and in Japan 66%. In the United States, the corresponding figure is 32%.<sup>11</sup>
- The United States is said to have 10.5 million illegal immigrants, but under the law the number of vil set aside for "highly qualified foreign workers" dropped to 65,000 a year from its 195,000 peak.\*\*
- In 2004, China graduated over 600,000 engineers, India 350,000, and America about 70,000."
- In 2001 (the most recent year for which data are available), US industry spent more on tort litigation than on R&D.<sup>14</sup>
# NOTES for SOME WORRISOME INDICATORS:

. .

<sup>1</sup> Interview asked nearly 17.000 people the question: "Supposed a young person who wanted to leave this country asked you to recommend where to go to lead a good life - what country would you recommend ?" Except for respondents in India, Poland, and Canada, no more than one-tenth of the people in the other nations said they would recommend the United States Canada and Australia won the popularity contest. Pew Global Attitudes Project, July 23,2005.

<sup>2</sup> The Web site http://www.pavscale.com/about.asp tracks and compares pay scales in many countries. Ron Hira, of Rochester Institute of Technology, calculates average salaries for engineers in the United States and India as \$70,000 and \$13,580, respectively.

<sup>3</sup> CERN, http://oublic.web.com.ch/Public/Welcome.html,

<sup>4</sup> For 2004, the dollar value of high-technology imports was \$560 billion; the value of high-technology exports was \$511 billion. See Appendix Table 6-01 of National Solence Board's Science and Engineering Indicators 2004. .

"NcLonger The Lab Of The World: U.S. chemical plants are closing in *droves* as production heads abroad", BusinessWeek (May 2.2005).

National Center for Education Statistics, Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study, 2003. http://nces.ed.gov/timss.

Data are from National Science Board. 2004. Science and Engineering Indicators 2004 (NSB 04-01). Arlington, V A National Science Foundation. Chapter 1,

Data are from National Science Board. 2004. Science and Engineering Indicators 2004 (NSB 04-01). Arlington, V A National Science Foundation. Chapter 1.

<sup>9</sup> Roach, Steve. More Jobs, Worse Work New York Times. July 22,2004.
 <sup>10</sup> US Patent and Trademark Office, Preliminary list of top patenting organizations. 2003.

http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/ac/ido/gelp/taf/top03cos.htm.

<sup>11</sup> Data are from National Science Board. 2004. Science and Engineering Indicators 2004 (NSB 04-01). Arlington, VA: National Science Foundation, Appendix Table 2-33.

<sup>12</sup> Colvin, Geoffrey. 2005. "America isn't ready". *Fortune Magazine*, July 25. H-1B visas allow employers to have access to highly educated foreign professionals who have experience in specialized fields and who have at least a bachelor's degree or the equivalent. The cap does not apply to educational institutions. In November 2004, Congress Created an exemption for 20,000 foreign nationals earning advanced degrees from US universities, See Immigration and Nationality Act Section 101(a)(15)(h)(1)(b).

13 Geoffrey Colvin. 2005. "America isn't ready". Fortune Magazine, July 25.

<sup>14</sup> US research and development spending in 2001 was \$273.6 billion, of which industry performed \$194 billion, and funded about \$184 billion. (National Science Board Science and Engineering Indicators 2004). One estimate of tot litigation costs in the United States was \$205 billion in 2001. (Leonard, Jeremy A. 2003. How Structural Costs Imposed on U.S. Mamfacturers Harm Workers and Threaten Competitiveness. Prepared for the Manufacturing Institute of the National Association of Manufacturers. http://www.nam.org/s\_nam/bin.asp?CID=216&DID=227525&DOC=FILE.PDf.

-FOUO

January 12,2006



SUBJECT: Body Armor Hearing

Nice job on quickly responding to the Congress and media on the body armor questions that arose early this week.

DHR.db 011206-31

OSD 01072-06

FOUO

January 25, 2006

TO:	Stephen J. Hadley	
FROM:	Donald Rumsfeld	

SUBJECT: Pete Schoomaker and the Governors Event

I notice the President is hosting a function for the Governors. I would like to propose that you suggest Pete Schoomaker be invited. The Governors are very interested in the Guard and Reserve issues, and Pete Schoomaker is terrific on them, as you heard in the meeting the President hosted this morning with some of the Senators.

FOUO

Why don't you propose that to whomever handles the invitations? I think it would be a great idea.

Thanks.

DHR.ss 012506-15

FOUO

OSD 01266-06

11-L-0559/OSD/55592

-FOUO-

201 JUN 26 - El II: 55

January 10, 2006 I-06/000415 ES-5056

TO:	Roger Pardo-Maurer
	TOPOT T MANAGE TO THE PARTY

CC: CAPT Tom Mascolo Steve Bucci Eric Edelman



SUBJECT Curacao

Should we visit Curacao sometime when we are going to South America?

Thanks

DHR #9 011005-16

Please Respond By 01/26/06

OSD 01329-06

. \_ \_ \_ \_

FOUO

10-01-06 P06:02 18

11-L-0559/OSD/55593

# FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

JAN 2.5 20.

Ŵ

w

25 Jan 00

an un Co

DSD USD(P) \_\_\_\_\_\_ JAN 2 5 2006 I-06/000415 ES-5056

# **INFO MEMO**

	FOR SECRETARY OF D	EFENSE	×.		
	FROM: Peter W. Rodman	Ma Bey	when	201/25	lot
Sr	TROWN, Feler W. Rouman	, vessisiant sec	tetary of Der	dise (ISA)	
V	SUBJECT: Curacao			N	

- You asked if you should visit Curacao as part of a future trip to South America.
- We agree that a visit to Curacao is a good idea. It could be part of a larger trip that includes the Dominican Republic and your rescheduled visit to Belize.
- If you decide to schedule a Curacao visit, **as** a first step we should consult with the Dutch to obtain their views.

Coordination: ISP/Europe (copy provided)

Attachment: Note from the Secretary

Prepared by: Jim Alverson, ISA/WHA, (b)(6)

MASD	SMA DSD
TSA SD	SA DSD
EXEC SEC	
ESR MA	

OSD 01329-06

FOUO

January 26, 2006



TO: Stephen J. Hadley

FROM Donald Rumsfeld

SUBJECT Suggestions on Iran

Here are some interesting suggestions on Iran firm Jim Woolsey and George Shultz.

Attach. 1/23/06 "Committee on the Present Darger Calls for Regime Change in Iran"

DHR.dh 012606-09

# **OSD 01330-06**

FOUO 11-L-0559/OSD/55595



The Honorable R James Woolsey The Honorable George P. Shultz Co-Chairmon

Senator Jon Kyl Senator Joseph Lieberman *Honorary Co-Chairme*n

fighting terrorism and the ideologies that drive it

# COMMITTEE ON THE PRESENT DANGER CALLSFOR REGIME CHANGE IN IRAN

# Terrorist-sponsoringRegime in Tehran Must be Denied Nuclear Weapons

The Committee on the Present Danger (CPD), in a new **Iran** Policy Paper released today, calls for regime change in Iran to be U.S. policy and **urges strong** diplomatic and economic measures to thwart **Iran's** nuclear weapons ambitions.

A new poll, prepared by Public Opinion Strategies for the Foundation for Defense of Democracies, shows an overwhelming majority of Americans strongly opposed to Iran's development of nuclear weapons. In addition, most Americans would support the U.S.joining with other countries to initiate "a limited military action to destroy Iran's ability to make nuclear weapons."

R James Woolsey, co-Chairman of the CPD and former **Director** of **U.S.** Central Intelligence, recommends that President Bush utilize the opportunity provided by his State of the Union address next week to explain to the American people what is at stake in Iran and what will be done to resolve this crisis.

"The Militant Islamists *cannot* be appeased • they will wage war until they are stopped," Woolsey said. "We believe that the **U.S.** and the international community should energetically assist the millions of Iranians who want a government that does not repress its **own** people and threaten others."

Among the measures the CPD paper calls for:

• Sanctions to be imposed by the UN Security Council and the invocation by the Bush Administration of **ILSA** - the Iran, Libya **Sanctions Act** of **1996**.

700 12th Street, N.W., Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20005-3949 Mailing Address: P.O. Box 65196 Tel: 202/778-1032 Washington P-C-200355195 SD/355396

E-mail: info@fightingterror.org

• An embarge on refined petroleum products - which Iran imports • as well as a prohibition on Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) in Iran.

• Building a legal **case for** an international tribunal to **try** Grand Ayatollah Khamanel and others **for** the torture and **marcler** of Iranian citizens, and **the** financing of terrorist **activities; also** the prosecution of Iranian President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad for violating Article 3(c) of the **1949** Genocide Convention **for his call** to eliminate Israel.

• Appointment by the president of a senior "point person" on Iran who would speak frequently to the Iranian people and dramatize the plight of imprisoned Iranian dissidents.

• More energetic and effective assistance to pro-democracy dissidents within Iran.

• Sharply increased support for U.S. based pro-democracy Iranian satellite television stations,

• Ancillary pressure on Tehran: Iran uses Hezbollah to project its influence in the region. The U.S. should demand that Lebanon use its army to disarm Hezbollah. A **clearned** Hezbollah is a necessary pre-condition for full Lebanese sovereignty and freedom.

**Also** participating in today's **news** conference were Senator Jon Kyl, CPD **Hrozety** co-Chairman; Clifford May, president of **the** FDD and **chairman** of the CPD's Policy Committee; Alex Bellone of Public Opinion Strategies and Frank Gaffney, president of the Center for Security Policy and a **member** of the CPD.

###



fighting terrorism and the ideologies that drive it

The Honorable R. James Woolsey The Honorable George P. Shultz Co-Chairmen

Senator**Jon** Kyl SenatorJoseph Liebenman Honorary Co-Chairman

# A Committee on the Present Danger Policy Paper:

# IRAN - AN UPDATE

In December 2004 the **Committee** on the Present **Danger** published its initial policy paper on **Iran**, recommending a number of **U.S. policy** steps to achieve a democratic Iran.

Since then, Iran has continued dissembling over its nuclear program. The MilitantIslamist Mahmoud Ahmadinejad became president of Iran last summer in an election that was neither free nor fair and that was boycotted by a majority of Iranians. He has issued a call to "wipe Israel off the face of the map" and denied that the Holocaust occurred. He has promised a "world without America," adding that such a goal is "attainable, and surely can be achieved."

Ahmadinejad has reiterated the objective of the constitution that has governed Iran since the 1979 revolution: perpetuation of theocracy and militant, offensive jihad. He has said "The message of the [Islamic] Revolution is global ... Allah willing, Islam will conquer what? It will conquer all the mountain tops of the world." Hassan Abbassi, "intelligence" advisor to the Iranian president, has been specific about how that might be accomplished: "We have a strategy drawn up for the destruction of Anglo-Saxon civilization," he boasted. "We must make use of everything we have at hand to strike at this front by means of our suicide operations or by means of our missiles."

Ahmadinejad personifies the most radical of the Shi'ite Islanists who espouse theocracy and reject denocracy. Their war against us began before 9/11. Such Militant Islamists cannot be appeased -they will wage war until they are stopped.

There is ample evidence that most **Iranians** do not endorse such radicalism **\* rather**, they yearn for the opportunity to *chart* their own **destiny** unhindered by the regime's tools: the Revolutionary **Grants**, the Basij and the **Muality** Police.

Nearly a century ago, Iran adopted a constitution that established freedoms for its citizens – freedoms that have been lost. The ruling regime sponsors terrorism, represses human rights, jails dissidents, oppresses women, and is determined to have nuclear weapons. We believe the United States' policy objective must be regime change in Iran so that the Iranian people canjoin the international community and indeed the Free World; so that Iran can become a nation that does not repress its own people and threaten others, one with a freely-elected government accountable to the people, not to a tiny minority bent on theocratic dictatorship.

700 12th Street, NW, Suite 800 Washington, D.C.20005-8949 
 Mailing Address: P.O. Box 65196
 Tel: 202/778-1032

 Washington, D.C. 20035-5196
 Fax: 202/659-7923

 11-L-0559/OSD/55598

E-mail: info@fightingterronorg

We present the following proposals toward this objective of regime change. We stress that military options should be only a last resort.

# PRESSURE IRAN' SCOVERNMENT

<u>Defuse the nuclear threat posed by Iran</u>. The International community's goal must be to prevent the development or acquisition of nuclear weapons by Iran's present rulers, who comprise the most radical regime in the Broader Middle East, a terrorist-sponsoring regime espousing explicitly hostile, aggressive and genocidal intentions.

In September the **International** Atomic **Energy** Agency voted **22-1** that Iran was in noncompliance with its governing statute. This vote was a necessary trigger to send the matter to the **United** Nations Security Council.

The issue is not whether Iran is entitled to have nuclear reactors to generate electricity; it is the current ruler's *insistence* on having the capability to enrich uranium and undertake other steps in the nuclear fuel cycle that will enable them to acquire nuclear weapons. The regime already has - with North Korean help - a major ballistic missile program. In time, the *mating* of these programs will threaten the security of many nations.

On Tuesday, January 10, at its Natanz facility, Iran removed the International Atomic Energy Agency's (IAEA) seals on its nuclear equipment. The Iranian government states that its purpose is to engage in "research." It is clear to any objective observer, given the full range of the government's duplicity and actions, that the real purpose is to obtain enriched uranium as a step toward producing weapons.

On Thursday, January 13, after nearly three years of finitless negotiations, the EU-3 (Britain, France and Germany)declared the discussions with Iranat a "dead cad" and urged that the matter be referred to the Security Council. A first step will be referred by the LAEA board to the Security Council.

Some say that any Security Courcil punitive action against Iran would be thwarted by a veto --Russian, Chinese or both. But the Russians reacted quite negatively to Iran's rebuff of their recent proposal to enrich Iran's nuclear fuel in Russia. (which would provide a means of blocking Iranian nuclear weapons development). An Iranian nuclear energy program has been and can be lucrative for Russia, hut Russia has never supported an Iranian effort to develop weapons. In recent weeks the Russians have moved much closer than before to the U.S. position.

China generally opposes sanctions of any kind; however, if the EU-3, the U.S. and Russia are united and China finds itself isolated on the issue, it may be amenable to persuasion - if not to vote "aye," then to abstain, which would have the same effect.

There is a strong lever available to generate support in the Security Council: the Iran, Libya Sanctions Act of 1996 (ILSA, amended in 2001 and extended to the end of this year). ILSA

allows our government to impose severe sanctions on any company that invests more than \$20 million a year m Iranian oil production. This can include denying Export-Import Bank loans, barring export licenses, barring U.S. banks from lending more than \$10 million a year to sanctioned parties, barring sanctioned financial institutions from serving as primary dealers of U.S. bonds, banning U.S. government procurement of goods and services from sanctioned parties and imposing import sanctions. Since many overseas-based cil industry companies are closely allied with U.S. companies, through subsidiaries or contracts, the invocation of this act could have amajor impact on them as well as on American companies.

Although the act has not been invoked, it could be by aciministrative order. The mere threat of invocation might be enough to get reluctant countries—such as Russia and China — to vote with us (or abstain) on the Security Council because of the close ties American oil companies have with their own companies.

If this leverage or other persuasion results in positive Security Council action, there are several sanctions the Council could impose on Iran Among these would be an embargo on refined petroleum products (which Iran imports), a freeze on Iranian government and leadership assets abroad (see "Spert Sanctions" below) and prchibiting Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) in Iran.

If Security Council cooperation of Russia and Chinacannot be obtained, we should tell the EU-3 *that they* and we should impose the sanctions described to the fillest extent possible. And, if the EU-3 do not join us, we can unilaterally invoke ILSA to greatly crimp Iran's ability to obtain FDI for oil production expansion.

Simultaneous with these steps the U.S. can and should apply ancillary pressure on Tehran. Iran uses Hezbollah to project its influence in the region. Now that Syria has withdrawn from Lebanon, the U.S. is providing Lebanon with considerable aid. Under threat of withdrawing the aid we should demand that Lebanon use its anny to discum Hezbollah whose cadres in the southern part of the country conduct raids against Israel. An added benefit: A disarmed Hezbollah is a necessary pre-condition for full Lebanese sovereignty and freedom.

In time, once Iran has become a democratically-governed country, in peace with its neighbors and the world, nuclear energy for power production purposes would no longer lead to the threat of nuclear weaporry.

<u>Smart Sanctions</u>. The Grand Ayatollah Khamenei and President Ahmadinejad and their circles are the problem and the Iranian people are our natural allies. We should develop sanctions that target the leaders, their families and their cohorts so that the sanctions are harmful to them, not the people of Iran.

As part of a "smart" sanctions effort, we. can create leverage against the theocratic regime by deftly making it known that an international legal case is being marshaled against Khamenei and his criteris. U.S. Government agencies, working closely with human rights organizations, should

# 11-L-0559/OSD/55600

begin gathering evidence. Then we could seek the cooperation of like-minded governments, leading toward the possible creation of an international tribunal to try Khamenei and others. By calling for the elimination of Israel, for example, Ahmadinejad has violated Article 3(c) of the 1949 Genocide Convention which makes punishable "direct and public incitement to commit genocide." Ahmadinejad did not utter his statement in private; he did it before an axiience of 4,000 people.

Other crimes for which evidence could be gathered include financing and facilitating of terrorists, corruption, the torture and murder of Khamenei's opponents at home and abread, and development of weapons of mass destruction in violation of the Non-proliferation Thesty and other accords. There is precedent for a special tribunal gathering evidence and eventually indicting a leader still in office. It is the case of Liberia's Charles Taylor and the UN-approved Sierra Leone tribunal. In Taylor's case, having an Interpol arrest warrant out against him contributed toward de-legitimizing him.

Other "smart" sanctions can be developed as well. Izn's Revolutionary Foundations (bunyads) control 35 percent of Izn's import-export business and are directly controlled by Khamenei. The Iranian people are well aware that Khamenei, certain other mullahs and their supporters have grown rich and corrupt. The U.S. and other nations are becoming more adept at identifying the economic crimes and assets of dictators and their cohorts. We should undertake a serious effort to identify those companies and overseas accounts associated with Khamenei and his entourage and Ahmadinejad and his and develop sanctions targeting them.

# BUILD THE OPPOSITION

Fund and assist pro-democracy dissidents within Iran to help them better organize and communicate. It is time to strongly support Iranian freedom fighters. Regime change can come from within. While the pace cannot be predicted, it can be accelerated by giving pro-democracy Iranians the assistance and tools they require.

Activate the U.S. Interests Section of the Swissembassy in Tehran. Iran has 36 Iranian nationals in its Interests Section of the Pakistan embassy in Washington. We should have the equivalent number of U.S. nationals in Tehran. At present we have none. Once in place, this group of Americans would be a listening post and an active cadreto encourage non-violent democratic forces in Iran. If Iran does not agree to reciprocity, we should expel the 36 Iranians from the United States. (Of course, posting American diplomats again in Tehran must depend on having confidence that Americans in Iran will receive full diplomatic rights and privileges – the experience of U.S. diplomats in Tehran in 1979 cannot be forgotten. Means to guarantee diplomatic protection would need to be explored.)

Undermine pillars of regime support. Khamenei relies on his security services to keep him in power. Faced with demonstrations in 2002, Khamenei was unsure the army would obey his orders and thus resorted to using hired paramilitary thugs.

The U.S. has opportunities to quietly develop relations with the **military and various services** in Iran and should seek to do so. Our CIA, FBI and Drug Enforcement Agency forces in the region have issues to work on, ranging from cross-border threats to terrorism to drugs. In developing these relationships our people should make it *clear* that those who *cooperate* in the transition to democracy can thrive "on the other side" (as many others in former dictatorshipshave done), but that those who pessist in committing crimes against the Iranian people or others will be prosecuted. Specifically, we should call for the eradication of the Islanic Guard Corps and the. Basij, reform or elimination of the Ministry of Information and investigation into the government's support for vigilante groups such as Ansar al-Hezballah.

## ENGAGE THE IRANIAN PEOPLE

The President should appoint a serior official as the "point person" on Iran, and he should announce this in high-profiles speeches spelling out U.S. policy - and the stakes involved in Iran. This would be aimed ± both the Iranian and American peoples Once appointed, the official should speak frequently with the Iranian people through all available media, such as radio, the Internet, satellite television. They will know he is speaking for the American president.

He should dramatize the plight of Iranian dissidents, jailed because they dared to call for free speech and assembly - journalists such as Siamak Pourzand and Arash Sigarchi, and democracy advocates such as Ahmad Batebi and the Mohammadi brothers, Manouchehr and Akbar. And, this official should coordinate U.S. efforts to have Iran barred from UNESCO and the U.N. Human Rights commission (or its successor organization).

# Television. radio. the Internet. The U.S. Government's Farsi-language Radio Farda

("Tomorrow") and several hours weekly of voice of America television are a beginning, but not enough if we are going to effectively comunicate directly with the Iranian people. Furthermore, these outlets do not always convey a coordinated U.S. policy message. They should, even if it means making staff changes.

A number of private U.S.-based Iranian satellite television stations exist, but they are underfunded and thus unable to achieve their real potential. A budget equal to that of Radio Farde and VOA television should be made available to them. At least \$10 million annually should be appropriated to assist independent television, radio and Internet communications with the Iranian people. Provide additional funds to pro-democracy dissidents within Iran to help them better organize and communicate.

Visa and Ultimately it is Iranians themselves who will make the breakthrough to democracy and remove the Militant Islamists from power. There are many ways we can help, particularly with young Iranians and women as major agents of change. cultural, academic and professional exchanges must form an important part of our effort to assist Iranians in the democratization of their country. Visiting scholars have considerable freedom of movement and association. Young activists from democratic countries could also enter Iran as tourists to meet with their Iranian counterparts. We should authorize American non-governmental organizations

# 11-L-0559/OSD/55602

(NGOs) such as Freedom House to operate within Iran and press the Iranian government to accept their presence.

Toward these ends, we should tie U.S. visas for Iranians to those which Iran grants to the U.S. Over the last year approximately four Iranians received visas to enter the U.S. to every one American receiving an Iranian visa If the Iran government does not grant <sup>US</sup> parity, we should limit the number of visas we grant to the number granted us over the previous 12 months, end we should bar Iranian officials, their family members and business partners from entering the U.S.

## PUBLICIZE THE REPRESSION

<u>Disseminate w/delv information about the Iran regime's repression</u>. Public hangings, Mrality Police crackdowns on women thought to be dressed with insufficient modesty, the continued solitary confinement of journalist Akbar Ganji for supporting democracy - all are manifestations of a repressive regime.

Though promising improvements in social welfare, the mullahs and their followers have not delivered. Individual incomes are two-thirds, on average, of what they were before the fall of the Shah. Many young men are underemployed or unemployed. Ea's population is predominantly young and all of this contributes to their disillusionment of the regime. We should use every means possible to disseminate these cases of *repression* - and others - to build international support for regime change.

## CONCLUSION

- ·

For too long there has been in the U.S. an academic debate about Iran: "engagement vs. containment" and "dialoge vs. regime change." Inaction and ambivalence in policy were the *result*. The hope that the Khatami Administration, elected in 1997, would put through important reforms lingered. As hope faded, the pro-democracy student *movement* turned away from the feckless Khatami regime. By 2004, however, the disgust of the pro-democracy students was complete and they turned their backs on the self-styled "moderates" in Iran.

The advent of Ahmadinejad, with his inflammatory thetoric - frequently reiterated - makes it clear *that* we should adopt a determined and muscular policy aimed at non-violent regime change in Iran. The means can range from moral support for a student-ledeffort to demand a national referendum in favor of a constitution based on the Universal Declaration of Human Rights to the various elements described in this paper and other elements that will be formulated as circumstances dictate.

###

January 23,2006

January 26,2006

TO: The Honorable Dr. Condoleezza Rice Stephen J. Hadley

FROM. Donald Rumsfeld

SUBJECT Egypt's State Media

Here is a piece on Egypt's state media and how critical and harmful they are.

Attach. 1/13/06 DNI Open Source Center Analysis: Egypt: State Media Remain Critical of Bush Administration, US Policies

FOUO

DHR.dh 012606-07



FOUO 11-L-0559/OSD/55604



## FOR OFFICIAL USE CNLIT

13 January 2005

# Director of National Intelligence OpenSource Center

# Analysis

# Egypt: State Media Remain Critical of Bash Administration, US Policies

The Egyptian state-owned media remain critical of the US Administration, which they label as "neo-conservative." They continue to view the war in Iraq as unjustified and the occupation "illegal" and call for a withdrawal of troops to stop the violence. They are quick to point out cases of alleged US human rights abuses such as torture cases in Guantanamo and the secret CIA prisons. The media also routinely reject US efforts to democratize the Middle East.

viany xx r in the logy ban state : the J is as being run by a subsl fit conservatives who 's totally under the influence of israel, represented by the Lik Party (A 13 Oct

A commentary in Al-Musawwar said that the President's speech before the National De scratic ad wment was written by 4 Shu a' end that his plans are drawn up by "a group im ist fan is f the extremist US-Christian-Zionist right wing' (21 October) while an Al-Jumhurhyah commentary said the speech was "the same list take a by the commentary and the speech was "the same list take a by the commentary said take a by the commentary said take a by the same list take a by the commentary s

E sti 1 prosed to war in q fro the beginning and say that the only way to stop the violence is to "bring the presence of US troops to an end" (Al-Ahram, 26 ( ))

 An Al-Ahram Weekly commentary argued that it was never the intention of the United States to i democracy to be Middle East, but rather "the ide pr democracy became retroactive justification for the invasion of Iraq after of WMDs were shown to be hollow" (20 October).

Commentators have also criticized the US approach to spreading democracy in the region. Prominent reform-minded columnist Salamah Ahmad Salamah v : th ' t th Ut iStates wants is the type of democracy that does not allow people opposed to US policy to come into vi (Al-Akram, 22 December). dit "Jonkurtyak Muhammad Ali Ib i said that the United States is forcing states in the region "to v th with the tri implementing any steps related to reform (Ali 7 r)

The Egyptian media ti y point out alleged US cases ( human rights see while generally playing down abuses in their own country An humhuriyah editorial, for I called for a UN investigation into the CIA secret prisons saying that "( current US Administration is hiding behind the garb of democracy, people's freedoms, and human rights" (3 December).

Egyptian media also prominently featured Sami al-Laythi's, a detaince: a am Bay, account ft at 1 d of US forces. A eat 1 in Al is h is the said the a " ii living proof of the true US face and the false claims about 1 Kry (13 October).

This QSC whit is install exclusively on the context at is de to Government o postest.

January 26,2006

TO: The Honorable Dr. Condoleezza Rice Stephen J. Hadley

FROM: Donald Rumsfeld 7.

SUBJECT: Egypt's State Media

Here is a piece on Egypt's state media and how critical and harmful they are.

Attach. 1/13/06 DNI Open Source Center Analysis: Egypt: State Media Remain Critical of Bush Administration. US Policies

FOUO

DHR.dh 012606-07

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

13 January 2005

# Director of National Intelligence Open Source Center A

# Analysis

# Egypt: State Media Remain Critical of Bash Administration, US Policies

The Egyptian state-owned media remain critical of the US Administration, which they label as "neo-conservative." They continue to view the war in Iraq as unjustified and the occupation "illegal" and call for a withdrawal of troops to stop the violence. They are quick to point out cases of alleged US human rights abuses such as torture cases in Guantanamo and the secret CIA prisons. The media also rousinely reject US efforts to democratize the Middle East.

Many commentators in the Egyptian state-media view the US Administration as being run by a cabal of neo-conservatives who "are totally under the influence of Israel, represented by the Likud Party" (Al-Akhbar, 13 October).

 A commentary in Al-Musawwar said that the President's speech before the National Democratic Endowment "was written by Ariel Sharon" and that his plans are drawn up by "a group of racist functics of the extremist US-Christian-Zionist right wing" (21 October) while an Al-Aumhuriyak commentary said the speech was "the same line taken by the noo-conservatives" (20 October).

Egyptian media opposed the war in Iraq from the beginning and say that the only way to stop the violence is to "bring the presence of US troops to an end" (Al-Ahram, 26 October).

 An Al-Ahram Weekly commentary argued that it was never the intention of the United States to bring democracy to the Middle East, but rather "the idea of spreading democracy became retroactive justification for the invasion of Iraq after the pretexts of WMDs were shown to be hollow" (20 October).

Commentators have also criticized the US approach to spreading democracy in the region. Prominent reform-minded columniat Salamah Ahmad Salamah wrote that "what the United States wants is the type of democracy that does not allow people opposed to US policy to come into office" (Al-Akram, 22 December). Chief-editor of Al-Jumhuriyah Muhammad Ali Ibrahim said that the United States is forcing states in the region "to consult with them before implementing any steps related to reform (Al-Jumhuriyah, 17 November).

The Egyptian media readily point out alleged US cases of human rights abuses while generally playing down abuses in their own country. An *Al-Jumhartyah* editorial, for example, called for a UN investigation into the CIA secret prisons saying that "the current US Administration is hiding behind the garb of democracy, people's freedoms, and human rights" (3 December).

 Egyptian media also prominently featured Sami al-Laythi's, a detainee at Guantanamo Bay, account of torture at the hands of US forces. A commentary in *Al-Jumhuriyah* said the case "will remain living proof of the true US face and the false claims about freedom and democracy" (13 October).

This OSC product is based carbalway on the content and behavior of solution include and has not been coordinated with other US Government components.

. JAN 2 7 2005

TO: The Honorable Andrew H. Card Jr.
CC: Vice President Richard B. Cheney
FROM: Donald Rumsfeld D

SUBJECT Presidential Personnel Office (PPO)

\* • •

I have been meeting with the senior civilians in the Department to find out what their personal plans are, and what the plans of each of the political appointees in their units **are**, with respect to staying through the term of the President's Administration. I **an** telling the Senate-confirmed Presidential appointees that if they plan to leave, I request eight months' notice, because it **takes** so long to get **a** replacement confirmed. I **an** advising political appointees who are not Senateconfirmed that we **need six** months' notice from any who may be planning to leave, so we can have at least a chance to replace them before they depart.

FOLO

During the course of my meetings I met with Tina Jonas, the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) **and** Chief Financial Officer -- a critically important office, and I discovered the following:

1. We recruited **Tira** Jonas to the Department in 2001 as Deputy Comptroller. She was later recruited out of DoD to become the Comptroller of the **FBI**. I was not told that the Presidential Personnel Office was also considering recruiting her away for the Department of Homeland Security. So, suddenly I lost her, without even having the chance to argue on the other side or to begin recruiting someone to replace her. Fortunately, I was able to bring her back two years later.

OSD 01339-06

2372706

# FOUO

۰. ۰

- Second, Bob Henke was Deputy Comptroller in DoD. He was recruited by Presidential Personnel Office to go to the Veterans Administration. We
   were not told he was being recruited out. He was told he should not tell people here in DoD that he was being recruited to leave DoD.
- Third, David Trulio was recently recruited out of the DoD Comptroller shop by the Presidential Personnel Office and moved to Fran Townsend's operation in the White House. Again, we were not told.
- Finally, David Norquist, Deputy Under Secretary of Defense in the Comptroller shop, was recruited by the Presidential Personnel Office to go to the Department of Homeland Security.

This same behavior has taken DoD people out of other offices, as I advised you in the memo I gave you on John Molino and how we lost him thanks to the Presidential Personnel Office. This is not to mention Bob Kimmett, who was on track to be a Service Secretary. I don't have time to cite the numerous other examples where this has happened. I cannot believe that the President or you believe **this** pattern of behavior is acceptable.

For five years now we have been running DoD with more than a 25% vacancy rate in Senate confirmed Presidential appointees. Over that time the White House Personnel shop has consistently been a negative **factor**, rather than a positive one. They take people we recruit and move them elsewhere in the Administration. They seem not to bring in fresh recruits from the outside. We recruit people from the outside, and the Presidential Personnel Office **takes** them away from DoD without even discussing it with us. We have a \$400+ billion dollar budget we **are** trying to manage. That we **are** in a war seems to be something that the Presidential Personnel Office **does** not understand.

# FOUO 11-L-0559/OSD/55609

FOUO

Andy, I urge you to get this fixed. It is harmful to the country. It is harmful to the President. And it is getting worse, not better.

Help! -

#### DHR.ss 012306-17

P.S. I just found out the Presidential Personnel Office may now be recruiting Dale Klein for the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. His is currently our Assistant for Nuclear, Chemical and Biological Programs. Again, no one from the White House has told me.

Andy-please respond.

			_
	e starter starte	ייין איז	
		October 07,2005	
TO:	David Chu		
cc:	Gen Pete Pace GEN Pete Schoomaker GEN Mike Hagee M M Mike Mullen Gen Mike Moseley		
FROM:	Donald Rumsfeld		
SUBJECT:	Purple Hearts		

It might be useful to do a baseline review of the rules governing the award of the Purple Heart. Im no expert, but my impression is that with the changing nature of the modern battlefield, some of the old rules may benefit from a review.

. .. . . . . . . . . . .

Please get some input from the Services and the Chairman, then send me a paper about the way the award is structured now and whether or not it might make sense to rethink it.

Thanks.

DHR.ss 100705-15 Please Respond By 10/31/05

1000



PERSONNEL AND READINESS UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE 4000 DEFENSE PENTAGON WASHINGTON, D. C. 20301-4000



**INFO MEMO** 

April 3, 2006 - 9:00 AM

FOR: SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

FROM: David S. C. Chu, Under Secretary of Defense (P&R)

aph S. O. Ling of (Signature and date)

SUBJECT: Purple Heart Medal - SNOWFLAKE (Attached)

- This responds to your question concerning the history of the current requirement for the Purple Heart and whether a case could be made for awarding the Purple Heart to members wounded, not as a result of enemy action, in a combat zone.
- The criteria for award **of** the Purple Heart are not based on "combat zones," but instead focused on the nature of the inflicting force. The criteria include international terrorist attacks, acts of hostile foreign forces, and friendly fire while engaged in **armed** conflict.
- As the Assistant Secretary of the Navy (M&RA) stated in his October 28, 2005 memorandum: "The Purple Heart is an award worn with great pride, and its meaning is clear: this individual <u>has encountered the enemy</u> and was wounded as a result of that encounter." This goes to the core of the meaning of the "Purple Heart."
- If an individual is injured in a combat zone, but not as a result of direct or indirect enemy action, there are other means of recognizing their actions, if warranted.
- A review of DoD and the Services' award regulations concerning the Purple Heart indicates that there are a few instances of divergent guidance from the Executive Order and the DoD awards manual. We will fix these.

Attachment: As stated

Prepared by: LTC Thomas L. Casciaro, ODUSD (P&R)(MPP)/OEPM, (b)(6)



OSD 01349-06

	· *	
	FOUO	er an
		225 CM 27 /// D: 40
		October 07, 2005
To:	David Chu	
c:	G <b>en Pete</b> Face GEN Pete Schoomaker	
	GEN Mike Hagee	
	Gen Mike Moseley	
FROM:	Donald Rumsfeld	
SUBJECT:	Purple Hearts	

It might be useful to do a baseline review of the rules governing the award of the Purple Hean. I'm no expert, but my impression is that with the changing nature of the modern battlefield, some of the old rules may benefit from a review.

Please get some input from the Services and the Chairman, then send **me a paper** about the **way** the award is structured **now** and whether or **pot** it might make sense to rethink it.

Thanks.

DHR.m 100705-13 Please Respond By 10/31/05

-POUC

# INFORMATIONPAPER

# SUBJECT: Purple Heart Medal

Executive Order 11016 with amendments authorizes award of the Purple Heart. A review of the DoD and Services' award regulations (Department of Defense Manual 1348-33M, Manual of Military Decoration and Awards; Army Regulation 600-8-22, Military Awards; Secretary of the Navy Instruction 1650.1G, Navy and Marine Corps Awards Manual; and Air Force Instruction 36-2803, The Air Force Awards and Decorations Program) revealed that there **are** a few instances of divergent guidance:

- EO 11016 and DoD 1348-33M states that the Purple Heart is awarded to any member of the Armed Forces of the United States or any civilian national\* of the United States who, while serving under competent authority in any capacity with one of the U.S. Armed Forces, after April 5,1917, has been wounded, killed, or who has died or may hereafter die of wounds.
   \*Public Law 105-85 changed criteria to award the Purple Heart only to members of the armed forces.
  - o The Navy Instruction does not contain the language, "or who has died or may hereafter die of wounds."
  - o The Army and Air Force guidance is consistent with the EO and DoD Manual.
- EO 11016 and DoD 1348-33M states, <u>before April 25,1962</u>, while held as a prisoner of war (or while being taken captive) in the same manner **as** a former prisoner of war who is wounded on or after that date while held **as** a prisoner of war (or while being taken captive under Section 521, DoD Authorization Act for 19%.
  - o The Army regulation recognizes that former prisoners of war <u>after</u> April 25,1962 who were wounded while prisoners **are** authorized the Purple Heart.
  - The Navy provides general guidance that prisoners that were "maltreated by their captors **are** authorized the Purple Heart.
  - o The Air Force guidance is consistent with the EO and DoD Manual.
- EO 11016 states, A wound for which the award is made must have required treatment by a medical officer.
  - o The DoD manual does not contain this language.
  - o The Services' guidance is consistent with the EO.

• The Marine Corps threshold for a concussion is (Level  $\Pi$ ), the individual is rendered unconscious from the head injury and treated by a medical officer. The Army threshold is a concussion treated by a medical officer.

Coordination: Purple Heart Medal

Department of the **Army** Department of the Navy Department of the Air Force The Joint Staff Mr. Daniel B. Denning, January 10, 2006 Hon, William A. Navas Jr. December 2,2005 Hon. Michael Dominguez, January 9,2006 LTG Walter L. Sharp, March 25,2006



### SECRETARYOFTHEARMY OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY MANPOWER AND RESERVE AFFAIRS 111 ARMY PENTAGON WASHINGTON, DC 20310-0111

# **INFORMATION MEMO**

FOR: Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness

FROM: Daniel B. Denning, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary (Manpower and Reserve Affairs)

SUBJECT: Purple Heart (PH) Medal

- To provide the Army's coordination on the Acting Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Military Personnel Policy) (DUSD(MPP)) draft Snowflake follow-up, subject, as above.
- In the interest of uniformity and to preclude a Soldier getting the PH and a Marine in a similar circumstance not receiving the PH, recommend a Working Group be formed to compile uniform criteria that will be applicable to all Services.
- It is my belief that the PH uniquely and appropriately recognizes those individuals killed or wounded **as** a result of armed conflict or specific hostile actions, **and** should be restricted to those individuals.

RECOMMENDATION A working group be formed to create uniform PH criteria.

Approve \_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ Disapprove\_\_

Prepared By: LTC Lisiane M.Y. Valentine, (b)(6)



Coordination: Purple Heat. Medal

Department of the Army Department of the Navy Department of the *Air* Force Office of the General Counsel

Manang 12-01-05

11-L-0559/OSD/55617

Coordination: Purple Heart Medal

Department of the Army Department of the Navy Department of the Air Force United states Marine Corps Office of the General Counsel

MID

The enclosed a point paper on This subject that suggests to this subject that suggests to me lettle benefit and great cost me lettle benefit and great award to expanding Pusple theart award to expanding Pusple

THE JOINT STAFF WASHINGTON, DC



Reply ZIP Code: 20318-0300

DJSM 0306-06 25 March 2006

# MEMORANDUM FOR THE UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR PERSONNEL AND READINESS

Subject: Purple Heart Medal

1. Thank you for the opportunity to review the draft memorandum.<sup>1</sup> We concur that the criteria for the Purple Heart should not be changed and the few deviations that exist among the Executive Order, DOD manual, and Service guidance should be fixed to ensure the criteria is consistent across the Department.

2. In addition, to ensure the consistency and accuracy of *this* medal both in definition and application across the Services, we concur in the Army's proposal for a working group to be formed to specifically address these issues.

3. The Joint Staff point of contact is Mr. Jerry Mahar; J-1/PSD; (b)(6) (b)(6)

Welter 2 Sup

WALTER L. SHARP Lieutenant General, USA Director, Joint Staff

Reference:

1 USD(P&R) memorandum, 26 January 2006, "Purple Heart Medal"



- This responds to your question concerning the history of the current requirement for the **Purple** Heart and whether a case could be ma& for awarding the Purple Heart to members wounded, not as a result of enemy action, in a combat zone.
- The criteria for award of the Purple Heart are not based on "combat zones," but instead focused on the nature of the inflicting force. The criteria include international terrorist attacks, **acts of** hostile foreign forces, and friendly fire while engaged in armed conflict.
- As the Assistant Secretary of the Navy (M&RA) stated in his October 28,2005 memorandum: "The Purple Heart is an award worn with great pride, and its meaning is clear: this individual <u>has encountered the enemy</u> and was wounded as a result of that encounter." This goes to the core of the meaning of the "Purple Heart."
- \* If an individual is injured in a combat zone, but not as a result of direct or indirect enemy action, there are other means of recognizing his action, if warranted.
- A review of DoD and the Services' award regulations concerning the Purple Heart indicates that there are a few instances of divergent guidance from the Executive Order and the DoD awards manual. We will fix these.

Attachment: As Stated

Prepared by: LTC Thomas L. Casciaro, ODUSD (P&R)(MPP)/OEPM. (b)(6)



2650006

# Casciaro, Thomas L, LTC, OSD-PR

Subject:

FW: Purple Heart Snowllake

From: Mustion, Richard P, COL, OSD-P&R Sent: Tuesday, November 15,2005 3:52 PM To: Earle, Sheila M., CIV OSD-P&R Cc: Johnson, Harvey W, LTC, OSD-P&R; Wellock, Stephen M, CIV, OSD-P&R; Stevenson, James L, MAJ, OSD-P&R; McGinn, Gail, CIV, OSD-P&R Subject: Purple Heart Snowflake

Ma'am - Attached snowflake response returned by the front office and was subject of discussion between Dr Chu and Mr Rangel. No issue with the facts in the response. Front Office issue is apparent or perceived divergent guidance issued used by the Services. Dr Chu has asked that MPP review service guidance to ensure correctness and consistency. Prepare revised response that very briefly encapsulates the policies to show consistency or divergence (as applicable). Response should **start** with Exec Ord, then DoD guidance followed by Services. Attachments are ok provided they are short!!

v/r Rick

Rick Mustion Colonel, US Army Military Assistant to the Principal Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness (b)(6)

<< File: Purple Heart Medal.pdf>>

11-L-0559/OSD/55621

Coordination: Purple Heart Medal.

Department of the Army Department of the Navy Department of the *Air* Force

· • •

Copy Provided, Nov 30,2005 Hon. William A. Navas Jr, Dec 2,2005 Hon. Michael Dominguez, Jan 9.2006

,

۰.

January 05,2006 06/000213 ES-5034

TO: Peter Rodman

c c : Eric Edelman

RA Donald Rumsfeld

SUBJECT: Brief on Communicating with Islam

George Shultz asked if you would brief me on their meeting on how to communicate with Islam. Have you done anything with that for the Department?

Thanks.

FROM

DHR 55 010506-22

Please Respond By 01/25/06

FOUO

OSD 01378-06

11-L-0559/OSD/55623 06-01-06 11:52 IN

## FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

## INFO MEMO

1 3:32

DSD USD(P) 44 JAN 2 6 2006

106/001020 06/000213 ES-5034

FOF	R SECRETARY OF DE <sup>FEN</sup>	ISE O	1	
OPERC	R SECRETARY OF DE <sup>FEN</sup> OM: Peter W. Rodman, Assi	istant service of	Defense (BA) 25 0	6
1		U	0	
SUI	BJECT: Shultz Brief on Con	nmunicating with I	Islam	

- (FOUO) You asked me about George Shultz's brief and how the Department might use some of his ideas to communicate with Islam.
- (FOUO) The Shultz brief has some interesting concepts, some of which might be useful to the Department; some of his ideas also might be useful to the interagency effort on public diplomacy led by Karen Hughes.
- (FOUO) I think it would be helpful if I briefed you on my discussions with Shultz and our thoughts on how best to incorporate his ideas into the Department and interagency.

FOR OFFICIAL USI 11-L-0559/OSD/55624

OSD 01378-06

Sig

-FOUO-

ES-4430 05/013691

 October 12,2005

TO: Paul McHale

CC Gen Pete Pace

-> ERIC EDEMAN -> RYNS HENRY

FROM: Donald Rumsfeld

SUBJECT: Permanent Entity at DoD to handle Disasters

We ought to give some thought to forming a permanent entity in the Department of Defense to handle disasters - natural and manmade. We ought to have that permanent standing joint task force/joint headquarters capability. We also ought to think about whether or not the world ought to have the same capability on a permanent basis - at the U.N.

Thanks.

ŧ

DHR:se 101205-06(TS)

.

Please respond by November 8,2005

FOUO

13-10-05 13:31 IN

11-L-0559/OSD/55625

0SD 01383-06
31JANU6

January 31,2006

TO: Gen Pete Pace

c c : Eric Edelman

FROM: Donald Rumsfeld

SUBJECT: Permanent Entity for Disaster Relief

Here is an interesting memo from Paul McHale suggesting JFCOM might be the right COCOM to have a Standing Joint Task Force for disaster relief operations.

Paul's thoughts may be helpful as you work the overall assessment of the Unified Command Plan I recently requested.

Thanks.

Attach. 1/26/06 ASD(HD) memo to SD re: Permanent Entity at DoD to Handle Disasters [OSD01383-06] and 10/12/05 SD memo#101205-06

DHR.dh 013106-05

Please Respond By March 02,2006

a oct os



FOUO 11-L-0559/OSD/55626



HOMELAND DEFENSE FOR OFFICIAL. USE ONLY

ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE 2600 DEFENSE PENTAGON WASHINGTON, DC 20301-2600

### **INFO MEMO**

114 DepSecDef USD(P)

FOR SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

FROM: Paul McHale, Assistant Secretary of Defense for Homeland Defense

SUBJECT: Permanent Entity at DoD to Handle Disasters

- This responds to your inquiry regarding a permanent entity in DoD to handle natural and man made disasters.
- The regional combatant commanders have the duty and authority to exercise command and control of all operational forces within their respective areas of responsibility, including military personnel assigned to disaster response.
- Within CONUS, NORTHCOM operationally controls assigned military forces in execution of civil support missions (Military Support to Civil Authorities) the disaster response to Hurricane Katrina is the most recent example. Therefore, in terms of direct command and control, the affected combatant commander "handles" the DoD portion of the disaster response. This C2 approach is consistent with the core principle of the UCP and Goldwater Nichols.
- However, as implied by your question, there is an unmet need to identify a single point of contact within DoD as a central repository of disaster related expertise: familiarity with relevant authorities; recurring operational requirements and resources; proven CONOPS for interagency coordination; and lessons learned. I believe that JFCOM's Standing Joint Force Headquarters Core Element (SJFHQ-CE) is the right point of contact for DoD disaster expertise, worldwide. This is the same Headquarters element we deployed to Baton Rouge during Hurricane Katrina and to Pakistan following the recent earthquake.
- Following the USJFCOM model, USNORTHCOM and USPACOM have established standing joint task headquarters forces to provide command and control within their areas of responsibility. In addition, USNORTHCOM's Joint Task Force-Civil Support possesses the capabilities to respond to and manage a domestic CBRNE incident.
- The Joint Staff, the remaining combatant commands, and the Services are developing policies and procedures to designate, train, and certify Service Headquarters as capable JTF-core elements. This initiative is supported in the QDR and has my concurrence.

COORDINATION: The Joint Staff

Prepared by: Col Heady, OASD(HD)/SP&R; (b)(6) LtCol Haviland, JS/J-5 (b)(6)

> FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY 11-L-0559/OSD/55627

osa 01383-06

# POLICY COORDINATION SHEET

1

Subject: Permanent Entity at DoD to Handle Disasters I-Number: 05/013691

ı.

.

.

Title/Organization	Name	Date	
PDUSDP	Ryan Henny (V(') PD USD(P)	JAN 2 5 2006	

> January 04,2006 06/000135 ES-5021

TO: Eric Edelman

CC: Gen Pete Pace

RA Donald Runsfeld

SUBJECT: I&L Funding

Please look into the way the funding and leadership is handled with respect to l&L funding in both Iraq and Afghanistan.

Thanks.

FROM:

DBR == 01040611 Please Respond By 01/26/06

FOUO

05-01-06 08:43 IN

-----

OSD 01386-06

### FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

### **INFO MEMO**

FOR SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

FROM: Thomas W. O'Connell, Assistant Secretary of Defense (SO/LIC)

SUBJECT: State Bureau of International Narcotics and Law Enforcement (INL) Funding and Staffing

- You asked how INL funding and leadership are handled in Iraq and Afghanistan (Tab A).
- FY 06 INL Iraq programs total \$603.6M as follows:

Police Training Rule of Law (support to courts and correctional training program) \$550.0M (DoD OIF Funds)\$ 53.6M (State Funds)

- FY07 INLJraq programs: \$250M requested for Rule of Law programs, to include \$100M for prison construction.
- FY 06 INL Afghanistan programs total \$235M as follows:

Eradication	\$159.0M
Law Enforcement	S 58.5M
Administration of Justice	\$ 11.5M
Program Development and Support	S 6.0M

- Congress rescinded \$2.35M from the Eradication and Law Enforcement programs, but we do not have visibility on how this affected each program,
- OMB reports there will be no dollars for Afghanistan and "some" dollars for prison work in Iraq from the INL FY 06 Supplemental.
- INL has two officers at Embassy Bagbdad, each serving a one-year tour. INL has 4,036 contractors for programs in Iraq.

Prepared by: LCDR Robert Mook, SOLIC(CN), (b)(6)

### FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

20-31-14 15-7 · ···

OSD 01386-06

11-L-0559/OSD/55630

Policy Memo Template

2.53

ES-5021

2 6 200

DSD\_ USD(P

### FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

• INL has 11 officers in Kabul, ten of whom are serving one-year tours, responsible for the following areas:

INL management	2
Poppy Elimination Program	1
Police advisors	2
INL Aviation	1
CN / Narcotics Affairs	2
Budget management	1
U.S. support personnel	1
Justice	1

- INL has 1,385 contractors for programs in Afghanistan.

# COORDINATION Tab B

Attachments: As Stated

### FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

ng ng mga 1985 55

January 04, 2006 06/000135 ES - 5021

TO: Eric Edelman

CC: Gen Pete Pace

RA

SUBJECT: I&L Funding

Donald Rumsfeld

Please **look** into the way the funding and leadership is bandled with respect to f&L funding in both Iraq and Afghanistan.

Thanks.

.....

FROM:

DHR ss 010406-17 Please Respond By 01/26/06

FOUO

05-01-06 08:43 1%

OSD 01386-06

11-L-0559/OSD/55632

### I-06/000135

## **COORDINATION**

PDASD (ISA)

Mary Beth Long

January 25,2006

# FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF MERCES

ACTION MEMO 206 JAN 30 AM 10: 26 JAN 2 7 2006

USD(P) ES-5anS

### FOR SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

FROM: Peter W. Rodman, Assistant Secretary of Defense (ISA)

SUBJECT: Most important jobs in Afghanistan and Iraq (Snowflake Response)

- You asked for additions to your list of important jobs in Afghanistan and Iraq for the next two years and how we can get people to serve for 18 months.
- I suggest adding the following existing positions to your list:

### For Afghanistan:

- o Deputy Chief of Mission
- o Top advisor to the Ministry of Finance
- o Afghanistan Reconstruction Group **(ARG)**Director
- o USALD Mission Director
- o InternationalNarcotics and Law Enforcement (INL) Director
- o Public Diplomacy/ CommunicationDirector
- o NATO/International Security Assistance Force commander

### For Iraq:

- o Deputy Chief of Mission
- Top advisor to the Ministry of Finance
- o Iraq Reconstruction and Management Organization (IRMO) Director
- o USAID Mission Director
- o International Narcotics and Law Enforcement (INL) Director
- o Public Diplomacy / Communication Director
- I plan to raise the subject of 18 month civilian assignments to the interagency and will coordinate with the Joint Staff on the possibility of 18 month assignments.
- For Afghanistan, we continue our search for a senior individual to fill a senior advisory to NATO on reconstruction and related activities.

**RECOMMENDATION** Approve additions to the list of keyjobs and approve our proposed interagency discussions.

Approve	Do not approve	Other	Z
	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY	<b>0s</b> Ď 01450-06	Ś
	11-L-0559/OSD/55634	27-01-06 12:30 IN	47

83

I06/000143 ES-5025

January 04,2006

TO:	Gen Pete Pace
	Eric Edelman

CC: VADM StaserHolcomb

FROM. Donald Rumsfeld R

SUBJECT The Most Important Jobs in Afghanistan and Itaq

It strikes me that a critical question to ask ourselves is: What **are** the most importantjobs in Iraq and Afghanistan for the next two years? Clearly, they **are** the positions held by:

- I. Abizaid
- 2. Casey
- 3. J.R. Vines
- 4. Eikenberry
- 5. Dempsey
- 6. Durbin
- 7. Amhassador to Iraq
- 8. Ambassador to Afghanistan
- 9. Top advisors to MOI and MOD in both countries

There must be others. Please add **to** this list, and then let's decide how we can get the good ones to stay **longer** than they would otherwise stay 18 months v. 12 months.

Let's be sure I and other key people interview anyone **who** is going to go into these **key jobs**.

Thanks.

FOUO

11-L-0559/OSD/55636

FOUO

FOUO

DHR 55 010406-18 ......... 

Please Respond By 01/26/06

•

.

05-01-06 09:26 IN

### FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

### **COORDINATION SHEET**

Director (NG) <u>Timele</u> Date\_1/25/06 Principal Director (NESA

PDASD(ISA)

(APPE) 5Ng Date 1/2 10/06

Prepared by: Josh Carter, OUSD(P)/ISA/NESA-NG(b)(6)

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

I06/000143 ES-5025

 С С С С С С С

January 04,2006

TO: Gen Pete Pace Eric Edelman

CC: VADM Staser Holcomb

**FROM:** Donald Rumsfeld  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{N}$ .

SUBJECT: The Most Important Jobs in Afghanistan and Zraq

It strikes me that a critical question to ask ourselves **is**: What **are** the most important jobs in Iraq **and** Afghanistan for the next two years? Clearly, they **are** the positions held by:

FOUO

- 1. Abizaid
- 2. Casey
- 3. J.R. Vincs
- 4. Eikenberry
- 5. Dempsey
- 6. Durbin
- 7. Ambassador to Iraq
- 8. Ambassadorto Afghanistan
- 9. Top advisors to MOI and MOD in both countries

There must be others. Please add **to this** list, and then let's decide how we can **get** the good ones to stay longer than they would otherwise stay 18 months **v**. **12** months.

Let's be **sure** I and other key people interview anyone who is going to go **into** these key jobs.

Thanks.

FOUO

nsb 01450-06

FOUO

Please Respond By 01/26/06

05-01-06 09:25 IN

FOUO

10:	Ron Sega
cc:	Gordon England
FROM:	Donald Rumsfeld
SUBJECT.	Augustine Report - State of the

SUBJECT: Augustine Report -- State of the Union Suggestion

Please **take** a look at Norm Augustine's new report for the American Academy of Science, "Rising Above **the** Gathering **Storm**." It is a study of how the U.S can best ensure we **mairtain** the scientific and technical building blocks of economic and security leadership.

FOUO

I think we should send some ideas over to the White House for things the President might consider including in the State of the Union.

Please give me some specific suggestions •• the speech will be shaping up rapidly, and if we **are** going to get into it, we **need** ow thoughts over there soon.

Thanks.

Attach \*RisingAbove the Gathering Storm" Executive Summary and report on disk

DHR.ss 012306-14

Please Respond By 01/26/06

Sir, Response attached

JAN 2 7 2006

FOUO 11-L-0559/OSD/55640

OSD 01453-06





### INFO MEMO

JAN 27 2006

FOR: SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

FROM: Ronald M. Sega Mr. M. Sign

SUBJECT Augustine Report - State of the Union Suggestion

- We concur with the Augustine Committee's assessment of the shortage of technical talent, particularly for critical skills requiring clearable people.
- To increase the DoD technical talent pool, we requested and received FY06 authorization and appropriation for a National Defense Education Program emphasizing scholarships, fellowships, internships and a payback for the recipients to work in the Department. The DoD funding request increases over the FYDP.
- The National Defense Education Program is consistent with Augustine's recommendations and the principles/ mechanisms could be significantly expanded into a national initiative.
- Recommendations for POTUS:
  - Challenge the American Education System to emphasize math, science, and engineering education needed for economic and security leadership.
  - Request Congress work toward a National Defense Education Act of 2006
  - Augustine's output metrics are aggressive, but form a reasonable point of departure for a national program.
- Attached is suggested text for State of the Union

Dar U

COORDINATION: NONE

Hilanoh OSD 01453-

### INFO MEMO

# SUGGESTED TEXT FOR STATE OF THE UNION

The 21<sup>st</sup> Century provides us with great opportunities to strengthen our nation's economic and security leadership. Americans now compete for jobs on a global scale and this competition extends beyond manufacturing to include high-technology sectors such as software development.

Recently, a bipartisan, Congressionally sponsored, committee of university presidents, CEOs, and Nobel laureates, chaired by Norm Augustine, found that Americans are falling behind leading nations in math, science, and engineering capabilities and education. They stated that "Having reviewed trends in the United States and abroad, the committee is deeply concerned that the scientific and technical building blocks of our economic leadership are eroding at a time when many other nations **are** gathering strength...This nation must prepare with great urgency to preserve its strategic and economic security...the United States must compete by optimizing its knowledge-based resources, particularly in science and technology, and by sustaining the most fertile environment for new and revitalized industries and the well-paying jobs they bring."

In the late **1950**'s after the launch of Sputnik, our nation recognized a similar need to emphasize science and math education and responded with the National Defense Education Act of 1958. We have already taken some steps. For example, I want to **thank** Congress for supporting the Department of Defense's FY06 request for a National Defense Education Program to increase our technical talent. We must continue to build on such programs.

Economic and security leadership requires a renewed commitment to provide opportunities for our youth to seek a science and engineering education and inspire our citizens to excel in advanced technology fields. I would like to challenge the American Education System, at all levels, to emphasize Science and Engineering, restoring the traditional American leadership on innovation. This is a foundational element to our National Security, and **as** such I ask the Congress to work toward a new National Defense Education Act, to assure our nation's technical talent pool for the future. To: Marc Thiessen Fm: Greg Lengyel<sup>(b)(6)</sup>

Subject: SOTU Suggestions

Mr. Thiessen,

Secretary Rumsfeld asked that we send you the attached document. Two pages to follow.

# JAN 3 0 2006

TO:	COL H.R. McMaster
cc:	GEN Pete Schoomaker GEN John Abizaid GEN George Casey
FROM	Donald Rumsfeld
SUBJECT:	Good Job

I have heard a number of reports about your fine work in Iraq, ranging from Jim Steele's comments after spending time with you to the excellentjob you did in the recent press conference via VTC to the Pentagon.

FOUO

Thanks for your able service.

DHR.dh 012706-14

30 JAN 06

OSD 01462-06

÷

JAN 3 0 2006

TO: COL H. R. McMaster
c c : GEN Pete Schoomaker GEN John Abizaid GEN George Casey
FROM: Donald Rumsfeld
SUBJECT Good Job

I have heard a number of reports about your fine work in Iraq, ranging from Jim Steele's comments after spending time with you to the excellent job you did in the recent press conference via VTC to the Pentagon.

Thanks for your able service.

DHR.dh 012706-14

. . . . . . . . . .

i

# FOUO 11-L-0559/OSD/55645

OSD 01462-06

:

JAN 3 0 2000

TO: COL H. R. McMaster
CC: GEN Pete Schoomaker GEN John Abizaid GEN George Casey
FROM: Donald Rumsfeld
SUBJECT: Good Job

I have heard a number of reports about your fine work in Iraq, ranging from Jim Steele's comments after spending time with you to the excellent job you did in the recent press conference via VTC to the Pentagon.

Thanks for your able service.

DHR.dh 012706-14

OSD 01462-06

JAN 3 0 2006

TO: ADM Mike Mullen

c c : Gordon England Gen Pete Pace Donald Winter GEN John Abizaid

FROM: Donald Rumsfeld

SUBJECT: Dependents in Bahrain

At our meeting on this subject, we talked about a "glide slope" approach that would lead to getting our folks back into Bahrain in time for school. What are the key dates involved? What did you decide to do about imminent danger pay and combat exclusion for Bahrain, in light of bringing dependents back?

FOUO

I would like to see the plan.

Thanks.

ł

DHR.dh 012706-10

Please Respond By February 16, 2006

292

OSB 01496-06

FOUO 11-L-0559/OSD/55647



#### DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS 2000 NAVY PENTAGON WASHINGTON, D.C. 20350-2000

IN REPLY REFER TO

でも

mars

<u>്റ</u>

FEB 1 3 2006

### MEMORANDUM FOR SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

### Subj: DEPENDENTS IN BAHRAIN

1. Mr. Secretary, in response to your memo of January 27,2006, I am providing two "glide slopes" that support the return of dependents to Bahrain in either summer 2006 or January 2007.

2. The timeline for summer 2006, while possible, would be a sprint and subject to the following assumptions:

- You approve the return by March 15, 2006.
- Commander, FIFTH Fleet regulates the flow of incoming family members to match the school's teaching capacity - the number of teachers on staff.
- Funding authorization/availability for moving of family members.
- Mitigation of security vulnerabilities recently identified at the school.
- Resolution of Imminent Danger Pay (IDP) and Combat Zone **Tax** Exclusion (CZTE) policies, which are under review by your staff.

3. The attached timeline for January 2007, includes longer planning times and greater flexibility for family members. This could result in more family members returning to Bahrain.

better mot

4. I recommend a mid-2006 approval for a January 2007 return of dependents to Bahrain.

M. G. MULLEN

Admiral, U.S. Navy

copy to: DEPSECDEF SECNAV CJCS **GEN** Abizaid

Mr. Seenforg The last questin you us our mu e our

mu

and

contenta still am no am alour still Huik we should was a moderate in what to 0 8 0



#### DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS 2000 NAVY PENTAGON WASHINGTON, D.C. 20350-2000

IN REPLY REFER TO

NOUT

50

eciding

15500

FEB 1 3 2006

# MEMORANDUM FOR SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

Subj: DEPENDENTS IN BAHRAIN

**1.** Mr. Secretary, in response to your memo of January **27**, **2006**, I **am** providing two "glide slopes" that support the return of dependents to Bahrain in either **summer 2006** or January 2007.

2. The timeline for summer 2006, while possible, would be a sprint and subject to the following assumptions:

- You approve the return by March 15,2006.
- Commander, **FIFTH** Fleet regulates the flow of incoming family members to match the school's teaching capacity the number of teachers **on sta**ff.
- Funding authorization/availability for moving of family members.
- Mitigation of security vulnerabilities recently identified at the school.
- Resolution of Imminent Danger Pay (IDP) and Combat Zone Tax Exclusion (CZTE) policies, which are under review by your staff.

3. The attached timeline for January 2007, includes longer planning times and greater flexibility for family members. This could result in more family members returning to Bahrain.

**4.** I recommend a mid-2006 approval for a January 2007 return of dependents to **Bahrain**.

Iul

M. G. MULLEN Admiral, U.S. Navy

Copy to: DEPSECDEF SECNAV CJCS GEN Abizaid

Mr. Surafor O was comfortable mot mound am am alme min no mal the moderate in which OSD 01496-06



### **Return of Family Members Time Line** Mid 06 to Mid 07 6 Mo 8 Mo 10 Mo 2 Mo 4 Mo 12 Mo Start Admin: dministrative Orders/screening/entry recessing approval (-60 Days) Orders required for Household Good shipment. Shipment (~45-60 Days) Sailors wlo school-Anticipate families without school-age children will relocate to Bahrain age children arrive at first available opportunity. SECDEF Approval Sailors with Anticipate families with schoolschool-age age children will relocate during summer months. children arrive **DOD School Start Date** Mid 2005 APR 2007 AUG 2007 11-L-0559/OSD/55651



### THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

WASHINGTON, DC 20301-1300

UNCLASSIFIED

ACTION MEMO

# 200 11 31 51 2:45

GISLATIVE AFFAIRS

January 30, 2006, 9:00 A.M.

7 E

### FOR: SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

FROM: Daniel R. Stanley, Assistant Secretary of Defense for Legislative Affairs,(b)(6)

SUBJECT: Snowflake Response - Members of Congress and the QDR, #011906-10

- You asked us to recommend a method of connecting Members to the QDR.
- You have personally discussed the QDR with the following:
  - U.S. Senate (14): John Warner, R-VA; Lamar Alexander, R-TN; Conrad Bums, R-MT; Norm Coleman, R-MN; Pete Domenici, R-NM; Tom Cobum, R-OK; John Comyn, R-TX; John Ensign, R-NV; Mel Martinez, R-FL; Ben Nelson, D-NE; Jeff Sessions, R-AL; Jim Talent; R-MO; Wayne Allard, R-CO; Thad Cochran, R-MS.
- o U.S. House of Representatives (4): Ike Skelton, D-MO; Jim Marshall, D-GA; Susan Davis, D-CA; and Ellen Tauscher, D-CA.
  - o Forty-three Senators at the Senate Republican Conference.
  - o You will speak on Tuesday, January 31<sup>st</sup> to the House Republican Study Committee with a focus on QDR themes. We expect about 100 Members.
- PDUSD Ryan Henry and his staff briefed the QDR from January 18-20 to the professional staffs of the SASC, SAC-D, HASC, and HAC-D and the military legislative assistants in both chambers. About 130 staffers attended.
- Rep. Tauscher recommended the following Members; we will schedule them for future engagements: Dan Boren, D-OK; Michael Conaway, R-TX; Jim Cooper, D-TN; Geoff Davis, R-KY; Steve Israel, D-NY; John Kline, R-MN; Jim Langevin, D-RI; Rick Larsen, D-WA; Loretta Sanchez, D-CA; Joe Schwarz, R-MI; Rob Simmons, R-CT; and Michael Turner, R-OH.
- Your Posture Testimony to the SASC (February 7<sup>th</sup>) and the **HASC** (February 8<sup>th</sup>) should also make reference to the QDR and our efforts to adapt the Department to irregular. catastrophic, and disruptive security challenges.
- Recommendation: Contact Chairman Hunter, R-CA, prior to the February 6<sup>th</sup> roll out.

Attachments: Letter from Rep. Tauscher (TAB A) Snowflake #011906-10 (TAB B)

SECIDEF DECISIONS	
APPROVED:	_
DISAPPROVED:	
OWNER	

Prepared by: Mr. Pepper Bryars, Defense Fellow. OASD (LA), (b)(6) 11-L-0559/OSD/55652

20 Jian 06

OSD 01560-06

ELLEN O TAUSCHER

LICHAR (THE CH TRUMEPORTATION AND PARAJTRICTURE SUSCOMMITTE OF AMARCH SUSCOMMITTE ON HOMMAYS, TRANSFAND SOFTIER LUCAMMAYS ON

COMPLETER OR ALMED SERVICE SUBCEMENTING OR TERMENES SUBCEMENTING OR FROM FOR PAREN ALLE CHARTER OR FROM FOR PAREN

WATHER PLEQUECT AND THE IMMORPHENT

# Congress of the United States Bouse of Representatives

**Mashington, DC 20515-0510** 

1034 LORDACHTH HOUSE CHINE BUILDING MILLING CHINE BUILDING MILLING CHIEF STORY STORY MILLING CHIEF STORY MAR (2013) 2019-014

IN NORTH CALIFORNIA SOLURYAND

60/78,555 WALLER COMPLEX TOLLING ON COMPLEX AND TRUCK COMPLEX AND TRUCK COMPLEX

2014 CACENNEED, DAVA BUTT, A KANYELD, CA (\*152) YELEYKAR (1937) 416-7716

112740,402 (Han) 448-778 Rij (1977) 448-6828

410 MIET 340 ITHIN ANTICEL CARAGE FELINCIE (BIN 757-717 FAL 1478 167-758

الافيدية لمتهابي ويدعمن بتساليم وبطا

January 19, 2006

The Honorable Donald H. Rumsfeld Secretary of Defense 1000 Defense pentagon Washington, DC 20301-1000

Dear Secretary Rumsfeld,

I an writing to follow up on your request for a list of members that I would recommend for further work with you on issues related to the Quadrennial Defense Review.

In addition to myself, I would suggest Representatives Loretta Sanchez (CA), Susan Davis (CA), Fim Langevin (RI), Rick Larsen (WA), Steve Israel (NY), Fim Cooper (TN), Dan Boren (CK). Michael Turner (CH) Geoff Davis (XY), Rob Simmons (CT), John Kline (MN), Joe Schwarz (MI), and Michael Conaway (TX).

Sincerely, aucher Ellen O. Tauscher

Member of Congress

11-L-0559/OSD/55653

050 00870-06

- JAN 2 0 2006

TO: Robert Wilkie

Dan Stanley cc: **Robert Rangel** 

Donald Rumsfeld FROM:

SUBJECT: Members of Congress and the QDR

We ought to thirk through how we are going to connect the Congressional Members to the QDR. For example, Ellen Tauscher told me she would give me a list of people on the task forces and committees that she thinks are serious, and we should spend some time on. Please be sure to get that list from her.

# Thanks.

DHR.55 011906-10

Please Respond By February 01, 2006

2 Junio

310.1

OSD 01560-06

IU6/000300 E- 5041

January 09, 2006

TO: Eric Edelman

CC: Robert Rangel Steve Bucci Cathy Mamardi

FROM: Donald Rumsfeld R.

SUBJECT: Afghan Budget

I need a brief on the Afghan budget for the next five years, or whatever they have.

Theolog.

DHEAD 01995-13 Please Respond By 02/02/06

HA HAN IS THN



Garof

OSD 01692-06

11-L-0559/OSD/55655

-<del>FOUO</del>

14 V 07 2006

SECRETARIA CE 1857 SECRETARIA CA ALTA E

INFO MEMO

2016 FEB - 2 Fil 12: 31

DSD\_\_\_\_\_\_ FEB 0 1 2001

1-06/000300 ES-5041

FOR SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

FROM: Peter W. Rodman, Assistant Secretary of Defense (ISAW JAN 3 1 2006

SUBJECT: Afghan Budget (U)

- (U) You asked for a "brief on the Afghan Budget for the next five years, or whatever they have" (TAB A)
- Briefing on the Government of Afghanistan Budget, 2006-2010 attached (TAB B)

(U) COORDINATION: TABC

Attachments: As Stated

David W. Lamm, NESA/ISA(b)(6) Rodman, ASD/ISA.

.2015

FOUO 11-L-0559/OSD/55656

0SD 01692

AKNHMUSIAN

I06/002300 ES-5041

January 09, 2006

TO: Eric Edelman

Ħ

CC: Robert Rangel Steve Bucci Cathy Mainardi

FROM: Donald Rumsfeld 2.1.

SUBJECT: Afghan Budget

I need a brief on the Afghan budget for the next five years, or whatever they haw.

Thanks.

· . . .

0192-0 010606-11 Please Respond By 02/02/06

FOUO

65-31-96 14:40 IN

UNCLASSIFIED

# Government of Afghanistan Budget, 2006-2010



# Briefing to the Secretary of Defense 26 January 2006

# UNCLASSIFIED

(DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT: For discussion purposes only. Draft working papers. Not subject to FOIA release)

\_\_\_\_\_



# To brief the SECDEF on the projected Government of Afghanistan internal budget for the next five years, including:

- Afghan projected revenues, expenditures, and grants
- USG requested and projected funding.
- Afghanistan national development requirements for the next five years.
- A way ahead, through efficient border management, to significantly increase GoA internal revenues in the short-term.



Afghan Projected Revenues, Expenditures and Grants

- □ GoA assumes internal revenues of \$3,800M.
- GoA assumes operating budget expenditures of \$9,300M (does not include USG funding of Afghan National Security Sector).
  - Includes wages, salaries, goods and services, interest on debt, acquisition of assets, and other recurrent expenses.
- □ Only 41% of expenditures are covered by revenues.
- Annual deficits average \$1,109M before donor grants.
  - Donor grants average \$907M and offset 82% of the annual deficit.
  - · GoA seeks an average of \$200M in annual debt financing.
    - > Asian Development Bank
    - > World Bank

GoA Budget Estimates are Not Validated

(DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT: For discussion purposes only. Draft working papers. Not subject to FOIA release)

UNCLASSIFIED 3






# DoS / USAID requested and projected funding for 2006-2007 is \$2,069M. Out-year projections not available.

- Economic Support Fund (ESF), International Narcotics and Law Enforcement (INCL), and Development Assistance (USAID) comprise the bulk of DoS projected funding averaging \$1,034M annually.
- DoD estimated requirements to complete fielding of the Afghan National Security Forces for 2006-2010 is \$7,034M.
  - Afghan National Army, Afghan National Police, Detainee Operations, and Counter-narcotics average **\$1,407M** annually.



# DoS Budget Request and Projected Funding to Afghanistan

Program	2006	2007	Total
Economic Support Fund (ESF)	425	610	1,035
International Narcotics and Law Enforcement (INCL)	233	299	532
Development Assistance (USAID)	150	150	300
Child Support and Health (CSH)	43	43	86
Migration and Refugee Assistance (MRA)	37	38	75
Non-proliferation, Antiterror, Demining and Related (NADR)	21	20	41
Total	909	1,160	2,069

### (in millions USD)

(DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT: For discussion purposes only. Draftworking papers. Not subject to FOIA release)

-----

UNCLASSIFIED 6

11-L-0559/OSD/55663



# DoD Budget Request and Projected Requirements to Afghanistan

	2006 Supi Req	2007 Bridge Supl Req	2008 Projection	2009 Projection	2010 Projection	Totai
ANA	825	810	641	498	494	3,268
ANP	1,362	185	897	<b>64</b> 4	351	3,439
Detainee Operations	13	17		-	••	30
Counter- narcotics	141*	28	38	43	47	297
Total	2,341	1,040	1,576	1,185	892	7,034

### (in millions USD)

\*includes FY06 supplemental for Afghanistan. 2007-2008 counter-narcotics figures are for CENTCOM

(DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT: For discussion purposes only. Draft working papers. Not subject to FOIA release)

UNCLASSIFIED 7



# **Afghanistan National Development Strategy**

□ GoA estimate of total development needs for the next five years is \$19,829M.

• Afghanistan requires \$4,000M annually to achieve the goals in the <u>National Development Strategy</u> and the <u>Afghan Compact</u>.

Sectors	2006-2010
Governance	749
Security	2,800
Infrastructure, Natural Resources	8,206
Education, Culture, Media	2,546
Health	1,012
Agriculture and Rural Development	2,098
Social Protection	233
Economic and Private Sector Development	501
Total Development Requirement	18,805
Total Recurrent Costs	5,453
Domestic Revenue	(4,489)
Total	19,829

### (in millions of USD)

UNCLASSIFIED 8



# **Border Management Initiative**

POLIC

- USG must work with the GoA to develop a systemic means to capture border revenues.
  - Border Mana ement Initiative (BMI) can add an average of \$276M annualy to GoA internal revenues from 2006-2010, a total of \$1,378M.
  - DoS (lead agency) is working with the interagency to develop a BMI plan.
    - DHS to original training with CF**U**-A.
    - 9 DoD to provide security and security infrastructure.
  - CFC-A conducted a pilot program in 2005 in Western Afghanistan (Islam Qalat) costing \$1.4M.
    - 9 Program established revenue collection procedures within the GoA.
    - Program was passed to US Embassy and ended in September 2005.

# Estimated start costs to initiate BMI program at the five largest border crossing points is \$29M

(DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT: For discussion purposes only. Draftworking papers. Not subject to FOIA release)



# Afghan Projected Revenues, Expenditures and Grants



(DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT For discussion purposes only. Draft working papers. Not subject to FOIA release)

UNCLASSIFIED 10

11-L-0559/OSD/55667

### FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

### COORDINATION

Brig Gen Paula Thornhill

PDASD ISA

Ms. Mary Beth Long

Principal Director, NESA

Deputy Director, South Asia Ms. Laura Cooper

HBL 1/27 P. F. Chi 1/27 Namat Cooper 1/27/06

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

11-L-0559/OSD/55668

ï

ł

### FOUO

January 30, 2006 T-06/00) 222 E-5-5/60

TO: Eric Edelman

FROM: Donald Rumsfeld **R**.

SUBJECT Japanese Ambassador and the U.S.Position on Hamas

At dinner this weekend Japan's Ambassador to the U.S. Ambassador Ku o, asked what the U.S. position on Hamas was. You might want to get the State Department to connect with him on that.

Thanks.

DER.45 013006-09

Please Respond By 02/09/06

30 gans 0SD 01693

-FOUO-

### FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

OFFICE CF 16F SECRETARY CF 12 PULLE

### NOTE FOR SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

206 FEE - 2 F1 12: 37

I-06/001222

FEB 0 1 2006

**FROM:** Under Secretary of Defense for Policy, Eric S. Edelman  $\mathcal{W}$ 

SUBJECT: Japanese Ambassador and the U.S. Position on Hamas

- Last weekend, Japanese Ambassdor **Kato** asked you what the U.S. position on **Hamas** was. You asked me to get with State to provide him the latest information (original note next under).
- Yesterday the NSC came out With talking points on the USG position on Hamas (Tab A).
- I sent a note to Japanese Ambassador Kato that provides him with the NSC's points. I also referred him directly to Liz Cheney, PDAS for Near Eastern Affairs at State, should he have further inquiries.
- I spoke with Liz to let her know that the Japanese could contact her on this issue.

Floub

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

36 44.0

11-L-0559/OSD/55670

OSD 01693-06

]P 5

0583

Talking Points on Hamas Victory in Elections

- The United States stands for democracy and €or the right of the people to choose who will govern them.
- For the first time in 10 years, the Palestinian people had an opportunity, On January 25, to vote for their parliamentary representatives, and they did so in a process that was free, fair, and inclusive.
- Although the Palestinian people voted for change, we do not see this vote as an abandonment of their desire for a peaceful life, as reflected in the election and policies of President Abbas.
- However, any Palestinian Government that is going to deal with the aspirations of its people for peace is going to have to do so in a context where the international community is stating quite clearly that, if there is to be peace for the Palestinians, there has to be peace for Israelis, and two states living side-by-side as envisioned under the roadmap.
- Hamas may have won a majority in the Palestinian parliament, but it remains a terrorist group, and the international community has made clear that it will not deal with those who do not disarm, renounce terror and violence, and accept Israel's right to exist.
- These requirements are principled, clear, and unshakable. The Falestinian people have made their choice at the polls, and the burden has now shifted to those whom they have elected to consider whether to meet these requirements and thereby seize the opportunity before them to advance peace and prosperity for the Palestinian people.

### FOUO

January 30, 2006 I-06/001222 55-5160

TO: Eric Edelman

FROM: Donald Rumsfeld P.A.

SUBJECT: Japanese Ambassador and the U.S. Position on Hamas

At dinner this weekend Japan's Ambassador to the U.S., Ambassador Kato, **asked** what the **U.S.** position on Hamas was. You might want to get the State Department to connect with him on that.

Thanks.

DHR.55 013006-09

Please Respond By 02/09/06

FOUO

FOUO

August 2 E, 2005 1-25/011339 E5-4022

- TO: David Chu Ryan Henry
- CC: Eric Edelman Steve Bucci Cathy Mainardi

RL Donald Rumsfeld FROM

SUBJECT: Presentation on Training of Foreign Nationals

I would like to see a presentation of all the people we train from other countries in a given year. I would like to see their ranks and their nations, and have a chance to affect haw we adjust that for the coming years.

Thanks.

DICR 44 082205-05 -----

Please Respond By 01/13/06



691.5

11-L-0559/OSD/55673

Foo

### FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

OFFICE OF THE SECRET/RY CF DEVENCE

205 760 -2 81 12: 42

USDP <u>UPEB 0</u> 1 2006 I-05/01 1339 ES-4022

AN 2 6 2006

**OSD** 01695-06

26 Janol

DSD

### INFO MEMO

### FOR SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

FROM: Ryan Henry, PD Under Secretary of Defense for Policy

SUBJECT: Presentation on Education / Training of Foreign Nationals

- You recently asked me for a presentation on DoD's annual training of foreign nationals and how you can affect the process.
- Attached at TAB A is a brief, prepared by my staff, which includes a list of the top 15 countries that received USG-funded military training and education in FY05 and a budget breakdown by program.
  - The top five recipients by funding level were Israel, Colombia, Egypt, Pakistan, Afghanistan and the Philippines.
- The brief also lists key levers you have to affect military education / training efforts as well as significant limits on your influence.
  - Your Security Cooperation Guidance, for example, identifies priority countries and key tools for objectives.
  - However, of the approximately \$260 million in funds spent directly on education/ training of foreign nationals, over \$175 million is allocated by the State Department with limited input from Defense.
  - The Department's largest foreign education programs which you direct are the Regional Centers and the Counter Terrorism Fellowships Program (CTFP). The total budget for the five Regional Centers in FY06 (including overhead) is \$65.7 million and \$20 million for CTFP.

Attachments: As stated.

Prepared by: John Kreul, Strategy, OPDUSD P(b)(6)

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

11-L-0559/OSD/55674

### COORDINATION PAGE

Dr. David S. C. Chu, USD/R&R Dunds & Chuc 1 Fit of

FOUO

August 22, 2005 1-05/011339 ES-4022

- TO: David Chu Ryan Henry
- CC. Eric Edelman SteveBucci Cathy Mainardi

RA Donald Rumsfeld FROM:

SUBJECT: Presentation on Training of Poreign Nationals

I would like to see a presentation of all the people we train firm other countries in a given year. I would like to see their ranks and their rations, and have a chance to affect how we adjust that for the corning years.

Thanks.

Please Respond By 01/13/06

#### FOUO

03-01-16 10:24 15

### 11-L-0559/OSD/55676

# **Education & Training of Foreign Nationals**



SecDef Brief

January 2006

(DELIBERATIVE DO IMENT: Fordiscussion purposes only. Draft working papers to not re under FOIA)



□ Respond to SecDef's request for a presentation on

> Foreign nationals receiving DoD education and training

 $\succ$  How to influence the process

(DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT: For discussion purposes only. Draft working papers. Do not release under FOIA)



# Education & Training of Foreign Nationals Key Programs and Funding Sources (FY05)

Description	Total Cost	# of Courses	# of Students	Avg \$ per Student	
oD Funded					
DoD Regional Centers	\$14,841,815i	.5,655:	\$ 2,931	4,996	
Regional Defense Counterterrorism	ł	-		0.000	
Fellowship Program (CTFP)	<b>\$14,955,876</b> )	5 3,939	\$ <u>1,822</u>	8.208	
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug		7		3,615	# of Courses
Activities Senice Academies	\$ 39,486,947 \$ 7,023,826	* ···· 12 188	\$ 10.034 107	65.643	exceeds # of
Non-Security Assistance, Combatant	• ( <u>023</u> ,820)	<b>a</b>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	00.040	Students because
Command	5 1,475,000; S	s 310 <sup>1</sup>	\$ 310	4,668.	one student's
Exchange Training	<b>\$</b> 130,000	\$ 110	\$ 110	1,182	training track may
Aviation Leadership Program	\$ 571,330		\$ 33	17.313	include more than
'PME Exchanges	\$ 671.757'.	64	¢ 42	15,994	moldde more man
Foreign Assistance Act Draw Down	<b>\$</b> 55,086:	\$ 94 }	\$ 20:		one course
DoD TOTAL	<b>\$</b> 79.011,437	22,673	16,305	4,846	
os Funded					
InternationaMilitary Education and					la addition to 110
Training (IMET)	\$ 99,555,221	<b>\$</b> 12,155	\$ 5,799;		In addition to U.S.
:Foreign Military Financing (FMF)	\$ 72,032,282	\$4,686	\$ 1,762	40,881	funded training,
International Narcotics and Law			,	4,556	\$319M was
Enforcement	5	\$ 862	\$ 681	, <b>000</b> , F	purchased by foreig
EnhancedInternational Peacekeeping	-			13,723	governments
Capabilities (EIPC)	<b>\$</b> 713,601	<b>\$</b> 13/;	\$	13,723	-
African Contingency Operations Training' and Assistance	<b>\$</b> 1,270	<b>\$</b> 2,	5 1	1,270	
Dos TOTAL		17,862	8,295	21,146	
	2	,002	0,200	2.,110	
Other Funding	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	≪ 445	\$ 91	32.698	
Misc DoD/DoS Department ∉ Homeland	\$ 2,975,524	<u>5</u>	Ψ	. 02.000	
Security/United States Coat Guard	\$ 5,354	\$ 961	<b>s</b> 64	84	
Other TOTAL	\$ 2,980,878	1,406	155	19,231	
TOTAL	\$ 257,387,177	41,941	24,755	10,398	

(DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT: For discussion purposes only. Draft working papers. Do not release under FOIA)



# Education & Training f Fo Nationals

US-funded education and training -- Top 15 Countries by \$\$

Country Name	_	Cost	# of Courses	# of Students	Comments	
israel	\$	24,321,394	560	473	Cost sharing = more courses	
Colombia	\$	23,312,992	: 10441	10227	Significant on site trng.	1
Egypt	\$	18,757,1291	1426	1 1210	No in-country, Eng Lan, Req.	
Pakistan	\$	10,049,712	t 372	374	High \$ PME	
Afghanistan	L \$	9,537,460	613	384	High \$ PME Eng Lan Tring	# of Courses exceeds # of
Philippines	\$	9,375,546	: 635	580	L i e on-site; Hgh \$ PME	
Turkey	5	8,880,5771	722	705	High S PME, Tech Courses	Students because
Poland	\$	8,830,235	573	4/2	High S Avistion Troo	training track may
Ukraine	\$	5,639,668	714_	708	High \$ PME	include more than
Jordan	\$ -	5,280,009;	584	478	Hgh\$PME	one course
Thailand	\$	5,109,323	1096	693	2 Language labs purchased	
Hungary	\$	3,616,192	604	- 357	PME and Officer Trng	
Tunisia	<u> </u>	3,584,434	202	207	High \$PME	
Romania	\$	3,508,709	1106	683	MTTa = more students	
Mexico	\$	3,454,218	971	811	Tech Trng w /o Eng Lan Trng	

## List Excludes Training Purchased with National Funds

(DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT: For discussion purposes only. Draft working papers. Do not release under FOIA)



# Education & Training of Foreign Nationals Country Breakdown (FY05)

POLICY

# US-funded education and training -- Top 15 Countries by Participants.

Country Name	# of Students # of C	Courses	Cost	Comments	
Colombia	10227	10441	\$ 23,312,9921	Significant on-site trng.	, ,
Egypt	1210	1426	\$ 18,757,129	Noon-site; Eng Lan. Req.	
Mexico	<b>811</b>	971,	\$ 3,454,218	low cost trng., FME	
Ukraine	708	714	\$ 5,639,668	High \$ PME	
Turkey	705	722	\$ 8,880,577	High \$ PME, Tech Courses	# of Courses
Thailand	693	1096	\$ 5,109,323	Little Eng Lan, \$go further	exceeds # of
Romania	683	1106	\$ 3,508,709	Cost Sharing = m r e courses	Students because one student's
Philippines	580,	635	\$ 9,375,546	1 Little on-site, High \$	training track may
Paraguay	546	546	\$ 2,985,176	Counter-drug trng	include more than
Jordan.	478	584	\$ 5,280,009	High \$ PME	one course
Israel	473	560	\$ 24,321,394	Cost Sharing = m r e courses	
Poland	472	573 <sup>1</sup>	\$ 8.830:235	High \$ Aviation Trna	
Georgia	423	734	\$ 3,028,834	Mid-grade Offcer focus	
Azerbaijan	415	475	\$ 2,701,967	Mid leveltrng = average cost	
Lebanon	410:	538	\$ 2,071,100	On site trng. = more students'	

## List Excludes Training Purchased with National Funds

(DELIBERAT E C F: For discussion purposes only. Draft working sense Do not release under FO

<del>FOR OFFICIAL USE '</del> 11-L-0559/OSD/55681



# Education & Training of Foreign Nationals

- Several factors limit the Secretary's ability to reach greater numbers of priority students
  - Limited numbers of qualified students in key regions (e.g., Central Asia, Middle East)
    - Few with English language ability
    - Few promising officials in appropriate positions
  - Limited operating funds for DoD institutions
    - Economies/efficiencies of scale under current budgets provide limited additional funds
  - > Finite schoolhouse capacity in place (professional and technical)
  - Legal restrictions (some are waiverable)
    - American Servicemembers' Protection Act
    - Other sanctions set by Congress in the Foreign Operations Bill
      - E.g., Trafficking in Human Persons, Limitation on Assistance to Security Forces, and No Assistance to Countries that Harbor or Grants Sanctuary to Indicted War Criminals

<sup>(</sup>DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT: For discussion purposes only. Draft working papers. Do not release under FOIA)



# Education & Training of Foreign Nationals

- □ In addition, other factors limit the Secretary's influence on the allocation and effectiveness of military education and training efforts
  - Security Assistance funds are allocated through a State Department process
    - Ear-marked appropriations language and DoS top-line funding level affects allocations
    - State has final say within executive branch for distribution of discretionary funds
  - Absence of non-DoD initiatives to influence students after education or training program ends
    - Lack of capability and programs in this area misses opportunities to continue cultivating our investment by nurturing regional "Communities of Influence"
    - Efforts to address this gap could follow Regional Centers' model of developing aiumni networks

<sup>(</sup>DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT: For discussion ourposes only. Draft working papers. Do not release under FOIA)



- SecDef has a number of ways to influence DoD's education and training of foreign nationals
  - Direct specific education and training objectives in the Security Cooperation Guidancefor designated countries
  - Reapportion DoD-controlled resources in favor of priority countries and cost effective education programs
  - Work with DoS to conclude the linking of the American Sewicemembers' Protection Act with IMET funding
  - Strengthen Reg onal Centers by developing satellite operations or new headquarters in-theater for the three centers located at NDU

<sup>(</sup>DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT: For discussion purposes only. Draft working papers. Do not release under FOIA)



- > Increase resources for English language training
- Increase DoD schoolhouse capacity specifically intended for international students (may require MILCON)
- Partner with Department of State to increase and target IMET to leverage the above initiatives
- Ensure new initiatives, such as Global Peacekeeping Operations Initiative and other building partnership capacity efforts, address education and training requirements as well as operational capacities

<sup>(</sup>DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT: For discussion purposes only. Draft working papers. Do not release under FOIA)



- A formal policy guidance process could improve the effectiveness of DOD international education and training
  - Many international education institutions have an independent academic approach and little or no OSD oversight
  - DoD could incorporate strong policy guidance from the Secretary and senior DoD leaders by expanding the model being implemented for the Regional Centers to
    - Promote quality control
    - Ensure policy alignment of curriculum
    - Prioritize countries and courses
    - Establish and review measures of effectiveness

<sup>(</sup>DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT: For discussion purposes only. Draft working papers. Do not release under FOIA)



Backwp

(DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT: For discussion purposes only, Draft working papers. Do not release under FOIA)



# Education & Training of Foreign Nationals **Total Expenditure by Program** (FY05)



DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT: For discussion purposes only. Graft working papers. Do not eas a under FOIA)

FOR 4L USE ONLY 11-L-0559/OSD/55688

- InternationalMilitary Education and Training (IMET)
- Aviation Leadership Program
- □ Regional Defense Counterterrorism Fellowship Program (CTFP)
  □ DoD Regional Centers
- Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities
- PME Exchanges
- Foreign Militaty Sales (FMS)
- Foreign Assistance Act Draw Down
- Non-Security Assistance, Combatant Command
- E Foreign Militaty Financing (FMF)
- 🗇 Service Academies
- Enhanced International Peacekeeping Capabilities
- International Narcotics and Law Enforcement
- Department of Homeland Security/United States Coat Guard
- African Contingency Operations Training and Assistance
- Misc DoD/DoS
- ExchangeTraining



# Education & Training of Foreign Nationals Total Participants by Program (FY05)

Regional Centers\_ Counter-Drug 2.9k 10.9k Counterterrorism Fellowships 1.8k FMS 11.9k **IMET** 5.7k EME 1.6 k FMS represents education & INL training purchased with national funds and is not US funded 625

(DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT For discussion purposes only. Draft working papers. Do not release under FO(A)

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY 11-L-0559/OSD/55689

- International Military Education and Training (IMET) Aviation LeadershipProgram Regional Defense Counterterrorism Fellowship Program (CTFP) DoD Regional Centers Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities □ PME Exchanges Foreign Miliary Sales (FMS) Foreign Assistance Act Draw Down Non-Security Assistance, Combatant Command Foreign Military Financing (FMF) □ Service Academies Enhanced International Peacekeeping **Capabilities** International Narcotics and Law Enforcement Department of Homeland Security/United States Coat Guard African Contingency Operations Training and Assistance
  - Misc DoD/DoS

Exchange Training



Zealand

# **Education & Training of Foreign Nationals** Total US Funded Participants by Region (FY05)

Total Students or Participants = 24.7 Thousand



(DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT: For discussion purposes only, Draft working papers. Do not release under FOIA)

11-L-0559/OSD/55690

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY



# Education & Training of Foreign Nationals Total US Expenditure by Region (FY05)

Total Expenditure = 257.4 Million USD





# Education & Training of Foreign Nationals Total Count by Rank (FY05) (FMS Included)

POLICY



(DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT: For discussion purposes only. Draff working papers. Do not release under FOIA)



# Education & Training of Foreign Nationals Total Count by Rank (FY05) (FMS not include

POLICY

### Total Students = 7.4 Thousand



(DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT: For discussion purposes only. Draft working papers. Do not release under FOIA)



- □ This briefing utilizes data collected for the 2005-06 DSCA Foreign Military Training Report; the data set is not finalized
- Data reflects all military education/training provided to foreign military personnel by the Department of Defense and the Department of State during the previous fiscal year
- *O* "Doesnot include Joint Combined Exchange Training **as** this is authorized by law as having its primarypurpose be to train US SOF forces for US benefit
- This presentation does not include data or analysis of FY06 estimations and does not include data on miscellaneous training expenditures (e.g., travel & living expenses, books)

<sup>(</sup>DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT: For discussion purposes only. Draft working papers. Do not release under FO(A)





# **Education & Training of Foreign Nationals Process**

- - DoD cycle starts with the SecDef identifying his goals and priorities in the Security Cooperation Guidance (SCG)
  - Current year funding level set by Congressional Budget Justification (CBJ) and State-led allocation; with DoD input/recommendations
  - CoComs provide direction to Security Assistance Officers (SAOs) through Security Cooperation Implementation Plans (SCIP)
  - SAOs work with country to identify education/training needed to meet SCG,CBJ and SCIP objectives
  - CoComs conduct Training Program Management Reviews (TPMR)
    - 9 Country Education/Training requirements reviewed by DoD, State and COCOM for compliance with SCG, CBJ, and SCIP objectives
    - 9 Approved education/training requirements submitted to MILDEPS
  - MILDEPS implement quota requirements

<sup>(</sup>DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT: For discussion purposes only. Draft working papers. Do not release under FOIA)



# **Education & Training of Foreign Nationals DSCA** Program Code Descriptions

POLICY

MET			
FMF(Bolivia InternationalNarcoticsControl)			
FMS (SaudiArabian NationalGuard)			
JCET			
ALP			
CTFP			
Regional Centers			
Section 1004			
PME Exchanges			
FMS			
Section 506			
Non-SA, Combatant Command			
MAP			
FMF			
Service Academies			
EPC			
MET 2-Year			
INL.			
DOHS/USCG Activities			
USAID			
ACOTA			
Misc DOD/DOS Non-SA			
IMET Multi-Year			
Exchange Training			



#### FOUO

<u>अत्र हात</u> के 11 8: 53 anuary 30,2006

TO: Gordon England

-

FROM: Donald Rumsfeld



SUBJECT: Hybrid Vehicles

I drove a hybrid car the other day and liked it. It saves gas. I wonder why the Department of Defense doesn't start buying hybrids, or ethanol-capable cars.

Please take a look into it, and tell me what you think ...

Thanks.

\_

¥

DHR.ss 013006-19

Please Respond By 02/28/06

.

20 Jun 06 **05B** 01704-06

FOUO

11-L-0559/OSD/55697
## UNCLASSIFIED

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY SECRETARY OF DEFENSE The Military Assistant		
<b>13</b> February 2006, 1520		
MEMORANDUM FOR SECAF. SECARMY. SECNAV		
SUBJECT: Hybrid Vehicles		
Sir,		
Over the past few months, there have been a number of snowflakes expressing the SECDEF's interest in DoD acquiring more fuel efficient vehicles (see attached SD snowflake). Please evaluate the feasibility of alternatively fueled vehicles for DoD and describe any initiatives your Service has undertaken in this regard.		
Please return a copy of this taker with your reply		
Many Thanks Suart B. Munsch Captain, U.S. Navy Military Assistant to the Deputy Secretary of Defense SUSPENSE: 24Feb06 ATTACHMENT: As Stated CC: DJS	1	

UNCLASSIFIED <del>FOUO</del> 11-L-0559/OSD/55698

OSD 01704-06

January 30,2006

TO: Gordon England

FROM: Donald Rumsfeld **2***M*,

SUBJECT: Hybrid Vehicles

I drove a hybrid car the other day and liked it. It saves gas. I wonder why the Department of Defense doesn't start buying hybrids, or ethanol-capable cars.

Please take a look into it, and tell me what you think,

Thanks.

DHR.ss 013006-19

Please Respond By 02/28/06

FOUO

11-L-0559/OSD/55699



FER 07 2996

TO: Mike Donley

CC: Gordon England

FROM: Donald Rumsfeld

SUBJECT: Department of Defense Directives

I have reviewed the status report you sent up -- thanks.

As I see it, the good news is that since we started *this* effort in October 2003, we have gone from 653 directives in coordination/out-of-date down to about 98 remaining. That's progress, although it has taken us way too long to get there. I would like to see us clean up the remaining directives in the next 60 days.

Please give me a report every two weeks on progress in doing so. We can do this!

Thanks.

Attach 2/2/06 DA&M meano to SecDef

DHR.ss 020605-25 Please Respond By February 21,2006

JFEB06

FEBOG

ω Δa

æ



6/12/2006 5:32:00 PM

<del>-FOUO-</del> 11-L-0559/OSD/55700



#### OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE 1950 DEFENSE PENTAGON WASHINGTON, DC 20301-1950

### **INFO MEMO**

\* 35

FOR SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

FROM: Michael B. Donley, Director, Administration and Management

0 2 FEB 2006

SUBJECT: Status of DoD Directives

- During your 1 Feb 06 morning roundtable, you asked about the status of our ongoing Directives Review. The most current monthly DoD Directives Review Update is provided at Tab A. Data reflected tracks the progress to either revise, reissue as an Instruction, cancel, or consolidate 184 Directives currently in coordination. This is our immediate, near-term objective.
- You approved the Phase II direction of effort under DepSecDef lead (see Tab B). I was unaware that monthly updates were not being provided to your office, and have now fixed that problem.
- At Tab C is a brief status report on three key documents concerning medical evacuation of non-combatants. All are under revision.

COORDINATION: None

cc: Deputy Secretary of Defense

Prepared by: Mr. Edward Burbol, WHS/ESD (b)(6)



OSD 01751-06



#### OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE 1950 DEFENSE PENTAGON WASHINGTON, DC 2030 1/1950

### **INFO MEMO**

FOR DEPUTY SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

FROM: Michael B. Donley, Director, Administration and Management

0 2 FEB 2006

SUBJECT: DoD Directives Review - Phase II Update

- Current status of the 184 Directives pending coordination is attached:
  - 0 86 of the 184 Directives in coordination (46.7%) have been completed an increase of 19 (10.3%) since last report.
  - o Below is an updated summary of Directive Work Plans submitted by OSD staff:

	Course of Action			
	Directive (remain a Directive)	Reissue (convertto Instruction)	Cancel (cancel altogether)	Consolidate (combine Directives)
Jan 31	87/47.3%	69/37.5%	25/13.6%	3 11.6%

- In addition to the progress made in reducing the pending coordination backlog, the OSD Components completed 17 other DoD issuances during this period.
- We are reassessing the current focus of the DoD Directives Review Program to better address DoD priorities. The current driver is age of Directives. We need to also include as a driver topical priorities like QDR, BRAC, GWOT and other like imperatives. We are working with OSD Directives Focal Points now to identify these topical priorities.
- Next update: March 1,2006.

COORDINATION: None

cc: Secretary of Defense All OSD Components Listed

Prepared By: Ed Burbol (b)(6)



OSD 01750-06

Proponent		number/Percentage			
(OPR)	Directive (remain a Directive)	Reissue (convert to Instruction)	Cancel (cancel altogether)	Consolidate (combine Directive(s))	Completed
ASD(LA)	0	2	0	0	0/0%
ASD(NII)	4	1	3	0	6 / 66.7%
ASD(PA)	ā	2	0	0	1 / 50%
DA&M	26	5	2	0	20 / 60.6%
DoD GC	4	2	0	0	1/17.7 %
DOT&E	0	1	0	0	1 <u>/</u> 100%
IG, DoD	0	3	0	Ð	0/0%
USD(AT&L)	10	11	6	1	13 / 46.4%
USD(C)	0	1	3	0	2750%
USD(I)	13	11	0	0	11/45.8%
USD(P&R)	9	28	B	2	23/48.9%
USD(P)	21	2	3	0	8/30.8%
Total (104)	87	69	25	3	85
Percentage	47.3%	37.5%	13.6%	1.6%	46.7%

## DoD Directives Program, Pending Coordination Backlog - Component Summary



#### OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE 1950 DEFENSE PENTAGON WASHINGTON, DC 20301-1950

ACTION MEMO

OFFICE OF THE SECTOR FENSE

2005 JUN 30 PH 12: 32

ADMINISTRATION AND

R

## RODETLE RORS SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

3 0 JUN 2005

FROM: Michael B. Donley, Director, Administration and Management

### SUBJECT DoD Directives Review

- The Directives Review you initiated in October 2003 generated measurable progress.
  Of the initial 653 directives, 62% are certified as current or are revised/cancelled;
  25% are in coordination, and 13% are still being worked. This Phase generated forward momentum and Principal's involvement. It is time to advance our effort.
- The Deputy Secretary and I have discussed a "Phase II" overhaul of the Directives System to:
  - Focus Directives on their intended purpose: assignments of authority and responsibility that only you and the Deputy can make, such as organizational charters for OSD staff and DoD components, and other non-delegable responsibilities based in statute, Executive Order, or Presidential Directive. This will further reduce the total number of Directives by pushing some down to DoD Instructions and other Issuances approved by your Principal Staff Assistants.
  - Strengthen my role as the DA&M from accounting and monitoring to management and enforcement of the Directives System.
  - o Modernize the directive process and introduce new technology.
  - Publish or eliminate Within 60 days the -- 180 directives languishing "in coordination."
  - o Introduce a 45-day standard for coordination and approval of new Directives.
  - Move primary responsibility for oversight of this process to the Deputy consistent with your division of labor.
- As you initiated the Directives review. I want to confirm that you are comfortable with reinvigorating this project as outlined above, and with moving oversight under the Deputy. I would copy you on my monthly progress reports to the Deputy.

### RECOMMENDATION That you approve by initialing below:

- . The Direction of effort in Phase II: Approve Disapprove
- 6/33 SPO TheDepSecDeflead Approve Disapprove A SO SMA DSD COORDINATION NONE 39 SADSD TSA SO 1450 CC: 1344 Deputy Secretary of Defense ESS MA OSD 12697-05 Prepared by: Mr. John Krysa, WHS/ESD(b)(6)

11-L-0559/OSD/55704

#### Primary Issuances Concerning Medical Evacuation of Non-Combatants

- 1. Air Transportation Eligibility, dated November 1994
  - o The proponent for this DoD Regulation is USD(AT&L)
  - Currently being revised
  - Most important Directive regarding medical evacuation, must be completed prior to revision of the other two issuances listed below.

#### 2. Health Services Operations & Readiness, dated 29 April 1996

- o The proponent for this DoDD is ASD(Health Affairs)
- o Currently being revised

#### 3. Patient Movement, dated 9 September 1998

- o The proponent for this DoDI is ASD(Health Affairs)
- o Currently being revised

ngan setta di Ngan setta di Ngan setta di	THE FREE
200 FT - 6	<u> 11</u> 9: 40
v 10 2006	

January 10,2006 I-06/000413 ES-5055

TO: Eric Edelman

FROM Donald Rumsfeld 2.1.

SUBJECT: PRC

What ought we to be **thinking** about **with** respect to MIL to MIL with the People's Republic of **China**?

Thanks.

DHR.ss 011005-03

\_

.. ....

Please Respond By 02/02/06

\_\_\_\_

China

FOUO

10-01 05 P05:59 IN

**05D** 01832-06

<u>11-L-0559/OSD/55706</u>

I

Trast.

January 12, 2006

I-06/000570 ES-5078 Oga. 2

TO: Eric Edelman

Donald Rumsfeid 21.

SUBJECT: Article 98

We have to figure out what we want to do with respect to the legislation that relates to Article 98, and how it is hurting us with certain countries.

Thanks.

FROM:

I

·. :

- - - -2 - - - - 01924 011206-23 Please Respond Rv 02/02/06

	-	
	•	
	•	
		· .
	•••	
	••	
	•	
		ς.
	Folio	<b>OSD</b> 01849-06
		13-31-06 A11:46 JN
44440	1111 10559/OSD	/55707

January 05, 2006

TO: GEN Pete Schoomaker

CC: Gen Pete Pace

FROM: Donald Rumsfeld DA.

SUBJECT: Mel Laird's Concerns about the Army

Mel Laird expressed some concern about the Army. I think it is because he is not current. He doesn't know how much we we have put in the budget, that we are going from 33 to 42 enhanced brigades, or what we are doing in the Reserves. The Army is better than it has been in history. I think it would be helpful if you briefed Mel Laird sometime.

Thanks,

DHR 55 010506-14

Please Respond By 02/07/06

320,2

SJAN OF



UNITED STATES ARMY THE CHIEF OF STAFF

2006-02-06 409:42 . . . 33

### INFO MEMO

FOR: SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

THRU: Francis J. Harvey, Secretary of the Army

FROM: General Peter J. Schoomaker, Chief of Staff, Army2

SUBJECT: Mel Laird's Concerns about the Army

- This responds to Secretary of Defense's Snowflake dated January 5,2006, subject as above (Tab A).
- Secretary Laird was contacted through his Executive Assistant, Ms. Kathy Weaver, on Wednesday, January 25,2006, and offered **a** briefing.
- Secretary Laird called on Tuesday, January 31,2006, to thank us and advised he will let us know when he will take us up on our offer to provide a briefing.

COORDINATION: NONE

Attachments: As stated

Prepared By: COL Jerrold D. Weissinger (b)(6)

11-L-0559/OSD/55709

OSD 01851-06

#### FORO

January 05, 2006

TO: GEN Pete Schoomaker

CC: Gen Pete Pace

FROM: Donald Rumsteld R.

SUBJECT: Mel Laird's Concerns about the Army

Mel Laird expressed some concern about the Army. I think it is because he is not current. He doesn't know how much we we have put in the budget, that we are going from 33 to 42 enhanced brigades, or what we are doing in the Reserves. The Army is better than it has been in history. I think it would be helpful if you briefed Mel Laird sometime.

Thaoks.

T

1

!

Please Respond By 02/07/06

11-L-0559/OSD/55710

TO Stephen J. Hadley FROM Donald Rumsfeld **7** SUBJECT NSC Meeting on GWOT

If there is a global war on terror, why do we not have National Security Council meetings on that subject? Instead, we have meeting, after meeting on Iraq.

There must be a good reason. Possibly it's because there isn't any coordinated work going on with respect to the Global War on Terror that lends itself to briefings in meetings. If that is the case, then one would think we need to **figure** out a way to change that.

Let me know if I can be helpful.

Thanks.

DHR:0h 020406-36 (TS). Doc

bteb ob

FOUO

## OSD 01903-06

11-L-0559/OSD/55711

TO:	GEN John Abizaid		
cc:	Gen Pete Pace		
FROM:	Donald Rumsfeld		
SUBJECT	Your Memos		
Yaar memo on the infrastructure was most helpful.			

Yar piece on Somalia is most worrisome.

Keep them coming, my friend.

Thanks.

DHR.ss 020606-05

6 Feb 06

OSD 01905-06

### FOUO 11-L-0559/OSD/55712

-fouo

January 30, 2006 I-06/00/200 ES-5156

Ryan Henry TO.

CC	Dorrance Smith
	Robert Rangel
	Larry Di Rita
FROM:	Donald Rumsfeld

FROM:

SUBJECT: Presenting the QDR

Here is an e-mail from Newt Gingrich that lays out a h a d theory for presenting the QDR. Please takes look at it and see if this approach makes sense as we continue the roll-out preparations.

Thanks.

Attach. 1/20/06 Gingrich e-mails: "Making the QDR Effective in Educating People" and "QDR Powerpoint " Suggested Edits"

DHR.dt 013005-08

\_\_\_\_\_

Please Respond By February 03, 2006

TOUO

30-01-06 10:49 14

#### 11-L-0559/OSD/557പ്പു 01918-06

#### (b)(6) **CIV, OSD**

#### From: Thirdwave2 [thirdwave2@speakergingrich.com]

Sent: Friday, January 20, 2006 8 4 1 PM

To: (b)(6) CIV, OSD

Co: England, Gordon, CIV. OSD; peter.pace@js.pentagon.mil; Glambastiani, EP, ADM, VCJCS; Stavridis, James. VADM, O S 0 Di Rita. Lany, CIV. OSD

Subject: making the QDR effective in educating people-newt

### For SecDef From newt 1/20/06

As I thought about the current QDR power point what hit me was that ± is QDR centric rather than listener or reader centric.

It tells us about the QDR but it does not necessarily make clear what we should learn from it and what we should then do.

The QDR is an excuse to have a new conversation with the Congress, the news media and the American people.

It is also an opportunity to focus the members of the armed services and the civilian employees on the truly big picture.

This kind of focus cannot involve more than three to five large facts or principles.

What follows is my version and Ifully understand that the Secretary ultimately has to define the three to *five* lessons he wants the country, the Congress and the national security system to learn. However Ithought these might be a helpful starting point:

First, the world is increasingly dangerous and the dangers could threaten millions of American lives and even the very fabric of American freedom. These dangers come in three very different forms and require three very different strategies. Unfortunately the United States has to master all three to remain safe, free and the leading country on the [planet. They are:

- the long war with the Irreconcilable Wing of Islam requiring a very unconventional and in some ways cultural and educational campaign;
- 2 the threat of aggressive dictatorships getting weapons of mass destruction which could shatter America and her allies and which requires a high tech, high tempo traditional military concurrently with the efforts being made in the Long War;
- 3. the rise of China as a *scientific*, technological and economic

1/23/2006

competitor with a range of challenges which have to be met if our children and grandchildren are to have the same capacity for military

, and intelligence dominance which we have sustained since 1941.

Second, the process of meeting these **complex** challenges in a constantly evolving **world** will require a process of continuous change which guarantees that **transformation** will never be done and the system will not be able to relax and return to 'peacetime' **mindsets** and tempos in our lifetime. We will constantly be meeting new challenges and constantly find ourselves having to transform to meet those challenges.

Third, the challenges of the **21<sup>st</sup>** century both in national security and in homeland security cannot be met by the Defense Department in isolation and will require a system of integrated effort throughout the federal government with a tempo and intensity which has never before been achieved except in the

Total War psychology of the **Second** World War. Under the American Constitution this kind of integrated effort across the Executive Branch will clearly require new understanding and new involvement by the Legislative Branch.

My hunch is that these are the three most important things which if understood by the American people and their Congressional representatives (and other elements of the Executive Branch) would most move us toward the world you want to create.

In any event this is the largest systematic education opportunity you will have and it should be an education defined personally by secdef with the understanding and approval of the President.

Then it can be communicated by literally hundreds of thousands of people over the next two years.

Everything in detail in the QDR and in the documents which will follow can then be explained within these three core principles.

1/23/2006

Page 1 of 1

#### (b)(6) CiV, OSD

1.1

#### From: Thirdwave2 [thirdwave2@speakergingrich.com]

Sent: Friday. January 20, 2006 9:45 AM

- To: Di Rita, Larry, CIV, OSD
- Cc: (b)(6) CIV, OSD; England, Gordon, CIV. OSD; peter.pace@js.pentagon.mil; Giambestiani, EP, ADM, VCJCS Staviidis, James. VADM. OSD

Subject: gdr powerpoint-SUGGESTED EDITS

tedited the power point so it starts with a much more dynamic and threatening sense of the world in which the Defense Department operates.

In a number of places ladded some things which Ibelieve reflect where we are but may or may not b addable this late

I will turn now to how to drive the messages.

This power point still does not capture what I think is the core message you need to get across to the American people and the Congress which I a supposed to represent their interest and their will:

"The world remains dangerous and the threats to he United Stater are continuously evolving. The entire national security system and homeland security system has to evoke as **fast** or faster **than** our opponents. This QDR is a part of the ongoing process of modernizing our systems of survival and security and has to be matched by similar evolution across the entire government including the legislative branch. This report is one step in that evolutionary process of **transformation** and will be followed by other steps."

i will shift to working on dissemination newt

1/20/2006

## Kev Dates

- January 18 (Today) QDR submitted to printer
- January 19 SecDef/CJCS Congressional breakfast
- January 23 (Week of) Selected media backgrounders/public outreach
- January 31 President's State of the Union Message
- February 6 President's Budget to Congress
- February 7 SecDef/CJCS Senate Armed Services Committee Posture Testimony
- February 8 SecDef/CJCS House Armed Services Committee Posture Testimony
- TBD SecDef/CJCS House/Senate Appropriations Committees Testimony

PRE-DECISIONAL DRAFT ~ NOT FOR DISTRIBUTION

**.** 

11-L-0559/OSD/55717

1

- THE UNITED STATES IS IN A LONG WAR WITH TERRORISTS WHO SEEK TO KILL AMERICANS AND OUR ALLIES, IS THREATENED BY DICTATORSHIPS SEEKING TO ACQUIRE NUCLEAR WEAPONS, AND FACES THE STEADY EMERGENCE OF CHINA AS AN ECONOMIC AND SCIENTIFIC POWER: THIS QDR HAS BEEN UNDERTAKEN WITHIN THE FRAMEWORK OF THESE REALITIES---{newt}
- The Department has been in a period of continuous change for more than a decade, accelerated since 9/11:
  - Transforming toward speed, agility, precision, flexibility in force posture and decision-making
  - Transforming away from mass, concentration of forces, fixed operating locations, bureaucratic rigidity in our process
  - SHIFTING FROM BUREAUCRATIC MANAGEMENT OF THE PROCESSES OF THE PAST TOWARDS A FUTURE FOCUSED SYSTEM OF MANAGING RESOURCES TO ANTICIPATE AND SOLVE EMERGING CHALLENGES

PRE-DECISIONAL DRAFT -- NOT FOR DISTRIBUTION

- QDR will be submitted with other documents that collectively reflect the continuously changing nature of the Department:
  - National Military Strategy Risk Assessment
  - Quarterly Iraq Progress Report
  - FY2007 Budget
- Aside from the budget, these documents are Congressionally directed.

PRE-DECISIONAL DRAFT - NOT FOR DISTRIBUTION

11-L-0559/OSD/55719

• This year's QDR is the first conducted at a time of war

The FY2007 budget will reflect few specific QDR conclusions in terms of changes to programs of record

## - QDR will be more greatly reflected in FY2008 and beyond

4

PRE-DECISIONAL DRAFT - NOT FOR DISTRIBUTION

\_\_11-L-0559/OSD/55720

- The QDR reflects conclusions from QDR 2001 and lessons learned since then:
  - The 9/11 Attacks on U.S.
  - Engagement in a Global War on Terror
  - Regime change/stability ops
  - { DROP STABILITY OPERATIONS AS A TERM-WEARE NOT TRYING TO STABILIZE —WE ARE TRYING TO CUANGE MODERNIZE. DEMOCRATIZE AND IMPROVE THOSE COUNTRIES USE MODERNIZING AND DEMOCRATIZING OPERATIONS OR SOME SIMILAR TERM-newt} in Iraq and Afghanistan
  - Major Humanitarian relief operations
  - Global Posture Realignment/BRAC

PRE-DECISIONAL DRAFT - NOT FOR DISTRIBUTION

# **Preliminary DoD Themes**

- The United States is XXX-ENGAGED IN A LONG (newt) war with a dispersed, global network of violent extremists that exploits Islam to advance radical political aims.
- This enemy uses terror, propaganda, and indiscriminate violence in an attempt to exhaust the will of the United States and its allies and partners.
- The global war on terror is a struggle against violent extremists who CURRENTLY {NEWT}claim no state and hold no territory.

PRE-DECISIONAL DRAFT - NOT FOR DISTRIBUTION

## Preliminary DoD Themes

- The global war on terror poses an unconventional threat to the United States AND A THREAT WHICH COULD USE NUCLEAR OR BIOLOGICAL WEAPONS TO INFLICT CATASTROPHIC DAMAGE (newt).
- The war requires the United States military to adopt unconventional and indirect approaches AND REQUIRES INTEGRATED EFFORTS WITH OTHER ELEMENTS OF THE GOVERNMENT.
- Iraq and Afghanistan are central fronts in this war.
- The struggle against the global network of violent extremists extends beyond these two countries.
- The United States must be prepared to wage this war in many countries for many years.

PRE-DECISIONAL
DRAFT - NOT FOR
DISTRIBUTION

## Preliminaw DoD Themes

The United States faces no rival in its ability to mount a conventional military campaign.

- Investments the country has made in the conventional forces have created a military without peer in the world.
- The Department of Defense must continue to organize, train, and equip forces capable of preventing, deterring, or defeating conventional forces of nation-states.

## **Preliminary DoD Themes**

- The United States must continue to adjust in an era of:
  - Uncertainty
  - Asymmetric challenges by adversaries who cannot mount a conventional military challenge to the United States.
- The shift away from <u>size</u>, predictability, and mass toward <u>agility</u>, speed, and precision must continue to characterize the overall operations of the Department of defense.
- We must continue to improve our ability to make decisions rapidly, to shift resources quickly, and to organize as needed for discrete tasks.

PRE-DECISIONAL
DRAFT NOT FOR
DISTRIBUTION

9

## Preliminary DoD Themes

- Principal tasks of the Department continue to be:
  - Engage in the long war against terrorist extremists
  - Counter Weapons of Mass Destruction AND DICTATORIAL REGIMES SEEKING TO ACQUIRE THEM
  - Defend the Homeland
  - Help shape the choices of countries at strategic crossroads
  - ENSURE THAT THE UNITED STATES RETAINS SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNOLOGICAL LEADERSHIP FOR THE NEXT GENERATION
  - INTEGRATING ALL THE ASPECTS OF NATIONAL POWER FOR BOTH HOMELAND AND NATIONAL SECURITY

PRE-DECISIONAL DRAFT - NOT FOR DISTRIBUTION

11-L-0559/OSD/55726

10

D	0	E I	0
Ľ	0	U	σ
-	-	~	~

### INFO MEMO

	-7	
	UNDER ER O	6 2006
FC	OR SECRETARY OF DEFENSE	- 2000
FF	ROM: Ryan Henry, PD Under Secretary of Defense for Policy Auctor FEB 0 5 2006	N
st	UBJECT: Newt Gingrich's Theory for Presenting the QDR	31011
•	You recently asked if Newt Gingrich's theory for presenting the QDR makes sense as we continue roll-out preparations.	-
•	We believe Newt is correct that identifying three to five principles will facilitate the Department's conversations with Congress, the news media, and the American people, and will help them to see the big picture.	
•	Our QDR report and our outreach plan capture key elements of Newt's suggestions:	
	Newt's "three dangers" closely match the four focus areas of the ODR report.	5

- His "three dangers": long war with the irreconcilable wing of Islam; aggressive dictatorships getting WMD; and rise of China.
- QDR Focus Areas: Defeating terrorist networks, preventing hostile states and non-state actors from acquiring WMD; shaping choices of countries at strategic crossroads; and defending the homeland in depth.
- The only significant difference is that we highlight the vital importance of defending the homeland in depth.
- His emphasis on the need for continuous transformation and the importance of an integrated effort throughout the federal government were central elements in the QDR outreach plan.
- Newt's input during our focus group on 4 January 2006 was very helpful for developing our overall outreach plan.

COORDINATION: None

FOR

Prepared by: Xanthi Carras, QDR integration, (b)(6)

FOUO 11-L-0559/OSD/55727

61-32-13

FEBOL

BOTANOS

NOV 1 0 2005

060 SJ

ONOVOS

TO: Steve Bucci CC: CAPT TON MASCOLO FROM: Donald Rumsfeld 1

SUBJECT: Note and Photo for Sergey

Next time I see Sergey at a NATO meeting be sure the attached note and photo are brought along for me to give to him.

Thanks.

1 LUV

Attach Note from SecDef to Sergey Ivanov

DHR.ss 110905-07

> We can date - stamp this and make a copy for our records if you are going to hand deliver. Yel & 1/ (16)(6)

d l à OSD 01978-06

### FOUO

11-L-0559/OSD/55728



### THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE WASHINGTON

FEB 8 2006

OWSD

1

Sergey Ivanov Minister of Defense Russian Federation Moscow, Russia

Dear Sergey,

Your friend, Joyce, received the Navy shirt and hat, and she is most appreciative.

She was inspired to visit the Russia exhibit in Washington, D.C., and sends along this note and proof.



SFEB06

### 0 SD 0 1978-06



OSD 01978-06



TO:	Eric Edelman	
FROM	Donald Rumsfeld	RA.

SUBJECT: US-Afghan Strategic Parntership

Donald Rumsfeld

E

Please get back to me with a brief on the follow-up on the Afghan-US strategic partnership, and an action plan for each of the elements.

Thanks.

FROM

• • ٠

> DHR & Please Respond By 02/02/06

qJanob

FOUO

09-01-06 14:40 IN

January 09,2006

06/000 306 ES-5042

OSD 01995-06

11-L-0559/OSD/55732

FEB 2 2 2005

TO: Dorrance Smith

FROM: Donald Rumsfeld

SUBJECT: Publicizing Efforts for Wounded

I think we ought to do **a** better job of trying to publicize all the things that are being done for the wounded.

Here is a paper on the subject.

Thanks.

Attach. Undated SecArmy response to SD re: Employment for Disabled Veterans (SF#011806-09)

DHR.db 022106-23



FOUO 11-L-0559/OSD/55733 6/12/20064 50.33PM
FOUO

January 18,2006

TO: Fran Harvey Donald Winter Michael Wynne

full FROM Donald Rumsfeld

SUBJECT: Employment for Disabled Veterans

Attached is a letter I received from Dr. Henry Betts, who is a rehabilitation expert.

It makes sense to me, and it certainly is something I hope all three of you are focusing on. We have a continuing obligation to those folks who served cur country so bravely.

. .

## Thanks.

Attach. 1/4/06Betts letter to SD

DHR.A 011606-09

8 Jan 06

Sir, Maju Response strached. V/N, HGI Lensre

FEB 1 7 2006

02050-06



Rehabilitation Institute of Chicago

345 East Superior Street Chicago, Illinois 60611-4496 312 238-1000

4<sup>th</sup> January 2006

Donald Rumsfeld Secretary of Defense 1000 Defense Pentagon Washington, DC 20301-1000

Dear Don:

Thanks for your attention to this issue of the injured military personnel returning from Iraq.

As you know, I feel that the <u>final</u> goal for each of these people must be employment that is practical for them and useful to the person who hires them.

In America, self-esteem is achieved through jobs more than any other place and I believe that, above all, these people deserve the opportunity to develop a sense of usefulness and being participatory based on their ability, not their disability.

This is <u>very</u> hard to achieve and for people with disabilities in general it is taking an awful lot of work with the "private sector," employers and the motivation of counselors and human resource people who find that what is demanded is a fair amount of sophistication in dealing with these complex issues.

I was glad to see you and Joyce at Alan Reich's funeral.

It was certainly carried out beautifully and Alan deserved such a sendoff.

Sincerely,

Henry Betts, MD. Past Medical Director/President/CEO Rehabilitation Institute of Chicago

HBB/mg

SECRETARY OF DEFENSE 301 JULY OF DEFENSE

OSD 00668-06



### SECRETARY OF THE ARMY WASHINGTON

**INFO MEMO** 

2006-02-38 A09:33

FOR: SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

FROM: Francis J. Harvey

SUBJECT: U.S. Army Wounded Warrior Program (AW2)

- This responds to Secretary of Defense's Snowflake dated January 18,2006, Subject: Employment for Disabled Veterans.
- AW2 develops methods and strategies for identifying and coordinating with organizations across the employment spectrum that will provide employment opportunities to seriously wounded Soldiers and Veterans.
- Seriously wounded Soldiers are provided every opportunity to continue to contribute to the Nation through retention in the Army or employment as a Department of the Army Civilian.
- The AW2 is actively engaged in leveraging both Federal and private sector employers to actively recruit and employ seriously injured Soldiers and Veterans through a series of coordinated initiatives (See Attachment A).

COORDINATION: NONE

Attachments: As stated

Prepared by: LTC Douglas L. Flohr. (b)(6)

11-L-0559/OSD/55736

OSD 02050-06

### **INFORMATION PAPER**

AHRC-PDZ-AW2 30 January 2006

ļ

ī.

### SUBJECT: U.S. Army Wounded Warrior Program (AW2)

1. PURPOSE. Provide an AW2 Employment Update to G1.

2. BACKGROUND. SecDef note to the Service Secretaries dated January 18, 2006 advises them that SecDef is concerned with providing Soldiers practical and useful employment.

### 3. DISCUSSION.

a. The U.S. Army Wounded Warrior Program employs a Labor Liaison Specialist whose primary mission is to link Soldiers with meaningful employment opportunities. AW2 develops methodology and strategies for identifying and connecting with organizations at the federal, state, local and private sector who want to provide employment opportunities to seriously injured Soldiers /Veterans. To date, AW2 has partnered integrated with five Cabinet Secretaries (Defense, Homeland Security, Labor, Transportation, and Veterans Administration) and two principal government agencies (Social Security Administration and the FBI). Additionally, AW2 is integrated with the Army Career and Alumni Program (ACAP) and have identified 70 employers who have signed up to hire our disabled Soldiers (encl).

b. The Army is committed to ensuring its Wounded Warriors are provided every opportunity to seek useful, meaningfuljobs in the Army or as DA Civilians. The Continue on Active Duty or Continue on Active Reserve (COAD/COAR) processes allow Soldiers to continue their military careers should they desire to do so. As of this date, 14 Soldiers have elected this option and are performing meaningful jobs. AW2 continues to work with Civilian Personnel Operations Centers to assist in the employment of Wounded Warriors.

c. Numerous initiatives are underway by both Federal and private sector employers to actively recruit and employ seriously injured Soldiers. For example:

- Defense Dept of Army Soldier Transition to Army Civilians (S-TAC) program is established for Soldier career transition into the Federal work force. Effectively uses current appointment authorities to hire specifically-targeted\* 30 percent seriously injured veterans (allows for non-competitive appointments).
- Defense Army Material Command "Always a Soldier" Program focuses on assisting our nations wounded veterans after their selfless service to our nation and allies and currently employs more than a dozen Wounded Warriors. The goal of the program is to provide continuing support to War-fighters beyond their active duty service. The program provides service-connected seriously injured veterans opportunities to seek employment, career advancement, job mobility, family economic well being, and greater financial security. Employment includes Wage

Grade positions involving trades and labor, The Student Career Education **Program** (SCEP) providing job experience and related education,

- Internship programs providing monitored or supervised work/training experience with learning goals, and Various series GS full performance positions
- Transportation The American Hero Support Program (AHSP) is an intern program designed to match Soldiers into "blue" and "white" collar jobs ranging from generalist to highly technical in every state and local community across America. It supports both DoD and Veterans Affairs efforts to introduce and acclimate seriously injured Soldiers/Veterans into the Federal work force.
- Veterans Affairs The "Vet IT" program goal is to expose seriously injured service members, who are in the process of being discharged from Walter Reed Army Medical Center, to VA's information technology programs, support them with mentoring and training in the IT field, and open new career paths for them. For, despite their injuries and disabilities, they are ready to retake their rightful places in the workplace and get on with the business of life.

d. The Army Wounded Warrior Program is actively participating in conferences, programs, and initiatives designed to promote and facilitate seriously injured Soldier/Veteran employment initiatives. For example:

- The VA Employment Coalition for America's Veterans "Fulfilling the Commitment Coming Home to Work Conference (Oct 05). Purpose of the conference was to introduce the model for the VA's initiative "Fulfilling the Commitment – Coming Home to Work." The focus is to reduce the unemployment numbers for recently separated veterans and bring all career/job information in to one easily accessible place – web-site.
- The Walter Reed Army Community Hospital (WRAMC) 'TEAMS" (Transition Employment Assistance Management Service) is a One-Stop transition assistance center that delivers comprehensive employment/transition assistance to service members and their families. This is a joint effort between AW2, WRAMC and the Army Career and Alumni Program (ACAP).

e. AW2 has partnered with over 20 corporate America sponsors who have extended employment opportunities for seriously injured Soldiers. Among them:

- SBC Communications, in concert with the "Sentinels of Freedom" provided four years of employment training, transportation, education, and community support to two AW2 Soldier and has offered the same opportunity to a third AW2 Soldier.
- Lockheed Martin provides meaningful and rewardingjob opportunities for seriously injured American veterans. They offer entry-level InformationTechnology analysts working for the Lockheed Martin Service Desk Home-based, part-time employment

## AHRC-PDZ-AW2 SUBJECT: U.S. Army Wounded Warrior Program (AW2)

within the continental United States. LM provides the Soldier/Veteran with all required IT equipment, training on Lockheed Martin specific systems, systems access, appropriate software and office supplies, and repair and maintenance of equipment.

- f. The Army Career and Alumni Program (ACAP).
  - ACAP is integrated with the U.S. Army Wounded Warrior Program (AW2) to provide support to those Soldiers who have become seriously injured in service to their country. Having been in existence since 1990, ACAP works in concert with all Federal agencies to meet the needs of our seriously injured Soldiers who want the chance to work, and match the employers who want to actively recruit them. ACAP is effective in providing transition benefits counseling and employment assistance training to all Soldiers separating and retiring.
  - In February 2006, the Army will open an ACAP Center at Walter Reed Army Medical Center to offer our Solders and their family members transition services.
  - To reach out to employers ACAP also created a web site to enable employers interested in hiring seriously injured Soldiers to advertise their vacancies and to allow Soldiers to access the employer websites during their job search by logging on to <u>www.aw2soldierconnection.armv.mil</u>. AW2 has created the employment connection feature of its program which joins well with its long term commitment to maintain communication with our seriously injured Soldiers. The Army recognizes our obligation to our brave Soldiers and their families who continue to support them.

g. The U.S. Army Wounded Warrior Program is committed to continuous process improvement. We actively engage with Soldiers who are separating to ensure we have done everything we can to assist them with finding employment. We collect information from Soldiers on why they have not sought employment to ensure we have done everything we can to meet their expectations. We continue to find innovative solutions to Soldier employment needs, adhering to the warrior ethos of "Never Leave a Fallen Comrade".

Mary Carstenses (b)(6) Approved by: C7 UAL OWN E. ERIC PORTER Brigadier General, USA The Adjutant General

L

FOUO

S HE NOU 5.7 -> 11 4 1B 4007 E February 06,2006

TO: Robert Wilkie



SUBJECT: Letter from Susan Collins

Please give me a copy of the December 16 letter firm Susan Collins to me asking for a review of Hurricane Katrina preparation and response.

Thanks.

FROM:

Please Respond By 02/08/06

A

8

06

FOUO

OSD 02094-06

11-L-0559/OSD/55740





February 15,2006

2/21

RR

TO: Robert Rangel

FROM: Donald Rumsfeld

SUBJECT: The Response to Susan Collins

Attached *is* my memo to Wilke and his *response*. Where is the letter we sent to Susan Collins responding in full? If we have done a good job, let's tell them.

Thanks.

Attach 2/6/06 SecDef Snowflake to Robert Wilkie and response.

DHR.55 021506-10

Please Respond By February 21,2006

- Closed verbally @ standup

5 FEBOG)

UUG 92



11-L-0559/OSD/55741

FOUO



### THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

WASHINGTON, DC 20301-1300 INFO MEMO THE WENCE

80,92

February 8,2006, 5:00P.M.

## FOR: SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

FROM: Robert Wilkie, Acting Assistant Secretary of Defetter fl. Willie

SUBJECT: Snowflake Response-Letter from Senator Susan Collins, #020606-28

- Senator Susan Collins' December 16, 2005 letter to you expressed disappointment about DoD's responsiveness to the Homeland Security and Government Affairs Committee (HSGAC) investigation on Hurricane Katrina. Specifically, she stated that the DoD had stopped interviews of DoD witnesses and that we had been less than forthcoming in delivering documents.
- In large part, the Department has been responsive to committee's investigation:
  - o Over 246,000 pages of documents, including electronic mail and other correspondence of senior DoD leaders.
  - Subsequent to her December 16 letter, we restarted the interview process.
    Nineteen DoD personnel have testified before Congress and 57 DoD personnel have been made available for interviews by congressional staff.
  - On January 13, Mr. Tom Eldridge, the Senior Majority Counsel on the committee, confirmed that the Department had demonstrated "increased responsiveness to the committee's requests for documents and interviews."
  - On February 3, Deputy Secretary of Defense England and NORTHCOM Commander ADM Keating met with Senator Collins personally to discuss the DoD response to Hurricane Katrina Senator Collins complemented DoD for its cooperation with her and its overall response to Katrina.
- With regard to document production, a few high-level executive branch documents containing legal advice, deliberative communications, or predecisional matters are being reviewed by OGC; no final decisions have been made to withhold them. We continue to work with the committee on this issue.

Attachments: Snowflake # 020606-28 Senator Collins Letter SECDEF Response

Prepared by: LTC Roger D. Carstens/OSD (LA) (b)(6)

OSD 02094-06

140 STEVENS, ALASKA GLORGE V VOINTOVICH, OHIO NORM COLLENAR, MANIESTA TOM COSURA, OXLAHOMA INCOM CHARGE RINDOR ISLAND ROBERT I DENNET, UTAM PHI-E COLRANGE, HYM GREICO JOAN WARNER, VIRGINIA

۵

JOSETH LLIEBERAAM, COANCCTICL T CARLEIVIN MICHGAN DANIE, KARKA NAWARI THOMAS R CARFER, DELAMMRI NARK DAYTON, MINIE SOLA FRANK LAVITINE RIG, ANW JERSEN NARK PAPOR ARKANEAS

MICHARE O BOFF STAFF DIRECTOR AND CHIFF COUNSEL JOYCE A RECHTSCHAFFEN ME ONTY STAFF DIRECTOR AND COUNSEL

# Hnited States Senate

COMMITTEE ON HOMELAND SECURITY AND GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS \*

WASHINGTON. DC 20510-6250

December 16.2005

The Honorable Donald Rumsfeld Secretary Department of Defense Washington, DC 20301

Dear Mr. Secretary

We are seeking your intervention to accelerate the slow pace with which the Department of Defense, other than the **Army** Corps of Engineers, is responding to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs' request for interviews and documents regarding the Department's response to Hurricane Katrina. As of this date. we have received few of the documents we requested. Our efforts to set up and conduct complete interviews with a number of Department witnesses are meeting significant obstacles. Key witnesses have been instructed not to answer certain questions and, most recently, specific interview requests were **denied**. The Committee believes it is necessary to obtain complete and candid testimony from the entire range of Department personnel involved in the Katrina relief effort if the Congress and the American people are to get the full story of the adequacy of the Department's response to this disaster. Should the Department continue to fail to produce documents in a timely manner, or to make the scheduling of interviews contingent upon the Committee agreeing to the Department's terms regarding access to transcripts, the Committee will issue formal subpoenas compelling the testimony of DOD personnel and the production of documents. We would much prefer a cooperative approach.

With respect to the Committee's September 28 request for documents, to date the Department has produced only the Kauina-relaced EXORDS, previously released briefings, some Joint Task Force Katrina Commander's Situation Reports, and a few additional briefing slides and summaries. It is troubling that, although the Department informed the Committee on November 4 that it planned to *issue* a data call in order to gather the documents responsive to the Committee's September request, the formal data call was not actually issued until November 17. We do not understand why the Department delayed in taking this basic step to respond to the Committee's request. We are furtherconcerned over the possibility – as mentioned by Department representatives to our Committee staff – that internal Department review of key responsive documents, as well as potential multiple layers of external review, could add further delays to the actual production.

••

OSD 24637-05

#### Page 2 of 3

We understand that the Department has concerns about the potential volume of responsive documents. For that reason, our staff has been working with the Department to prioritize and narrow our request, as well as accept a rolling production of documents.

Already, the failure to produce key documents **has** prolonged several interviews. Additionally, the eventual, belated production of key documents could make it necessary to re-interview personnel. **These are** inefficient **uses** of Committee and Department resources, and may unnecessarily extend our investigation.

Much to our dismay, this week Departmental representatives informed the Committee staff that the Department will not schedule any **more** witness interviews until **the** Committee either provides the Department with a copy of the Committee's transcript of a given interviewee or agrees to permit the Department to record the same. Given the Department's non-responsiveness to our initial document request, we believe it is somewhat incongruous, to say the least, for the Department to begin imposing demands for documents upon this Committee. Although the Department later partially reversed course and is now allowing us to schedule interviews, it is nevertheless warning our staff that it may not allow those interviews to go forward unless it obtains an agreement to receive the transcripts of them.

Under the Committee and Senate Rules, the Department has no right of access to transcripts of formal depositions conducted by *the* Committee. To **date**, we have conducted interviews of DOD witnesses in a more informal manner — without a **subpoena** compelling attendance or document production, allowing Departmental attorneys to be present, and permitting Departmental personnel to inspect **the** transcripts in our offices— in **part** to accommodate **the** Department's concerns. However, **if the** Department refuses to permit its personnel to be interviewed under the current, less formal **arrangement**, the Committee will not hesitate to proceed to more formal means. Should **this** occur, the Committee would have the authority to impose more restrictive conditions upon Departmental participation in **these** interviews and more restrictive access to the transcripts.

Two and one-half months after our initial request. and more than one and one-half
 months after our initial deadline, we must now insist that you immediately expedite a meaningful document production and provide us with a timeline to which you intend to adhcrc in your rolling response. We also ask that you immediately tell us whether you have withheld or intend to withhold any particular documents or classes of documents and the specific justifications for such actions.

Moreover, if the Department intends to continue instructing its personnel to decline to answer particular inquiries. we ask that you describe the areas of inquiry you believe to be off limits. and explain why you believe you are permitted to shield such information from the Committee, which through this investigation is exercising its traditionally and constitutionally broad Congressional oversight authority.

### Page 3 of 3

We also want to clarify one item in the September 28 request. In item #28, the Committee requested "any documents that are related to the readiness of National Guard units to respond to Hurricane Katrina or similar natural disasters." The Department's response to this item should include any documents prepared by or possessed within the National Guard Bureau. the Office of Assistant Secretary of Defense for Reserve Affairs, or the Office of Assistant Secretary of Defense for Homeland Defense, concerning the effect of overseas deployments of National Guard personnel or equipment on the materiel. manpower and personnel resources, readiness. training, and mobilization related to the homeland defense mission of the Department or any of the missions of the National Guard.

This Committee is deeply committed to its mission of investigating the government's preparedness for and response to Hurricane Katrina so that it can inform the American people and recommend any actions necessary to ensure that government performs better in the event of another tragedy of Katrina's dimensions. We are hopeful that we can discharge our duty in this matter without encountering a clearly avoidable and nnnecessary inter-branch confrontation. If you share that goal, as we hope you do, please ensure that the Department immediately resumes providing Department personnel for interviews and begins a meaningful document production this week.

If you or your **staff** have any questions concerning this **request**, please feel **free** to contact us or have your **staff** contact Tom Eldridge of **the** Committee's majority staff at 202-224-4751, or Den Berkovitz of **the** Committee's minority staff at 202-224-2627.

l'hank you for your time and attention.

Chairman

Sincerely,

eberman oseph I

Ranking Member



### THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE WASHINGTON

January 3,2006

The Honorable Susan M. Collins Chairman Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs United States Senate Washington, DC 20510

Dear Madam Chairman:

Thank you for your letter dated December 16<sup>th</sup> regarding your Committee's review of the federal response to Hurricane Katrina. This letter was received by my office on December:**2** 

I understand that Paul McHale has been working closely with your staff to address the various issues raised in your letter.

With best wishes,

Sincerely,

64

16 Dear

0 SD 24637-85

FOUO

TO: Dan Stanley

FROM: Donald Rumsfeld **P**A.

SUBJECT: List of Invited Members

On the items that I have checked on the attached memo, please indicate the number of people we invited to those sessions. Those listed are only the people who came, and we invited a much larger number. It was their choice not to come.

Thanks.

Attach 1/17/06 ASD (LA) memo to SecDef

DHR.ss 012306-13 Please Respond By 02/09/06

FOUO

02098-06

11-L-0559/OSD/55747

x P.D.		
Provide State		
1/20	THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DE WASHINGTON, DC 20301-1300	TENSE THE YE
		50 // m/ nu n + +
LEGISLATIVE	INFO MEMO	AN (2011)21 21 111 11: 47
AFFAIRS	Jan	uary 17,20065:00 PM
A FOR:	SECRETARY OF DEFENSE	
FROM:	Daniel R. Stanley, Assistant Secretary of ( (Legislative Affairs)(b)(6)	Defense The Ser len
SUBJECT:	Congressional Contacts for 2005 by the S	ecretary of Defense
while	g the calendar year 2005, the Senate was in se the House was in session for a total of 139 day se had at least 78 events with Members of Co	ys. The Secretary of
Vice C CENT Senato House before	ecretary of Defense conducted fifteen briefing Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of <b>Staff</b> , some of COM Commander or other DoD witnesses, a ors, two before the Senate <b>Armed</b> Services <b>Co</b> e members, two before the House Armed Services expected e select members via SVTC at the White House ecretary of Defense hosted 12 breakfasts with	which included the s follows: four for all m <b>mittee</b> , three for all rices Committee and four se.
	presentatives.	a total of 50 Senators and
• The Se Chain	ecretary of Defense met with Chairman Warn <b>man</b> Hunter at least <b>4</b> times.	er at least 10 times and
	onally, the Secretary of Defense conducted of t 26 Senators and 114 Representatives.	her personal meetings with
Acree Comn Senate	ecretary of Defense testified ten times: three t d Services Committee, four times before the H nittee, once before the Senate Appropriations ( e Appropriations Subcommittee on Defense an opriations Subcommittee on Defense.	Iouse Armed Services Committee, once before the
- A com	y of this summary is attached at <b>Tab</b> A.	SPO 1/20
		MASD 173 SMADSD TSASD MAILED SADSD
cc: Deputy Secr		DELSEC /11/20 1335 1/2 370
Prepared by: MC	GySgt Sue Ann Hines-Laboy, OSD/LA <sup>(b)(6)</sup>	BER 00026 06
		<b>0\$D</b> 00926-06

11-L-0559/OSD/55748

Date	Event	Location	Members Attending	<b>Other</b> DOD attendees	Duration
Jan <b>6</b>	Breakfast	Pentagon	DeMint, Cobum, Thune, Isakson, Vitter, Martinez, Obama, Salazar	DepSecDef, CJCS, Harvey, England, Moore, DiRita,	1 hr
Jan 19	Meeting – House Freshmen Orientation	Pentagon	Barrow, Bean, Boustany, Conaway, Costa, Cuellar, Dent, Drake, Fitzpatrick, Fortenberry, Fortuno, Foxx, Jindal, Kuhl, Lungren, McCaul, McHenry, McMorris, Marchant, Melancon, Price, Reichert, Schwartz, Wasserman- Schultz	Harvey, England, Teets, Wynne, Jonas, Chu, Cambone, Moore, Gen. Moseley, Lt.Gen. Schwartz, Di Rita, Geren, Stanley, Esperne, Broome	lh r
Jan 20	The Michigan Society Inaugural Ball	American History Museum	Dingell, Upton		<b>30</b> mins
Jan 20	Congressional Elected Leadership Ball	Library of Congress	Сох		
Ian. 26	Briefing - Ops Intel	2118 RHOB	HASC: 48 Members	Abizaid	2 hrs.
[an. 26	Briefing - Ops Intel	222 Russell	SASC: 23 Senators	Abizaid	2 hrs.
Jan 26	Dinner – iho Combatani Commanders	Café Milano	DeLay, Stevens	Schoomaker, Clark, Jumper, Hagee, Abizaid, Jones, Giambastiani, Craddock, Fargo, Keating, Brown, Cartwright, Handy, LaPorte	1 hr
fan. 28	Breakfast	Pentagon	Reid	CJCS, Moore	<b>45 mins</b> .
Feb 2	Majority Leader's Reception post State of the Union	Capitol	Frist		lhr
Feb 3	Breakfast – Nat'l Prayer	Washington Hilton	Hastert, Wolf		30 mins

## SECDEF Contact with Members of Congress (for CY 2005)

.

Feb 7	Lunch – Budget brief	Pentagon	Senators: Wamer, Levin, Stevens,	DepSec, Harvey, England,	1 ¼ hr
1007	Luich - Budget oner	rentagon	Inouye, Cochran	Teets, CJCS, Wynne,	1 74 114
			Representatives: Hunter, Skelton.	Feith, Jonas, Chu,	
			Obcy	Cambone, Pace,	
			,	Schoomaker, Clark,	
				Jumper, Hagee, Stanley,	
				DiRita, Willard, Espeme,	
				Broome	
Feb 8	Breakfast – Iraq elections and	Pentagon	Senators: Allard, Allen, Bennett,	DepSec, CJCS, Harvey,	1 hr
	Security Forces	-	Bond, Chambliss, Graham, Comyn,	Jonas, Chu, Schoomaker,	
			Huthchison, Jnhofe, Isakson, Kyl,	Stanley, DiRita, Willard,	
			Lugar, McConnell, Sessions,	Broome	
			Shelby, Smith, Talent, Thune		
Feb 15	Breakfast - Iraq elections and	Pentagon	Representatives: Blackburn, Carter.	DepSee, Harvey, England,	1 hr
	Iraqi Security forces		"Duke" Cunningham, Granger,	CJCS, Jonas, Schoomaker,	
			Leach, Marshall, Putnam, Ros-	Stanley, Haynes, DiRita,	
			Lehtinen, Ryun, Tumer, Wicker	Dubois, <b>Espene</b>	
Feb 16	Hearing – Posture Overview	2118 RHOB	HASC – 62 Members	CJCS, Jonas, Chu, Stanley,	3 hrs
	5			Geren, Espeme	
Feb 16	Hearing – Supplemental	106 Dirkson	SAC: Kohl, Durbin, Cochran,	CJCS, Jonas, Stanley,	2 hrs
			Dorgan, Mikulski, Harkin, Inouye,	Geren, Espeme	
			Brownback, Feinstein, Domenici,		
			Murray, Allard, Leahy, Burns, Byrå,		
			Stevens		
Feb 17	Hearing – Posture Overview	<b>216</b> Hart	SASC: Ensign, Warner, Levin,	CJCS, Jonas, Stanley,	3 hrs
	-		McCain, Kennedy, Inhofe,	Geren, Broome	
			Lieberman, Collins, Reed, Clinton,		
			Thune, B. Nelson, Chambliss		

\*\*\*\*\*

Feb 17	Hearing – Posture Overview	2359 RHOB	HAC-D: Young, Hobson, Bonilla, Cunningham, Frelinghuysen, Tialut, Wicker, Kingston, Lewis, Granger,	CJCS, Jonas, Stanley, Gcren, Esperne	2 hrs
			Murtha, Dicks, Sabo, Visclosky, Moran, Kaptur, Obey		
Mar 8	Dinner	SecDef Residence	Thune, Kyl		1 hr
Mar 9	Briefing - Ops Intel	Capitol S-407	Senate: 43 Members	CJCS	1 ½ hrs
Mar 10	Hearing – Posture Review continued from <b>2/16</b>	2118 <b>RHOB</b>	HASC: Hunter, Bartlett, Saxton, Hefley, J. Wilson, Akin, McMorris, Ryun, Schwarz, Drake, Franks, Kline, G. Davis, Conaway Skelton, Taylor, Abererombie, Snyder, McIntyre, S. Davis, Langevin, Cooper, <b>Mastrall</b> , Ryan, McKinney, Boren	CJCS, Jonas, Chu, Stanley, Gener, DiRita, Stavridis, Esperne	3 hrs
Mar 15	Breakfast – Nuclear issues	Pentagon	Hobson	Sec. Bodman (DoE), Cambone, Wynne, Henry, Stanley, Klein, Cartwright	1 <b>h</b> r
Apr <b>5</b>	Dinner		Cochran. Martinez	Cambone, O'Beime, Moseley	1 hr
Apr 7	Lunch	Pentagon	Warner	CICS, Chu, <b>Stanley</b>	1 hr
Apr 20	Briefing – Ops Intel	2118 RHOB	HASC: 80 members	CJCS	1 ½ hrs
Apr 2]	Breakfast – Ops Intel	Pentagon	Sens: Warren, Levin, Stevens. Reps: Hunter, Skelton	CJCS, Jonas, Cambone, O'Connell, Stanley Kostiw (CIA)	ł hr
April <b>27</b>	Hearing	192Dirksen	SAC-D: Bond, Burns, Byrd, Cochran, Gregg, Feinstein, Inouye, Sheiby, Specter, St	CJCS	2 hrs
April 27	Meeting	Capitol H-405	J. Lewis, Obey, Yo Murtha,	Cambone, Stanley	<b>45</b> mins

April 27	Meeting	Cannon <b>H-407</b>	P. Kennedy	Stanley, Stavridis	30 mins
April 29	Farewell Ceremony	Pentagon	Warner, Coleman	DepSec, Harvey, England, OSD A-List	1 ½ hrs
May 3	Reception – Japanese Diet Members	Capitol <b>S-211</b>	Dicks, Kirk, Tiahrt, Cole. G. Davis. Boehlert, J Wilson, L. Davis, Simmons, Bordallo	CJCS, Henry, Lawless, Flory, O'Bering	1 ½ hrs
May <b>16</b>	Dinner – iho Paul Wolfowitz	SecDef's Residence	Sens Lieberman & Shelby Rep Marshall	England (Acting DepSec), Wolfowitz, Combatant Commanders	1 hr
May 18	Briefing – Ops Intel	Capitol S-407	SASC: 27 members	CJCS, Abizaid	1 ½ hrs
May 18	Meeting – Surety Issue	Capitol <b>S-407</b>	Warner	Acting DepSec, Haynes, Stanley	30 mins
May 19	Reception iho Ambassadors: Costa Rica, Dominican Republic, El Salvador, Guatemala, Hondorus, Nicaragua	SecDefs Residence	Reps: Blackburn, Bartlett, Hinojosa Sen Sessions	Maurer, Craddock, Field	1 ½ hrs
May 27	Lunch	Pentagon	Rep S. Johnson	Stanley	30 mins
June 15	Meeting	225 Russell	Warner	Stanley	45 mins
Jun <b>16</b>	Breakfast - <b>successes</b> in Global War <b>on</b> Тептог	Pentagon	Hunter, Granger, Gerlach, Wilsor, Ros-Lethinen, Reichart, Kline, McCaul, <b>Davis</b> , Miller, Poc, Tancredo	England, Feith, <b>Henry,</b> Abell, Stanley, DiRita, Rangel, Geren	1 hr
Jun 23	Hearing – Iraq issues	325 Russell	SASC: 24 members	<b>CJCS</b> , Abizaid, Casey, DiRita, Rangel, Broome	3 hrs
Jun 23	Hearing - Iraq issues	2118 RHOB	HASC: 49 members	CJCS, Abizaid, Casey, Rangel, Stanley, <b>Ruff</b>	3 hrs
Jun 27	Dinner	The Occidental Restaurant	<i>Sen</i> Comyn Reo Ros-Lehtinen	VCJCS, Feith, Krieg, Rodman, Admiral Willard, Gen Maples	1 ½ hrs

-

յս]   1	Lunch	Pentagon Pentagon	G. Davis	Harvey, Rangel, Stanley	30 mins
Jul <b>19</b>	Breakfast	Pentag8n	Skelton	Stanley, Rangel	45 mins
Jul 21	Falk Show – GWOT, GTMO, BRAC, C-130 J	Pentagon	Chambliss	Broome	<b>50</b> mins
Aug 3	Award Ceremony	Dallas, TX	Rco. S. Reyes		15 mins
Aug 22	Dinneriho Gen Jumper		Sen Chambliss	Gen Jumper	l hr
Sep 6	Briefing – Relief efforts post Hurricane Katrina	Capitol S-407	All Senate members	CJCS, Stanley, McHale, LTG Blum, LTG Strock	1 hr 20 mins
Sep 6	Briefing – Relief efforts post Hurricanc Katrina	Capitol – House Floor	All House members	CJCS, Stanley, McHale, LTG Blum, LTG Strock	3 hrs
	Radio Day	Pentagon	Rep M. Blackbum		15 mins
sep 11	Frædom Walk	Arlington/DC	Sens: Stevens, Allen Rep: Blackburn	Dubois, DiRita, Stavridiews, Bucci	2 hrs
Sep 21	Briefing - Ops Intel	2118 RHOB	All House Members	CJCS, VCJCS, Stanley, Ambassador Jeffries (DoS)	1 ½ hrs
sep 21	Briefing - Ops Intel	Capitol S-407	All Senate Members	CJCS, VCJCS, Stanley, Ambassador Jeffries (DOS)	1 ½ hrs
<b>Sep</b> 26	Dinner – iho CJCS Myers		Sens Sessions and Brownback. Rep Skelton		1 hr
sep 28	Briefing – Ops Intel	Capitol <b>S-407</b>	Senate	VCJCS, Stanley, Abizaid. Casey	1 ½ hrs
<b>Sep</b> 28	Briefing – GWOT	21 <b>72</b> RHOB	House – 10members	VCJCS, Stanley, Abizaid. Casey, DiRita, Rangel	1 ½ hrs
Sep 29	Meeting	Capitol <b>H-405</b>	M. Rogers	Cambone, Abizaid	30 mins
Sep 29	Leadership		Reps: Hunter, Hyde, Kirk, Thornberry, Kline, Cole, Blackbum, T. Davis, Capito, Marshall, <b>Boren</b> Sens: Warner, Kyl, Bums, Martinez	Stanley, Cambone,	1¼ hrs
Sep 29	Hearing • U.S. military strategy in Iraq/Afghanistan	1 <b>06</b> Dirksen	SASC Warner, <b>McCain,</b> Inhofe, Sessions, Ensign, Collins, Comyn, Talent, Thune, Graham, Chambliss, Levin, Reed, Akaka, Dayton,	CJCS, Stanley, Rangel, Abizaid, Casey	3 hrs

			E. Nelson, Clinton, Kennedy, Lieberman, Bayh, B. Nelson,		
Sep 29	Hearing - U.S. military strategy in Iraq/Afghanistan	2118 RHOB	HASC	Stanley, Rangel, Abizaid, Casey	3 hrs
Oct 6	Meeting - BRAC	Pentagon	Domenici	Stanley	15 mins
Oct 7	Meeting · Posse Comitatus	Pentagon	Skelton	Stanley, Rangel	30 mins
Oct 11	Town Hall Meeting	Mac Dil AFB, FL	Martinez		15 mins
Oct 11	Reception	Miami	Reps Ros Lehtinen and Diaz-Balart		20 mins
Oct 27	Breakfast – FY06 Def Approps Conference	Capito S-238	Sen Stevens	CJCS, Stanley, Rangel	1 hr
Oct 27	Meeting – Iraq/Afghanistan	Capitol H-309	Wolf	CJCS, Rangel	<b>20</b> mins
Oct 27	Meeting - Courtesy call	Capitol H-329	Blunt	CJCS, Stanley, Rangel	30 <b>mins</b>
Oct 27	Meeting	Capitol	Kirk	Rangel	S mins
Nov 3	House US China Working	2360 RHOB	Kirk, Larsen, Kuhl, Johnson, Dent,	Stanley	50 mins
			Boozman, Boustany		
Nov 3	Budget		Hastert	Pace, Stanley	30 mins
Nov 30		•	Sen Warner		1 hr
Dec S	Congressional Ball	White House	Over 250 members in attendence		1 hr
Dec <b>6</b>	Dinner - SEDM	American History	Sessions		1 hr
Dec <b>8</b>	Breakfast	Pentagon	Lieberman	CJCS, Stanley	<b>45</b> mins

•

.

Dec 8	Bricfing	White House	Representatives: Hastert, Blunt, Pryce, Shadegg, Kingston, Reynolds, DeLay, Pence, Hunter, Hoekstra, Young, Kirk	CJCS, Generals Casey and Abizaid (via SVTS)	45 mins
Dec <b>8</b>	Meeting – Progress in Iraq	Cannon 440	Granger, Blackburn, S. Johnson, Marshall, Tiahrt, Thornberry	Stanley	30 mins
Dec 8	Meeting • Progress in Iraq	2242 RHOB	Shuster, Kingston, Rehberg	Stanley, Rangel	30 mins
Dec 13	Briefing – Wer: on Terror	White House	Senators: Frist, McConnell, Stevens, Santorum, Warner, Lugar, Cochran, Roberts, Chambliss, Allen, Sessions	CJCS, Gen Casey (via SVTS)	45 mins
Dec 14	Briefing – <b>War on Terror</b>	White House	Reps Andrews, Berman, Bishop, Boren, Boswell, Cramer, Cuellar, Dicks, Harman, Herseth, Israel, Lantos. Marshall, Matheson, Moore.	CJCS, Gen Casey (via SVTS)	45 mins
Dec 14	Briefing	White ise	Senators: (Lieberman, Biden, Carper, Clinton, Coleman, Domenici, Graham, McCain, Nelson, Pryor, Snowe, Thune, Wyden	CJCS, Gen Casey (via SVTS)	45 mins

Total – 78 Breakfasts – 12 Meetings <sup>-</sup> 14

.

Hearings<sup>-</sup> 10 Lunches- 4 Briefings – 15 Dinner – 8



### OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

1000 DEFENSE PENTAGON WASHINGTON, DC 20301-1000



' <u>5</u>: 03

## INFO MEMO

February 3,2006 5:00 PM

## FOR: SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

FROM: Robert Wilkie, Acting Assistant Secretary of Defense (Legislative Affairs (b)(6)

St. W

SUBJECT: Snowflake Response - List of Invited Members

You wanted to know the number of Members who were invited to breakfasts during 2005 that were hosted by the Secretary of Defense.

- During 2005, the Secretary of Defense hosted 12 Congressional breakfasts and invited a total of 54 Senators and 91 Representatives. Of these, 18 Senators and 50 Representatives were regrets.
- A copy of this summary is attached at Tab A.

Attachment: Summary of Breakfast attendees (TAB A) Snowflake #012306-13 (TAB B)

Prepared by: MGySgt Sue Ann Hines-Laboy OSD/LA(b)(6) FEDEBALRECYCLING PROGRAM PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER 11-L-0559/OSD/55756 OSD 02098-06

## SECDEF BREAKFASTS WITH MEMBERS OF CONGRESS (CY 2005)

DATE	OCCASION	LOCATION	ACCEPTS	REGRETS	DoD in Attendance	Time
6-Jan	Breakfast	Pentagon	Sens: DeMint, Coburn, Thune, Isakson, Vitter, Martinez, Obama, Salazar	Sen: Burr	DepSecDef, CJCS, Harvey, England, Moore, DiRita,	1 hr
28-Jan	Breakfast	Pentagon	Sen: Reid	None	CJCS, Moore	15 inins
3-Feb	Breakfast – Nat'l Prayer	Washington Hilton	Reps: Hastert, Wolf	N/A		30 inins
8-Feb	Breakfast Iraq elections and Security Forces	Pentagon	Sens: Allard, Allen, Bennett, Bond, Chamhliss, Graham, Cornyn, Huthchison, Inhofe, Isakson, Kyl, Lugar, McConnell, Sessions, Shelby, Smith, Talent,	Sens: Alexander, Burns,Cochran, Craig, Dole, Ensign, Frist, Hatch, Lieberman, Murkowski, <b>Nelson,</b> Roberts, Santorum, Warner	DepSec, CJCS, Harvey, Jonas Chu, Schoomaker, Stanley, DiRita, Willard, Broome	1 hr
15-Feb	Breakfast - Iraa elections and Iraqi Security forces	Pentagon	Reps: Blackburn, Carter, "Dukc" Cunningham, Granger, Leach, Marshall, Putnam, Ros-Lehtinen, Ryun, Turner, Wicker	Reps: Akin, Bradley, Buyer, Moore-Capite, J. Davis, T. Davis, Everett, Forbes, Gibbons, Hayes, Hayworth, Issa, <b>Kengston</b> , Kirk, Kline, Langevin, LoBiondo, McHugh, McKeon, C. Miller, J. Miller, Osborne, Saxton, Simmons, Thornberty, J. Wilson	DepSee, Harvey, England, CJCS, Jonas, Schoomaker, Stanley, Haynes, DiRita, Dubois, Esperne	1 hr
15-Mar	3reakfast- Nuclear issue!	Pentagon	Rep: Hobson	None	Sec. Bodman (DOE), Cambone, Wynne, Henry, Stanley, Klein, Cartwight	1 hr
21-Apr	Breakfast – Ops Intel	Pertagon	<b>Sens:</b> Warner, Levin, Stevens Rops: <b>Hunter, Ske</b> lton	Reps: Lewis, Cochran, Young, Kennedy, Cushing, Inouye, Byrd, Ohey, Murtha	CJCS, Jonas, Cambone, O'Connell, Stanley Kostiw (CIA)	] hr

E

### SECDEF BREAKFASTS WITH MEMBERS OF CONGRESS (CY 2005)

16-Jun	Breakfast - successes in Global War on Terror	Pertagon	Gerlach, Wilson, Ros- Lethinen, Reichart, Kline, McCaul, Davis, Miller,	Reps: Bradley, DoLay, Diaz-Balart, Frolinghuysen, Garrett, Goode, Hanris, Shuster,	England, Feith, Henry, <b>Abell,</b> Stanley, DiRita, Rangel, Geren	l hr
19-Jul	Breakfast	Pertagon	Poe, Tancredo Rep: Skelton	Simmons, Wolf None	Stanley, Rangel	45
1 <b>7-JUI</b>	DICARTAN	Fendagon	rep. sketton	in unic	Stancy, Kanger	inins
29-Sep	Breakľast – Congressional Leadership	Capitol <b>H-139</b>	<b>Reps:</b> Hunter, Hyde, Kirk, Thornberry, Kline, Cole, Blackburn, T. Davis, Capito, Marshall, Boren Sens: Warner, Kyl, Burns, Martinez	Reps: S. Davis, Gingrey, Pryce, Saxion, Shadegg Sens: Dodd, Lugar	Stanley, Cambone,	1 ¼ hrs
27-Oct	Breakfast - FY06 Def Approps Conference	Capito S-238	Sen: Stevens Rep: Bill Young	Sen, Inouye Rep: Murtha	CJCS, Stanley, Rangel	I hr
8-Dec	Breakfast	Pentagon	Sen: Lieberman	None	CICS, Stanley	45 inins



### OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

1000 DEFENSE PENTAGON WASHINGTON, DC 20301-1000

20.00 - 5:08



132

3 Teb

## INFO MEMO

February 3,2006 5:00 PM

1.1

FOR: SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

FROM: Robert Wilkie. Acting Accietant Secretary of Defense (Legislative Affairs)(b)(6)

HU

SUBJECT: SnowflakeResponse - List of Invited Members

You wanted to know the number of Members who were invited to breakfasts during 2005 that were hosted hy the Secretary of Defense.

- During 2005, the Secretary of Defense hosted 12 Congressional breakfasts and invited a total of 54 Senators **and** 91 Representatives. Of these, 18 Senators and 50 Representatives were regrets.
- A copy of this summary is attached at Tab A.

Attachment: Summary of Breakfast attendees (TAB A) Snowflake #012306-13 (TAB B)

3 Jan 06 Prepared by: MGySgt Sue Ann Hines-Laboy\_OSD/LA(b)(6) ECYCLED PAPER FEDERAL RECYC OSD 02098-06 11-L-0559/OSD/55759

## SECDEF BREAKFASTS WITH MEMBERS OF CONGRESS (CY 2005)

DATE	OCCASION	LOCATION	ACCEPTS	REGRETS	DoD in Attendance	Time
6-Jan	Breakfast	Pertagon	Sens: DeMint, Cobum, Thune, Isakson, Vitter, Martinez, Obama, Salazar	Sen: Burr	DepSecDef, CJCS, Harvey, England, Moore, DiRita,	l hr
28-Jan	Breakfast	Pertagon	Sen: Reid	None	CJCS, Moore	15 mins
3-Feb	Breakfast – Nat'l Prayer	Washington Hilton	Reps: Hastert, Wolf	N/A		30 mins
8-Fcb	Breakfast – Iraq elections and Security Forces	Pertagon	Sens: Allard, Allen, Bennett, Bond, Chambliss, Graham, Comyn, Huthchison, Inhofe, Isakson, Kyl, Lugar, McConnell, Sessions, Shelby, Smith, Talent, Thune	(Sens: Alexander, Burns,Coehran, Craig, Dole, Ensign, Frist, Hatch, Lieberman, Murkowski, Nelson, Roberts, Santorum, Wamer	DepSec, CJCS, Harvey, Jonas Jhu, Schoomaker, Stanley, DiRita, Willard, Broome	1 hr
15-Feb	Breakfast - Iraq elections and Iraqi Security forces	Pertagon	Rops: Blackburn, Carter, "Duke" Cunningham, Granger, Leach, Marshall, Putnam, Ros-Lehtinen, Ryun, Tumer, Wicker	Reps: Akin, Bradley, Buyer, Moore-Capito J. Davis, T. Davis, Everett, Forbes, Gibbons, Hayes, Hayworth, Issa, Kengston, Kirk, Kline, Langevin, LoBiondo, McHugh, McKeon, C. Miller, J. Miller, Osborne, Saxion, Simmons, Thornberry, J. Wilson	DepSec, Harvey, England, DICS, Jonas, Schoomaker, Stanley, Haynes, DiRita, Dubois, Espeme	l hr
15-Mal	Breakfast – Nuclear issue!	Pertagon	Rep: Hobson	None	See, Bodman (DoE), Cambone, Wynne, Henry, Stanley, Klein, Cartwight	l hr
21-Api	Breakfast Ops Intel	Pertagon	Sens: Warner, Levin, Stovens Reps: Hunter, Skelton	Reps: Lewis, Cochran, Young, Kennedy, Cushing, Inouye, Byrd, Obey, Murtha	CJCS, Jonas, Cambone, O'Connell, Stanley Kostiw (CIA)	1 hr

## SECDEF BREAKFASTS WITH MEMBERS OF CONGRESS (CY 2005)

16-Jun	Brcakfast - successes in Global War on Terror	Pentagon	Reps: Hunter, Granger, Gerlach, Wilson, Ros- Lethinen, Reichart, Kline, McCaul, Davis, Miller, Poe, Tancredo	Reps: Bradley, DeLay, Diaz-Balart, Frelinghuysen, Garrett, Goode, Harris, Shuster, Simmons, Wolf	England, Feith, Henry, Abell, Stanley, <b>DiRita</b> , Rangel, Geren	1 hr
19-Jul	Breakfast	Pentagon	Rep: Skelton	None	Stanley, Rangel	45
29-Sep	Breakfäst – Congressiona Leadership	Capitol H-139	Reps: Hunter, Hyde, Kirk, Thornberry, Kline, Cole, Blackbum, T. Davis, Capito, Marshall, Boren Sens: Warner, Kyl, Bums, Martinez	Reps: S. Davis, Gingrey, Pryce, Saxton, Shadegg Sens: Dodd, Lugar	Stanley, Cambone,	1 ¼ hrr
27-Oc1	Breakfast – FY06 Def Approps Conference	Capilo \$-238	Sen: Stevens Rep: Bill Young	Sen: Inouye Kep: Murtha	CJCS, Stanley, Rangel	1 hr
8-Dec	Breakfast	entagon	Sen: Lieberman	None	CJCS, Stanley	<b>45</b> mins

### FOUO

# 205701 10 M 9 30

Centrom

105 700 (0 - 21 9: 34 **Јапиату 10, 2006** I-06/000424 ES-5010

TO: Eric Edelman

CC: Gen Pete Pace

RA Donald Rumsfeld FROM

SUBJECT: CENTCOM and JFCOMLiaison Folks

We cught to think through this issue about what we do about the liaison folks at CENTCOM and JFCOM, and how we get the CENTCOM liaison migrated to a Global War on Tancor liaison group.

Thanks.

Please Respond By 02/07/06

FOUO

11-L-0559/OSD/55762

**OSD** 02118-06

# DJS

Centon

FOUO

A HE TASK

27277 14 F1 9: 35 January 04,2006

TO: Gen Pete Pace

CC: Eric Edelman

FROM: Donald Rumsfeld 2.

SUBJECT Foreign Liaison People at CENTCOM

For the second time, Abizaid has raised that he has 68 foreign liaison people in Tampa, and that they are basically there for the War of Terror, but that is the wrong place, since the War on Terror is broader.

The question is, what should we do with them? Should we broaden it? Do we need State Department ambassadorial-level people engaged to push this activity?

Thanks.

DHR.ss 019406-22 Please Respond By 01/31/06

FOUO

11-L-0559/OSD/55763

OSD 02119-06



GENERAL COUNSEL OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE 1600 DEFENSE PENTAGON OF DEFENSE WASHINGTON D. C. 20301-1600 SECREMM OF DEFENSE

## **ACTION MEMO**

2006 FEB 10 PM 5= 38 February 6,2006, 11:00am

DepSec Action

### FOR SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

FROM William J. Haynes 11, General Courses of the Department of Defense

## SUBJECT: Celikgogus v. Rumsfeld

- On January 17,2006, I sent you an Info Memo on the <u>Celikgogus</u> case. (See Tab B)
- Because you were acting in your official capacity at the time of the allegations, you are not required to hire a personal attorney to defend you; the Department of Justice (DOJ) will represent you.
- Three things must occur before DOJ can file a response to the complaint.
  - You or a designee must accept service of the complaint.
  - You or a designee must ask DOJ to represent you in the case.
  - You must agree to the conditions of DOJ representation.
- My office has drafted the documents necessary to comply with these three requirements.
  - The documents authorizing service and the request for DQI representation apply to this and all future detainee litigation, allowing the Deputy General Counsel (Legal Counsel) or his/her designee to handle such matters for you in the future. You will continue to be notified of all future cases.
  - The document accepting the conditions of DOJ representation is required to be case-specific; therefore it will only be valid **for** the <u>Celikgogus</u> case.

RECOMMENDATION: That you sign the documents at Tab A-1, A-2 and A-3 allowing my office to accept service and request DOJ representation for you, and accepting the conditions of DOJ representation.

## COORDINATION NONE



6 Feb 06

OSD 02162-06



### THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE 1000 DEFENSE PENTAGON WASHINGTON, DC 20301-1000



Frank R. Jimenez Deputy General Counsel (Legal Counsel) Office of the General Counsel U.S. Department of Defense 1600 Defense Pentagon, Room 3C975 Washington, D.C. 20301-1600

Re: Agent for Personal Service.

Dear Mr. Jimenez:

I hereby authorize the Deputy General Counsel (Legal Counsel) or his/her designee(s) to accept service on my behalf in all cases related to detainees.

Your assistance is appreciated.

Sincerely,







### THESECRETARYOFDEFENSE 1000 DEFENSE PENTAGON WASHINGTON, DC 20301-1000



Frank R. Jimenez Deputy General Counsel (Legal Counsel) **Office** of the General Counsel **U.S.** Department of Defense 1600Defense Pentagon, Room 3C975 Washington, D.C. 20301-1600

Re: Representation in Detaince Cases

Dear Mr. Jimenez:

I hereby authorize the Deputy General Counsel (Legal Counsel) or his/her designee(s) to request Department of Justice representation for me in all cases related to detainees. All my actions in these matters were taken in the course and scope of my position as Secretary of Defense.

Your assistance is appreciated.

Sincerely,





Name of Case	) District	I
CELIKGOGUS V. RUMSFELD	) DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	
	) court Number	
DOJ Contact Attorney At Main Justice	) 05-CV-02480	
JAMES BARTOLOTTO	) DOJ Number	
P.O. BOX 7146	) 157-16-33764	
WASHINGTON, DC 20044	) Date Filed	
202-616-4174	) 28 DECEMBER 2005	
Name and Address of Person SECRETAR	Y OF DEFENSE DONALD RUMSFELD	
To Be Represented: 1000 DEF	ENSE PBNTAWN	
WASHINGT	DN, DC 20301-1000	

**This** acknowledgment must be executed **as** part of your request for legal representation by the Department of Justice. The acknowledgment is designed to advise you of the extent and nature of legal representation that can be provided **you** by Departmentof Justice attorneys. Your signature at the **end** of the acknowledgment indicates your understanding of and agreement to such representation.

**The** acknowledgment may be submitted either at the time you request representation or immediately after you have **been** notified that **the** Department **has** approved **your** pending request.

If you agree to accept Justice Department representation pursuant to the provisions set forth below, please execute the signature block *at* the end of the acknowledgment and return to the person farnishing you **the** acknowledgment. If the Department **hes** advised that it will provide you with representation, the Department's representation **vvill** be considered to have taken effect on **the** date it was first authorized provided Ent you have signed **and** returned this acknowledgment. If this acknowledgment is being submitted **as** pan of **your** request for representation, you **vvill** be advised of the Department's decision promptly after it **has** been made.

Your representation will be governed by the Attorney General's Representation Guidelines set forth in 28 C.F. R. §§ 50.15 and 50.16. A copy vvill be furnished upon request.

Representation is provided under the Guidelines upon a determination that it is in the interest of the United States to do so. Additionally, if you are being sued for conduct in your capacity as a current or former federal employee or official, representation is provided if it reasonably appears that such conduct was taken within the scope of your federal employment. The following representation provisions constitute the more significant features of the Representation Guidelines.

### (1) Attorney-Client Communication Privilege

All written or oral communications between yourself and your assigned Justice Department lawyer will be protected by the traditional attorney-client privilege.

### (2) Claims Against The United Stares

Your Justice Department attorney will not assert on your behalf any claim you may have against the United States in this case; **nor** will be or she **assert** any claim you might have against other federal employees.

### (3) Counterclaim

As a general policy, your Department of Justice attorney can only undertake to defend you. He or she **vvill** not assert an affirmative claim on your behalf against the plaintiff or anyone else. If you strongly believe that such a claim should be asserted, your normal recourse would be for you to hire a private attorney at your personal expense to press that claim. In the rare **instance** when **an** affirmative claim would further not only your defense, but also the interests of the United States, the Department will consider pressing the claim.

### (4) Conflicts With The United States

If there is a legal argument which should be made in your defense, but which conflicts with a legal position taken by the United **States**, or any of its agencies, in **this** or another case, your Department of Justice attorney

## 11-L-0559/OSD/55767

vvill not make the argument. You will be advised of this fact so that you may assess available options. Correspondingly, should you ever have questions in this regard, you should take the opportunity to discuss them with your assigned Justice Department attorney.

### (5) Conflicts With Co-Defendants

If there is a material conflict of interest **between** you and one of your individually-suedcodefendants, whether factual or legal in **reture**., your Department of Justice attorney voil advise you of this fact so that you may assess available options. Correspondingly, should you ever **become** aware of such conflicts, you should immediately bring them to the attention of your Justice **De**partment attorney. Normally, in **such** cases, it will not be possible for your Justice Department lawyer to continne representing you and other co-defendants having mutually conflicting interests.

### (6) Defending Co-Defendants

If your Justice Department attorney is representing other defendants in your case in addition to yourself, including the United States or an agency, the Department attorney may elect to press available defenses that could result  $\mathbf{m}$  the dismissal of **a** co-defendant before yourself.

### (7) Appeals

If the judgment of the **court** is in your favor **and the** losing plaintiff appeals, representation will continue throughout the appellate stage in this case. In **the** event of an adverse judgment against you, the Solicitor General of the United States will determine whether an appeal by your Justice Department attorney would **be in** the interest of the United States. If not, you will be promptly advised in order to discuss available options.

### (8) Retention of Private Counsel

In certain limited circumstances where a Department of **Justice** attorney *can* no longer represent you, such **as** those circumstances discussed in paragraphs (4), (5)and (7), the Department may elect in its discretion to provide you with a private attorney at government expense. You will be so advised if such circumstances **arise**.

### (9) Paying Adverse Judgments

In the event of an adverse money judgment entered against you in this matter, or against you and other **indi**vidually-sued employees, you may be personally responsible for the payment of the judgment; there is no general right to indemnification from the United States However, if the United States is a proper defendant **and** there is a final judgment entered jointly against you **and** the United States, the United States would pay the judgment. By statute, the United States cannot be held liable for punitive **damages** (damages awarded to punish a defendant). Therefore, you would be personally responsible in the unlikely event punitive **damages are** assessed.

I have read the foregoing conditions of Department of Justice representation and accept their terms.



(Signature)

(Date)



GENERAL COUNSEL OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE 1600 DEFENSE PENTAGON WASHINGTON, D. C. 20301-1600

January 17, 2006

## **INFO MEMO**

**MEMORANDUM** FOR SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

FROM William J. Haynes 11, General Counsel

## SUBJECT Celikgogus v. Rumsfeld

- On 28 December 2005 the Center for Constitutional Rights (CCR) filed a lawsuit on behalf of a former Turkish detained by the name of Yuksel Celikgogus.
  - o Celikgogus was released from Guantanamo Bay (GTMO) in November 2003.
- The lawsuit names ten defendants in their personal capacity (not in their official capacity), including you, General Hood, General Hill, General Miller and other past commanders of GTMO and USSOLJTHCOM.
- The lawsuit contains claims under the Alien Tort Claims Act, the Fifth and Eighth Amendments, the Geneva Conventions and the Religious Freedom Restoration Act.
  - o The claims are **based** on allegations of torture in **Afghanistan** and GTMO.
- This lawsuit is similar to the <u>Rasul v. Rumsfeld</u> lawsuit brought by the CCR on behalf of four British ex-GTMO detainees. That case has been pending in U.S. District **Court** since November **2004**.
  - In July 2005, the government filed a motion to dismiss the lawsuit for lack of jurisdiction and for failure to state a valid claim. That motion has never been ruled on by Judge Urbina.
- My office is **working** with the Department of Justice (DOJ) to arrange service on all defendants and to request representation of all defendants by DOJ.
  - We are drawing up the requisite papers for your signature which will allow us to accept service on your behalf.
- After the initial administrative matters are handled, DOJ will file a motion to dismiss comparable to the <u>Rasul</u> motion.



OSD DOSHI-DO
FEG 2 2 2006

TO: Steve Bucci

CC: Cathy Mainardi Jim Haynes Dan DellOrto

FROM: Donald Rumsfeld

SUBJECT: Meeting with GC

Please set up a meeting for me with **Jim**Haynes or Dan Dell'Orto to discuss the attached February 6 memo. It may not take more than 15 minutes, and it should happen this week.

Thanks.

Attach. 2/6/06 GC memo to SD re: Celikgogus v. Rumsfeld [OSD 02162-06]

DHR.ah 022105-44 Please Respond By February 24,2006

22 Feb 86



383.6

FOUO

Let a strengt

22/12/13 四 9:45 February 06, 2006 230 Super grades

6 FEB 06

TO: Gordon England

CC: David Chu

RA Donald Rumsfeld FROM.

SUBJECT: Civilian SES Corps

We need to consider how to professionalize the Senior Executive Service within the Department, and probably within the Administration. This should, at a minimum, consider:

- A more rigorous process for promotion, with standardized selection boards.
- Creation of "precepts" to SES promotion boards, so we have assurance that . people being promoted to the most senior career civilian jobs have the highest degree of energy, intelligence, creativity and innovation ...
- Career paths for the SES that make sense for a 21st century government, with the opportunity to develop both a "joint" and an "interagency" expertise in the SES Corps.

Within 30 days, please let me know what you think and give me a plan to get to where we need to go.

Thanks.

DHR.dh 020506-20

Please Respond By 03/09/06

FOUO

OSD 02175-06

11-L-0559/OSD/55771

May 5, 2006 - 14 C 1713

To: SECDEF

Fr: Gordon England

Subj: Civilian SES Corps

Don,

- Attached are two charts; namely, the goals and the timeline for action.
- This Tuesday, Mike Dominguez and David Chu will be holding focus groups with some of the SES Corps to obtain their input into a revamped system.
- This process will continue through the end of this year, but is well thought out and will result in an SES Corps much more attuned to the Department's needs and especially positions requiring joint, enterprise-wide competencies.

Enc



230 Suparyrades

5 May 06

0

Feb 06



# The Goals

- Deliberate institutional development and assessment of SES focused on core leadership competencies
- Development of SES enterprise-wide vision and knowledge of joint matters
- Deliberate management and succession planning of SES positions
- Development of a process responsive to the SECDEF for identification and management of the most senior-level DoD positions requiring joint, enterprisewide competencies



# Tho Timeline for Action

- Convene DOD Working Group February 24,2006
- Brief Defense Business Board Task Group March 1,2006
- Brief DHRB March 16,2006
- Draft DoD Directive for SD-106 Coordination April 1,2006
- Begin formal "socializing" April 1, 2006
  - □ Focus Groups: April 1 May 9,2006
- Brief M&RA Bi-monthly
- Brief Senior Defense Leadership Conference May 22-24,2006
- Obtain DBB Recommendations May 31,2006
- □ Issue DoD Directive June 15,2006
- D Brief DepOps Deplank/OpsDep Chairman Tank July, 2006
- Begin DoD Instruction coordination July 1– October 1,2006
- Issue DoD Instruction October 15,2006
- Draft Report to Congress Coordinated Nov. 1 Dec. 1,2006
- Submit final Report to Congress January 15,2007

FOUO

February 08,2006

TO: GEN John Abizaid

**CC:** Gen Pete Pace

FROM: Donald Rumsfeld

SUBJECT: Fouad Ajami Op-ed

Thanks for sending along Fouads latest. He's a terrific writter.

Attach. 2/7/06 Abizaid memo to S D Ajami, Fouad. "The Promise of Liberty," WSJ.com OpinionJournal, February 7, 2006.

DHR,dh 020806-02

8 FEBJOG

13 FEBOG

**0\$D** 02216-06

FOUO 11-L-0559/OSD/55775

## CCCC

February 7,2006

MEMORANDUM FOR Secretary of Defense

SUBJECT: Thoughtful Article

Mr. Secretary,

Every now and then a thoughtful article with careful reading and consideration appears. Let me recommend the attached Fouad Ajami article. I've also sent it to **my** commanders in the field.

V/R

John



SBU// Eyes Only 11-L-0559/OSD/55776



PRINT WINDOW CLOSE WINDOW

**BUSH DOCTRINE** 

# The Promise of Liberty

The ballot is not infallible, but it has broken the Arab pact with tyranny.

BY FOUAD AJAMI Tuesday, February 7, 2006 12:01 a.m.

So, some now say, a people led for more than three decades by Yasser Arafat, a man who dodged all moral and political responsibility, have flunked a great democratic test. It wasn't a pretty choice that the Palestinians were presented with: the secular autocracy of plunder and pretense represented by Arafat's inheritors on the one side and the cruel utopia of the Hamas hard-liners on the other. This was where Palestinian history led. Ever since the Palestinians had taken to the road after 1948, that population had never been given the gift of political truth. Zionism had built a whole, new world west of the Jordan River, but Palestinian nationalism had insisted that all this could be undone.

An Arab intellectual of discerning intelligence, the Moroccan historian Abdullah Laroui, caught the logic of this refusal to accept history's verdict. "On a certain day," Palestinians believed, "everything would be obliterated and instantaneously reconstructed and the new inhabitants would leave, as if by magic, the land they had despoiled; in this way will justice be dispensed to the victims, on the day when the presence of God shall again make itself be felt." There is, then, nothing distinctive or unique about Hamas's refusal to acknowledge the legitimacy of a Jewish state on the land. Its secular predecessors and alternatives had not been possessed of much greater realism.

This was not a defeat of President Bush's "diplomacy of freedom" that has just played out in Gaza and the West Bank. The claim that the bet on Arab democracy placed by the president has now been lost is shallow and partisan. These were Palestinians who voted a mix of incoherence and legitimate wrath at a ruling political class that had given them nothing but false bravado and fed them on a diet of maximalism. For decades, the outside world had asked precious little of the Palestinians. Arafat, the Maximum Leader of their movement, had never owned up to any historical responsibility, and there were always powers beyond waiting to bail him out, to wink at his deeds of terror, to subsidize the economy of extortion and plunder that he and his lieutenants, and his security services, had brought with them to the Palestinian territories in the aftermath of the peace of Oslo.

It was with this ruinous indulgence of the Palestinians that George W. Bush was to break in the summer of 2002, when he gave the Palestinians a promise of American support contingent on their renunciation of terror. Where American diplomacy during the Clinton years had averted its gaze from Arafat's cynical use of deeds of terror, Mr. Bush had put that Palestinian leader beyond the pale. The claims of "victimhood" would no longer acquit the Palestinians; they would now be held responsible for the politics, and the history, they made. It proved hard for the Palestinlans to make that adjustment, but there can be no denying that a measure of sobriety came into their world.

The Arabs who had granted the Palestinians everything and nothing at the same time had drifted away from the cause of Palestine. The center of political gravity in Arab lands had shifted from the Mediterranean to the Persian Gulf; Ramallah was of little consequence when compared with the sea lanes of the gulf, and the fight in the Arabian Peninsula between the forces of order and those of religious bigotry. The romance of the "children of the stones" had subsided. Heartless and unsentimental, Arab society, in the midst of another windfall of oil wealth, now sought a reprieve from political and religious furies. A stock frenzy has taken hold in the Arabian Peninsula and the gulf; the tales of Palestinian woes would no longer hold other Arabs.

From the fury and the ruin of the second intifada, Palestinian society had emerged empty-handed. What it had going for it was the power of Israel's political center, the historic decision on the part of mainstream Zionism to be done with the moral and political burdens of occupation, and to be done with its entanglement with the Palestinians. The most unlikely of political leaders, Ariel Sharon, before illness caught up with him, had picked up the mantle of the late Yitzhak Rabin. It was time to get Gaza out of Tel Aviv, and time to let the Palestinians shape their own political world. Arafat's political heir, Mahmoud Abbas, would try to wean his people away from the addiction to failure and maximalism. He was an ordinary leader for a postheroic era; he wore no kaffiyeh, packed no pistol at his side. He was not enthralled with his image and his place in Palestinian street. One Law, One Authority, One Gun, he had proclaimed. But the political culture of Palestinian nationalism had succumbed to the romance of violence; authority issued from a good throwing arm and from the rifle. Mr. Abbas could not deliver: The warlords of the security services, and the diehards of Hamas, were masters of their own domains.



The Palestinian society that headed into this latest election was bound to falter. What exactly was the difference between the masked men of Hamas and the masked men of Fatah's Agsa Martyrs' Brigade?Two convicted terrorists, Marwan Barghouti and Abu Ali Yatta, headed the Fatah list. And all in all, the Palestinian electorate voted for 14 members of Parliament now in prison. The Palestinians claimed that they were ready for a great compromise with Israel, yet voted for men and women steeped in historical denial. No national movement could be spared the logic of its own choices. On June 24, 2002, President Bush had given the Palestinians the equivalent of their own Balfour Declaration: American diplomacy would support the "creation of a Palestinian" state" so long as the Palestinians opted for a leadership "untainted by terrorism." In a historic break with the policy of the lowest common denominator, the Palestinians were put face to face with the reality of their world. "You deserve democracy and the rule of law. You deserve an open society and a thriving economy," Mr. Bush told the Palestinians. The open door extended to Arafat by American diplomacy would be slammed shut, as it had to be in the midst of a campaign against terror.

We needn't wring our hands over the choice made by the Palestinians in the elections. This is in keeping with a long history

of political escapism. Now the Palestinians will have to live with the choice they have made: Let the leaders in prison, and let the Hamas leaders in their "spider holes" in Damascus, sort out Palestine's destiny. In their fashion, Palestinians are fond of appropriating for themselves the themes of Zionist history, and the big Zionist narrative of dispersion and renewal. But Zionism was about self-help, and about restraint, and Zionism succeeded by pushing aside ideologues impatient with historical compromise. Contrast the restraint of the legendary Chaim Weizmann saying that the Zionists would settle for a state "the size of a tablecloth" with Hamas's insistence --and the bulk of Fatah as well--that the whole of Palestine, *min al-nahr ila al-bahr*, from the river to the sea,

belongs to Palestinians and the historical outcome of the fight of the two nationalisms is easy to understand.

It was not historical naivete that had given birth to the Bush administration's campaign for democracy in Arab lands. In truth, it was cruel necessity, for the campaign was born of the terrors of 9/11. America had made a bargain with Arab autocracies, and the bargain had failed. It was young men reared in schools and prisons in the very shadow of these Arab autocracies who came America's way on 9/11. We had been told that it was either the autocracies or the furies of terror. We were awakened to the terrible recognition that the autocracies and the terror were twins, that the rulers in Arab lands were sly men who displaced the furies of their people onto foreign lands and peoples.

This had been the truth that President Bush underscored in his landmark speech to the National Endowment for Democracy on Nov. 6, 2003, proclaiming this prudent WilsonianIsm in Arab lands: "Sixty years of Western nations excusing and accommodating the lack of freedom in the Middle East did nothing to make us safe, because in the long run, stability cannot be purchased at the expense of liberty. As long as the Middle East remains a place where freedom does not flourish, it will remain a place for stagnation, resentment and violence for export." Nothing in Palestine, nothing that has thus far played out in Iraq, and scant little of what happened in other Arab lands, negates the truth at the heart of this push for democratic reform. The "realists" tell us that this is all doomed, that the laws of gravity in the region will prevail, that autocracy, deeply ingrained in the Arab-Muslim lands, is sure to carry the day. Modern liberalism has joined this smug realism, and driven by an animus toward the American leader waging this campaign for liberty, now asserts the built-in authoritarianism of Arab society.

Beyond Palestine, the skeptics circle Iraq, and single it out as their great illustrative example of the difficulty of grafting democracy onto Arab lands. "Identity politics," they say, trumped democracy in Iraq's elections of Dec. 15, and Iraqis voted along sectarian and ethnic lines. In its extreme variant, the skepticism about Iraq points to the victory of the big Shiite coalition, the United Iraqi Alliance, as proof that an "Islamic republic" lurks in Iraq's future.

Granted the defeat of Shiite secularism, the election confirmed the pluralism and diversity of Iraq. The Kurds stayed with their leaders, the Sunni Arabs voted for rehabilitated elements of the Baath and for Islamists who had emerged as the standard-bearers of that community, while the United Iraqi Alliance swept the Shiite heartland in the south and the Middle Euphrates, and prevailed in Baghdad. The leaders of the big Shiite list were good at the political game; they ran under the banner of Grand Ayatollah Ali Sistani, even as he declared that he was staying out of electoral politics this time around. The Shiite identity, and cast their votes for a big slate that would represent them in this time of discord. But the United Iraqi Alliance is a house of many mansions, and no sooner had the elections ended than the intra-Shiite struggle for primacy and spoils had begun. At any rate, the United Iraqi Alliance has secured 128 seats in a parliament of 275 members, well short of political domination. Its leaders would still have to scramble to put together a ruling coalition. They would need the consent of the Kurds, and of the Sunni Arabs.

There is no warrant in Iraq's elections for a theocracy, and a theocratic republic is only a scarecrow. Flying from Baghdad to Kurdistan, as I did last month, the traveler is easily given to the impression that one has crossed countries, and states of mind. The hills covered with snow, their very size and solitude after the clutter of Baghdad, the distinctiveness of Kurdish ways and the Kurdish language, are ail a hedge against the unitary, command states that have wrecked the Arab world.

Our war in Iraq has smashed for good that despotic state, and the Iraqi political class is busy

putting together the pieces of a national unity government. The broker at the center of this quest is the remarkable Jalai Talabani, the country's president, and no doubt the region's most literate and democratically minded head of state. There were laments that the elections had had their share of irregularities, but Iraqis now see the ballot as the arbiter of political life. (Oil, the ballot box and American power hold Iraq together, and the ballot box is America's gift to Iraq and the linchpin of our disengagement.) It will be checkered, this government now being cobbled together by the Iraqis; it will be claimed by the principal communities of the country. There may well be a Kurdish president and foreign minister, a Shiite prime minister and minister of interior, a Sunni Arab at the ministry of defense and so forth. But these are the compromises of politics, and they are better than any other arrangement in the lands around Iraq.

Hitherto, we had granted the Arab world absolution from the laws of historical improvement. We had ceded it a crippling "exceptionalism." We explained away our complicity in its historical decay as the price paid for access to its oil, and as the indulgence owed some immutable "Islamic" tradition. To be fair, we could not find our way to its politically literate classes, for they were given to a defective political tradition. American power now ventures into uncharted territory; we have shaken up that world, and broken the pact with tyranny. In the shadow of American power, ordinary men and women who had known nothing but the caprice of rulers and the charlatanism of intellectual classes have gone out to proclaim that tyranny is neither fated, nor "written."

The ballot is not infallible, and in Palestine we have now seen it reflect the atavisms of that society and the revolt against bandits and pretenders who had draped their predatory ways in the garb of secularism. But we can't hide behind "anthropology" and moral and political relativism. We can no longer claim that this is Araby, self-contained and immutable, under an eternal sky. We have rolled history's dice in the region, challenged its stagnant ways. And even where the ballot has not gone-- in the Arabian Peninsula to be exact--there now can be felt a breeze of human and political improvement.

The belligerence that was loose in the peninsula two or three years earlier appears milder now, as new ideas of tolerance struggle to take hold. This assertion by George W. Bush that despotism need not be the Arab destiny is about the only bond between the United States and the Arab world. In its optimism, this diplomacy of freedom recalls that brief moment after the Great War when Woodrow Wilson's Fourteen Points held out the promise of liberty to those Arab and Muslim lands. To be sure, there are the "usual suspects" among the Arabs who are averse to the message and to the American messenger, and our pollsters and reporters know the way to them. But this crowd does not reflect the broader demand for a new political way. We have given tyranny the patience of decades. Surely we ought to be able to extend a measure of indulgence to freedom's meandering path.

*Mr.* Ajami, Majid Khadduri Professor and director of the Middle East Studies Program at Johns Hopkins University's School of Advanced International Studies, is the author, among other books, of "Dream Palace of the Arabs: A Generation's Odyssey" (Vintage, *1999*).

Copyright © 2006 Dow Jones & Company, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

PRINT WINDOW CLOSE WINDOW



### THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE WASHINGTON

# FEB 1 3 2006

Professor Fouad Ajami Director, Middle East Studies Program Johns Hopkins School for Advanced International Studies Room 200 1740 Massachusetts Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20036

Dear Fouad,

I enjoyed reading your recent piece about the Palestinian elections in the *Wall Street Journal*, "The Promise of Liberty."

You perform an important service by keeping that fine thinking machine of yours focused on these important issues!

Regards,

2112 14

3FEB 06

**OSD** 02216-06

#### THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE WASHINGTON

Professor Fouad Ajami Director, Middle East Studies Program Johns Hopkins School for Advanced International Studies Room 200 1740 Massachusetts Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Dear Fouad,

Thanks for sending me your recent piece about the Palestinian elections in the Wall Street Journal, "The Promise of Liberty."

You perform **an** important service by keeping that fine thinking machine of yours focused on these important issues!

Regards,

CSC PLS Fix ATRONGL LETTER ... AGAIN M



#### THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE WASHINGTON

Professor Fouad Ajami Director, Middle East Studies Program Johns Hopkins School for Advanced International Studies Room 200 1740Massachusetts Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20036

Dear Fouad.

The provide reading your recent piece about the Palestinian elections in the Wall Street Journal, "The Promise of Liberty."

You perform an important service by keeping that fine thinking machine of yours focused on these important issues!

Regards,

-> BILL MARCUOTT PLEASE SOM AND SEND GADLID MOKE I

į.

Professor Fouad Ajami Director, Middle East Studies Program Johns Hopkins School for Advanced International Studies Room 200 1740 Massachusetts Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Dear Fouad,

Thanks for sending me your recent piece about the Palestinian elections in the *Wall Street Journal*, "The Promise of Liberty."

You perform an important service by keeping that fine thinking machine of yours focused on this important issue!

Regards,

these

FOUO

JAN 0 3 2006

			ト
то	GEN Montgomery Meigs (Ret) Director, Joint Improvised Explosive De	wice Defeat Task Force	121.86
cc:	Gen Pete Pace Gordon England		6
FROM:	Donald Rumsfeld		
SUBJECI	Task Force Progress		
Thanks for start.	your memo of December 22. It looks to m	¢ like you're œffto a good	
I do hope y <i>to</i> what you	ou'll focus on tactics, techniques, and proc 1 find.	ectures. Keep me posted as	
Thanks <b>so</b> r	much for climbing back in the saddle. I ap	preciate it a great <b>dea</b> l.	
Happy Nev	v Year!		ŝ
Attach.	eigamento SD		Jan 0
122203 M			90
DHR;dh 122905-16 (TS).de	xc		<b>U</b>
			22
			Dec
		• • • • • • •	0
	FOUO	02220-06	-107men
			WSIN
	11-L-0559/00D/557	<b>AF</b>	

13 El 1:48

## -POUO - CLOSE HOLD - DO NOT COPY



#### OFFICE: OF THE DEPUTY SECRETARY OF DEFENSE JOINT IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICE DEFEAT TASK FORCE 1010 DEFENSEPENTAGON WASHINGTON, DC 20301-1010

December 22, 2005

# Robert Rangen MEMORANDUM FOR SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

SUBJECT: Mission and Implied Tasks for IED Task Force:

1. <u>Mission:</u> Focus (lead, advocate, coordinate) all Department of Defense actions in support of Combatant Commanders' and their respective Joint Task Forces' efforts to defent Improvised Explosive Devices as weapons of strategic influence.

### 2. Essential Tasks:

a. Serve as the DoD's point of coordination and catalyst for initiatives across the full range of efforts necessary to defeat the IED threat.

b. To defeat current threats, stimulate and employ streamlined DoD change processes that .....

• Assess and Adapt: Collect and analyze operational and forensic data and technical exploitation of IEDs and their initiation components to (1) derive and essents the effectiveness of new tectics and procedures and (2) initiate statements of requirements for new equipment.

• Equip: Repidly acquire equipment to counter known and newly deployed IED threads; ensure that these systems incorporate embedded training and logistic support; that they are fielded with a system for feedback on effectiveness; and that they possess the flexibility for constant product improvement.

• Train: Assist Joint and Combined commanders with understanding the IED threat across their AORs and the effectivesess of current and potential counters to that threat. Provide advisory training support to enable comprehensive Service and joint pre-deployment and in-theater training far Joint forces.

• Improve Intelligence: Collect and analyze data from the field to 1) assess the effectiveness of current intelligence support for commanders and their staffs, 2) develop and track responses to priority intelligence requirements (PIRs), and 3) in coordination with the operational chain of command, develop new intelligence



-POUO- CLOSE HOLD - DO NOT COPY

OSD 02220-06

シャクちゅ

FOUO - 'CLOSEHOLD - DO NOT COPY

support and intelligence requirements against IED threats to ensure Commanders' needs are met.

- Transition: Develop approaches for organizing, training, and equipping Host Nation forces to defeat IED threats to both combatants and civilians.
- TF offorta will be responsive to:
  - Field commanders' stated requirements
  - JFCOM's improvements in joint training
  - Existing and anticipated enemy capabilities for employing IEDs.
  - Opportunities to improve capabilities by exploiting new technologies or operational concepts
- c. To counter the enduring IED threat ...
- Understand the enemy: Provide a better understanding of the enemy system that funds, invents, and distributes IED technology into and within local areas of interest in order to develop offensive strategies against these systems.
- Establish Center of Excellence: Develop and manage within Service combat training centers a distributed Joint Center of Excellence for thedevelopment of new operational techniques and tactical procedures, and provide a venue for training, experimentation, and testing of new equipment and concepts. Maintain within the Center of Excellence a deployable capability to support commanders in the field.
- Advise DoD Leadership: Act as a so \_\_\_\_\_ of advice to the Secretary, the Deputy, the combatant commanders and the Joint Chiefs of Staff on the IED threat and operational concepts and techniques to counter #. Assist in inter-agency and multi-national coordination of their efforts to support DoD.

d, When a JTF of CJTF deploys to a threat area, on order, task organize from organic assets and contractor support and deploy with the US commander a capability to provide support for all tasks listed above.

3. To sugment the Task Force's ability to accomplish these tasks, immediately: (1) review and reorganize as necessary the Task Force's establishment and move it to facilities that support maximum effectiveness and productivity; (2) improve the Task Force's communications with Congress and its participation in the Department's

## --FOUO- CLOSE HOLD - DO NOT COPY

A\_41-4

## -FOUG - CLOSE HOLD - DO NOT COPY

resourcing process; (3) initiate action on the Center of Excellence; (4) reorganize downrange assets into JTFs (FWD) in Iraq and Afghanistan; (5) produce and disseminate appropriate PIR; and 6) create an operations analysis capability to support the above activities.

4. The Joint IED Defest Task Force POC for this memorandum is BO Joseph Votel, (b)(6)

Montg 6428 Director

cc: DepSecDef CJCS VCJCS

## FOUO - CLOSE HOLD - DO NOT COPY

98%

11-L-0559/OSD/55788

ŊĨS Felt TAB A 113 F# 5:08 JAN 0 3 2006 TO: Gen Pete Pace Eric Edelman CC: Donald Rumsfeld FROM: SUBJECT: Managing Training Afghan Security Forces I had an excellent briefing from General Dempsey on Iraqi Security Forces. We don't have anyone doing anything like that in Afghanistan. Let's think through who is managing Afghan security forces the way Dempsey is doing in Iraq. My guess is nobody. What do you propose? Thanks. DER:0 122905-04 (76).400 Please respond by January 26, 2006 Tab A POUO OSD 02253-06 Q 11-L-0559/OSD/55789

TAB A -FOÜO

> JAN 0 8 2006 3 11 5:08

TO: Gen Pete Pace

CC: Eric Edelman

Donald Rumsfeld FROM:

SUBJECT: Restrictions on Troops in Afghanistan

When I visited with troops in Afghanistan, there is concern that they are not allowed to operate at night and they have other restrictions. I wonder how we ought to arrange ourselves there and how we need to manage it.

Please come back to me and visit about it.

Thenks.

Please respond by January 26, 2006

Teb A

................

1000

Jana

QA anistry

OSD 02254-06

11-L-0559/OSD/55790

TAB A FOUO

January 10, 2006 5 21

TO: Gen Pete Pace

FROM: Donald Rumsfeld **P.** *k*.

SUBJECT: Data on Interdictions of Illegal immigrants

Admiral Collins told the President that they had a **ten year high of** interdictions of illegal immigrants. I would like to get some data on that. I am surprised.

Thanks..

DHR.35 011006-07 Please Respond By 02/01/06

Tab A

FOUO

11-L-0559/OSD/55791

OSD 02255-06



CHAIRMAN OF THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20318-9999

INFO MEMO

T'F FFI

CN-0188-06 13 February 2006

FOR SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

FROM: General Peter Pace, CJCS IR Rio Fat ob

SUBJECT: Data on Interdictions of Illegal Immigrants (SF 01 1006-07)

- Answer. In response to your request (TAB A), in FY 05 the Coast Guard had the second highest illegal migrant interdictions totals and the highest Cuban illegal migrant interdictions over the past 10 years.
- Analysis. The *Coast* Guard Headquarters Office of Law Enforcement provided the attached information (TAB B).
- The illegal migrant interdiction data for FY 06 is current through 17 January.
- The interdiction increase has had no appreciable effect on the Department of Defense. The Coast Guard and its DHS, interagency, and international partners have used their existing assets, resources, and agreements to effectively respond to the rising interdiction rates. The Department continues to provide support in two **primary** areas. Neither effort was unduly burdened by the migrant interdiction increase.
  - DOD facilities at the US Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, are occasionally used to temporarily detain Cuban migrants who have an asylum claim that requires additional investigation.
  - USN ships under the factical control of Joint Interagency Task Force-Southfor counterdrug detection and monitoring operations are diverted to respond to migrant vessel sightings.

COORDINATION: TAB C

Attachments: As stated

Prepared By: Lieutenant General James T. Conway, USMC; Director, J-3;(b)(6)

# FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLYOSD 02255+06

TAB ∃



# USCG Migrant Interdictions

11-L-0559/OSD/55793



Fiscal Year	<b>HA</b> Haiti	DR Dominican Republic	<b>CU</b> Cuba	<b>PRC</b> China	MX Mexico	EC Ecuador	Other	Total
2006	708	1177	855	12	38	176	20	2986
2005	1850	3612	2712	32	55	1149	45	9455
2004	3229	5014	1225	68	86	1189	88	10899
2003	2013	1748	1555	15	0	703	34	6068
<b>20</b> 02	1486	177	666	80	32	1608	55	<b>4</b> 104
2001	1 <b>391</b>	659	777	53	17	1020	31	3948
2000	1113	499	1000	261	49	1244	44	<b>42</b> 10
1999	1039	583	1619	1092	171	298	24	4826
1998	1369	1097	903	212	30	0	37	3648
1997	288	1200	421	240	0	0	45	2194
1996	2295	6273	411	61	0	2	38	9080
1995	909	3388	525	509	0	0	36	5367

Tab B

Slide#2



# **USCG Migrant Interdictions**

# Coast Guard Migrant interdictions by FY



Slide#3

11-L-0559/OSD/55795

ń

## TAB C

# COORDINATION

USCG

CAPT Quigley

18 January 2006

- -- -

- -

----



THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE WASHINGTON, DC 20301-1300

UNCLASSIFIED

## **ACTION MEMO**

い。 1951年1月 1日2:35 W

February 13, 2006, 6:00 P.M.

## FOR: SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

FROM: Robert Wilkie, Assistant Secretary of Defense for Legislative Affairs (Acting)(b)(6)

with bilk.

SUBJECT: Snowflake Response - Briefing Members on QDR & Budget, #020406-19

- You asked to brief the QDR and Budget to a bipartisan group of defense-minded senior Members who aren't usually engaged in leadership meetings.
- Recommendation: Host a QDR and Budget briefing breakfast for the following:
  - o <u>U.S. Senate (9-R, 6-D)</u>
    - Richard Shelby, R-AL James Inhofe, R-OK O M Hatch, R-UT John McCain, R-AZ John Comyn, R-TX

Jeff Sessions, R-AL John Ensign, R-NV Lindsey Graham, R-SC Jim Talent, R-MO Joe Lieberman, D-CT

Mark Pryor, D-AR Bill Nelson, D-FL Daniel Akaka, D-HI Ben Nelson, D-NE Diane Feinstein, D-CA

Fes

0

## o U.S. House of Representatives (17-R, 7-D)

Tom Davis, R-VA	Henry Bonilla, R-TX	Curt Weldon, R-PA Norm Dicks, D-WA
Kay Granger, R-TX	Jim Saxton, R-NJ	Norm Dicks, D-WA
Jack Kingston, R-GA	John Hostettler, R-IN	Lane Evans, D-TX
Terry Everett, R-AL	Joel Hefley, R-CO	John Spratt, D-SC
Mac Thornberry, R-TX	James Gibbons, R-NV	Jim Marshall, D-GA
Peter King, R-NY	Buck McKeon, R-CA	Silvesue Reyes, D-TX
Pete Hoekstra, R-MI	John McHugh, R-NY	Bud Cramer, D-AL
Rodney Frelinghuysen, R-NJ	Marsha Blackburn, R-TN	SolomonOrtiz, D-TX

## SECDEF DECISION:

Approve:			6
Disapprove:			
Other:			C
Attachments:			0
Snowflake#020406-19 (TAB A)			
Prepared by: Mr. Pepper Bryars, Defense Fellow, OASD (LA) (b)(6) 11-L-0559/OSD/55797	OSD	02304-06	



· • 25

February 6, 2006

TO: Robert Wilkie

CC: Robert Rangel

FROM Donald Rumsfeld

SUBJECT Brief Members of Congress on QDR and Budget

Let's pull together a group of *senior* Republican and Democrat defense folks in Congress who don't normally come to the leadership meetings, like Norm Dicks, and have them over to give them a budget and QDR briefing.

Please give me a list, and I will work on it with you

Thanks.

DFBR:db 020406-19 (19), Doc

Please respond by **February 16, 2006** 

6 Feb 06

A

310.1

FOUO

OSD 02304-06

	<del>-F0U0-</del>	` i: 12'			
	· · · ·				
	January 30, 2006				
TO:	John Young				
сс	Ron Sega Robert Rangel				
FROM:	Donald Rumsfel				
SUBJECT:	Desalinization Information from George Shultz				
Whatever happened on that desalinization activity that I stat you from George					
Shultz?					
Thanks.					
2014794.045 00.30065-11					

...... Please Respond By 02/16/06

FOUO	חפו	02314-06
11-L-0559/OSD/55799	000	UCJ14-UO



### DIRECTOR OF DEFENSE RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING 3030 DEFENSE PENTAGON WASHINGTON, D C 20301-3030

### UNCLASSIFIED

As of February 7,2006 4:00 PM

## RESPONSE TO SNOWFLAKE FOR THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE ON DESALINIZATION

Robert Ranger +/14 From: Mr. John J. Young, Jr., Director of Defense Research and Engineering, (b)(6)

- Desalination research is coordinated through the Expeditionary Unit Water Purification Program, executed by the Office of Naval Research.
- The White Paper provided by former Secretary Schultz is similar to past proposals Aqua Via has submitted to DoD, but contains less technical detail.
- The last water purification proposal Agua Via submitted was in response to a Broad Area Announcement (BAA) in January 2005. The Agua Via proposal was one of forty requested by the Office of Naval Research. 18 proposals were selected to be funded; the Agua Via proposal was not. (Tab C provides greater details)
- The Aqua Via proposal was focused largely on computer modeling. The proposal did not provide sufficient scientific and technical detail or an experimental proof-of-principle plan.
- A DDR&E technical staff expert examined the technical comments of that review and concluded that the proposal was fairly assessed. I can provide more details if you desire.
- More promising research based on artificial biological structures was recommended and is now underway.
- The Office of the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Science and Technology (Dr. Sloter) has contacted Aqua Via (Ms. Pergamit) and will assist Agua Via in interfacing with DoD programs relevant to their technology interests.

Prepared by: Dr. André van Tilborg/DUSD(S&T)/(b)(6)



OSD 02314-06

Paul Armistead Office of Naval Research, Code 331 875 N. Randolph Street Arlington, VA 22203 (b)(6) aimistJ@onr.naw.mil

Response to Aqua Via Desalination Paper

Gayle Pergamit submitted similar white papers and a proposal to ONR BAA 05-005 Science and Technology in Water Desalination and Purification, January 2005. The BAA was part of a congressional program sponsored from Senator Domenici's office: Expeditionary Unit Water Purification Program, EUWP. Through this congressional, ONR is interested in research towards lowering the costs associated with water purification and desalination.

Working with Martin Edelstein and the company Covalent Industrial Technologies, LLC Gayle Pergamit submitted two white papers. The proposed work was primarily computer modeling (by Edelstein) to determine which sort of cyclic molecules could desalinate water. At the time, the company claimed to have developed a successful kidney dialysis membrane based on molecular computations. Two white papers were submitted to get around the cap of \$250k per year. ONR received about 300 white papers and asked for about 40 full proposals (with intent to fund about 15-20). We requested that Pergamit and Edelstein: (1) combine their two white papers into one proposal; (2) show some of the 'successful chemistry' from their kidney dialysis product; (3) and come up with a proposal that resulted in proof of concept within two years. The proposal had significantly more detail than the white papers and significantly more detail than the time, it was still high risk/ high reward research and that none of the reviewers were comfortable even with the level of detail in the Covalent Industrial Technologies proposal. It was not recommended for funding.

Review comments from BAA **05-005**, Covalent Industrial Technologies, Pergamit, Edelstein

Reviewer 1:

We got this proposal two years ago. They had already developed the kidney and were looking for new markets. Now the proposal says the kidney dialysis membrane is still in development. This proposal is more cryptic. What is a "smart pore?" It sounds like the same technology proposed in #849. At any rate, this proposal will only search their molecule database and model it for the first year and in the second year make one pore. #849 was a better deal.

Reviewer 2:

The Pl proposes to develop a monolayer macrocycle membrane for RO just like the artificial kidney success that they claim. The problem is that specific chemical detail is not given. The concept is great but though I believe the membranes would be frail. They

propose to use molecular modeling to develop macrocycles with 2 angstom pores that will let only water pass. The macrocycles will assemble side by side on a substrate and be reacted to the surface so that one has a 1 molecule thick separation layer on a substrate. They say that hurdles such as ordering of the macrocycles and bonding them to the substrate have been overcome, even patented, but give very little details and don't list the patent. Still, approach is tempting, but year 1 is only modeling to select which macrocycles to make and test in year 2.

#### Reviewer 3:

The offeror proposes to develop an aquaporin like macrocyclic molecule which will selfassemble into a monomolecular membrane. Biological membranes use aquaporin transmembrane protein channels to separate and transport water molecules with a high selectivity and low resistance resulting in a low consumption of energy. The offeror plans to conduct a structural evaluation of their existing macromolecular library, conduct structural evaluation for chemical modification, if in the likely event no exsisting molecules will form a water pore, conduct molecular pore modeling, conduct pore testing, and evaluation of membrane formation and testing. This pattern follows the successful pattern used for the development of an artificial kidney membrane. The use of nuclecular modeling will enable rapid evaluation of macromolecules. There appears to be a high risk with the offerors proposed approach of developing a nanomolecular membrane that is only one molecule thick. This means that they must be able to form a perfect layer only one molecule thick or will have defects in the membrane, reducing rejection efficiency. This one molecular thick membrane must also be able to cover the surface of a rough support layer that will have a roughness exceeding the thickness of the membrane or they will have to fabricate a new support membrane that is extremely smooth, which is an entire project in itself. In order to overcome osmotic pressure with a thin, delicate membrane the offeror proposes to use a novel forward osmosis based on a temperature sensitive reusable polymer. No details are given on the expected driving force generated by this process or energy requirements of the recovery of water from the polymer. Without this data it is impossible to evaluate the overall system energy requirements and potential for enhancement over current state of the art.

#### Current White Paper from Agua Via, LLC, Pergamit

The white paper from Agua Via has the following problems:

1. Absolutely no detail is given on the chemistry of the one atom thick membrane rejection layer. It is doubtful that such a thin membrane could exclude solutes by any mechanism other than sieving. Aquaporin water channels (the molecular proteins that transport water through cell walls) in the body have an hour glass shaped pore with 20 angstroms or so length and embedded charges using both sieving and columbic repulsion to purify water.

ł

2. Absolutely no detail is given on the rest of the membrane structure, which must be nugged enough for handling and winding into a module, have fine enough pore structure to support the atomic layer, not foul, and have no pressure drop across it. If they had such a support they could already sell it to industry.

## 11-L-0559/OSD/55802

3. Absolutely **no** detail is given on how such an atomic layer membrane is assembled to be pinhole free and adequately supported.

**4.** There are membrane techniques such as "direct contact membrane filtration" that can desalt water without application of pressure, just taking advantage of vapor pressure differences between cold and hot water. At a 10C temperature difference across the membrane, there is a very low flux of water from the feed to the treated water stream. **Also,** for such an approach to work, thicker membranes with larger hydrophobic pores are desired.

There is no reason to consider this white paper any further. Work on this began in 1997 yet the proposer does not give any indication as to the current **state** of progress in the development of these smart membranes. Thus there is no reason to believe any of the anticipated benefits of this nonexistent technology. The lack of details is consistent with a previous proposal received by the Office of Naval Research. Additionally, Martin Edelstein, the researcher on the previous proposal, is not mentioned in the white paper and the qualifications of Gayle Pergamit are not given.

Paul Annistead

### INFORMATION PAPER

### SUBJECT: Agua Via LLC Nano-Molecular Membranes

1. Purpose. To respond to the Agua Via Nano-Molecular Membrane Unsolicited Proposal.

#### 2. Facts.

a. All U.S. Army tactical water purification equipment is required to purify and/or desalinate any potential source water. In general, the Army is interested in research that could lead to the reduction in costs or increased availability of water purification equipment at the tactical and individual soldier level. Current purification systems are based on membrane technology using microfiltration or ultrafiltration followed by reverse osmosis.

b. In November of **2004**, individuals from the Army Tank-Automotive Research Development and Engineering Center (TARDEC) reviewed a white paper from Agua Via LLC on Nano-Molecular Membranes in support of the Office of Naval Research (ONR) Expeditionary Unit Water Purification Program (EUWP). The ONR EUWP program is currently funding two projects investigating biomimetic membranes. The white paper purposed from Agua Via LLC was not selected by ONR for award.

c. The white paper from Agua Via LLC does not provide enough information **to assess** the potential of the proposed technology. Potential technical issues not fully addressed are the ability to create defect free membranes on a large scale and the elimination of fouling (choked with a foreign substance).

d. The proposed method of desalination, which requires heating water 10 degrees, will require approximately 11 watt-hours per liter. The Affordable Desalination Collaboration, a non-profit organization of commercial and government agencies, has recently demonstrated membrane based desalination with commercial technology at 2 watt-hours per liter. Therefore, from an energy efficiency standpoint, the proposed approach does not appear attractive.

Jeff Ernat (b)(6) Approved by Mary Miller Office of ASA(ALT)



Caller P. SHULTS

September 28, 2005

#### Dear Don,

Peter Rodman and his tourn were out have yesterday and we had what I think was a good discussion of the issues involved in communicating with the world of Islam. Peter has a copy for you of the written material we used.

S 140

I also gave him a copy of a book on demographics that I think is very informative. I challenge you to put it in your briedbase sometime when you're going on a trip and take a little time to leaf through it. You will find it growinely colightuning about how the forure may unfold.

I enclose a white paper on desalimization and purification that I mentioned to you on the telephone. The essence is the invention of a nano-nuclear membrane that can be configured in a variety of ways depending on the problem. I think this is pretty exciting stuff that could have broad military and, of course, civilian use. Apparently China's interior ministry is anxious to get its hands on this out of concern for water purification.

With best wishes.

Sincerely yours,

George P. Shultz

050 18601-05

The Honorable Donald Rumafeld U.S. Secretary of Defense U.S. Department of Defense 1000 Defense Pentagon, Room 3E880 Washington, D.C. 20330

Enclosure

HOOVER INFETTUTION & STANFORD UNIVERSITY & STANFORD, CA \$4505-6010 & Phones 000-718-3408 & Fair \$50-723-8443

11-L-0559/OSD/55805
# WHITE PAPER

## Nano-Molecular Membranes For Water Desalination and Purification

#### September 2005

#### Use and Disclosure of Data

This proposal includes data that shall not be disclosed outside the Government and shall not be duplicated, used, or disclosed — in whole or in part — for any purpose other than to evaluate the proposal. However, if a contract is swarded to the othercrase a result of — or in connection with the submission of these data, the Government shall have the right to duplicate, use, or disclose the data to the odent provided in the resulting contract. This restriction does not limit the Government's right to use information contained in these data if they are obtained from enother source without restriction. The data subject to this restriction are contained its Sheets 1-15 inclusive.

### Agua Via, LLC agua: water via: way, path

AOUA VIA, LLC 330 Beach Road Burlingame, California 94010 Contact: Gayle Pergamit Phone: 650/227-7777 gayle@aguavis.com

1

Agus Vis, LLC 330 Beach Road, Burlingsrat, California 94010 650-227-7777 Use at disclosure of data contained on this attest is subject to the startistics on the file page of this proposal.

AGUA; weter

#### YIA: way, path.

SUMMARY: Based on nanotechnology research work begun in 1997, a novel filtration technology has been developed which has the promise of broadly revolutionizing water purification, water remediation and desaination costs, purity and delivery systems. This technology was designed from its inception to deal with the challenging environmental, performance and financial considerations of this field.

Desailnation and water putification based on the Smart Membrane™ technology is anticipated to:

- Deliver "full spectrum"<sup>10</sup> filtration: filtering against the full spectrum of contaminants and delivering only pare water.
- Operate under a virtually complete range of environmental conditions and handle a virtually complete range of feedstock water

"Weler, file energy in the late 1970s, will probably become the most critical natural resource leave facing most parts of the world by the start of the century,"

- The Financial Times. of London

- Operate at the lowest energy thermodynamically possible for purification = 1
  psL.Systems can be gravity driven.
- Drop desailation costs by over 2/3rds by operating at the lowest energy thermodynamically possible for desailation of brackish or seawater - 1 pel plue 10 degrees of temperature change
- Present the smallest footprint theoretically possible. A Smart Membrane shorton cube measuring 165mm (8.5 inches) per side could produce 100,000 gallons of purified water per day at 1 per
- Be completely scalable from municipal systems to individual use in the field. Individual use for full spectrum fitration could be as simple as a 27" long tube over a filter certridge, allowing gravity to do the work.
- Drop maintenance costs and requirements by the simplest maintenance and operation modes of "filter and forget." I no cleaning, recharging, packflushing, etc.
- Provide reliability and rebustness; purification with no moving parts (except at atomic scale.)
- Introduce potential non-touling and non-scaling ability by using biological techniques that provide non-fouling filtration in nature
- Deliver commercially-competitive performance lifetimes
- Reduce the cost of descination to approximately that of water purification
- Reptace partial water purification with complete purification at no increased cost.

A gue Via, LLC ' 330 Beach Road, Burlingstone, California 94010 650-127-7777 Use or disclosure of data contained on this shoet is subject to the metricsion on the this page of this proposal

11-L-0559/OSD/55807

2

3

#### SMART MEMBRANE IMPACTS

This technology is anticipated to make a significant impact on world water crists, and including hortile containination of water supplies.

Calculations indicate that these membranes could produce 205 gallons per square foot par day (gfd) operating at <1 pst, and utifizing techniques other than high pressure to accommodate the osmotic gradient during desclination. At this filtration rate, high volume, high quality water purification and desclination could be provided within a small footprint: a Smart Membrane cube measuring 165mm (8.5 inches) per side could produce 100,000 gallons of purified water per day at 1 pst. For desclination, the cube would double in size and require 1 pst plus 10 degrees of temperature change.

The performance implications are:

- reduction in plant size and cost for both purification and desails attent
- provide highest quality water purification at low cost
- eliminate the need for advance testing to identify contaminants.

 useful in a wide range of applications where size and energy are critical such as for ....peri-urban, rurat or remote use off the power grid, emergency and military applications

- useful in a wide range of volume scales; from point of use solutions to small group or individual use in the field, mobile systems and municipal sizes systems in industrial, recreational, commercial, medical, egricultural, municipal, emergency and milliony settings
- can be packaged as low cost, reliable, low maintenance, easy to use systems which should even be applicable even in extreme runsi environments.
- sufficiently low cost that it can be used for waste water remediation, elimination of endemic biologics (e.g., Giardia), toxic solutes (e.g., ansanic) or other pollutents in rural communities workfwide.
- With the complexity built in all the storals level, the user deals only with simple processes require minimal training and maintenance
- simple and safe technology appropriate for critical environments such as submerines, space applications

It is estimated that purfication applications using membranes will be demonstrable in 18 months, and desclination applications ready in approximately three years.

#### THE NATURE OF THE PROBLEM

W r quality and water q	ne a fish	ine J.S., th nest of the Gi
plus the developing world,	In a disasters y op na	In extrem anvir intr
such as filter, il	<b>these</b> dual <b>problema</b> i	I
An ehundert strophy of his	all frach water is accordial.	a the backs for individual the

An abundant suppry or nut at mesh water is essential as the basis for individual inc community health, gricil at it sustrial productify at 1 the hird the bit is problems of water wal and quantity were conside d is of the it is indi-

Agun, Via, LLC 330 Beach I, 1931 is, California 94010 650-227 7777. Une or discinsive of data contained on this shared is subject to the membricitor on the title page of this proposal.

' - ser. 20, 2003 12:12<sup>rm</sup>

**BEANDE SUNCIT** 

Now, however, the definition of "the world water crists" encompasses G8 ocuritities. The global-wide scientific understanding is that we have reached the end of the era of abundant, chasp, clean groundwater. According to the World Bank, the health and economies of more than 80 countries are threatened by current water shortages. Within the next 15-20 years, that problem will extend worldwide, including the U.S.

Except for a few locales which receive their water conclusively from ennual encor pack needing or from yeak-round, rain, most of the yrorid's fresh water supply is stored underground in aquifers – encas of porous rock which hod water like a sponge. These the brigsted sprice/hum in freed those populations, and growth of industry during the 20 and 21<sup>st</sup> centuries have meant increasing water withdrawith of industry during the 20 withdrawels exceed the netural recharge provided by relimit. The welfs and pumps used to tap equifier, and diffed ever deeper to charse the failing water levels. These organity water debt is independent of reduction in minfail due to charge, or cyclical furtuations in rainfail patterns such as those that caused the Dust Bowl.

Some and income

The U.S. Burnau of Reclamation predicts the exhaustion of the equiliers underlying the wastern and mid-western United Status by 2025. These equilities required an estimated 100,000 years of relinful to fill.

Ogalisis Aquive

- In India, water tables are dropping by 30 metres per year.
- The souther which provides Australia's fresh water is predicted for exhaustion within 10 years. It is estimated that this souths had taken 300,000 years to fill with water. Throughout the confinent, inversions unning saline. The city of Parth (population 4M) is building a 45gigsiber desemberion plant to come online in Aug 2006.
- China has officially recognized that epproximately 400 out of 500 cilles are short of water.

· · ·

Minety-five percant of the Unlined Stative' Reach watter is underground. As furners to the Texan High Plains pump groundwater feeter franz pump agent, the organization and dropping. North America's being displeted at a rate of 12 billion cubb metres (Romj a year: Total depietion to det amounts to some 325 bern, a year: Total depietion to det amounts to some 325 bern, a volume equal to the annual dow of 9 Colorado Rivers. The Cyalasis stretches from Texas to South Dalode and weither one fifth of U/S ingetted land.

Were driven by webst shortages are predicted to escalate over the next decades. More than a cozen national receive >50% of their fresh water from rivers that cross borders of hostile neighbors. Currently, water stress contributes to stream of conflict in the Middle East. The wars of this century are predicted to be over water shortage.

Agen Vin, LLC 330 Beach Road, Burlingsone, California 94030 650-227-7777 Use or diadows of date contained on this sheet is subject to the nutriction on the this page of this proposal

5

Decai or a Biduttor: Potnedatly Unable Provident US Stiffre Aspailors

NERVERSE SURFIT

Freek water could be acquired by "dessiting" high solute wastewater, or by dessiting segwater or the brackleh water which underlies most continents. However, today this process is done using reverse comosis technology whose high energy demands produce tresh water at a price which is 6 to 10 times that of purifying tresh water (not assuming any increase in energy prices from 2004). The impact of such cost increases on industry, which uses 20% of all water, and pariculture - which uses 70+% often at sufficially low prices - would be significant.

In contrast, Smart Membrane technology. Is anticipated to provide desalination of wasta water, brackish or seawater at a cost roughly equivalent to that of current fresh water purification.

#### WATER QUALITY ISSUES

Workdwide, 24% of all fresh water is now polluted and in countries such as Chine as much of 75% of all water is contaminated. New U.S. rules will require purification of these contaminants, at the cost of billions. Water quality issues derive in part from natural contamination from substances such as boron, bacterial and viral infestations, and from man-made contaminants. For example:

- man-made pollutants, such as chemicals from manufacturing operations, or product residue such as the MBTE which contaminates the Los Angales water supply. Contamination may be accidental or deliberate, as in terrorist threat.
- becteria and viruses. Bacteria or viral contamination is often discovered only after litness or fatablica are traced back to the water supply. To date, the largest U.S. problem resulting in disease and death involved 400,000 people in Michigan. Some bacteria and viruses have been further spread by human activity, such as the giardia and cryptospondium contamination from livestock waste throughout rural US communities with farming operations. According to the EPA, approximately 95% of all U.S. municipalities are small systems serving populations of less than 5,000 and lacking resources to build improved their water purity. Terrorist scenarios envision deliberate contamination.

Agua Via, LLC 330 Beach Road, Burlingama, California 94010 650-227-7777 Use or declarate of data contributed on this theat is adject to the restriction on the tim page of this proposal

 novel bacteria and viruses. Microbiologists are now concerned about the threat from imported species.
 Preparation to test for, identify and treat to eliminate a multitude of potential new threats is not present.

Number of People et Risk From Areenic Poisoning

US - unknown Maxico - 400,000 Chile - 437,000 Boilvie - 6,000 Argentine - 2,000,000 Hungany - 20,000 Romania - 36,000 Romania - 36,000 Romania - 36,000 Romania - 1,000 Thailand - 1,000 Vietnem - millione Talwan - 200,000 Chine - 720,000 Nepel - unknown Source - Jack Nig, University of Queensingut, Australia

Constant of the second second second second second for the second s



The process removing 10000 conteminent trom water ' wei established; \*\* officiant and low cost. But as the conteminents to be remeward herome arrailer - se in CBW egents or sets the cost rises. schieving levels which ere nöt fmancially sustainable. Al 🗉 present, SCI108 even đ. desired cleaniness are not siteinable because the technology does not exist to do sufficiently precise filtering. One

example of this lack of precision is the presence of inflammation-causing enducoins in "ultra pure" medical water for dialysate. Another example is the filtration technology used by DoD and emergency services for hydrating a sports drink or meet package; in order to let the water through, the filter also lets through a very small toxin, unes.

Although people will pay handsomely for bottled water, general water purification is an extremely price sensitive business. Traditionally, a disease outbreak signals that a

A gun Vin, LLC 330 Bench Rond, Burlingame, California 94010 650-227-7777 Use or disclosure of data corrianced on We also it is address to the restriction on the size page of this proposed.

- 1

problem exists. Municipal water systems usually have tremendous difficulty providing any improvement which increases costs.

Smart Membrane putitication, providing full spectrum filtretion, does not require identification of a new finant or higher cost to provide superior protection, it does not require the traditional higher energy used for filtration at the smallest and of the pollutant range. Yet it will provide water purer than that found in medical treatment centers. The definition of the designed potable water and product (water plus each satis), means that other chandral or biological species are not allowed to pass the membrane. No testing is required to dotermine which chemical, biological or other species of threat is present, in this way, the highest purity water could be consistently provided in the "filter and forget" mode, and at no additional cost for the increment puty.

Agua Via products are anticipated to provide such full spectrum filtration to create good, potable water: eliminating all bacteria, viruses, parasites, cysts, emosters, chemical and biologio warfate sgents, poleone, toxins, arsanin, boron, spores of pathogens, nitrogenous waste from commercial fertilizer or excrement, heavy metals, etc. This includes the common-problems of dysentery, typhoid, cholers, pollo virus, or minarale auch as ansenic and fluoride; Good water becomes available at low cost for all medet: drinking, senitation, hygiene and waste water redemption. Adding Agua Via filtration to municipal systems would eliminate many contamination issues including the pervasive amenic problem and the threat of contamination posed by terrorists.

#### OTHER TECHNOLOGIES PROVIDE INCREMENTAL IMPROVEMENTS

Conventional inchnologies data from the 1900's (water purification) through the 1950's (reverse carnotic). Over the decades, conventional technologies have continued to make incremental gains as inventive engineers have found ways to construct bis of efficiency in creative ways. In some cases, such as multi-stage flash for desalination, take advantage of epecial discumstances, such as building a power plant and a water filtration plant together in order to use the abundant water heat from the power plant. In general, however, these technologies have been out competed by membrane desalization technologies or conventional water purification technologies or conventional water purification technologies.

Weter purification, and desalination are demanding applications in demanding environments. Experimental technologies which look good is limited performance environments in the leb commonly fail when tested in the field because of inability to deal with feedstock complexity, or other demands of the in-field environment. Adding the demanding financial requirements for water filtration or deselfaction can also eliminate a prospective technology or limits its use to a highly confined areas. Currently, carbon nanotypes are enjoying promotion as a water handing technology; they will have to face their limited adsorption capacity – after which small poliutents would just flow through, high menufacturing costs, lock of anti-fouling properties and attendent high maintenance costs to find any proof market acceptance.

In order to provide the necessary order of magnitude improvements to both costs and quality of fittetion, july new technology is needed that is copable of functioning in the

Agena Via, LLC 330 Brach Road, Burlingnose, California 9401.0 650-227-7777 Line or disclosure of date contrained on this share is writing to the restriction on the tile page of this proposal.

#### DEFINITION OF AN IDEAL PURIFICATION SOLUTION

- Able to clean up the full spectrum of possible contaminants with a single technology
- Lowest energy thermodynamically possible for water pufficition, waste water generalistion and dessination. In the field, no external power source required.
- Greatest-non-fouling and non-scaling-capability ever applied to water purification or decalination.
- No ongoing maintenance requirements: eliminating chemicals, beckflushing, plant downline
- 1. Low cost to manufacture.
- Scalable from small point-of-use solutions (in the home or field) to handling large volume applications (or urban water supplies.
- Long performance lifetime.
- Repid purification of large volumes of water

#### SMART MEMBRANE TECHNOLOGY

The Smart Membrane core technology was designed from inception to provide ultraspecific filtration in rigorous real-world environments at the lowest energy and lowest total cost (infrastructure, maintenance, training, etc.) possible. Developing a technology capable of dealing with the highly complex set of issues involved in water purification and desalination was a key consideration in the basic design of Smart Membranes. The implication of working to the rigorous nanotechnology definition of "complete control at the atomic scale" provides unprecedented benefits is such sreas as exquisite fibration specificity, high fibration performance, low energy requirements, non-fouling in the face of multiple complex feedstocks and the other criteria need to be an ideal solution to water purification and desalination needs.

Although a Smart Memorane is only a few atomic layers thick (.05-.22 nm), it consists of three distinct pertir:

1. A protoctive top layer is designed to emplify non-fouling characteristics, amplify water ordering to further reduce energy costs, and extend the working life of the Smart Membrane. This protective-layer can be custom engineered on an etom-by-etom level of design to provide a specificity previously found only in tiving systems. As a result, many of nature's successful anti-fouling strategies can be applied for the first time.

2. A one-atomic layer thick nanomembrane is directly under the protective top coating. This is where the work of filtration and separation is carried out. The nanomembrane is designed and built to provide only the desired and product - usually either potable water (water plus earth salts), or water that is completely salt free. This membrane eliminates anything other than the specific class of water that the customer warts. At one atomic layer thick, this membrane offers no impedance to flow.

The one-etom-thick nanomembrane is the key to the benefits which a Smart Membrane can deliver. Unlike the way conventional membranes are constructed through bulk

Agus Vis, LLC 330 Beach Road, Burjingsme, Californis 94010 650-227-7777 Use or disclosure of data contained on this sheat is subject to the realifiction on the 15s page of this proposal

11-L-0559/OSD/55813

1

processes, each manomembrane self-assembles from a collection of custom designed, pharmacologically-bulk porte.

Smart Membranes result from the creation of a new class of extremely solective, biomimetic Smart Pores<sup>16</sup> which assemble into thin (0.5nanometer-22nanometer) porous nanomembranes. These porous monolayer membranes have specific structures that provide a low energy barrier to the passage of water or specific solutes, while providing a high barrier to other solutes. This technology shows highly specific molecular filtration at the stomic scale and profound transport properties such as exquisite ion astectivity characteristics.

Each pore has been designed and built with complete atomic precision in exactly the same way that a pharmaceutical is designed and built. Often the design of the pore's interior may mimic the active filtration portion in cell membrane proteins, such as aqueporin, naturals water channel. By eliminating the voluminous support structures in the natural squaporin and building only the "business part" of the molecule, a Smart Pore can move water even faster than its natural counterpart.

Because of this light atomic design control, a pore need not be built to marely exclude contaminants based on their size, but can also be built to eliminate cisters of contaminants based on their complete chemical identity including atomic shape, electrical charge, hydrophobicity, hydrophilicity, etc. This demonstrated ability means, for example, that a complex, mixed wasts stream including a wide range of 400,000 different contaminants, earth salts, uses and water can deliver just potable water (water and carth salts). The major technical achievement demonstrated here is that even though the uses (a known touch) is emailer than the earth salts; uses is rejected based on its electronic characteristics rather than also.

<u>The exterior of each pore has a distinct top, bottom and sides. Think of a Leggo block</u> with a hole in the center. The hole does the fillering work, but the top, bottom and side attachment sites on the Leggo let k snap together with other Leggo blocks. The sides of the pores attach to each other to form the nanomembrane the way the sides of Leggo blocks snap together to form a plane.

On the top surface, this snap-on ability allows the precision building of the protective toplayer (discussed above) which can be specifically designed to prevent bacterial touling, scaling and other forms of fouring while also enhancing water ordering to further reduce energy demande.

On the bottom surface, the snap-on ability shows the pores in the nano-membrane to attach to a thicker, porces substrate,

3. The porous substrate, The porous substrate answers the question of how to handle a nanomembrane that is only one atomic layer trick. The porous substrate is a thin film, much like a thinner version of Sarah Wrap, with a regular array of holes in it. The porous substrate is a passive, structural component, its purpose is strictly to support the nanomembrane, allow for handling during manufacturing and contribute to the working Wespan of the membrane. The nanomembrane does the actual work of filtration; the porous substrate lets the water flow on through.

Agus Via, LLC 330 Brech Road, Burlingsme, Celifornia 94010 650-227-7777 Use or disclosure of data contained on this shoet is subject to the restriction on the the page of this proposal

#### TECHNOLOGY-DERIVED BENEFITS

This basic technology enables the delivery the application-critical benefits, as exemplified in the following four key areas:

- ----- 1. Ultra specificity in filtration
  - 2 Low meintenence through non-fauling, non-scaling characteristics
- 3. Utta smal device scorprint ocupied with utra Nah carlotmanas
  - 4. Little low Energy requirements

1. Specificity, Providually, the ability to create potable water by removing unes, even though the unce is smaller than the centh aste, was described. This is an unprocedented technical achievement, and a abong demonstration of exquisite control over specificity of Stration. Secones pares can be built to absolute specification -different sizes, shopes, charges, siz. -- this ability can be funed to apply specific fittedon to any class of problem required.

Ague Vie products are anticipated to provide such full spectrum filtration to create good, <u>polable-water</u>, eliminating all bactarts, viruses, paranites, cysts, amoebas, chemical and biologic warters agents, polsons, tosins, areanite, bottos, spores of pethogens, nitrogenous warte from commercial fertilizer or excrement, heavy metals, nanobacteria, etc. This includer the common problems of dysentary, typhotd, choices, polio virue, or minemals such as areanic and fluorids. Good water becomes available at low cost for all meods: drividing, sanitation, hygiene and waste water redemption. Adding Ague Vie fittration to manicipal systems would eliminate many contamination facues including the pervesive areanic problem and the threat of contamination posed by terrorists.

2. Low Melmanence. A membrane, no malter, how period is ability to titler, is useless if it is clogged. Scale buildup in water with notable mineral content is one such problem. Bacteria are another, prime problem: they attach to surfaces and form rock hard blokim which impelies at ends litter performance. Conventional membranes are backfluched and blacched with terch chamicals to kill bacteria or to descale; this requires plant downlinns and creates maintenance expense.

The Smart Membrane's ability to address problem through specificity of the storic level opens unprecedented opportunities to control these problems. For example, the technology of the Smart Membrane provides multiple strategies to defeat thefin

formation. Some strategies are at the level of the porce and nenoraembrane, and others are employed by the protective top coating, creating a total anti-bacterial environment.

One major strategy of bacteria is to use the thread-like pill (seen here surrounding the mein body of an E. coll bacterium) as grapping hooks to establish a foothold on a surface. But E. coll's pill, for example, messure 650 engetroms in clameter and are too big to shap onto any feature in a Smart Membrane. The pill are 100



10

Agen Via, LLC 330 Beach Road, Burlingsons, California \$4010 - 650-227-7777 Line or declosure of their committee on We show in evolution in the restriction ability give page of this propaga

times bigger than an individual pore's exterior of 65 angstroms, and 260 times bigger than a purification pore's interior dimensions of 26 angstroms.

Both the nanomembrane and the protective layer above it appear to these bectarin as absolutely smooth, featureless surfaces. The structures of both the protective layer and the nanomembrane are too small to be used by bacteria.

Laminar flow is also known to inhibit becteria's ability to form biofilm. The environment bacteria encounter is optimized for laminar flow; they are swept along with no ability to strack to a surface.

This ability to design with atomic precision has never been available before. It means that many strategies for preventing fouring and scaling, or strategies for precision filtration are made evaluable for the first time. In addition, this is setup up for continued filtration over a long its span. Units bucky tubes, activated charcoal or defonization media which utimately saturate; a nanonembrane simply filters undesirable classes of contaminants cannot pass through the membrane and are dumped to waste. Desirable pure filtrate water passes through the pore and is captured

3. Small Footprint, High Performance. Attached to their Sanah-Wrap-like porcup substrate, the complete Smart Membranes are only 0.5 microns thick, in contrast with conventional membranes which 35 times thicker. Therefore, a huge amount of filtration capability fits into a very small footprint. Calculations indicate that one square foot of membrane could produce 205 gallons of publied water per day operating at <1 pet. At this filtration rate, high volume water publication could be provided within a small footprint a Smart Membrane cube measuring 165mm (5.5 inches) per side could produce 100,000 gallons per day at 1 pet. A city of 5 Million people with a 500 gigaliter ennuel water need could be met with 5,000 such cubes.</p>

4. Low Energy Requirements. Because these membranes are so thin, very little energy is required for their operations. Because these membrane structures are so thin, it is useful to think about them in quantum mechanical terms rather than classical fluid mechanical terms. Dr. William Dean of MIT has described these pores as "orifices" offering no impedance to flow, rather than as conventional "pores."

With low pressure drops across a nanomembrane 0.5 nanometers thick, high flux is produced at very low pressure differential. In fact, the impedance to flow is created by the porcus substrate – although its job is to provide support, the rate limit on how fast, water can be processed is how long it takes to flow through the "thick" substrate.

In the most energy efficient mode, a filtration cartridge would sit at the bottom of a tube with a 27" head of water. At 1 pel, gravity does the work to punity the water.

Agus Via, LLC 330 Beach Road, Burlingame, California 94010 550-227-7777 Use or disclosure of inter contained on this sheet is indirectly the methiction on TW We page of this proposal

#### SMART MEMBRANES AND DESALINATION

The most expensive form of creating potable water or water completely lacking salt is desailarition. Excluding any recent rise in the cost of energy, today desailarization of seawater can cost over \$1,000US per ecre-fool. When with tess salt in it (brackish water) costs less to clean because the lower sell content drops the emount of pressure required. Although Temps Bay, Florids had once hoped to desailarte a mix of brackish water and seawater at a cost of only \$550US per scre-fool, the potential cost reduction was due to favorable financing terms, not technical breakthroughs. Even at Temps Bay's target cost, this still leaves desailination open only to energy and cash rich countries of the Final World and the Middle East.

The claims of dramatically lower cost descrimation which used to be made by the Tempa Bay, Florida project are now being made by the Ashkelon project in larget. These numbers, which have even been quoted by the Congressional Budget Office as reflecting the current price of descrimation, are again based strictly on financing deals which have been described in the industry expents as "britiant." According to descrimation experts within the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, these systems contain no technological contribution to increased efficiency. The true cost of descrimation remains unchanged. In fact, Temps Bay failed to meet the technical performance goals by 50% and is currently undergoing re-engineering.

Energy is the largest cost component of desclination: annually, energy costs generally match capital costs. A \$400M plant can expect to generate a \$400M annual energy bill, assuming no price increases for energy. A dominant percentage of the capital costs are also derived from the energy requirement, e.g., high pressure pumps; energy recovery systems.

The final stage of publication — In which the water and salt are separated - accounts for 99% of the energy cost. Of that energy cost, roughly 2/3<sup>th</sup> is due to overcoming 1) the long and 2) fortuous path of the pores in conventional membranes. Only 1/3<sup>th</sup> is due to overcoming the osmotic gradient of the salt.

The Smart Membrane's extreme thinness and lack of lortuous paths immediately eliminates the 2/3rds energy penalty experienced by conventional membranea. Significant as this cost reduction is, the impact of moving to a low-energy/low pressure mode ripples through the overall design of any water system, accounting for an additional reduction of both the capital cost and labor costs. Concomitantly, eliminating high pressure pumpe, energy recovery systems (little wasted energy to recover), certain prefreatment systems, reducing system complexity and maintenance costs may provide overall cost reductions on the order of 60 or 70% for a Smart Membrane seawater desailnation system.

#### SNART MEMBRANE TECHNOLOGY MODIFICATION AND DESALINATION

The differences between using a Smart Membrane for desalination, as opposed to water purification are:

1. For use in desafination, a smaller pore must be used than the basic water purification pore. A water purification pore can pase up to 4 water molecules at a time. For desafination, the pore must be capable of passing only 2 water molecules at a time.

Agua Via, LLC 330 Beach Road, Burlingsina, California 94010 650-227-7777 Use or disclosure of data contained on this sheet is subject to the restriction on the title page of this proposed, 32

· 13

2. Further "anap on" chemistry must be used to overcome the osmotic gradient.

3, in addition to the 1 ps) energy requirement, 10 degrees of temperature change would be needed

 This footprint would expand: the equivalent of two 0.5" cubes would now be needed to provide 100,000 gallons of desalinated water, instead of one cube.

## OVERCOMING OSMOTIC GRADIENT WITH A SMART MEMBRANE SYSTEM

يستنبشنين بمنابهتها فتاعد

Conventional decalization today uses reverse semasts with thick polymer membranes. To push the water through the membrane and leave the salt behind requires pressures ranging from 180 psi (for use with brackish water) up to 1400 psi (for use with semastar). In each case, 2/3rds of the pressure is used to overcome the tortuosity and the thickness of the membranes. The remaining 1/3<sup>rd</sup> is to overcome the camotic gradient, with salt water on one side of a membrane and fresh water on the other side, the more content is evenly balanced between both sides. In reverse camoels, the editional pressure is placed on the selt side to push tresh water through the membrane and away from the cell.

Because of the Smart Membrane's extreme thinness, the penalty for overcoming the tortunative and thickness of conventional methodemers is stiminated. Therefore, 2/3rds of the pressure requirement and 2/3rds of the energy cost disappear.

This leaves the remaining issue of dealing with osmotic gradient and reducing its attendant costs. Recently, a different technique has been used to eliminate the need for high pressure in dealing with an osmotic gradient; forward osmotis. The most widely known example has been the DoD's use of the technique for rehydrating food packages or sports drinks using a wartighter's urine or waste water. In this technique, a contaminated solution (urine or unsafe water) is placed on one side of the membrane. Sugar is placed on the other side of the membrane. The sugar draws the liquid in the contaminated solution across the membrane until there is an carnotic balance between the two sides. The membrane, to the best of its ability, separates water from contaminants. The user now has a sports drink or a hydrated food package.

Note that this technique produces purified water, not dessinated water (water free from a high solute content).

The disadvantages of this technique which limit its usefulness are:

- unless the desired end product is a sports drink or a hydratect food package, the user now has water contaminated by sugar or some other substance which . would require purification.
- once the sugar or food packet is used up, more sugar or another sorbent must be imported to process the next batch of water. This makes the technique expensive and impractical.
- As used today with conventional membranes, unst also peases along with the water and safe into the sports drink or hydrated food package. Unse is a known toxin; and too much exposure will muse kidney (allure.

Ague Via, LLC 330 Beach Ross, Burlingtone, California 94010 650-227-7777. Use or disclosure of data contained on this sheet is subject to the restriction on the file page of this proposal.

14

However, moved to a level of stomic precision, this forward osmosis technique can be modified to overcome its disadventages and made useful for desalination. For desalination with a Smart Membrane, a rausable, high density ("thirsty") molecular aponge can be engineered and anapped onto the base of the Smart Membrane. The sponge would scak up water from the bottom of the pores until seturation is reach. A few degrees of temperature change would be required to "wring" the sponge out and release the water into the catch chamber. The sponge is how ready to soak up another batch of water. As abundantly demonstrated in nature, many other systems commonly load and release over prolonged lifetimes. By designing and building at the storals scale, these systems can be borrowed and modified for use alsowners.

#### SUMMARY

he:

Smart Membranes represent a new technology based on building materials which mimic the way nature performs filtration, selection, and protection against blofum and scale lormation. This technology is anlicipated to cut perform any known or planned competitors. The banefile include operating is an ultra-low briefly mode, and producing highly pure and highly specific filtration.

Smart Membrane technology is anticipated to be applicable and superior for applications

- Description epperations, including both servers, and bracksh water.
  - Purification applications, ranging from high purify semiconductor, other industrial and medical uses through home drinking water.
  - Ramediation of both waster and polluted ground water.

Their specific technical capebilities include:

- Unique "full-specimin" fibration of any mix of contaminants in the water feedstock
- The highest purity possible.
- The lowcet cost possible
- The lowest energy requirements possible
- Extreme flexibility of capacity, from municipal scale to hand held units in the field, in an extremely small footprint. A 6.5° cube is anticipated to provide 100,000 gallons of publied water per day.
- "Filter and forget" robustness Under Lough conditions.

Applying Smart Membranes to the problems of water purification and desalination holds the potential to positively impact the world water crisis and the many dimension which water shortage or impute water represents: health, agricultural productivity, industrial

Agree Via, LLC 330 Beach Road, Burlingame, California 94010 650-227-7777 Use or discontere of data contained on this shoet is subject to the matrician on the tile pape of the proposal.

11-L-0559/OSD/55820

Agua Via, LLC 330 Beach Road, Burihagaraa, California 94010 630-227-7777 Una er danbaurt of sta contained an Ghautenf is unifed to the restricton on the file page of this proposal

Ľ

productivity, and conflict between national. Because the technology combines high performance with low total costs (product costs, energy, infrastructure, maintenance, training, stc.), it is anticipated to have major impact at opposite ends of the spectrum.

such as semiconductor manufacturers or modical applications, organizations such as the US DoD services, whose needs encompass and surpass from requirements are anticipated to derive value from Smurt Merromae technology. The DoD operaties is this most externa environmental and logistical conditions, and is required to deal with the fullest array of water quality and logistical conditions, problems in addition, their operation is usually subject to the strictest forther weight, component resupply, volume issues, maintenance and serve miguification occurring everywhere from submarines at depth to individual warfighters on the ground facing CBW conteaminated water, or no liquids at all expect for their own sweat and ution. 1. High Performance Venues. In addition to water users with high purity demanded such as semiconductor manufactures or medical applications, organizations.

Low Cost/High Yolume. Municipal systems and point of use applications both in the U.S. and internationally are anticipated to be used by those seeking higher purity heah water at low cost, or deselinated water at low cost to cope with water shortage. At a competitive price point, the Smart Membrane technology could provide cost-effective deselination to the American mid-west as well as cost-effective water-punkchion against fite-problems such as areand, guardia, etc. Expressed interest in Smart Membranes has come from Australia, Singapore, Chine and india in addition to European parties, Introduction in some versual, such as the water-short Middle East, may sailst in reducing tensions and Increasing prosperity. 1 

water provision are lifted. Various new paradigms of how water is delivered may well evolve, and with them new paradigms for hving, conducting business or military operations. For cuample, municipal systems may become more widely distributed. Countries with substandard infrastructure, may opt for home point of use systems to sugment central municipal facilities. Military operations may be more fields once the logistical burdens of

By combining high performance with iow cort. Smart Membrance hold a botential solution to seemingly intractable problems involving water quality and water quantity.

10. TAAT 11: 1414

711AUC 384030

## COORDINATION

DARPA	TONY TETHER	6 FEB 06
ASA(ALT)	MARY MILLER	6 FEB 06
ONR	PAUL ARMISTEAD	6 FEB 06

#### FOUO

2:00

January 18, 2006 T-06 /001471 ES-5176

TO: Eric Edelman

c c : Tina Jonas Dan Stanley

FROM Donald Rumsfeld  $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{I}$ .

SUBJECT: Funds Combatant Commanders Could Use

In the combatant commanders' meeting one of the combatant commanders said it would be enormously helpful if they could use small amounts of money in countries, but we don't have the legislative flexibility.

Are we proposing that for legislative changes?

Thanks.

DHR dh 011706-50

Please Respond By 02/02/06

FOUO

DSD 02328-06

1 1 1 1 N

#### FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

### INFO MEMO

1 2:40

DSD\_\_\_\_\_ I-06/001471 ES-5176

FOR SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

FROM: Eric S. Edelman, Under Secretary of Defense for Policy 55 FEB 1 4 2006

### SUBJECT: COMBATANT COMMANDER FLEXIBLE FUNDS

- You mentioned that in the recent combatant commanders' meeting, one of the combatant commanders said it would be enormously helpful to have modest flexible **funds** available for use in their AORs but that they lack legislative flexibility. You asked if we were proposing these types of measures for legislative changes. (Tab A).
- The QDR endorsed two legislative draw-down proposals for PB 07 that directly address this concern: a redesign and expansion to the *existing* Comhatant Commanders Initiative Fund (CCIF); and making permanent and applicable to contingencies worldwide the OEF/OIF Commander's Emergency Response Program (CERP).
- <u>Expand CCIF</u>: Authorizes the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff to resource peacetime requests by Combatant Commanders to influence situations in their area of responsibility. This program exists. Funds come from available O&M, but expenditure caps are simply too low for today's environment. This recommended change increases expenditure limits substantially (\$10M to \$125M for purchases; \$5M to \$250M for training; \$10M to \$125M for foreign participation in joint exercises). This proposal is currently at OMB for review.
- <u>Worldwide CERP</u>: Authorizes SecDef to approve commanders engaged in contingency operations to use DoD resources to conduct stability operations, urgent humanitarian relief and reconstruction in stride with on-going US military operations. This proposal has not yet been sent to OMB.

Attachments: As Stated

Coordination: None

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY 11-L-0559/OSD/55823

0SD 02328-06

#### FOUO

12 14 1 2:40

January 18, 2006 T-06 /001471 FS-5176

TO: Eric Edelman

c c : Tina Jonas Dan Stanley

DA. Donald Rumsfeld FROM

SUBJECT: Funds Combatant Commanders Could Use

In the combatant commanders' meeting one of the combatant commanders said it would be enormously helpful if they could use small amounts of money in countries, but we don't have the legislative flexibility.

Are we proposing that for legislative changes?

Thanks.

DHR dh 011706-50

Please Respond By 02/02/06

FOUO

11-L-0559/OSD/55824

OSD 02328-06

#### FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

#### **INFO MEMO**

1 1, 13 39

DSD 1-061001471

FOR SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

FROM: Eric S. Edelman, Under Secretary of Defense for Policy 1/4, FEB 2 2 2006

### SUBJECT: COMBATANT COMMANDER FLEXIBLE FUNDS

- You mentioned that one of the combatant commanders has recently stated it would be enormously helpful to have modest flexible funds available for use in their AORs but that they lack legislative flexibility. You asked if we were proposing these types of measures for legislative changes (note attached).
- The QDR endorsed two legislative draw-down proposals for PB 07 that directly address this concern:
  - A redesign and expansion to the *existing* Combatant Commanders Initiative Fund (CCIF)
  - Making permanent and applicable to contingencies worldwide the OEF/OIF Commander's Emergency Response Program (CERP).
- <u>Expand CCIF</u>: Current authority exists for the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, with the Secretary of Defense's approval, to resource peacetime requests by Combatant Commanders using draw down funds. (The Secretary of Defense may place further restrictions or guidance on how the CCIF funds are to be expended.)
  - Funds come from available O&M, but expenditure caps are simply too low for today's environment.
  - The QDR-recommended change increases expenditure limits substantially (\$10M to \$125M for purchases; \$5M to \$250M for training; \$10M to \$125M for foreign participation in joint exercises). This proposal is currently at OMB for review.
- <u>Worldwide CERP</u>: Authorizes SecDef to approve commanders engaged in contingency operations to use DoD resources to conduct stability operations, urgent humanitarian relief and reconstruction in stride with on-going US military operations. This proposal has not yet heen sent to OMB.

Attachment: As Stated

Coordination: None

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY 11-L-0559/OSD/55825

OSD 02328-06

#### FOUO

January 18, 2006 T-06 /001471 ES-5176

1

TO: Eric Edelman

CC: Tina Jonas Dan Stanley

FROM : Donald Rumsfeld

DA.

SUBJECT: Funds Combatant Commanders Could Use

In the combatant commanders' meeting one of the combatant commanders said it would be enormously helpful if they could use small amounts of money in counties, but we don't have the legislative flexibility.

Are we proposing that for legislative changes?

Thanks.

DHR 4h 011706-50 Please Respond By 02/02/06

FOUO

OSD 02328-06

## 11-L-0559/OSD/55826

\_ .....

FOUO

February 6, 2006

TO:	Gordon England	
FROM	Donald Rumsfeld	
SUBJECT	Division of Labor	

Now that we have been at this a little bit, why don't you *take* a look at this division of labor and tell me if you are still comfortable with it.

Thanks.

Attach. 7/6/05 Division of Labor:

DHRudh 020406-57 (TS), Doc

Please respond by **February 23, 2006** 

FOUO

0SD 02348-06

#### July 6,2005

### **DIVISION OF LABOR - SECDEF & DEPSECDEF**

#### <u>SECDEF</u>

GWOT Iraq Afghanistan SLRG **Contingency Planning POTUS Briefings** Deployment Orders **Special Operations** Stress on theForce/End Strength Intelligence/DNI/CIA SRO Global Posture Special Computer Programs Nuclear Policy Weapons of Mass Destruction Active/Reserve Balance Defense Policy Board

## <u>BOTH</u>

<u>SD (Lead)</u> Sr Civilian Personnel Selection (SD) Sr Military Personnel Selection (SD)

Jointness (SD) Public Outroach (SD) Public Affairs (SD) Missile Defense (SD) Interagency (SD) Compartmented Programs (SD) Detainees (SD)

#### DSD (Lead)

Budget (DSD) Legislative Issues (DSD) Accountability (DSD) Transformation (DSD) QDR (DSD) Homeland Defense (DSD) Personnel Policy (DSD) Quality of Life (DSD) Pentagon Renovation (DSD) Defense Science **Board** (DSD)

#### **DEPSEGDEF**

DoD Reorganization Financial Mgmt Systems Business Mgmt Systems Supply Chain Mgmt Facilities and Infrastructure Acquisition Acquisition Reform Procurement IED Task Force NSPS Medical Affairs USAF Tankers/C-130s, etc. Network & Info Integration Defense Business Board BRAC

Readiness NSPS Foreign Weapons Sales E D Task Force

Defense Business Board

## 11-L-0559/OSD/55828

L

## DMSION OF LABOR- CJCS & VCJCS

BOTH

### CICS\_

SRO

Global Posture/Force Management

Special Operations

Intelligence

Special Computer Programs

Nuclear Policy

Weapons of Mass Destruction

Missile Defense

GWOT (C) Contingency Planning (C) Iraq (C) Afghanistan (C) Jointness (V) Sr Military Personnel Selection Legislative Issues Public Outreach (C) Future Force Structure/Transformation Deployment Orders (C) QDR Interagency Homeland Defense Personnel Policy

**Qality of** Life

Coalition Management Active Reserve Balance

Missile Defense (C) Homeland Defense YCJCS JROC / Procurement Acquisition Budget Health Services Detainees Detainees Network & Info Integration BRAC Readiness Supply Chain Mgmt Facilities and Infrastructure Medical Affairs Foreign Weapons Sales Strategy & Policy Committee

July 6,2005

### DIVISION OF LABOR - PRINCIPAL BACK-UPS

#### USD (P)

GWOT

#### Ггад

Afghanistan

#### SLRG

Global Posture

End Strength

Defense Policy Board

QDR

Homeland Defense

Detainees

Missile Defense (w/USD(I))

Special Operations (w/USD(I))

Contingency Planning (w/ USD(I))

#### USD (P&R) Personnel

Policy

Quality of Life Deployment Orders

Medical Affairs

End Strength

Readiness

NSPS Active / Reserve

Balance

<u>USD (I)</u>

SRO Intelligence Reform

Analysis Defense

Science Board

Network & Info Integration

## <u>USD (C)</u>

Budget Financial Management Foreign Weapons Sales Procurement Acquisition Reform USAF Tankers/C-130s etc Defense Business Board BRAC Business Mgmt Systems Supply Chain Mgmt

Facilities and Infrastructure

USD AT&L

To: SECDEF

Fr: Gordon England

Subj: Division of Labor

Don,

I have looked at the division of labor that we agreed on last July and, in my judgment, it still looks about right. Upon reflection, 1 am spending most of my time on the items designated for the Deputy to lead, while not duplicating the areas for your personal emphasis. I am flexible on this and would certainly appreciate your views if you feel differently; but I am quite confortable with where we are.

(Jundian\_

March 17, 2006

HC

 $\mathfrak{O}$ 997 000

17 87

## **OSD** 02348-06

TO:	<b>Gordon England</b>

FROM: Donald Rumsfeld

SUBJECT: Division of labor

snowflake attach

DHR.cs	··· · · · · · · ·
020406-57	· · ·
	**************************
Please Respond By February 23,2006	

11-L-0559/OSD/55832

ouc



XIN

February 06,2006

FOUO-

February 6, 2006 I-06/001572 ES-5194

NATO 230

6 FEB 06

**0SD 02350** 16

TO. Ericl Edelman

FROM. Donald Rumsfeld

SUBJECT: Post with de Hoop Scheffer

We have never gotten the names of people to serve in that post Jim Jones said is coming open for Jaap de Hoop Scheffer, the person to be Jaap's link to Karzai.

Some of the names that came up were: Marin Strmecki, Marty Hoffmann, former Deputy Secretary of Agriculture Moseley, a retired general like Meigs, Kicklighter or Fulford, Admiral Abbott, Len Hawley (former Ambassador), Jim Jefferys, and Carlos Pascual.

We need to get on this fast or that post is going to get filled.

Thanks.

DHR:da 000406-39(TS). Doc Please respond by February 16, 2006

-FOUO-



FEB 1 5 2006

TO: President George W. Bush

CC: Mr. Stephen J. Hadley

FROM: Donald Rumsfeld Z h D //

SUBJECT: Military-to-Military Contacts with the Chinese

Mr. President,

At a White House meeting on 9 January, you asked Admiral Fallon, the Pacific Commander, for more information on our military-to-military contact with the. Chinese. A few key items he is working :

FOUO

- 1. Navy ship visits: Requesting four U.S. visits to China by our warships in 2006, and inviting the Chinese to reciprocate.
- 2. Educational exchanges: Conducting brief exchanges with several dozen senior officers, and seeking to do additional such events in 2006.
- 3. Discussions: Discussing safety procedures between our forces to prevent misinterpretation or mistakes at sea or in the air from escalating into incidents.
- 4. Exercises: Looking for opportunities to have the Chinese observe one or two exercises in 2006, and seeking reciprocity. We will also seek Chinese participation in one or two exercises that make sense, such as those practicing search and rescue operations.

Admiral Fallon's view is that the time is right for a cautiously enhanced level of military-to-military contact with the Chinese. He is taking it very carefully and keeping everyone fully informed.

Respectfully,

DHR:ss 021306-17(TS). doc

11-L-0559/OSD/55835

OSD 02382-06

FOUO

February6, 2006 I-06/001530 ES-5183

TO: Eric Edelman

FROM Donald Rumsfeld

SUBJECT : Emergency Supplies for Tajikistan

What is the status of this cable regarding emergency supplies for Tajikistan border

#### Thanks.

Attach. AMEMBASSY DUSHANBE Cable R 041234Z JAN 06

DHR:dh 020406-20 (TS) Doc

Please respond by February 16, 2006

FOUO

**OSD 02430-06** 

UNCLASSIFIED

Printed By: MARK PIRRITANO Fri Feb 10 13:55:53 2006

RAAUZYUW PUEHDBUD032 0041234-UUUU--RUHQOSS ZNR JUUUU ZZH R 0412342 JAN D6 FM AMEMBASSY DUSHANBE TO RUEKJCS/SECDEF WASHINGTON DC UNCLAS DUSHANBE 000032 STATE FOR EUR/CACEN, INL/AAE - DEBORAH HOOKER E.O. 1295B: N/A TAGS: MARR. PGOV. PREL. TI SUBJECT: EMERGENCY SUPPLIES FOR TAJIKISTAN BORDER GUARDS THE FOLLOWING IS A LETTER TO SECRETARY OF DEFENSE DONALD RUMSFELD FROM CHARGE D'AFFAIRES THOMAS H. ARMBRUSTER, U.S. RUMSFELD FROM CHARGE D AFFAIRES THOMAS H. ARMBRUSTER, U.S. EMBASSY, DUSHANBE, TAJIKISTAN. HARD COPY FOLLOWS BY POUCH: BEGIN TEXT: DEAR SECRETARY RUMSFELD: THERE ARE FEW SIGHTS MORE HEARTENING FOR EMBASSY OFFICERS THAN SEEING A U.S. AIR FORCE C-130 LANDING. ON JANUARY 2, THE FIRST C-130 LANDED IN THE SNOW IN DUSHANBE, BRINGING EMERGENCY SUPPORT TO TAJIKISTAN S BORDER GUARDS. I WANT TO THANK YOU FOR THE AIRLIFT THAT GEN. ABIZAID AND HIS COMMAND EXECUTED OVER THE NEW YEARS HOLIDAYS. HELPING THE TAJIK BORDER GUARDS ESTABLISH AND OPERATE A BASE OF OPERATIONS ON THE AFGHAN BORDER, IN SPITE OF SEVERE WINTER CONDITIONS, IS AN IMPORTANT CONTRIBUTION TO REGIONAL SECURITY, AND ADVANCES U.S. NATIONAL INTERESTS BY LIMITING THE FLOW OF ILLICIT WEAPONS, NARCOTICS, AND TERRORISTS. TAJIKISTAN CONTINUES TO BE A WORLD LEADER IN NARCOTICS INTERDICTIONS, BUT BORDER FORCES ARE NOT ADEQUATELY RESOURCED. HAD THE TAJIK BORDER GUARDS CURTAILED THEIR OPERATIONS FOR LACK OF SUPPLIES, SOME IN RUSSIA COULD HAVE PRESSURED TAJIKISTAN TO ACCEPT THE RETURN OF RUSSIAN BORDER GUARDS. IN HIS NEW YEAR S ADDRESS, PRESIDENT RAHMONOV NOTED, THE FULL TAKEOVER BY TAJIK BORDER GUARDS OF THE PROTECTION OF THE STATE BORDER BETWEEN TAJIKISTAN AND AFGHANISTAN, AS A SYMBOL OF OUR SOVEREIGN STATE, WAS AN IMPORTANT ACHIEVEMENT. THE AIRLIFT HELPS TAJIKISTAN MAINTAIN ITS SOVEREIGN RIGHT TO PROTECT ITS OWN BORDERS, AND IT SHOWS AMERICAN COMMITMENT TO REGIONAL STABILITY. CENTCOMS EFFORTS, IN CLOSE COOPERATION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE AND EMBASSY DUSHANBE 5 COUNTRY TEAM, ARE HAVING A TREMENDOUS IMPACT ON THE MORALE OF THE BORDER GUARDS. GENERAL ZUKHUROV, HEAD OF THE BORDER GUARDS, SENDS HIS HEARTFELT THANKS AND APPRECIATION TO ALL IN THE NAME OF HIS PERSONNEL WHO NOW HAVE ADEQUATE FOOD AND CLOTHING FOR THE WINTER. THE USE OF YOUR COUNTERNARCOTICS TRAIN AND EQUIP AUTHORITY TO SUPPORT NATIONAL SECURITY OBJECTIVES IN THE REGION SHOWS GREAT FORESIGHT, AND GEN. ABIZAID AND HIS COMMAND ARE TO BE COMMENDED. WE WELCOME YOUR RETURN TO TAJIKISTAN ANYTIME AND ONCE AGAIN THANK EVERYONE INVOLVED, FROM PILOTS TO LOADMASTERS, FOR MAKING A REAL DIFFERENCE ON THE TAJIK-AFGHAN BORDER. SINCERELY, THOMAS H. ARMBRUSTER

UNCLASSIFIED



\_\_\_\_\_

Printed By: MARK PIRRITANO Fri Feb 10 13:55:53 2006

CHARGI D AFFAIRES END TEXT. ARMBRUSTER BT #0032

''NN

\*\*\* Received: 01/04/2006 @ 0759

\_\_\_\_\_

\*\*\* End of Message \*\*\*



11-L-055597OSD/55838

-----

TO:	StephenJ. Hadley
cc:	Vice President Richard B. Cheney The Honorable Dr. Condoleezza Rice
FROM:	Donald Rumsfeld

SUBJECT El Salvador's Temporary Protected Status

I am concerned that "Temporary Protected **Status**" (**TPS**) has not yet been extended to El Salvador, Honduras, and Nicaragua. El Salvadorhas a parliamentary election on March 12. President Saca of El Salvador has been terrific in supporting us in **Iraq**, Haiti, the U.N., etc. There are serious problems in the hemisphere. Extending **TPS** a year is the right thing to do. If the hemisphere continues to deteriorate, the problems for DHS will be a lot worse than if we reject or delay TPS.

FOUO

There may be as many as 250,000 El Salvadorans who will be affected by an extension. I've not gone back and checked the history, but I am told this would be the first major mass deportation of people out of the U.S. since 1831 when the Choctaw and Cherokee were sent out of U.S. Federal Territories.

The longer we wait, the less benefit an extension will have for the things that we are interested in supporting. Specifically, if we do not get this done soon, there will be a cloud over the legislative elections in El Salvador.

We need to have it finalized so that when President Saca comes to meet with the President on February 24 they can announce it.

If it looks like this will not be done, please let me know so that I have **enough** time to weigh in.

DHR.85 021406-09

QSD 02436-06

5 FEB 06

## FOUO 11-L-0559/OSD/55839

FOUO

0: 27

81 A.

February 01,2006 I = 06/001381ES = 5167

TO: Ryan Henry

•

CC. Eric Edelman

FROM; Donald Rumsfeld **2***M*.

SUBJECT Regional Centers

We have talked in the past about getting speakers from here in Washington to get out to the regional centers and speak. How is that going? Also, are the regional centers connecting with the Service Academies in some way? How about with JFCOM? Those might be useful connections.

Thanks.

Please Respond By 02/23/06

FOUO-

11-L-0559/OSD/55840

#### **INFO MEMO**

#### FOR SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

FROM: Ryan Henry, PD Under Secretary of Defense for Policy FEB 1 0 2006

SUBJECT: Regional Centers

- You asked me about the Regional Centers getting speakers from Washington and about the Centers' relationship with Service Academies and JFCOM (Tab A).
- The Regional Centers currently have ad hoc relationships with Service Academies some run joint counterterrorism courses with West Point. We are looking to expand their relationship with General Downing's Comhating Terrorism Center at West Point.
- We see a need to integrate better our Regional Centers' effort with DoD's other schools that house academic subject-matter experts or host foreign students. (See recent snowflake reply at Tab B.)
  - For example, NDU is a leader in both categories. We are prepared to brief you on ideas to better harness NDU as it transitions to a National Security University.
- Speakers from Washington have been participating more often as speakers at Regional Center programs.
- This process has been personality-driven, but there are steps we are pursuing to institutionalize greater Washington participation at the Regional Centers:
  - State Department staffing at the Regional Centers ideally an Ambassador-level billet as the Deputy at each Center. This arrangement would help to bring more senior State Department speakers to the Centers.
  - DoD leadership visits with foreign alumni of Regional Center programs when traveling to key countries. For example, last year General Abizaid had a

Prepared by: John Kreul, Strategy, (b)(6)

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY 11-L-0559/OSD/55841

OSD 02437-06

3 FEB 1 5 2006

USDP\_\_\_\_\_

ES-5167
#### FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

productive meeting with an alumni organization in Kenya.

- Use of Regional Centers in Service Foreign Area Officer (FAO) training programs. The Marshall Center hosts the "in-country" training phase for the Army's Eurasia FAO program. It is beneficial for both sides and should be copied elsewhere.
- There currently are few links between the Regional Centers and JFCOM. JFCOM has played a role in the development of an internet-based outreach and collaboration tool for the Regional Centers.
  - We plan to address information technology outreach to partners in a QDR implementation roadmap. JFCOM would be involved in that process.

COORDINATION: Attached

Attachments: As stated

# TAB



i

Ì

FOUO

\_\_\_\_\_<u>2</u>2

i

Т

February 01, 2006 I-06/001381 ES-5167

TO: Ryan Henry

2

CC: Eric Edelman

Donald Rumsfeld *PM.* 

SUBJECT: Regional Centers

We have talked in the past about getting speakers from here in Washington to get out to the regional centers and speak. **How** is that going? Also, are the **regional** centers connecting with the Service Academies in some way? How about with **JFCOM?** Those might be useful connections.

Thanks.

FROM

DHR **55** 020105-06

Please Respond By 02/23/06

FOUO

22-00-05 (Julio A

11-L-0559/OSD/55844

OSD 02437-06

# TAB

# B

#### **INFO MEMO**

DSD

USDP WEB 0

I-05/01 1339 ES-4022 12008

#### FOR SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

FROM: Ryan Henry, PD Under Secretary of Defense for Policy Saturday AN 2 6 2006

SUBJECT: Presentation on Education / Training of Forcige Mationals

- You recently asked me for a presentation on DoD's annual training of foreign nationals and how you can affect the process.
- Attached at TAB A is a brief, prepared by my **staff**, which includes a list of the top 15 countries that received USG-funded military training **and** education in **FY05** and a budget breakdown by program.
  - The top five recipients by funding level were Israel, Colombia, Egypt, Pakistan, Afghanistan and the Philippines.
- The brief also lists key levers you have to affect military education / training efforts as well as significant limits on your influence.
  - Your Security Cooperation Guidance, for example, identifies priority countries and key tools for objectives.
  - However, of the approximately \$260 million in funds spent directly on education / training of foreign nationals, over \$175 million is allocated by the State Department with limited input from Defense.
  - The Department's largest foreign education programs which you direct are the Regional Centers and the Counter Terrorism Fellowships Program (CTFP). The total budget for the five Regional Centers in FY06 (including overhead) is \$65.7 million and \$20 million for CTFP.

Attachments: As stated.

Prepared by: John Kreul, Strategy, OPDUSDP, (b)(6)

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

11-L-0559/OSD/55846

Simian a Atorich | 1

OSA 01695-06

#### COORDINATION PAGE

Dr. David S. C. Chu, USD/R&R Dandi G. Chu

\_----

FOUO

August 22,2005 1-05/011339 ES-4022

8

- T O David Chu Ryan Henry
- CC. Eric Edelman Steve Bucci Cathy Mainardi

RA Donald Rumsfeld FROM:

SUBJECT: Presentation on Training of Foreign Nationals

I would like to see a presentation of all the people we train from other countties in a given year. I would *l* i *e* to see their ranks and their nations, and have a *chance* to affect how we adjust that for the coming years.

Thanks.

DHR B 082205-06 Please Respond By 01/13/06

FOUO

07-01-05 10:24 14

050 01695-04

# **Education & Training of Foreign Nationals**



SecDef Brief

January 2006

(DELIBERATIVE DOCL ENT: For discussion purposes only Draft worki papers. I not release under FOIA)

FOR ------



Respond to SecDef's request for a presentation on

Foreign nationals receiving DoD education and training

 $\succ$  How to influence the process

(DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT For discussion purposes only. Draft working papers. Do not release under FOIA)

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY



### **Education & Training of Foreign Nationals** Key Programs and Funding Sources (FY05)

Description		Total Cost	# of Causes	# of Students	Avg \$ per Student	
DoD Funded					······································	
DoD Regional Centers	\$	14,606,662	5,670	2,951	4,950	
Regional Defense Counterterrorism		1		,	,	
Fellowship Program (CTFP)	\$	14,130,471	3,905	1,792	7,885	
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug						# of Courses
Activities	\$	8,921,211	944	512	17,424	
Service Academies	\$	7,033,826	190	107	65,737	exceeds # of
Non-Security Assistance, Combatant						Students because
Command	\$	1,475,000	316	316	4,668	one student's
Exchange Training	\$	604,000	535	415	1,455	training track may
Aviation Leadership Program	\$	380,455	52	31	12,273	include more than
PME Exchanges	\$	200,364	40	35	5,725	
ForeignAssistance Act Draw Down	\$	77,556	109	35	2,216	one course
Dod TOTAL	\$	47,429,545	11,761	6,194	7,657	
International Military Education and	đ	55 100 800	10 790	E 003	12,982	In addition to U.S.
Forming (MMEAT) Financing (FMF) International Narcotics and Law	<b>9</b>	49; <del>783;3</del> 63	19,729	5, <u>092</u> 1,626	25,685	funded training, \$319M was
Enforcement	\$	2,765,706	826	625	4,425	purchased by foreig
Enhanced International Peacekeeping Capabilities African Contingency Operations Training	\$	713,601	137	52	13,723	governments
and Assistance	\$	1.270	2	1	1,270	
DoS TOTAL	\$	111,346,769	16,139	7,396	15,055	
Other Funding			·	·	·	
Mise DoD/DoS Department of Homeland	\$	621.877	280	51	12,194	
Security/United States Coat Guard	\$	5,354	961	64	84	
Other TOTAL	\$	627,231	1,241	115	5,454	
TOTAL	\$	159,403,545	29,141	13,705	11,631	

(DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT: For discussion purposes only. Draft working papers. Do not release under FOIA)

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY



## Education & Training of Foreign Nationals Country Breakdown (FY05)

### US-funded education and training -- Top 15 Countries by \$\$

#### NO FMS

Country Name	Cost	# of Courses	# of Students	Comments	
Egypt	\$ 16,844,771	1326	753	No in-country, Eng Lan. Req.	-
Colombia	\$ 11,332,910	1521	1215	Significant on site trng.	
Pakistan	\$ 8,275,721	301	179	High S PME	# of Courses
Philippines	\$ 7,538,536	369	220	Little on-site; High \$ PME	
Ukraine	\$ 4,702,872	687	376	High \$ PME	exceeds # of Students because
Turkey	\$ 4,688,639	618	443	High \$ PME, Tech Courses	one student's
Poland	\$ 4,442,220	512	283	High \$ Avation Trng	training track may
Jordan	\$ 4,127,712	532	343	High \$ PME	include more than
Romania	\$ 2,979,300	1049	379	MTTs = more students	one course
Hungary	\$ 2,804,371	<b>56</b> 6	199	PME and Officer Trng	
Thailand	\$ 2,638,255	604	132	2 Language labs purchased	
Israel	\$ 2,490,300	534	421	Cost sharing = more courses	
Tunisia	\$ 2,475,500	182	119	High \$ PME	
Georgia	\$   2,464,188	696	223	Mtd-grade officer focus	

#### ist Excludes Training Purchased with National Funds

(DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT: For discussion purposes only. Draft working papers. Do not release under FOIA)



## Education & Training of Foreign Nationals Country Breakdown (FY05)

### US-funded education and training -- Top 15 Countries by Participants.

Country Name	# of Students	Cost	# of Courses	Comments	
Colombia	1215	\$ 11,332,910	1521	Significant on-site trng.	
Egypt	753	\$ 16,844,771	1326	No on-site; Eng Lan. Req.	
Turkey	443	\$ 4,688,639	618	High \$ PME, Tech Courses	
Israel	421	\$ 2,490,300	534	Cost Sharing = m r e courses	# of Courses
Romania	379	\$ 2,979,300	1049	Cost Sharing = m r e courses	exceeds # of
Ukraine	376	\$ 4,702,872	687	High \$ PME	Students because
Lebanon	372	\$ 1,941,353	521	On site trng. = m r e students	one student's
Jordan	343	\$ 4,127,712	532	High \$ PME	training track may
Mexico	301	\$ 2,030,039	839	low cost trng., PME	include more than
Poland	283	\$ 4,442,220	512	High \$ Aviation Trng	one course
Azerbaijan	245	\$ 2,176,598	433	Mid level trng = average cost	
Georgia	223	\$ 2,464,188	696	Mid-grade Officer focus	
Philippines	220	\$ 7,538,536	369	Little on site, High \$ PME	
Greece	217	\$ 1,854,282	568	Cost Sharing = more courses	
Hungary	199	\$ 2,804,371	566	FME and Officer Trng	

#### List Excludes Training Purchased with National Funds

(DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT: For discussion purposes only. Draft working papers. Do not release under FOIA)



# Education & Training of Foreign Nationals

Several factors limit the Secretary's ability to reach greater numbers of priority students

- Limited numbers of qualified students in key regions (e.g., Central Asia, Middle East)
  - Few with English language ability
  - Few promising officials in appropriate positions
- Limited operating funds for DoD institutions
  - Economies/efficiencies of scale under current budgets provide limited additional funds
- > Finite schoolhouse capacity in place (professional and technical)
- > Legal restrictions (some are waiverable)
  - American Servicemembers' Protection Act
  - Other sanctions set by Congress in the Foreign Operations Bill
    - E.g., Trafficking in Human Persons, Limitation on Assistance to Security Forces, and No Assistance to Countries that Harbor or Grants Sanctuary to Indicted War Criminals

LDELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT: For discussion purposes only. raft working papers. Do not release under FOIA)



## Education & Training of Foreign Nationals Limits on Influence (cont.)

- In addition, other factors limit the Secretary's influence on the allocation and effectiveness of military education and training efforts
  - Security Assistance funds are allocated through a State Department process
    - Ear-marked appropriations language and DoS top-line funding level affects allocations
    - State has final say within executive branch for distribution of discretionary funds
  - Absence of non-DoD initiatives to influence students after education or training program ends
    - Lack of capability and programs in this area misses opportunities to continue cultivating our investment by nurturing regional "Communities of Influence"
    - Efforts to address this gap could follow Regional Centers' model of developing alumni networks

<sup>(</sup>DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT: For discussion purposes only. Draft working papers. Do not release under FOIA)



- SecDef has a number of ways to influence DoD's education and training of foreign nationals
  - Direct specific education and training objectives in the Security Cooperation Guidance for designated countries
  - Reapportion DcD-controlled resources in favor of priority countries and cost effective education programs
  - Work with DoS to conclude the linking of the American Servicemembers' Protection Act with IMET funding
  - Strengthen Regional Centers by developing satellite operations or new headquarters in-theater for the three centers located at NDU

LDELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT: For discussion purposes only. raft working papers. Do not release under FOIA)



- > Increase resources for English language training
- Increase DoD schoolhouse capacity specifically intended for international students (may require MILCON)
- Partner with Department of State to increase and target IMET to leverage the above initiatives
- Ensure new initiatives, such as Global Peacekeeping Operations Initiative and other building partnership capacity efforts, address education and training requirements as well as operational capacities

(DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT: For discussion purposes only. Draft working papers. Do not release under FOIA)

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY



- A formal policy guidance process could improve the effectiveness of DOD international education and training
  - Many international education institutions have an independent academic approach and little or no OSD oversight
  - DoD could incorporate strong policy guidance from the Secretary and senior DoD leaders by expanding the model being implemented for the Regional Centers to
    - Promote quality control
    - Ensure policy alignment of curriculum
    - Prioritize countries and courses
    - Establish and review measures of effectiveness

**DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT:** For discussion purposes only. **Oraft working** papers. Do not release under FO(A)



Backup

(DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT: For discussion purposes only, Draft working papers. Do not release under FOIA)

FOR OFFICIAL WSE ONLY



## Education & Training of Foreign Nationals Total Expenditure by Program (FY05)



(DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT: For discussion purposes only. Draftworking papers. Do not release under FOIA)

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

- International Military Education and Training (IMET)
- Aviation Leadership Program
- Regional DefenseCounterterrorism
  Fellowship Program (CTFP)
  DoD Regional Centers
- Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Actidities
- PME Exchanges
- Foreign Military Sales (FMS)
- 🖬 Foreign Assistance Act Draw Down
- Non-Security Assistance, Combatant Command
- Foreign Military Financing (FMF)
- Service Academies
- Enhanced International Peacekeeping Capabilities
- International Narcotics and Law Enforcement
- Department of Homeland Security/United States Coat Guard
- □African Contingency Operations Training and Assistance
- Misc DoD/DoS
- 🖀 Exchange Training



## Education & Training of Foreign Nationals **Total Participants by Program** (FY05)



(DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT: For discussion purposes only. Draft working papers. Do not release under FOIA)

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

11-L-0559/OSD/55861

International Military Education and Training (IMET)

Aviation Leadership Program

- Regional Defense Counterterrorism
  Fellowship Program (CTFP)
  DoD Regional Centers
- Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities
- PME Exchanges
- Foreign Military Sales (FMS)
- Foreign Assistance Act Draw Down
- Non-Security Assistance. Combatant Command
- Foreign Military Financing (FMF)

17Service Academies

- Enhanced International Peacekeeping Capabilities
- International Narcotics and Law Enforcement
- Department of Homeland Security/United States Coat Guard
- African Contingency Operations Training and Assistance
- Misc DoD/DoS

Exchange Training



Zealand

## **Education & Training of Foreign Nationals Total US Funded Participants by Region** (FY05)

#### Total Students or Participants = 13.7 Thousand



(DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT: For discussion purposes only, Draft working papers. Do not release under FOIA)

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY



## Education & Training of Foreign Nationals Total US Expenditure by Region (FY05)

#### Total Expenditure = 159.4 Million USD





### Education & Training of Foreign Nationals **Total Count by Rank** (FY05) (FMS Included)

#### Total Students = 14.7 Thousand



(DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT: For discussion purposes only. Draft working papers. Do not release under FOIA)

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

<u>11-L-0559/OSD/55864</u>

16



### Education & Training of Foreign Nationals **Total Count by Rank** (FY05) (FMS not included)

Total Students = 6.7 Thousand



LDELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT: For discussion purposes only, raft working papers. Do not release under FO(A)

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

11-L-0559/OSD/55865

17



- This briefing utilizes data collected for the 2005-06 DSCA Foreign Military Training Report; the data set is not finalized
- Data reflects all military education/training provided to foreign military personnel by the Department of Defense and the Department of State during the previous fiscal year
- Does not include Joint Combined Exchange Training as this is authorized by law as having its primary purpose be to train US SOF forces for US benefit
- This presentation does not include data or analysis of FY06 estimations and does not include data on miscellaneous training expenditures (e.g., travel & living expenses, books)

<sup>(</sup>DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT: For discussion purposes only. Drafl working papers. Do not release under FOIA)



# Education & Training of Foreign Nationals **Process**

- DoD cycle starts with the SecDef identifying his goals and priorities in the Security Cooperation Guidance (SCG)
- Current year funding level set by Congressional Budget Justification (CBJ) and State-led allocation; with DoD input/recommendations
- CoComs provide direction to Security Assistance Officers (SAOs) through Security Cooperation Implementation Plans (SCIP)
- SAOs work with country to identify education/training needed to meet SCG,CBJ and SCIP objectives
- CoComs conduct Training Program Management Reviews (TPMR)
  - Country Education/Training requirements reviewed by DoD, State and COCOM for compliance with SCG, CBJ, and SCIP objectives
  - > Approved education/training requirements submitted to MILDEPS
- □ MILDEPS implement quota requirements

<sup>(</sup>DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT For discussion purposes only. Draff working papers. Do not release under FOIA)



# Education & Training of Foreign Nationals **DSCA Program Code Descriptions**

Description	Short Description
ternational Military Education and Training (MET)	MET
MF (Bolivia International Narcotics Control)	FVF (Bolivia International Narcotics Control)
🖋 (Saudi Arabian National Guard	FMS (Saudi Arabian National Guard)
ET	JCET
viation Leadership Program	ALP
egional Defense CounterterrorismFellowship Rogram	CTEP
D Regional Centers for Strategic Studies	Regional Centers
rug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Section 1004	Section 1004
E Exchanges	PME Exchanges
preign Military Sales (FMS)	FMS
preign Assistance Act Draw Down. Section 506	Section 506
on-Security Assistance, Combatant Command	Non-SA, Combatant Command
ilitary Assistance Rogram(MAP)	MAP
preign Military Financing (FMF)	FMF
ervice Academies	Service Academes
nhanced International Peacekeepirg Capabilities (EIPC)	EPC
ET 2-Year Funding Authority (Title VI Emergency Supplemental Appropriation)	IMET 2-Year
ternational Narcotics and Law Enforcement (INL)	INL
epartment of Homeland Security/United States Coat Guard Activities	DQHS/USCG Activities
S. Agency for International Development (USAID)	USAID
rican Contingency Operations Training and Assistance	ACOTA
iscellaneous, DOD/DOS Non-Security Assistance	Msc DOD/DOS Non-SA
ET Multi-year	IMET Multi-Year
kchange Training	ExchangeTraining
redit (Repayable)	Credit

(DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT: For discussion purposes only, Draft working papers. Do not release under FOIA)

TING

December 19, 2005

TO: Gordon England

CC: Gen Pete Pace Michael Wynne Gen Mike Moselcy

FROM: Donald Rumsfeld P.A.

SUBJECT: Civilianizing Air Force GPS Activities

I like the idea of civilianizing the Air Force GPS activities where they train young military persons and rotate them in two years. We should took at using civilians to get greater stability.

Tbanks.

0489 🗥 121 902-04

Please Respond By 02/02/06

-FOUO-

**080 024**52-06

11-L-0559/OSD/55869

1:22



#### SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE WASHINGTON

#### **INFO MEMO**

: 32

FEB 1 3 2006

FOR: DEPUTY SECRETARY OF DEFENSE,

FROM: Michael W. Wynne, Secretary of the Ale Force

SUBJECT: Civilianizing Global Positioning System (GPS) Operations

- Defense Science Board recommended adding civilian positions to GPS operation activities in their October 2005 "Future of GPS' report.
- Civilians/contractors constitute nearly 50% of GPS operations today.
- Operations: **395** personnel total; **42%** contractor, **34%** active duty, 18% reserve, 6% government civilian.
- Air Force will continue to utilize a mix of military, civilian and contractor expertise to maintain stable and effective GPS operations to meet worldwide military and civilian needs.

COORDINATION: None

Attachments:

- 1. AFSPC "Civilianizing GPS Operations" Point Paper
- 2. AFSPC "Civilianizing GPS Operations" Brief

Prepared by: MAJOR BRAIN J. HAUG, AF/A3SSO, DSN(b)(6)

11-L-0559/OSD/55870

OSD 02452-06

December 19, 2005

TO: Gordon England

CC: Gen Pete Pace Michael Wynne Gen Mike Moseley

FROM: Donsid Rumsfeld P.A.

SUBJECT: Civilianizing Air Force OPS Activities

I like the idea of civilianizing the Air Force GPS activities where they train young military persons and rotate them in two years. We should took at using civillars to get greater stability.

Thanks.

1219**1-05** 1219025-04

Please Respond By 02/02/06

FOUO

08D 02452-06

11-L-0559/OSD/55871

; :: 22

#### POINT PAPER

#### ON

#### CIVILIANIZING GPS OPERATIONS

**PURPOSE.** To provide AFSPC position on SecDef 19 Dec 05 snowflake on civilianizing GPS operations

#### BACKGROUND:

- Oct 05 DSB Report addressing "The Future of the GPS"
  - -- AF personnel "to provide guidance and direction to satellite operations"
  - -- "As a means of mitigating disruptions caused by personnel turnover and to provide an experienced cadre of GPS operators, selectively integrate contractor technical personnel into positions involving direct satellite system monitoring and execution of commands"
- <sup>1</sup> 19 Dec 05 SecDef Memo, "Civilianizing Air Force GPS Activities"
  - -- "I like the idea of civilianizing the Air Force GPS activities where they train young military persons and rotate them in two years. We should look at using civilians to get greater stability."

#### DISCUSSION:

- AF concurs—civilians/contractors constitute nearly 50% of GPS operations today -and, the percentage will grow in the future
- Current GPS personnel picture 20 years of highly integrated military-governmentcontractor operations
  - -- Operations: 395 personnel total
    - --- 42% contractor, 34% active duty, 18% reserve, 6% gov't civilian
    - --- Early orbit ops, day-to-day ops, anomaly resolution, sustainment, modernization, disposal
  - -- Acquisition: 527 personnel total
    - --- 61% contractor, 25% active duty, 14% gov't civilian, 0% reserve
    - --- Ground, space, user equipment, test & development, engineering, sustainment, contracting, modernization
    - --- As we evolve GPS (satellite and ground system upgrades), significant implications for manpower and personnel mix
      - --- Increased automation with machine-to-machine interfaces
      - --- Reduced sat C2 manpower requirements
  - --- Integrate enhanced NAVWAR capabilities (an inherently military function to protect navigation for our military use and deny adversaries the same)
- Guiding Principles

Col D. Jones/HQ AFSPC/XOS/4-3370/mba/9 Jan 06

- -- Air Force has Title 10 responsibilities for providing means to control and exploit the medium of space
- -- GPS is a critical element of our national infrastructure —it is imperative that DoD & USAF continue to protect GPS systems and services
- -- Certain roles, functions, and skills within GPS are inherently military
  - --- Mission control, system protection, and anomaly resolution
  - --- Preparing and executing military plans, delivering effects to the warfighter, and denying them to adversaries
- -- Air Force must develop a cadre of space professionals who can plan and execute space power at the tactical and operational levels of war
- Conclusion
  - -- Air Force concurs with the value and contributions of civilians in operations and direct operations support
  - -- AFSPC heavily employs civil servants, contractors, reserve, and guard units to enhance stability, continuity, and technical expertise
  - -- There is room for growth, keeping in mind inherently military functions & the need for space professional development
  - -- Funding for civilians conducting operations must be treated as "flight hours" to successfully continue on this path
  - USAF and AFSPC are comprehensively improving GPS development, operations and sustainment

I



Unclassified - For Official U

# Civilianizing G Operations:

A Response to CSAF'S §

Lt Gen Frank G. K Vice Commander



# DSB Report, Oct 05

- DSB GPS task force made 69 recommendations to comprehensively improve GPS
  - Improve availability and accuracy of satellite constellation
  - Improve functionality & accelerate GPS control segment upgrade
  - Accelerate anti-jam capability of GPS
    military user equipment
  - Improve anti-jam performance in applications
  - Improve organization and governance
- Air Force is already implementing 43 of the recommendations and is assessing





# DSB Report, Oct 05 (continued)

- Additionally, report recommended
  - AF personnel "to provide guidance and direction to satellite operations"
  - "As a means of mitigating disruptions caused by personnel turnover and to provide an experienced cadre of GPS operators, selectively integrate contractor technical personnel into positions involving direct satellite system monitoring and execution of commands"





# SECDEF Memo, 19 Dec 05

 "I like the idea of civilianizing the Air Force GPS activities where they train young military persons and rotate them in two years. We should look at using civilians to get greater stability."

FOUO					
	December 19, 2005				
TO:	Gordon England				
CC;	Gen Pete Pace Michael Wynne Gen Mike Moseley				
FROM:	Donald Rumsfeld P.A.				
SUBJECT:	Civilianizing Air Force GPS Activities				
I like the idea of civilianizing the Air Force GPS activities where they train young military persons and rotate them in two years. We should look at using civilians to get greater stability.					
Thanks.					
[]];K.4; }2314(5-54					
Please Respond By 02/02/06					


# GPS Civil and Military Capa









### 11-L-0559/OSD/55879

\_\_\_\_\_



# Today's GPS Operations

#### Reserve **Operations Functions** 18% Active Duty Launch & Checkout 34% Satellite C2 PMT Mission Management Total: 395 User Interface Anomaly Resolution Govi Service Contractor 6% 42% Acquisition Functions 101 Reserve • Ground, Space, User - 11 0% Active Duty Engineering 25% Integration & Test Total: 527 Sustair Contracting Contractor Govt Service 61% 14% 12





8 x 5	Ops Support Networ (100+ civili	•	able 24 x 7)	
Deep Subsystem Ar (Payload, Bus, NDS Ground system ana	) Computer operators/pr		Mathematicians Space Ops Trair System Adminis	ners/Evaluators
24 x 7 Ops Support Net	•	Provide: • GPS user	· impact analysis	<ul> <li>Risk mitigation analysis</li> </ul>
IIA on-orbit support experts IIR on-orbit support experts System Administrators Computer h/w, s/w experts Communication Experts	Remote Site Technicians Provide: • On-orbit support • Trending analysis • Subsystem analysis	<ul> <li>Anomaly resolution</li> <li>Advice to crew and senior decision makers</li> <li>System administration</li> <li>Mission risk management</li> <li>Engineering/technical assistance</li> <li>Satellite performance trending</li> <li>HNV &amp; S/W testing &amp; integration</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Ground system modification/restoration</li> <li>Satellite system upgrade:</li> <li>Anomaly impact predictio and analysis</li> <li>Kalman filter maintenance</li> <li>Tactics development</li> <li>Constellation mgmt</li> <li>On-orbit maintenance planning/execution</li> </ul>
<b>7- person military ops</b> crews (3 officers, 4 enlisted) Crew CC Crew Chief GSO PSO SVO SSO x 2	<ul> <li>Remote site maintenance</li> <li>Computer sys maintenance</li> <li>GPS user impact analysis</li> <li>Anomaly impact analysis</li> <li>Satellite eng expertise</li> <li>Advice to crew and senior decision makers</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Operational reporting</li> <li>Constellation health/sustainment/perf</li> <li>Ground equipment monitoring</li> </ul>	Military on-call 3 Satellite Analysts	Military Management (4 Flt CCs) Engineering, Maintenance, Tactics and Procedures		· ·

\_\_\_\_



## Integrated GPS Synchronized Schedule (7 Jan 06)





Future GPS Operations Transition from Satellite Ops to Mission/Service Focus

- Space Segment
  - Increased power / security / accuracy / availability, controlled integrity and system survivability for military and civil users
- Ground Segment
  - Increased automation, improved monitoring, and responsive PNT service tailoring
    - Reduce required Satellite C2 manpower
    - Focus military on mission control responsibilities public safety/accountability, military operations and mission/system security
    - Increased PNT support to military and civil users





- Air Force has Title 10 responsibilities for providing means to control and exploit the medium of space
- GPS is a critical element of our national infrastructure it is imperative that DoD & USAF continue to provide and protect GPS systems and services
- Certain roles, functions, and skills within GPS are core government responsibilities
  - Mission control and system protection
  - Preparing and executing military plans, delivering effects to the warfighter, and denying them to adversaries
- Air Force must develop a cadre of space professionals who can plan and execute space power at the tactical and operational levels of war
  - Use integrated team of military, civilian and contractors, employing unique skills and responsibilities



Conclusion

- Civilians/contractors have played a major role in GPS for over 20 years in operations and direct operations support
- AFSPC heavily employs civil servants, contractors, reserve, and guard units to enhance stability, continuity, and technical expertise
- Will pursue greater civilian/contractor role in AF space operations, but must fulfill inherently military roles/functions & the need for space professional development
- Funding for civilians and contractors conducting operations must be treated as "flight hours" to successfully continue on this path
- Air Force has been a good steward for development, operations and sustainment of GPS and is actively pursuing continuous and comprehensive improvement **d** the GPS system and services



### Continue to implament the DSB racommen a

Way



## **Backups**





Enablers

- Special contract authority (e.g. NRO-like)
- Special manpower and personal authorities (shortterm policy and procedure expectations
- Treat space operations (O&M) hours like flying hours
- If required, relief from A-76 (in support of force mix changes)



- How many AFSPACE people do we have involved in all GPS ops (launch, ops, tweaking, et al)?
- How many are mil and how many are civilian?
- **Is** there an advantage to move more civilians into the GPS world?
- If so, how would you structure it?
- **Is** there a benefit from completely handing this over to civilians?
- If so, is there a time that would be better



## **2 SOPS Manning History**

### 2 SCS / 2 SOPS Manning History





Space Professional Development

- Foundation: Three-level Certification program based on experience, education and training
- Space Professional Experience Codes track individual credentials and billet requirements allows match of individual skills to job needs
- Career-long education realized via Space 100, 200, 300, continuing education and academic certificates
- Goal: Credentialed Space Professionals effectively delivering space capabilities for joint warfighting









HEALTH AFFAIRS

THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20301-1200

#### **ACTION MEMO**

FEB 1 4 2006

9:42

#### FOR SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

FROM: William Winkenwerder, Jr., MD, ASD (Health Affairs)

#### SUBJECT: Stem Cell Research

- **On January** 12, a high school mate of yours, Ms. Patricia Buehler, wrote you indicating that stem cell research was important and could improve the health of combat casualties and others (TAB B). She forwarded a CD with slides from a briefing that impressed her given by a Northwestern University researcher. She asked that you call or e-mail her and let her know your thoughts.
- Your reply (TAB C) thanked her for the material and indicated that you would have me take a **look** at it.
- The Department is extensively involved in stem cell research within provisions of presidential, congressional, and DoD direction.
- The letter at TAB A notes DoD participation in stem cell research.

RECOMMENDATION That the Secretary of Defense sign the letter at TAB A.

Davids, Chun 15 End of COORDINATION: USD (P&R)

Attachments: As stated

Prepared by: Dr. Cirone, FHP/R, (b)(6) DOCS Open 99472,100734,100737

		5.23	2/16
MASD		SMA DSD	
TSA SD		SA DSD	
EXEC SEC	M2/16	1220	
ESR MA	f 2 4	102	

11-L-0559/OSD/55894

14 Feb ob

ц Ч

Jan 06

OSD 02473-06



#### THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE 1000 DEFENSE PENTAGON WASHINGTON, DC 20301-1000

Ms. Patricia H. Buehler (b)(6)

Dear Pat,

I hope you are enjoying your time in Florida.

You asked for my thoughts regarding stem cell research. We in the Department of Defense fully recognize the exceptional potential of such research to the health of our warfighters, our other beneficiaries, and the general population.

We have a substantial and focused research effort addressing many aspects of the stem cell promise. Dr. Bill Winkenwerder, Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs, tells me we conduct or sponsor stem cell protocols related to **cur** Breast and Prostate Cancer Research Programs and some of the core research programs sponsored by the **U.S.** Army Medical Research and Materiel Command.

Thank you, Pat, for your interest in Department of Defense medicine.





.

#### THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE WASHINGTON

Ms.	Patrici	a H. I	Buehler
(b)(6	)		Buehler

Dear Pat,

Thanks so much for your ne and the material. I will have Dr. Bill Winkenwerder here at the Pentagon take a look at it.

I appreciate your thoughtfulness.

With my best wishes for the New Year,



Office of the Secretary of Defense

IDE FRANKEL, SIE, THE TSA REPARTED THAT THIS BE REVISED TO BE AN INFO MEND TO THE SD --NO REPORTE FROM THE SD TO THE H.S. MATE MALESS DE MINERYNERSE NAMED MEND SHOWS HURSELF. THE INFO MEND SHOWS ENCLOSULARE DO'S INVOLVEMENT IN THIS RESUMELY. ME CHE FOUREL TO: Bill Winkenwerder

CC: David Chu

FROM: Donald Rumsfeld

SUBJECT: Letter from Patricia Buehler and Stem Cell Research Info

Attached is some material I received from a person who went to high school with me.

Do you have any thoughts?

Thanks.

-

Attach: 1/12/06 Letter and CD from P. Buehler to SecDef, SECDEF NOTE TO BUEHLER

Please Respond By 02/28/06

24JANO6

OSD 02473-06

January 24, 2006 2011 15 11 9:42

44ANJ.472

Mrs. A		
() ()		

January 12, 2006

Dear Don,

I recently heard a presentation by Dr. Kessler & Northwestern about stem cell research. He is the preeminent researcher in that field. I am convinced that the future of stem cell research is essential to our *country*, and that the United States must be a leader in the field.

As we send **our** troops into Iraq and Afghanistan etc to fight for **our country**, we **owe** them the best treatment **we** *can* give to replace war *damaged* limbs, eyes and **minds**. Stem cell **research** will help advance these treatments. **This** same research will help **find** the **cure** for life *threatening* conditions such as diabetes that affect our families in the U.S. Despite **reports** to the contrary, none of **this** research is done on aborted fetuses.

1 am sending you the power point presentation Dr. Kessler presented, as I was very impressed by it. I compare his research to Galileo's. You might want Joyce to watch it with you; the subject was presented to our discussion group twice (not by Dr Kessler)

Please call or email me to let me know your thoughts on this.

I have moved. My new address i	s(b)(6)	a me an an and	and
phone number is (b)(6)		January 16 - June 7, The address	5
there is (b)(6)		the <b>phone</b> is (b)(6)	

I hope the New Year finds you well, and I look forward to hearing from you soon.

Sincerely,

Patricia H. Buehler

11-L-0559/OSD/55899

DSK nlins\_nl.

#### FOUO

February 07,2006

TO: Robert Wilkie

cc: Robert Rangel

FROM: Donald Rumsfeld

SUBJECT: Schoomaker to Governors' Conference

Please thirk through whether Pete Schoomaker *ought* to go to the Governors' Conference with me and speak before or after me.

Thanks.

DH&.bp 020406-22

Please Respond By February 16, 2006

FOUO

OSD 02551-06



THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

WASHINGTON, DC 20301-1300 UNCLASSIFIED CFINE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFORM

**INFO MEMO** 

115 日 17 州 6:42

February 16,20062:30 PM

#### FOR SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

FROM: Robert Wilkie, Acting Assistant Secretary of Defense Robert L Wilkie for Legislative Affairs.<sup>(b)(6)</sup>

SUBJECT: Snowflake Response -- General Schoomaker's participation at NGA Winter Meeting

- You asked us to think through whether General Schoomaker ought to go to the Governors' Conference with you and whether he should speak before of after you.
- I recommend that General Schoomaker not address the NGA prior to your remarks. Rather, I recommend that we work with the NGA to extend an invitation for General Schoomaker to address a later session as a follow up to your remarks.
  - As Commanders of their Guard units, they need to feel a part of the process and you should use the opportunity to have a frank, candid discussion. We should do everything possible to avoid the appearance of a press conference or hearing setting.
  - This is the ideal setting to talk about the past GovDels and personally invite the remaining governors to travel to Iraq and Afghanistan. You will be seated at the head table with Governors Mike Huckabee (R-AR) and Janet Napolitano (D-AZ).
- Finally, we recommend that Generals Pace and Schoomaker be invited to attend the lunch and be available, if needed, during the Q & A session.

Attachment: Snowflake#020406-22

Prepared by: Dan Wilmot, Director of Intergovernmental Affairs (b)(6)

11-L-0559/OSD/55901

OSD 02551-06

FOUO

February 07,2006

TO: Robert Wilkie

7

CC: Robert Rangel

FROM: Donald Rumsfeld

SUBJECT: Schoomaker to Governors' Conference

Please think through whether Pete Schoomaker ought to go to the Governors' Conference with me and speak before or after me.

Thanks.

DHR.bp 020406-22

Please Respond By February 16, 2006

FOUO

-FOUO-

February 07, 2006

TO: Robert Wilkie

FROM Donald Rumsfeld

SUBJECT: Governor Visits to Iraq

What do you propose to do to expand the number of Governors getting over to Iraq?

Thanks.

Attach: 2/1/06 ASD (LA) memo to SecDef

DH2 4

Please Respond By March 1,, 2006

-FOUO

OSD 02552-06

Strate - and the out of the

e 1



THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE WASHINGTON, DC 20301-1300

spide

UNCLASSIFIED

肥底'-; \*\*

**INFO MEMO** 

February, 01, 2006, 11:00 AM

55 12

FOR: SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

FROM: Robert Wilkic, Acting Assistant Secretary of Defense hour for Legislative Affairs (b)(6)

SUBJECT Governor's Delegation to Iraq and Afghanistan January 22<sup>ad</sup> - 29<sup>th</sup>, 2006

Governors Doyle (D-WI), Freudenthal (D-WY), Huckabee **(R-AR)**, and **Ferry** (R-TX) traveled to Kuwait, Iraq, Pakistan, Afghanistan and the NAM Mission in Brussels. The schedule allowed them to meet with members of their National Guard and troops from their home states, as well **as**, seeing the relief effort in Pakistan and discussing the current and future role of NATO.

All four Governors shared the following points:

- The Governors advise caution when discussing withdrawal from Iraq. They worry that DoD will oversell troop reductions in the coming year. They suggested that we focus on the changing role of US forces, but not withdrawal.
- They want you to be aware that they are very skeptical of the National Guard **plan**, and look forward to discussing it with you in the future.
- All four commented on the high level of morale and the well-defined purpose of mission that the *troops* displayed.
- They all agreed and strongly recommend that all the Governors travel to Iraq and Afghanistan to see that the results have been worth the effort of **our** National Guardsmen and women.
- Iraqi Self Reliance by the end of 2006 was a topic of many briefings. It was suggested that when discussing this we emphasize that Iraqi Self Reliance does not mean that all troops will be coming home by the end of the year.

MASD	215	SMADSD	
TSA SD	122/3	SADSD	
EXECSEC	附7月	0885	2607
	MBS 2 Fee		r

Prepared by: Dan Wilmot, OASD (LA)(b)(6)

OSD 01666-06

#### February 27, 2006

522

TO:	GEN John Abizaid
cc:	Gen Pete Pace GEN George Casey
	GEN George Casey LTG Karl Eikenberry
	Robert Wilkie
FROM:	Donald Rumsfeld
SUBJECT	Governors' Visits to Iraq and Afghanistan

We are offering state Governors **an** opportunity to visit Iraq and/or Afghanistan this year.

FOUO

Governor visits conducted thus far have been quite successful. I know these delegations place a burden on theater and airlift forces, but they are important.

There are many favorable things happening in Iraq and Afghanistan that the American people won't see by watching or reading the media. There is a positive message out there for the families and the public. Governors are in a Unique position to help portray a more accurate picture of the situation on the ground.

I ask for your help in providing continued support to these Governor delegations.

Thanks.

DHR.gl 022206-19





FOU0 11-L-0559/OSD/55905 27Febro



THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

WASHINGTON, DC 20301-1300 UNCLASSIFIED SECRETATION

INFO MEMO

TRUE FED 17 61 6:47

February 16,20063:30 PM

#### FOR SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

FROM: Robert Wilkie, Acting Assistant Secretary of Defense Robert L. Wilkie

SUBJECT: Snowflake Response - Expanding Governors visits to Iraq

To achieve your objective of having all Governors visit haq and Afghanistan this year, I will pursue the following course of action:

- <u>Long Term Schedule</u>: My office will lay out a long term schedule from March July, working around the congressional recess schedule to avoid visit overload and provide more planning time to people in the AOR.
- <u>Military Aircraft</u>: Use of military aircraft provides a more flexible platform for scheduling purposes and facilitates the Governors need to remain in constant communication with their states. We will continue to pursue use of aircraft in addition to the 89'' Airlift Wing's assets to expand our capabilities (for example a KC-10 with VIP Package, a C-17 with Silver Bullet, or other in-theatre assets).
- <u>Two a Month:</u> We want to avoid any conflict with the upcoming mid-term elections and the August recess. Thus, we will target a completion date of July 2006. We may, at times, plan two trips a month vice the current one. If needed, we can complete the remaining travel after the election cycle.
- <u>Personal Interest/Invite</u>: You should send a snowflake to CENTCOM emphasizing the importance of these missions and your personal interest in the success of the GovDels.
- <u>Invitation to Governors:</u> You should mention the successful past trips during your speech at the NGA Winter meeting and personally invite all the Governors to travel to Iraq and Afghanistan.

Attachments: Snowflake#020706-07

Prepared by: Dan Wilmot, Director of Intergovernmental Affairs (b)(6)

11-L-0559/OSD/55906

OSD 02552-06

FOUO-

February 07, 2006

which and a give statistic

TO: Robert Wilkie

FROM: Donald Rumsfeld

SUBJECT: Governor Visits to Iraq

What do you propose to do to expand the number of Governors getting over to Iraq?

Thanks.

Attach: 2/1/06 ASD (LA)memo to SecDef

D125.4 020706-07

Please Respond By March 1,,2006

-FOUO



THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE WASHINGTON, DC 20301-1300

ملاراه

UNCLASSIFIED

#### INFO MEMO

February, 01,2006, 11:00 AM

FOR: SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

FROM: Robert Wilkie, Acting Assistant Secretary of Defense for Legislative Affairs (b)(6)

SUBJECT Governor's Delegation to Iraq and Afghanistan January 22<sup>nd</sup> - 29<sup>th</sup>, 2006

Governors Doyle (D-W), Freudenthal (D-WY), Huckabee (R-AR), and Perry (R-TX) traveled to Kuwait, Iraq, Pakistan, Afghanistan and the NATO Mission in Brussels. The schedule allowed them to meet with members of their National Guard and troops from their home states, as well as, seeing the relief effort in Pakistan and discussing the current and future role of NATO.

All four Governors shared the following points:

- The Governors advise caution when discussing withdrawal from Iraq. They worry that DoD will oversell troop reductions in the coming year. They suggested that we focus on the changing role of US forces, but not withdrawal.
- They want you to be aware that they are very skeptical of the National **Grand** plan, and look forward to discussing it with you in the future.
- All four commented on the high level of morale and the well-defined purpose of mission that the **troops** displayed.
- They all agreed and strongly recommend that all the Governors travel to Iraq and Afghanistan to see that the results have been worth the effort of **cur** National Guardsmen and women.
- *Iraci Self Reliance* by the end of 2006 was a topic of many briefings. It was suggested that when discussing this we emphasize that *Iraqi Self Reliance* does not mean that all troops will be coming home by the end of the year.

	· · ·		SAG	2/2
MA SO	2	4	SMA DSD	
TEA SD				
EXEC SEC	17	h.	6885	2607
	10	<b>Z</b> 6#	0902	·

Prepared by: Dan Wilmot, OASD (LA (b)(6)

OSD 01666-06

FEB 1-3 2008 I-06/00 1057 ES-5225

ł

í

I

ł

TO Steve Bucci

د

CC: Peter Rodman Eric Edelman

FROM: Donald Rumsfeld

SUBJECT Meeting with Sergey Ivanov 2/10/06 m: Bilateral Defense Meetings and Briefings I Need

- When the U.S.-Russia Bilateral Defense Consultative Meetings are held and General Mazurkevich comes to meet with Rodman, I ought to see him at the Pentagon.
- 2. I need to get briefed on our basing plans in Eastern Europe and on our missile defense plans in that part of the world. I am going to want Peter Rodman to lay all that out for his counterpart from Russia

DH31.36 021006-2775) Dor	* *	~*
Please respond by February 28, 2006	,	

TWO

1-16 0711

#### **950** 02581-06